Proseminar "The Rust Programming Language" Summer Term 2017

Garbage Collection & Reference Counting

Clemens Ruck & Alex Egger Technische Universität München

July 19, 2017

Abstract

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Garbage Collection
- 2.1 General principle
- 2.2 Reference Counting

Reference counting is a simple garbage collection algorithm, that uses reference counts to deallocate objects that are no longer referenced, and thus free the underlying memory. Here reference count refers to an internal counter, that tracks the amount of active references to a specific object. Everytime an active reference is destroyed the internal reference counter for that object is decremented. Correspondingly when a new reference to an object is created, the reference counter is incremented, to reflect the number of active references. When an objects reference count falls to zero, the object becomes inaccessible. The memory used by such an inaccessible object can be then be freed safely.

Reference counting seems superior to any tracing algorithm, because of its simplicity, but there are a few caveats, that are not visibile at first glance. Firstly when handling a large amount of objects a deletion may cause a large amount of objects to be freed in a chain reaction. This chain reaction can then use up valuable processing time, resulting in

a largely unresponsive application for a user. This pitfall can be circumvented with the following approach: Whenever an object should be ordinarly deleted, it is instead added to a list of objects that are to be freed. The list can then be processed at another point in time, effectively making the whole technique incremental.

A more severe problem is posed by reference cycles, where multiple references reference each other, leading to a non-tree-like structure. These cycles can not be reclaimed, since each object depdends on another being freed first. These shortcomings render reference counting unsatisfactory in most contexts, but the following situations:

- Reference loops are impossible.
- Modifications of references are infrequent.
- Memory constraints are very tight.

2.3 Rust

3 Using memory in Rust

3.1 Allocating data on the heap

It is common practice to store long-lived data on the heap section of the memory, as the lifetime of the data is then decoupled of the lifetime of its local function. Rust allows allocating data on the heap by using the Box<T> struct. A Box may be

```
fn main() {
    let i: u8 = 42;
    let b = Box::new(i);
}
```

Figure 1: A program that stores an u8 on the heap.

```
pub struct Box<T> where T: ?Sized {
   pointer: *mut T,
   size: usize,
}
```

Figure 2: A simplified example definition of the Box type.

created by calling the Box::new() method, as can be seen in figure 1. It may seem like there is some kind of magic underneath the Box type, but that would be contrary to Rusts goals. In fact a Box is only a wrapper around a raw pointer (see 3.4), that points to the heap, and the size of the object that is stored. A simple implementation of this Box type is shown in figure 2. When not fully accustomed to the Rust type system one may be puzzled by the where-clause in the struct definition. This clause simply says the type that is stored in the Box must have a fixed size and may not be a Dynamically Sized Type, like for example a Trait.

Now there is still the question of how the memory used by a Box is freed. The Box struct implements the Drop trait. The documentation for this trait describes it as follows: "The Drop trait is used to run some code when a value goes out of scope. This is sometimes called a destructor" [4]. The Box type uses this trait to free the memory reserved on the heap, when it goes out of scope.

3.2 Reference Counting in Rust

3.3 Interior Mutability with Cell types

"Interior Mutability is a design pattern in Rust for allowing you to mutate data even though there are immutable references to that data, which would normally be disallowed by the borrowing rules. The

Figure 3: Constructing a Cell and a RefCell object and borrowing dynamically.

interior mutability pattern involves using unsafe code inside a data structure to bend Rust's usual rules around mutation and borrowing." [2]. There are two types that can be used for this pattern, Cell<T> and RefCell<T>. These types are the owners of the type the encapsulate and they store it on the heap, similar to a Box<T>. The main difference between a Box and one of the cell types is that borrowing rules are applied at compile-time for the Box, but at runtime for the cell types. These types can be used to ensure to the compiler that code that may look like it breaks the borrowing rules at compile-time, actually upholds the borrowing rules at runtime. Should the code break the rules nevertheless, a panic will be thrown at runtime. The difference between the Cell<T> and RefCell<T> is that Cell<T> is only usable for types that implement the Copy trait, because of the way Cell<T> handles interior mutability. It mutates the data in the container by copying it outside, mutating it, and copying it back inside. Obviously only Copytypes can be used with this. RefCell<T> can be used with any type that implements the Sized trait. It uses references to mutate the data, without using the copy mechanism. The figure 3 shows how to construct such a RefCell and how to borrow dynamically at runtime.

```
let count: u32 = 65;
let ptr = &count as *const u32;
let mut_ptr = &mut count as *mut u32;
```

Figure 4: Creating two raw pointers from a reference to an u32.

```
let address = 0x123456;
let ptr = address as *mut u32;
```

Figure 5: Creating a raw pointer from an address.

3.4 Raw pointers

Rust has two kinds of references that allow pointing to an arbitrary place in memory. These references are called *raw pointers*. The two types are *const T and *mut T. How to create such raw pointers is shown in figure 4 and in figure 5. The difference between the const and the mut variants is simply whether the value in the underlying memory address can be mutated through the pointer, or not. In the example in figure 4 we created two pointers to the same address. One begin a const *u32 and the other a mut *u32. If we were to create a &u32 and a &mut u32 we would get a compiler error, but when working with raw pointers the usual borrowing rules don't apply.

3.5 Writing unsafe Code

In some situations a programmer may need to write code, that the static guarantees of the compiler would reject. Such situations may for example occur whenever raw pointers (see 3.4) are used to work around some restriction of Rusts borrowing mechanism. Another possibility is whenever Rust tries to interact with hardware directly, since hardware does not adhere to Rusts rules. The unsafe keyword can be used to communicate to the compiler, that the designated block contains 'unsafe' code. 'Unsafe' here means exactly the following behaviours:

- 1. Dereferencing a raw pointer.
- 2. Calling an unsafe function or method.

```
let count: u32 = 128;
let ptr = &count as *mut u32;
unsafe {
    *ptr = 130;
}
```

Figure 6: Dereferencing a raw pointer and assigning a new value.

- Accessing or modifying a mutable static variable.
- 4. Implementing an unsafe trait.

[3].

Dereferencing a raw pointer is considered unsafe, because raw pointers (see 3.4) may point to arbitrary memory. This mean a raw pointer could point to memory not even reserved by the programm or to NULL. When derefencing such a pointer care must be taken to check whether the pointer is even valid first. An example of this can be seen in figure 6. Since the pointer here will be always be valid it is unnecessary to check it for validity.

Calling an unsafe function or method is unsafe behaviour, because these unsafe functions generally assume that some invariant is true before they are called. A programmer may have unknowingly broken the invariant before calling the function, resulting in a memory violation or other unwanted behaviour. An example of this can be seen in figure 7, in which a function called add_three takes a mutable raw pointer (see 3.4), offsets it by one, derefences it, and adds three to it. Obviously this is not memory-safe when the pointer does not own the element after it in memory, and as such the function must be marked unsafe. The unsafe keyword in the function definition additionally acts like an unsafe block around the whole function body, which is the reason the dereferencing of the pointer in figure 7 is not wrapped in an unsafe block.

Figure 7: An unsafe function, that adds three to a pointer offset by one.

```
static mut COUNT: u8 = 0;

fn main() {
    unsafe {
        COUNT = 1;
    }
}
```

Figure 8: Updating a static mutable variable in an unsafe block.

Accessing or updating a static mutable variable is defined to be unsafe behaviour. Static mutable variables are comparable to global state in other programming languages. Hoare describes Rust as having a "safe concurrency model" [1]. This is especially visibile when looking at the concept of borrowing, where Rust enforces safe concurrency through the restrictions on &T and &mut T references. Additionally it is Rusts responsibility to ensure data races on global state can not happen. Infact the Rust compiler will not allow accessing or updating such global state, without declaring the operation as unsafe, after which it is the programmers responsibility to ensure correct handling of data races. An example of updating a static mutable variable can be seen in figure 8.

Implementing an unsafe trait is similar to an unsafe function. Designating a block as unsafe tells the compiler, that there is some invariant that he cannot check by himself, but that is crucial for program execution. The structure of such an unsafe trait can be seen in figure 9. A common example of this is when implementing the Send trait for a type the compiler cannot automatically derive it for. The documentation for the Send trait says "This trait is automatically implemented when the

```
unsafe trait UnsafeTrait {}
unsafe impl UnsafeTrait for u8 {}
```

Figure 9: The structure of an unsafe trait and its implementation.

```
struct Test {
   ptr: *mut u8, // Doesn't
   // implement Send.

4 }

6 unsafe impl Send for Test {
   // Somehow make the
   s // raw pointer thread-safe.
   }
```

Figure 10: Implementing the Send trait for a struct with non-Send fields.

compiler determines it's appropriate."[5]. Appropiate here means when all fields of the type implement the Send trait too. Have a look at figure 10 in which he have a type Test that has a raw pointer as a field. Raw pointers do not implement the Send trait, since they have no guarantees about being used in shared environments. This leads to our struct Test not automatically having the Send trait. We have to implement it manually with an unsafe impl-block as shown.

4 Conclusion

5 Future work

References

- [1] Interview on Rust, a Systems Programming Language Developed by Mozilla. https://www. infoq.com/news/2012/08/Interview-Rust. Accessed: 2017-07-17.
- [2] The Rust Book, Second Edition Interior Mutabilty. https://doc.rust-lang.org/book/second-edition/

- ch15-05-interior-mutability.html. Accessed: 2017-07-17.
- [3] The Rust Book, Second Edition Unsafe Rust. https://doc.rust-lang.org/stable/book/second-edition/ch19-01-unsafe-rust. html. Accessed: 2017-07-14.
- [4] The Rust Language API Documentation Drop Trait. https://doc.rust-lang.org/std/ops/ trait.Drop.html. Accessed: 2017-07-14.
- [5] The Rust Language API Documentation Send Trait. https://doc.rust-lang.org/std/ marker/trait.Send.html. Accessed: 2017-07-17.