

Not applicable.

Not applicable.

In conducting our business, we face many risks that may interfere with our business objectives. Some of our operational risks are internal while others relate to our business environment. It is important to understand the nature of these risks and the impact they may have on our business, financial condition and operating results.

Some of the most relevant risks are summarized below and have been organized into the following categories:

- Risks related to our business and operations;
- Risks related to the gold mining industry;
- Risks related to doing business in South Africa; and
- Risks related to ownership in our ordinary shares or American Depositary Shares, or ADSs.

Changes in the market price for gold, which in the past has fluctuated widely, and exchange rate fluctuations can affect the profitability of the operations and the cash flows generated by those operations.

As the majority of our production costs are in rands, while gold is generally sold in dollars, our been and fluctuations in the value of the rand. Due to the marginal nature of our underground mine any sustained decline in the market price of gold, below the cost of production, could result in the closure of our underground mine which would result in significant costs and expenditure, for example, incurring retrenchment costs and entering into forward contracts to reduce our exposure to market fluctuations in the dollar price of gold. In addition, the Rand is a volatile currency and a decline in the Rand against the dollar could result in a decline in the value of our Rand-denominated assets and liabilities. If the dollar gold price should fall and the regional functional currencies should strengthen against the dollar, resulting in revenue below our cost of production and remain at such levels for any sustained period, we may experience losses and may be forced to curtail or suspend some of our operations. We have a policy of maintaining adequate gold reserves for future exploitation.

Each year): From December 2001, when it reached R13.44 = \$1.00, the rand has appreciated by 43.1% against the dollar to R7.65= \$1.00 at June 30, 2010 (based on closing rates). At September 30, 2010 the Rand traded at R6.98 = \$1.00, an 8.8% strengthening relative to R7.65. At the dollar gold price and a strengthening of the foreign exchange rate of the rand from R13.44 to R6.98 in 2009 and 2010 100% of production was from our South African mines providing significant exposure to the strengthening of the rand and a decrease in profitability. As a result of disposing of our Australasian operations during fiscal 2008, we are more exposed to the rand/dollar exchange rate as all our operations are now located in South Africa. If the rand were to continue to appreciate against the dollar, it would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flow and profitability and this would negatively impact our business and adversely affect our business and result in a financial condition which would be materially weaker. Because we are unable to control which we share the gold we produce, it is possible that significantly higher future inflation in South Africa may result in an increase in our future operational costs in rand, without a concurrent devaluation of the operational costs in rand against the dollar or an increase in the dollar price of gold. This could have a material adverse effect upon our results of operations and our financial condition. Significantly higher and sustained inflation in the future, with a consequent increase in operational costs, could result in operations being discontinued or reduced or rationalized at higher cost mines.

*We have incurred losses in the past and may incur losses in the future.*

We achieved net profits of R203.4 million, R110.7 million and R1.2 billion for fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008. Since 2008, we have primarily disposed of our loss making Australasian operations and refocused on our profitable South African operations, however, we may incur losses in the future. Our profits and cash flows of our operations are directly exposed to the strength of the Rand and higher input costs as we do not hedge. Our underground mine is also regarded as an older, higher cost and lower grade gold producer. In addition, our ability to identify Ore Reserves that have reasonable prospects for economic extraction

~~We may not be able to meet our cash requirements because of a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control. If we are unable to meet our cash requirements, we may be forced to raise additional capital, which may have a material influence on the future viability of our operations.~~

Management's estimates on future cash flows are subject to risks and uncertainties, such as the gold volumes, prices, production costs and economic conditions. If we are unable to meet our cash requirements out of cash flows generated from our operations, we would need to fund our cash requirements from alternative financing and we cannot guarantee that any such financing would be on acceptable terms, or would be permitted under the terms of our existing financing arrangements, or would be available at any terms.

In the absence of sufficient cash flows or adequate financing, our ability to respond to changing business and economic conditions

~~The failure to discover or acquire new Ore Reserves could negatively affect our cash flow, results of operations, financial condition and ability to meet our debt service obligations and fund required capital expenditures.~~

or increased capital requirements may be adversely affected. Our cash flow, results of operations and financial condition are directly related to the success of our exploration and acquisition efforts in the regions in which we operate and any new regions that we identify for future operations. Our Ore Reserves for fiscal 2010 increased by 15% as a result of the higher rand gold price used in the Ore Reserve calculation

together with the expected increase in Crown's deposition capacity as a consequence of the construction of the Crown/Ergo pipeline linking Crown to Ergo's Brakpan deposition site. Our Ore Reserves for fiscal 2009 increased by 16%, primarily due to

the inclusion of the Elsburg tailings owned by ERPM. In fiscal 2008, our Ore Reserves decreased by 7% primarily due to the disposal of our interest in Emperor Mines Limited, or Emperor. Mining higher grade reserves in our underground mine is likely to

be more difficult in the future, due to the age of this mine and safety concerns and could result in increased production costs and reduced profitability. We can make no assurances that any new or ongoing exploration programs will result in new mineral discoveries or that we will successfully integrate them with our existing operations.

producing operations that will sustain or increase our Ore Reserves. A failure to identify or acquire new Ore Reserves could negatively affect our cash flow, results of operations, financial condition and ability to meet our debt service obligations and fund required capital expenditures. From time to time, we consider the acquisition of mining assets including ore reserves, development of properties, operating mines or sufficient quantities to maintain or grow the current level of our reserves will negatively affect our future cash flow, results of operations and financial condition. Our expansion through acquisitions of new gold mining operations involves a number of risks including:

- implementing uniform standards, controls, procedures and policies at the acquired business;
- assimilating the operations of an acquired business in a timely and efficient manner;
- unifying our periodic and year-end financial audit processes;
- increasing pressures on existing management to oversee an expanding company;
- to the extent that we make an acquisition outside of markets in which we have previously operated, conducting business in a new operating environment;
- the market for acquisitions is competitive and we may not always be successful in identifying and purchasing assets;
- the ability to conduct a comprehensive due diligence analysis could be restricted due to unavailability of data; or
- the need to use a combination of historical and projected data in order to evaluate the financial and operational performance of target assets. These analyses are based on a variety of factors including historical operating results, estimates of and assumptions about future reserves, cash and other operating costs, metal prices and projected economic returns and evaluations of existing or potential liabilities associated with the property and its operations. Other than the ability to make suitable acquisitions at an appropriate price could adversely affect our ongoing operations, particularly if the Rand strengthens against the Dollar.
- and we may experience difficulty in negotiating acceptable terms with the seller of the business to be acquired, which could result in an incorrect evaluation of the quality of the assets to be acquired;

- we may not be able to obtain the financing necessary to complete future acquisitions;
- we may not be able to obtain necessary approvals from regulatory authorities;
- acquisitions financed through the issue of shares may result in a dilution in the value of our shares if the value of the shares realized; and
- we could experience financial loss through costs incurred in evaluating and pursuing failed acquisitions or overpaying for acquisitions.

Any problems experienced in achieving successful integration or in connection with an acquisition as of these results of operations have no adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

We may need to improve our internal controls over financial reporting and our independent auditors attest to their effectiveness because of inherent limitations.

We have evaluated our internal controls over financial reporting for the current fiscal period so to the effectiveness of these controls, as required by Section 404 of the United States Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Management has determined that these controls are effective for the 2010 and 2009 fiscal years respectively and did not identify any material weaknesses within our internal controls surrounding the financial reporting process. These internal controls over financial reporting may not be sufficient to prevent significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in the future, and we may also identify other conditions based on our results of a comprehensive internal control assessment. In this event, we could experience a negative reaction in the financial markets and could have a negative significant impact on the company's ability to attract new production costs. Production costs are affected by, among other things: controls and procedures, see Item 15: "Controls and Procedures."

- labor stability, lack of productivity and increases in labor costs;
- increases in crude oil, steel, electricity and water prices;
- unforeseen changes in ore grades and recoveries;
- unexpected changes in the quality or quantity of reserves;
- unstable or unexpected ground conditions and seismic activity;
- technical production issues;
- environmental and industrial accidents;
- gold theft;
- environmental factors; and
- pollution.

[illegible]

Our initiatives to reduce costs, such as reducing our labor force, negotiating lower price increases for our suppliers and other cost-cutting measures, may not be sufficient to offset the increases imposed on our operations and could negatively affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

***Our operations are subject to extensive environmental regulations which could impose significant costs and liabilities.***

Our operations are subject to increasingly extensive laws and regulations governing the protection of the environment, including local laws, which regulate air and water quality, hazardous waste management and environmental rehabilitation and reclamation. Our mining and related activities impact the environment, including land, habitat, streams and environment near the mining sites. Delays in obtaining, or failures to obtain government permits and approvals may adversely impact our operations and profitability.

Our operations are subject to the provisions of the Environmental Protection Act, 1992, which require us to provide for the rehabilitation, reclamation and closure of our mining operations. We have a provision for the Rehabilitation, Reclamation and Closure cost provision at R420.6 million in our consolidated financial statements to achieve compliance, therefore having a material adverse effect on our profitability.

Our operations are subject to the provisions of the Environmental Protection Act, 1992, which require us to provide for the rehabilitation, reclamation and closure of our mining operations. We have a provision for the Rehabilitation, Reclamation and Closure cost provision at R420.6 million in our consolidated financial statements to achieve compliance, therefore having a material adverse effect on our profitability. statement of financial position as at June 30, 2010. However, the ultimate amount of rehabilitation costs may in the future exceed the current estimates due to influences beyond our control, such as changing legislation, higher than expected cost increases, or unidentified rehabilitation costs. The closure of mining operations, without sufficient financial provision for the funding of rehabilitation liabilities, or unacceptable damage to the environment, including pollution or environmental degradation, may expose us to significant liabilities.

We run the inherent risk that seismic activity and/or other natural disasters could cripple our operations and our business, operating results and financial condition. For example, on May 29, 2009, the Blyvoor operations suffered the effects of a seismic event which knocked out a number of its high grade panels in the 38/29 section at No. 5 Shaft. This resulted in gold production being approximately 151kg lower than expected for the four month period ended October 31, 2009. (this excludes the impact of the strike action by our NUM employees during the months of September and October 2009).

Flooding of underground mining areas is an inherent risk at our underground operations. If the rate of rise of water in underground mining areas could potentially rise to the surface or decant into surrounding areas or natural underground water sources. Due to the withdrawal of government pumping subsidies at Durban Deep and West Wits, we have ceased active pumping of underground water at these mines. We also stopped pumping of underground water at our ERPM underground operation on August 20, 2009. Progressive flooding where these operations are located could eventually cause the probable rate of rise of water in those mines are contradictory and lack scientific basis. In the event that underground water rises to the surface, we may face claims relating to environmental damage as a result of pollution of ground water, streams and wetlands. These claims may have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

***Flooding at our operations may cause us to incur liabilities for environmental damage.***

Our operations are subject to the provisions of the Environmental Protection Act, 1992, which require us to provide for the rehabilitation, reclamation and closure of our mining operations. We have a provision for the Rehabilitation, Reclamation and Closure cost provision at R420.6 million in our consolidated financial statements to achieve compliance, therefore having a material adverse effect on our profitability.

Our operations are subject to the provisions of the Environmental Protection Act, 1992, which require us to provide for the rehabilitation, reclamation and closure of our mining operations. We have a provision for the Rehabilitation, Reclamation and Closure cost provision at R420.6 million in our consolidated financial statements to achieve compliance, therefore having a material adverse effect on our profitability. statement of financial position as at June 30, 2010. However, the ultimate amount of rehabilitation costs may in the future exceed the current estimates due to influences beyond our control, such as changing legislation, higher than expected cost increases, or unidentified rehabilitation costs. The closure of mining operations, without sufficient financial provision for the funding of rehabilitation liabilities, or unacceptable damage to the environment, including pollution or environmental degradation, may expose us to significant liabilities.

***Flooding at our operations may cause us to incur liabilities for environmental damage.***

Some of our assets, especially at our underground mine, are mature assets, which we acquired after the takeover of the mine by AngloGold Ashanti. The ageing infrastructure and installations typical of these operations may increase our capital expenditure and accordingly has become obsolete compared to the technology used in the mining industry, which increases our operational costs. In addition, the maintenance of these installations, costly.

Due to the nature of the business and because our marginal underground mine predominantly comprises infrastructure, we inherently run the risk of exposure to greater health, safety and environmental liabilities which we closely monitor, but are unable to fully mitigate.

Our ageing tailings facilities at Crown are exposed to numerous risks and events, the occurrence of failure or breach of such facilities. These may include sabotage, failure to adhere to the codes of practice and natural disasters such as excessive rainfall and seismicity. In the event that we are limited on how much treated ore, sand or slime we can deposit at Crown's deposition sites and deposition capacity created by the Ergo/Crown pipeline project, we could be forced to stop or limit operations, the dams could overflow and the health and safety of our employees and communities living around these dams could be jeopardised. Ergo comprises ErgoGold and Ergo Mining (Pty) Limited, or the Ergo JV, also has tailings exposed to the same risks as described above, but as at September 30, 2016 these tailings facilities had a different capacity, effect on our business and financial condition.

**Due to the nature of our business, our Company faces extensive health and safety risks.**

The success of our business will depend, in large part, upon the skills and efforts of a small group of technical management personnel, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer. In addition, we compete with mining and operating companies for experienced personnel, and the loss of key personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business.

In addition, we may require additional highly qualified personnel include our ability to provide these individuals with competitive compensation arrangements, equity participation and other benefits. If we are not successful in retaining or attracting highly qualified individuals in key management positions, our business may be harmed. We do not maintain "key man" life insurance policies on any members of our senior management.

**The Crown/Ergo pipeline is a start-up project and forecasts may not be achieved.**

The completion of the Crown/Ergo pipeline project commenced during June 2010 and is scheduled for August 2011. The project involves the construction of a new pipeline from Crown's Brakpan deposition site, allowing Crown to restore its maximum deposition capacity to 600 000tpm. Crown's deposition capacity was reduced to 400 000tpm in fiscal 2009 due to capacity constraints at its deposition site. Restored deposition capacity will allow Crown to account for new Ore Reserves on the Western and Central Witwatersrand, thus increasing production and extending its life-of-mine. The Crown/Ergo pipeline project is exposed to numerous risks associated with similar projects, including delays in completion, total abandonment of the project or lower production than forecasted which could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. As at September 30, 2010, the project was on schedule.

**Potential provisional judicial management order application to the High Court of South Africa for Blyvoor.**

On November 10, 2009, the High Court of South Africa granted a provisional judicial management order over Blyvoor operation. The provisional judicial management order was granted in terms of the provisions of Section 427 of the South African Companies Act. Subsequently, on April 13, 2010 application to the High Court of South Africa had been made to lift the provisional judicial management order and the application was granted. In fiscal 2009, Blyvoor accounted for 129,473 ounces of gold, or 52% of our total production and in fiscal 2010 it accounted for 106,452 ounces or 44% of our total production. Under the terms of a provisional judicial management order, the court appoints a judicial manager who has a wide range of powers at his disposal to take such actions he deems necessary to save the business. These could include giving certain creditors temporary preference over others and agreeing compromises with creditors without the risk of committing an act of insolvency and thereby exposing the mine to liquidation. Should circumstances occur in the future that impact on the sustainability of Blyvoor, then management may once again apply for a provisional judicial management order or take other measures that they consider appropriate to protect the business. If these circumstances occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations would be materially, adversely affected by the sale of gold from world-wide production and scrap sales, and the purchase, sale or lease of their gold holdings;

**Risks Related to the gold mining industry**

**Changes in the price of gold, which in the past has fluctuated widely, is beyond our control.**

Historically, the gold price has fluctuated widely and is affected by numerous industry factors, over which we have little or no control. The price of gold is a significant component of our business. If these circumstances occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations would be materially, adversely affected by the sale of gold from world-wide production and scrap sales, and the purchase, sale or lease of their gold holdings;

- the demand for gold for investment purposes, industrial and commercial use, and in the manufacturing and jewelry activities in gold;
- the overall level of forward sales by other gold producers;
- the overall level and cost of production of other gold producers;
- international or regional political and economic events or trends;
- the strength of the dollar (the currency in which gold prices generally are quoted) and of other currencies;
- market expectations regarding the rate of inflation;
- interest rates;
- gold hedging and de-hedging by gold producers; and
- actual or expected gold sales by central banks and the International Monetary Fund.

Our Company's profitability may be negatively impacted if revenue from gold sales drops below the extended period of production for

**Current economic conditions may adversely affect the profitability of the Group's operations.**

The global economy is currently undergoing a period of prolonged recession and, despite recent signs of stabilization, it is likely to be less favorable than that of recent years. Since September 2008, the global financial system has experienced difficult credit and liquidity conditions and disruptions resulting in major financial institutions consolidating or going out of business, tightened credit markets, reduced liquidity, and extreme volatility in fixed income, credit, currency and equity markets. These conditions may adversely affect the Group's business. For example, tightening credit conditions may make it more difficult for the Group to obtain financing on commercially acceptable terms or make it more likely that one or more of our key suppliers may become insolvent and lead to a supply chain breakdown. In addition, general economic indicators have deteriorated, including declining consumer sentiment, increased unemployment, declining economic growth and uncertainty regarding corporate earnings. We must continually evaluate our business and its performance in the current environment and may be required to suspend operations or take other actions to preserve liquidity. We have not yet decided whether to suspend operations or take other actions to preserve liquidity. We have not yet decided whether to suspend operations or take other actions to preserve liquidity.

The exploration of mineral properties is highly speculative in nature, involves substantial expenditures, and is frequently unproductive. Exploration is highly speculative in nature and requires substantial expenditure for drilling, sampling and analysis of ore bodies in order to quantify the extent of the gold reserve. Many exploration programs, including some of ours, do not result in the discovery of mineralization and any mineralization discovered may not be of sufficient quantity or quality to be mined profitably. If we discover a viable deposit, it usually takes several years from the initial phases of exploration until production is possible.

During this time, the economic feasibility of production may change. Moreover, we rely on the professional geologists, geophysicists, and engineers for estimates in determining whether to commence or continue mining. These estimates generally rely on scientific and economic assumptions, which in some instances may not be correct, and could result in the expenditure of substantial amounts of money on a deposit before it can be determined with any degree of accuracy whether or not the deposit contains economically recoverable mineralization. Uncertainties as to the metallurgical recovery of any gold discovered may not warrant mining on the basis of available technology. As a result of these uncertainties, we may not successfully acquire additional mineral rights, or identify new Proven and Probable Ore Reserves in sufficient quantities to justify commercial operations in any of our properties. Our mineral exploration rights may also not contain commercially exploitable gold.

Our Ore Reserve figures described in this document are the best estimates of our current management reserves of gold. The costs incurred on unsuccessful exploration activities are, as a result, not likely to be recovered and we could incur a write-down on our investment in that interest or the irrecoverable loss of funds spent. As at September 30, 2016, the Group has broadened its exploration activities to information that differs from the previously predicted by past drilling, and the sampling estimates may have to be adjusted and mining plans may have to be altered in a way that might ultimately cause our results of operations and financial condition to decline. Moreover, if the price of gold declines, or stabilizes at a price that is lower than recent levels, or if our production costs, and in particular our labor, water, steel and electricity costs, increase or recovery rates decrease, it may become uneconomical to recover Ore Reserves containing relatively lower grades of mineralization. Under these circumstances, we would be required to re-evaluate our Ore Reserves. Short-term operating factors relating to the Ore Reserves, such as the need for sequential development of ore bodies and the processing of new or different grades, may increase our production costs and decrease our profitability during any given period. These factors have and could result in reductions in the business of gold mining takes place in underground mines, open pit mines and surface operations. Such changes and fluctuations in costs and the fair value of the Group's operating results and financial position, injury to, employees, the loss of mining equipment, damage to or destruction of mineral properties or production facilities, monetary losses, delays in production, environmental damage, loss of the license to mine and potential legal hazards and pollution, including the discharge of gases, toxic chemicals, claims, and risks and hazards associated with the business of gold mining include, but are not limited to:

- seismic activity which could lead to rock bursts, cave-ins, pit slope failures or, in the event of a significant event, total closure of an entire underground mine;
- unexpected geological formations which reduce or prevent mining from taking place;
- flooding, landslides, sinkhole formation, ground subsidence, ground and surface water pollution, and contamination;
- underground fires and explosions, including those caused by flammable gas;
- accidents caused from and related to drilling, blasting, removing, transporting and processing material, and the collapse of dams; and
- a decrease in labor productivity due to labor disruptions, work stoppages, disease, slowdowns or labor strikes.

In addition, deep level underground mines in South Africa, as compared to other gold mining operations. These risks and hazards include underground fires, encountering unexpected geological formations, unanticipated ground and water conditions, fall-of-ground accidents and seismic activity. The level of seismic activity in a deep level gold mine varies based on the rock formation and geological structures in the mine. The occurrence of any of these hazards could delay production, increase production costs and may result in significant legal claims.

### Risks related to doing business in South Africa

*Political or economic instability in South Africa may reduce our production and profitability.*

We are incorporated under South African law and own operations in South Africa. As a result, financial and economic risks could reduce our profitability. Large parts of the South African population are unemployed and do not have access to adequate education, health care, housing and other services, including water and electricity. Government policies aimed at alleviating and redressing the disadvantages suffered by the majority of citizens under previous governments may increase our costs and reduce our profitability. In recent years, South Africa has experienced high levels of crime. These problems have impeded investment in the South African economy has been growing at a relatively slow rate, inflation and investment in infrastructure and have prompted emigration of skilled workers. As a result, we may have difficulty attracting and retaining skilled personnel, and foreign currency reserves have been low relative to other emerging market economies.

In the mid-1980s and early 1990s, inflation in South Africa reached highs of 20.6%. This increase in inflation resulted in considerable year on year increases in operational costs. The inflation rate in South Africa still remains relatively high compared to first world countries, as of June 2010, the Consumer Price Inflation Index, or CPI, stood at 4.2%, down from 6.9% in June 2009, and 12.2% in June 2008. The relatively high inflation rate continued at 3.5% as at September 30, 2010. Continuing high levels of inflation in South Africa for prolonged periods, without a concurrent devaluation of the rand or increase in the price of gold, could stoppage or increases in the cost of power could negatively affect our results and financial condition.

Our mining operations are dependent on electrical power supplied by Eskom, South Africa's state owned utility company. Eskom's production of electricity is measured by expanding the range of consumer goods used and changing the benchmark measure from CPI to CPI minus. A substantial increase in demand and insufficient generating capacity, Eskom has warned that it will face mortgage costs) to CPI. Mortgage costs have been replaced by owners' equivalent rental (OER) to capture housing and business rental prices. The available generating capacity of electricity was constrained by Eskom's OER. The closest measure to CPI is CPI minus OER.

Unplanned maintenance at some of Eskom's power stations, insufficient supply of coal to the coal fired plants and skills shortages. On January 25, 2008, Eskom announced that they could no longer guarantee the supply of electricity to the South African mining industry. Eskom subsequently cut off power supply to the mining industry for five days in fiscal 2008 and a number of power outages followed over several months thereafter. Eskom did manage to contain electricity stoppages but the fact remains that the country's current reserve capacity is insufficient and the risk of electricity stoppages is expected to continue through 2013. Apart from the five-day closure, our production has not been affected, however further power supply stoppages or power cost increases could have an adverse effect on our operating performance and profitability.

From July 1, 2009, Eskom's average tariff increased by 31.3% and has adversely affected our operating performance and profitability. The presence of HIV/AIDS, represents a very serious health care challenge in the mining industry. Human planned infrastructure development, and have imposed the following average tariff increase of approximately 30% - 35% of the mining industry workforce in South Africa is HIV infected. Since June 1, 2011 an increase of 25.8% and from June 1, 2012 an increase of 25.9%.

The increase in our production costs particularly at our Blyvoor operation and could have a material adverse effect on our production costs within South Africa is infected with HIV/AIDS is unknown at this stage. The potential occupational health diseases and the potential liabilities related to occupational health and safety issues brought about by the results of our operations and our financial condition.

The primary area of focus in respect of occupational health within our operations is noise-induced occupational hearing loss (NHL), (OLD) and tuberculosis (TB). We provide occupational health services to our employees at our occupational health centers and continue to improve preventive occupational hygiene initiatives. If the costs associated with providing such occupational health services increase, such increase could have an adverse effect on the results of our operations and our financial condition.



**Increased theft at our work sites, particularly of copper, may result in greater risks to employees production interruptions in**

Crime statistics available in South Africa indicate an increase in theft. This together with price commodity increases has led to an increased theft of copper cable. All of our operations experience high incidents of copper cable theft despite the implementation of security measures. In addition to the general risk to employees in an area where theft occurs, we may suffer possible scarcity of water may negatively affect our results and financial condition by cable theft.

National studies conducted by the Water Research Commission, released during September 2009, found were that water has been estimated in 1995 which may lead to the revision of water usage strategies by several sectors in the South African economy, including electricity generation and municipalities. This may result in rationing or increased water costs in the future. Such changes would adversely impact all of our operations, which require water to operate. In particular our surface retreatment operations, which use water to transport the slimes or sand from reclaimed areas to the processing plant and to the tailings facilities, would be adversely impacted. In addition, as our gold plants and piping infrastructure were designed for a minimum throughput, any reductions in the volumes of available water may require us to halt production at these operations.

**Government Regulation**  
currently considering a project which envisages the pumping of underground water at ERPM for use by our surface operations. The mining industry in South Africa is extensively regulated through legislation and regulations issued by the Department of Mineral Resources. These involve directives in respect of health and safety, the mining and exploration of minerals, and managing the impact of mining operations on the environment. A variety of permits and authorities are required to mine lawfully, and the government enforces its regulations through the various government departments. The formulation or implementation of

**The Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002** including changes in laws relating to mineral rights and ownership of mining rights. In May 2004, the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, came into effect which places in minerals, or the "old order rights," are to be converted to "new order rights," essentially the right to mine. The MPRD Act allows the existing holders of mineral rights a period of five years to apply for the conversion of used old order rights, and one year for the conversion of unused old order rights. Once these periods have lapsed, the holders may have to compete to acquire the right to mine minerals previously held under old order rights. We have submitted the respective applications in order to comply with the requirements of the Mining

Charter. The new order rights may be reduced by the State if it finds that the prospecting or mining rights are not being used or are being used in a manner that is not in the public interest. If enforceable, the level of compensation we will receive, if any. Factors that are considered in determining compensation include the nature of the property, current use of the property and the nature of the loss. In the case of prospecting rights, up to five years with one renewal of up to three years. In addition, the new order rights will only be transferable subject to the approval of the Minister of Mineral Resources (formerly Minister of Minerals and Energy).

Implementation of the MPRD Act will result in significant changes to our property ownership. We have not yet converted all of our old order rights, however, to the extent that we are unable to convert, we may be required to apply for new order rights. The new rights can be converted to new order rights, and that the exclusive rights to minerals we enjoyed under the previous system of mining rights are being replaced by a new system of mining rights. The implementation of the MPRD Act may result in significant adjustments to our property ownership structure, which may have a

material adverse effect on the underlying value of our operations. West Wits and West Witwatersrand Consolidated Mine's application for conversion has been approved. Benoni and ERPM's applications for conversion have received preliminary approval but are still awaiting dates of execution from the Department of Mineral Resources. As at September 30, 2010 the remainder of our old order mining rights is yet to be converted into new order mining rights. The MPRD Act states that the conversions must be granted by the minister if all requirements are completed but it does not stipulate any time frame. The MPRD Act also provides for

holders of old order rights to continue to operate under the terms and conditions of such rights until conversions under the MPRD Act have been completed.

### Taxation reform and mining royalties

[illegible]

In recent years, labor laws in South Africa have changed in ways that significantly affect our business. These changes include, among other things, the requirement that employers must provide compensation in the event of termination of employment for operational reasons and that impose large monetary penalties for non-compliance with the administrative and reporting requirements of affirmative action policies could result in significant costs to us. In addition, future South African legislation and regulations relating to labor may further increase our costs or alter our labor relations. These changes could have an adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

We may experience labor unrest at our operations. In particular, during October and November 2002, some labor unions experienced which several striking contract workers were wounded and two workers were killed by employees of a private security company. Furthermore, during fiscal 2008, South Africa fell victim to a slew of xenophobic attacks when a series of riots started in the township of Alexandra. This violence of locals attacking migrants from other African countries had a direct impact on our operations at ERPM. Three employees died and attendance was down at the operation for several days. Although these attacks have been contained, the challenge for the South African Government is to come up with a long-term and judicious immigration policy.

**Our financial flexibility could be materially constrained by South African currency restrictions.**

South African law provides for exchange control regulations, which restrict the export of capital from South Africa. The Exchange Control Department of the South African Reserve Bank, or SARB, is responsible for the administration of exchange control regulations. In particular, South African companies:

- are generally not permitted to export capital from South Africa or to hold foreign currency without the approval of SARB;
- are required to repatriate, to South Africa, profits of foreign operations; and
- are limited in their ability to utilize profits of one foreign business to finance operations of a different foreign business.

While the South African Government has relaxed exchange controls in recent years, it is difficult to predict when it will relax or abolish exchange control measures in the future. For further information see Item 10D.: "Exchange Controls."

#### Risks related to ownership of our ordinary shares or ADSs

**Your ability to sell a substantial number of ordinary shares may be restricted by the limited liquidity of the JSE, or JSE.**

In July 2006, we delisted from the Australian Stock Exchange and currently our primary listing for our ordinary shares is the Nasdaq Capital Market, or Nasdaq. On a historical basis, the trading volumes and liquidity of shares listed on the JSE have been low in comparison with the Nasdaq. For the 12 months ended June 30, 2010, only 25% of the ordinary shares publicly traded were traded on the JSE. The limited liquidity of the ordinary shares listed on the JSE could limit our ability to sell our ADSs on the JSE, which could have a negative effect on the market price of our securities.

The market price of our ordinary shares or ADSs could fall if substantial amounts of ordinary shares are sold in the marketplace that such sales could occur. Current holders of our ordinary shares or ADSs may decide to sell them at any time. Sales of our ordinary shares or ADSs, if substantial, or the perception that these sales may occur to be substantial, could exert downward pressure on the prevailing market prices for our ordinary shares or ADSs, causing our rights as a public limited liability company incorporated under the laws of the Republic of South Africa to be materially and adversely affected.

Our Company is a public limited liability company incorporated under the laws of the Republic of South Africa, and therefore many of the rights of our ADS holders, are governed by our memorandum and articles of association and by South African law. These rights differ in material respects from the rights of shareholders in companies incorporated elsewhere, such as in the United States. In particular, South African law significantly limits the circumstances under which shareholders of South African companies may institute litigation on behalf of a company.

We may be subject to an increase in compliance costs with our continued efforts to increase the reporting requirements and changing corporate governance initiatives.

As a result of our listings on the Nasdaq Capital Market and JSE, we are required to comply with new reporting requirements that have over recent years emphasized an increase in the transparency of public disclosure. The associated regulatory standards set forth by the exchanges' governing bodies may change over time and may be subject to interpretation. As a result we may not execute the application of these standards properly and will congruently experience an increase in the cost of our compliance efforts. For example, management's required assessment of our internal controls over the financial reporting process stipulated by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 commands the need for resources from management in

addition, to our external auditors who are required to attest to our internal control over financial reporting. It may not be possible for you to effect service of legal process, enforce judgments of courts or arbitral tribunals, or enforce judgments of courts or arbitral tribunals in South Africa against us or against our directors or executive officers. The laws of jurisdictions other than South Africa may not be as strict as the standards of corporate governance and public disclosure are highly prioritized in our organization and with our efforts to comply with these laws differently effective than any of future legislative introductions or changes. We will add if our cash producing assets are located outside the United States and a major portion of the assets of our board of directors and executive officers are either wholly or substantially located outside the United States. As a result, it may not be possible for you to effect service of legal process, within the United States or elsewhere outside South Africa, upon most of our directors or executive officers. We are not a public company and our members are not subject to the provisions of the securities laws of those countries, including those of the United States. A foreign judgment is not directly enforceable in South Africa.

Africa, but no restrictions on questions of fact. The judgment had been pronounced by South African courts in the usual proceedings, that the South African court had with reference to the jurisdiction of foreign courts;

- the judgment is final and conclusive (that is, it cannot be altered by the court which pronounced it);
- the judgment has not lapsed;
- the recognition and enforcement of the judgment by South African courts would not be contrary to public policy or principles of natural justice which require that no award is enforceable unless the defendant was duly served with documents initiating proceedings, that he was given a fair opportunity to be heard and that he enjoyed judgment was not obtained by fraudulent means;
- locally judgment does not contravene the enforcement of all parties to a revenue law; and
- the enforcement of the judgment is not otherwise precluded by the provisions of the Protection of Business Act, 1938 of South Africa.

It is the policy of South African courts to award compensation for the loss or damage actually  
 whom the defendant has caused. Although the award of punitive damages is generally unknown to the South  
 African legal system  
 that does not mean that such awards are necessarily contrary to public policy. Whether a judgment was  
 contrary to public policy  
 depends on the facts of each case. Exorbitant, unconscionable, or excessive awards will generally be  
 contrary to public policy. South  
 African courts cannot enter into the merits of a foreign judgment and cannot act as a court of appeal or  
 review over the foreign court.  
 South African courts will usually implement their own procedural laws and, where an action based on an  
 international contract is  
 brought before a South African court, the capacity of the parties to the contract will usually be determined  
 in accordance with South  
 African law. It is doubtful whether an original action based on United States federal securities laws may be  
 brought before South  
 African courts. A plaintiff who is not resident in South Africa may be required to provide security for  
 costs in the event of  
 proceedings being initiated in South Africa. Furthermore, the Rules of the High Court of South Africa  
 require that documents  
 executed outside South Africa must be authenticated for the purpose of use in South African courts. It is  
 not possible therefore for an  
 investor to seek to impose criminal liability on us in a South African court arising from a violation of  
 United States federal  
 securities laws.

17