

# SE 3XA3: Software Requirements Specification

## Title of Project

Team #24, Node Messenger  
Tasin Ahmed - ahmedm31  
Shardool Patel - pates25  
Omar Elemary - elemaryo

November 9, 2018

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Major Revision History</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1	Overview . . . . .	1
2.2	Context . . . . .	1
2.3	Design Principles . . . . .	1
2.4	Document Structure . . . . .	2
<b>3</b>	<b>Anticipated and Unlikely Changes</b>	<b>2</b>
3.1	Anticipated Changes . . . . .	2
3.2	Unlikely Changes . . . . .	3
<b>4</b>	<b>Module Hierarchy</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Connection Between Requirements and Design</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Module Decomposition</b>	<b>4</b>
6.1	Hardware Hiding Modules M1 . . . . .	4
6.2	Behaviour-Hiding Module . . . . .	4
6.2.1	Index Module M7 . . . . .	5
6.2.2	Sign in Module M4 . . . . .	5
6.2.3	Sign up Module M3 . . . . .	5
6.2.4	Login Module M2 . . . . .	5
6.3	Software Decision Module . . . . .	5
6.3.1	App Module M6 . . . . .	6
6.3.2	Chat Module M5 . . . . .	6
<b>7</b>	<b>Traceability Matrix</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Use Hierarchy Between Modules</b>	<b>7</b>

# List of Tables

1	Revision Control . . . . .	1
2	Module Number Format . . . . .	3
3	Module Hierarchy . . . . .	3
4	Trace Between Requirements and Modules . . . . .	6
5	Trace Between Anticipated Changes and Modules . . . . .	7

# List of Figures

1	Use hierarchy among modules . . . . .	7
---	---------------------------------------	---

## 1 Major Revision History

Revision	Date	Change
Revision 0	November 9, 2018	Rev0 Design Doc
Revision 1	Date 2	Notes

Table 1: Revision Control

## 2 Introduction

### 2.1 Overview

As the world becomes increasingly more connected through the internet, many common internet users are looking for an easy way to communicate with each other or reach out to distant loved ones. The market for messengers has become saturated with products that put the goal of earning maximum revenue over the needs of the consumer. Node Messenger's focus is to capture consumer interest by implementing a free and accessible web application messenger that allows them to personalize their experience and chat with other users in a simple, clean and non-intrusive way. Node messenger will become a haven for users searching for a consumer-friendly product with great functionality and cross-platform support.

### 2.2 Context

This document is the Module Guide (MG), created after the completion of the Software Requirements Specifications (SRS). The SRS lists the functional and non-functional requirements for the development of the project. The MG shows how the project meets the functional and non-functional requirements mentioned in the SRS, as well as showing how the modules are broken up in the project.

Following the creation of the MG, comes the creation of the Module Interface Specification (MIS). The MIS specifies the functions of each of the modules mentioned in the MG. It does so by documenting the variables, inputs, outputs, and exceptions for each module.

### 2.3 Design Principles

There are two main we will be using for our project is Encapsulation and Abstraction. Encapsulation is when objects keep their states private, in a class. We will be using encapsulation in order to hide sensitive user information from being accessed by others. This can

include the user's email, password, name, phone number etc. Abstraction will be used to make our messaging app more user friendly and eaasy to use. If the user has used other messaging apps prior to NodeM, they should have the necessary knowledge required to use our app already.

## 2.4 Document Structure

The rest of the document is organized as follows:

- Section 3 lists the anticipated and unlikely changes of the software requirements.
- Section 4 summarizes the module decomposition that was constructed according to the likely changes.
- Section 5 specifies the connections between the software requirements and the modules.
- Section 6 gives a detailed description of the modules.
- Section 7 includes two traceability matrices. One checks the completeness of the design against the requirements provided in the SRS. The other shows the relation between anticipated changes and the modules.
- Section 8 describes the use relation between modules.

## 3 Anticipated and Unlikely Changes

### 3.1 Anticipated Changes

**AC1:** The color theme of the messaging app.

**AC2:** The format of the message box.

**AC3:** The format of the Login/Register screen.

**AC4:** Domain name.

**AC5:** Addition or removal of Buttons, and their functions.

**AC6:** The Graphical User Interference for sending and receiving messages.

**AC7:** The settings available to every user.

## 3.2 Unlikely Changes

**UC1:** Input/Output devices.

**UC2:** The programming languages.

**UC3:** The format of React components.

**UC4:** The goal of the project: send and receive messages from other users.

## 4 Module Hierarchy

This section provides an overview of the module design. Modules are summarized in a hierarchy decomposed by secrets in Table 3. The modules listed below in Table 2, which are leaves in the hierarchy tree, are the modules that will actually be implemented.

Module Name	Module Number
Hardware Hiding Module	M1
Login Module	M2
Sign up Module	M3
Sign in Module	M4
Chat Module	M5
App Module	M6
Index Module	M7

Table 2: Module Number Format

Level 1	Level 2
Hardware-Hiding Module	
	Index Module
	Login Module
Behaviour-Hiding Module	Sign in Module
	Sign up Module
	App Module
Software Decision Module	Chat Module

Table 3: Module Hierarchy

## 5 Connection Between Requirements and Design

The design of the system is intended to satisfy the requirements developed in the SRS. In this stage, the system is decomposed into modules. The connection between requirements and modules is listed in Table 4.

## 6 Module Decomposition

Modules are decomposed according to the principle of “information hiding” proposed by ?. The *Secrets* field in a module decomposition is a brief statement of the design decision hidden by the module. The *Services* field specifies *what* the module will do without documenting *how* to do it. For each module, a suggestion for the implementing software is given under the *Implemented By* title. If the entry is *OS*, this means that the module is provided by the operating system or by standard programming language libraries. Also indicate if the module will be implemented specifically for the software.

Only the leaf modules in the hierarchy have to be implemented. If a dash (–) is shown, this means that the module is not a leaf and will not have to be implemented. Whether or not this module is implemented depends on the programming language selected.

### 6.1 Hardware Hiding Modules M1

**Secrets:** The data structure and algorithm used to implement the virtual hardware.

**Services:** Serves as a virtual hardware used by the rest of the system. This module provides the interface between the hardware and the software. So, the system can use it to display outputs or to accept inputs.

**Implemented By:** OS

### 6.2 Behaviour-Hiding Module

**Secrets:** The contents of the required behaviours.

**Services:** Includes programs that provide externally visible behaviour of the system as specified in the software requirements specification (SRS) documents. This module serves as a communication layer between the hardware-hiding module and the software decision module. The programs in this module will need to change if there are changes in the SRS.

**Implemented By:** –

### 6.2.1 Index Module M7

**Secrets:** Components used for web application.

**Services:** Generates API and element setup required to produce functioning web application.

**Implemented By:** React, HTML, JavaScript, CSS

### 6.2.2 Sign in Module M4

**Secrets:** Sign in implementation.

**Services:** Describes and renders *Sign in* logic and it's handles.

**Implemented By:** React, JavaScript

### 6.2.3 Sign up Module M3

**Secrets:** Sign up implementation.

**Services:** Describes and renders *Sign up* logic and it's handles.

**Implemented By:** React, JavaScript

### 6.2.4 Login Module M2

**Secrets:** Sign in and Sign up implementation.

**Services:** Renders login web page utilizing *Sign in* and *Sign up* modules.

**Implemented By:** React, JavaScript, CSS

## 6.3 Software Decision Module

**Secrets:** The design decision based on mathematical theorems, physical facts, or programming considerations. The secrets of this module are *not* described in the SRS.

**Services:** Includes data structure and algorithms used in the system that do not provide direct interaction with the user.

**Implemented By:** –

### 6.3.1 App Module M6

**Secrets:** Sign in, Sign up, Sign out authentication.

**Services:** Initializes and renders web app components while implementing their logic and handles.

**Implemented By:** React, JavaScript, CSS

### 6.3.2 Chat Module M5

**Secrets:** Input, Output.

**Services:** Converts the input data into output messages sent by the user.

**Implemented By:** React, JavaScript, CSS

## 7 Traceability Matrix

This section shows two traceability matrices: between the modules and the requirements and between the modules and the anticipated changes.

Req.	Modules
R1	M6, M7
R2	M2, M4, M6
R3	M2, M4, M6
R4	M2, M4, M6
R5	M2, M3, M6
R7	M5
R14	M5
R15	M5
R18	M5

Table 4: Trace Between Requirements and Modules

**NOTE: SOME REQUIREMENTS LISTED IN SRS DOCUMENT ARE NO LONGER IN THE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT AND WILL BE UPDATED IN REVISION 1**



AC	Modules
AC1	M2, M3, M4, M5, M6, M7
AC2	M5
AC3	M2
AC4	M7
AC5	M2, M3, M4
AC6	M5
AC7	M5

Table 5: Trace Between Anticipated Changes and Modules

## 8 Use Hierarchy Between Modules

In this section, the uses hierarchy between modules is provided. ? said of two programs A and B that A *uses* B if correct execution of B may be necessary for A to complete the task described in its specification. That is, A *uses* B if there exist situations in which the correct functioning of A depends upon the availability of a correct implementation of B. Figure 1 illustrates the use relation between the modules. It can be seen that the graph is a directed acyclic graph (DAG). Each level of the hierarchy offers a testable and usable subset of the system, and modules in the higher level of the hierarchy are essentially simpler because they use modules from the lower levels.




Figure 1: Use hierarchy among modules