# Section 13: TCP and Distributed Systems

# CS162

# April 20, 2018

# Contents

| 1 | Warmup                  | 2 |
|---|-------------------------|---|
| 2 | Vocabulary              | 3 |
| 3 | Problems                | 4 |
|   | 3.1 TCP                 | 4 |
|   | 3.2 Distributed Systems | 6 |

# 1 Warmup

| a) | (True/False) IPv4 can support up to $2^{64}$ different hosts.   |
|----|---|
|    |   |
| b) | (True/False) Port numbers are in the IP packet.   |
|    |   |
| c) | (True/False) UDP has a built in abstraction for sending packets in an in order fashion.   |
|    |   |
| d) | (True/False) TCP is built in order to provide a reliable and ordered byte stream abstraction to networking.   |
|    |   |
| e) | (True/False) TCP attempts to solve the congestion control problem by adjusting the sending window when packets are dropped.                                   |
|    |   |
| f) | In TCP, how do we achieve logically ordered packets despite the out of order delivery of the physical reality? What field of the TCP packet is used for this? |
|    |   |
| g) | Describe how a client opens a TCP connection with the server. Elaborate on how the sequence number is initially chosen.                                       |
|    |   |
|    |   |
| h) | Describe the semantics of the acknowledgement field and also the window field in a TCP ack.   |
|    |   |
|    |   |
|    |   |

### 2 Vocabulary

- TCP Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) is a common L4 (transport layer) protocol that guarantees reliable in-order delivery. In-order delibery is accomplished through the use of sequence numbers attached to every data packet, and reliable delivery is accomplished through the use of ACKs (acknowledgements).
- Flow Control Flow control is the process of managing the rate of data transmission such that a fast sender doesn't overwhelm a slow receiver. In TCP, flow control is accomplished through the use of a sliding window, where the receiver tells the sender how much space it has left in its receive buffer so that the sender doesn't send too much.
- **RPC** Remote procedure calls (RPCs) are simply cross-machine procedure calls. These are usually implemented through the use of stubs on the client that abstract away the details of the call. From the client, calling an RPC is no different from calling any other procedure. The stub handles the details behind marshalling the arguments to send over the network, and interpreting the response of the server.
- General's Paradox The idea that there is no way to guarantee that two entities do something simultaneously if they can only send messages to each other over an unreliable network. There is no way to be sure that the last message gets through, so one entity can never be sure that the other entity will act at a specific time.

### 3 Problems

#### 3.1 TCP

In order for TCP flow control to work correctly, the receiver advertises a window that the sender is allowed to send in. TCP connections are initiated through a 3-way handshake — the sender sends a SYN packet, the receiver responds with a SYN/ACK packet, and the sender finishes the handshake with an ACK packet. Information about the maximum buffer size for the receiver is transmitted during this handshake. Packets with the ACK flag set contain the sequence number expected in the next packet. For example, if the sender's first data packet (with sequence number 0) contains 200 bytes, the receiver would respond with an ACK packet containing the number 201.

#### Receiver:

- LastByteRcvd LastByteRead  $\leq$  MaxRcvBuffer
- AdvertisedWindow = MaxRcvBuffer (LastByteRcvd LastByteRead)

#### Sender:

• LastByteSent - LastByteAcked  $\leq$  AdvertisedWindow

Consider a connection where the sender S wants to send 700 bytes to the receiver R. We make the following assumptions:

• The maximum packet size is 200 bytes.

a) No packets are lost.

- Maximum size of R's receiving buffer is 300 bytes.
- R consumes an in-sequence packet p right after the ACK for p is sent.
- The time it takes for a packet to travel from S to R is much longer than any processing time on either side.

List the sequence of packets for each situation below; for sent packets, include the start and end bytes, and for received packets, include the ACK number and the advertised window.

| b) The first sent packet is lost.  |
|------------------------------------|
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
| c) The first ack response is lost. |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |
|                                    |

### 3.2 Distributed Systems

| a) |  | sider a distributed key-value store using a directory-based architecture.  What are some advantages and disadvantages to using a recursive query system?  |
|----|--|---|
|    |  |   |
|    | ii)  | What are some advantages and disadvantages to using an iterative query system?  |
|    |  |   |
| b) | is re  | prum consensus: Consider a fault-tolerant distributed key-value store where each piece of data eplicated N times. If we optimistically return from a put() call as soon as we have received nowledgements from W replicas, how many replicas must we wait for a response from in a get() ry in order to guarantee consistency?  |
|    |  |   |
| c) | distraction is such that is s | distributed key-value store, we need some way of hashing our keys in order to roughly evenly ribute them across our servers. A simple way to do this is to assign key $K$ to server $i$ such that hash $(K) \mod N$ , where $N$ is the number of servers we have. However, this scheme runs into an e when $N$ changes — for example, when expanding our cluster or when machines go down. We ld have to re-shuffle all the objects in our system to new servers, flooding all of our servers with assive amount of requests and causing disastrous slowdown. Propose a hashing scheme (just an is fine) that minimizes this problem. |
|    |  |   |
|    |  |   |
|    |  |   |