



Group 7

(External submission)

Final time: 27.0ms (Place 1)

Presented by John Walbran



Methodology

1. The algorithm first checks whether the length of the list is larger than $10^{(\text{digit_count})}$, and will store the first 99 prime factor sums in a hashtable (which gives constant time membership checks). (If the list is shorter, it skips this step)
2. It then uses streams to sort the list, by creating a long for each number, putting the sum of the prime factors of a number i in the first 32 bits (making the prime factors the first sorting criteria) the last 32 bits are used to store $-i$, which provides the second sorting criteria. The whole list is sorted in reverse, giving the correct sorting order.
3. The `getSumPrimeFactors()` method works by checking odd numbers starting from 3, going up to the $\text{sqrt}(n)$ dividing out that factor as far as possible, guaranteeing that any new factors found are prime. (Then adding the remainder to the sum if there's a significant remainder)
4. The output is printed with leading 0s returned.



A comment on correctness

- There were a couple of concerns about not clearing data between JVM warmup runs. This turned out to not be the case. Given this, there are no serious concerns about correctness.



Runtime Analysis

- The `getSumPrimeFactors()` method runs in $O(\sqrt{n})$ time, regardless of width L . While the overall sorting uses java's built in `sort()` method on a stream of Longs, which is universally $O(n \log n)$
- Combining these would give an expected complexity of $O(n * \sqrt{n} + n \log n)$
 - $n \log n > n * \sqrt{n}$ for $n > 4$, so the $n * \sqrt{n}$ is absorbed, leaving a total time complexity of $O(n \log n)$



Possible further optimizations

- In the `getSumPrimeFactors()` method, instead of looping through all odd numbers starting from 3, you can instead check all numbers equivalent to 1 and 5 mod 6, starting from 5. (After dividing out 2 and 3 specifically), which reduces the number of comparisons in the loop by $\frac{1}{3}$.
- Since there is an intermediary n as the prime factor loop divides out factors, if we store results as we find them, and then check n against the known table, we can further reduce the number of checks needed.
 - For example, if we want `getSumPrimeFactors(56)`, and we know `getSumPrimeFactors(18)`, we can see after dividing out 3, from 56, we have an temporary sum of 3, and we can add 3 to the given sum for 18, and we can update the table, and return more quickly.