

CS 240 S2008 Final

1.
 - A) False. MSD radix sort takes $O(mnR)$ time, while LSD radix sort takes $O(m(n+R))$ time, where m is the number of digits of the largest key and R is the radix. If m or R are not constant, the runtime is not $O(n)$.
 - B) False. The LZW dictionary is generated dynamically based on the text, so each code number from different pieces of text can correspond to different sequences of characters.
 - C) False. A Huffman code is only valid if we start at the beginning of a text, or at a break between characters. An arbitrary substring can begin at any character.

2. a) There are $\text{ceil}(\log n)$ bits in n . The algorithm iterates until there is 1 bit, and each recursive call splits the number in half by the number of bits. Hence the runtime is $\Theta(\log n)$.

b) The outer for loop runs n times. The inner for loop runs 3^i times. Hence we have

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{3^i} 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n 3^i = \frac{3}{2}(3^n - 1) \in O(3^n)$$

3. a)

0	9690, 4090, 6070, 1020, 4070
1	
2	4372
3	1983
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	

b)

0	4070
1	1020
2	6070
3	4090
4	4372
5	9690
6	1983
7	
8	
9	

128: an	132: t_	136: _an	140: -e
129: n-	133: _c	137: nt	141: el
130: _a	134: Ca	138: ti	142: le
131: ant	135: an-	139: l-	143: ep
			144: pl

6. BC\$CCCAAB

ACBCCACB\$	\$ACBCCACB
CBCCACB\$A	ACB\$ACBCC
BCCACB\$AC	ACBCCACB\$
CCACB\$ACB	B\$ACBCCAC
CACB\$ACBC	BCCACB\$AC
ACB\$ACBCC	CACB\$ACBC
CB\$ACBCCA	CB\$ACBCCA
B\$ACBCCAC	CBCCACB\$A
\$ACBCCACB	CCACB\$ACB

7. A) Suffix trie (tree?). It takes $O(n|\text{Alphabet}|)$ time to construct the trie, and $O(m)$ time to query. Once the trie is constructed, we can query multiple different keys without needing to reconstruct the structure.

B) B-tree. It supports insertion, deletion, and fast range-searching.

C) Hash-table. It supports fast lookup by key, and can be implemented in a small amount of space since we don't need to support insertion or deletion.

D) Suffix trie (tree?). It takes $O(n|\text{Alphabet}|)$ time to construct the trie, and $O(m)$ time to query. Once the trie is constructed, we can query multiple different keys without needing to reconstruct the structure.

8. Not covered :P

9. a) We traverse the tree. At each node, we first traverse the children in lexicographical order. At each leaf, we print the suffix.

```

lexicographicalOrder(T: suffixTree) {
    if T is a leaf {
        print(T.string)
        return
    }
    for child in T.children { // Assume lexicographical order
        lexicographicalOrder(child)
    }
}

```

- b) We traverse the tree until we reach an internal node with index $\geq \text{length}(P)$. If the substring differs from P by 2 characters or less, we return true. Assume we have a helper function to calculate the number of differing characters in two strings called strDiff

```
matchWithTypo(T: suffixTree, P: string) {  
    if T is a leaf and T.string.length < P {  
        return false  
    }  
    if T.string.length == P {  
        if strDiff(T.string, P) <= 2 {  
            return true  
        }  
        return false  
    } else { // T.string.length < P  
        for child in T.children {  
            if matchWithTypo(child, P) == false {  
                return false  
            }  
        }  
        return true  
    }  
}
```

- c) We find the longest common string by finding the deepest common internal node between the two trees.

```
longestSubstring = ""  
longestCommonString(T1, T2) {  
    if (T1.string != T2.string) {  
        return  
    }  
    if (T1.string.length > longestSubstring.length) {  
        longestSubstring = T1.string  
    }  
    for child in T1.children {  
        if (T2.children.contains(child)) {  
            longestCommonString(child, T2.children.find(child))  
        }  
    }  
}
```

10. Don't we already loop from 1 to m-1, because m-1 is the last index of the array?