

D. Risk Factors

You should carefully consider the following risk factors, which should be read in conjunction with all the other information presented in this Annual Report. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones that we face. Additional risks and uncertainties that we do not know about or that we currently think are immaterial may also impair our business operations. Any of the following risks, if they actually occur, could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations, prospects and financial condition. The following risk factors have been grouped as follows:

- (a) Risk Factors in respect of Santander-Chile;
- (b) Risk Factors in respect of Chile;
- (c) Risk Factors in respect of our Controlling Shareholder and our ADSs; and
- (d) General Risk Factors.

The risk factors in respect of Santander-Chile are presented in the following subcategories depending on their nature:

- (a) Macro-economic Risks;
- (b) Competitive Risks;
- (c) Operational Risks;
- (d) Financial Risks; and
- (e) Legal and Regulatory Risks.

Summary of Key Risks

Our business is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, discussed in more detail below. These risks include, among others, the following key risks:

- The growth rate of our loan portfolio may be affected by economic turmoil, which could also lead to a contraction in our loan portfolio.
- Our operations and results have been negatively impacted by the coronavirus outbreak.
- We are vulnerable to disruptions and volatility in the global financial markets.
- Our operations and results may be negatively affected by earthquakes due to the location of Chile in a highly seismic area.
- Climate change can create transition risks, physical risks, and other risks that could adversely affect us.
- Increased competition, including from non-traditional providers of banking services such as financial technology providers, and industry consolidation may adversely affect our results of operations.
- Our ability to maintain our competitive position depends, in part, on the success of new products and services we offer our clients.
- The growth of our loan portfolio may expose us to increased loan losses. Our exposure to individuals and small and mid-sized businesses could lead to higher levels of past due loans, allowances for loan losses and charge-offs.
- Failure to successfully implement and continue to improve our risk management policies, procedures and methods, including our credit risk management system, could materially and adversely affect us, and we may be exposed to unidentified or unanticipated risks.

- We rely on models for many of our decisions. Their inaccurate or incorrect use could have a material adverse effect on us.
- Our loan and investment portfolios are subject to risk of prepayment, which could have a material adverse effect on us.
- Risks relating to cybersecurity, data collection, processing and storage systems and security are inherent in our business.
- Disclosure controls and procedures over financial reporting may not prevent or detect all errors or acts of fraud.
- We may not effectively manage risks associated with the replacement of benchmark indices.
- Market conditions have resulted, and could result, in material changes to the estimated fair values of our financial assets. Negative fair value adjustments could have a material adverse effect on our operating results, financial condition and prospects.
- Our financial results are constantly exposed to market risk. We are subject to fluctuations in inflation, interest rates and other market risks, which may materially and adversely affect us and our profitability.
- We are subject to counterparty risk in our banking business.
- Liquidity and funding risks are inherent in our business and could have a material adverse effect on our results, our costs of funds and our credit ratings.
- We are subject to regulatory capital and liquidity requirements that could limit our operations, and changes to these requirements may further limit and adversely affect our operating results, financial condition and prospects.
- We are subject to regulatory risk, or the risk of not being able to meet all of the applicable regulatory requirements and guidelines.
- Changes to the pension fund system may affect the funding mix of the Bank.
- We may not be able to detect or prevent money laundering and other financial crime activities fully or on a timely basis, which could expose us to additional liability and could have a material adverse effect on us.
- We are exposed to risk of loss from legal and regulatory proceedings.
- Political, legal, regulatory and economic uncertainty arising from social unrest and the resulting social reforms, as well as the referendum on Chile's constitution could adversely impact the Bank's business.
- Our growth, asset quality and profitability may be adversely affected by macroeconomic and political conditions in Chile.
- Currency fluctuations could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations and the value of our securities.
- Our controlling shareholder has a great deal of influence over our business and its interests could conflict with yours.
- Our status as a controlled company and a foreign private issuer exempts us from certain of the corporate governance standards of the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE"), limiting the protections afforded to investors.
- As a holder of ADSs you will have different shareholders' rights than in the United States and certain other jurisdictions.
- Holders of ADSs may find it difficult to exercise voting rights at our shareholders' meetings.

RISK FACTORS IN RESPECT OF SANTANDER-CHILE

Macro-Economic Risks

The global COVID-19 pandemic has materially impacted our business, and the continuance of this pandemic or any future outbreak of any other highly contagious diseases or other public health emergency, could materially and adversely impact our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations.

Since December 2019, different variants of coronavirus (COVID-19) have spread around the world, including Chile. On March 18, 2020, the Chilean government declared a state of emergency and on March 19, 2020, the government ordered the suspension of all non-essential activities and a mandatory quarantine in neighborhoods with a high concentration of cases. Since that date different areas of Chile have come in and out of different levels of quarantine. These measures and similar measures have caused significant disruption of regional and global economic activity. These quarantines led to the closure of approximately 20% of our branches at the peak of the pandemic. During the pandemic we have continued to conduct strict sanitary protocols and restrictions on the number of customers and personnel that can be in any individual branch at a time.

The process to vaccinate the Chilean population began in February 2021, and as of January 6, 2022, over 14.1 million people in Chile over 18 years old were already fully vaccinated, representing 92.3% of the target population. The Chilean Ministry of Health defines “target population” as (1) critical population (i.e. individuals exposed to infection due to their work or functions); (2) healthy population (i.e. individuals between the ages of 18 and 59); and (3) population at risk (i.e. individuals with an increased risk of experiencing grave morbidity, sequels or death due to COVID-19 by reason of age or pre-existing conditions). The Chilean government has also begun vaccinating children under 18 years and is currently rolling out booster shots. Moreover, the Public Health Institute (*Instituto de Salud Pública*) granted the necessary emergency approval for the vaccination of children between 6 and 12 years on September 6, 2021, which was later extended to children up to three years old in December 2021. As of January 6, 2022, 3.8 million children between the ages of 3 and 17 have received vaccines with over 80% and 68% of this population having received the first dose and the second dose, respectively. Furthermore, over 11.5 million booster shots have been applied to the population over 12 years. However, no assurances can be made as to whether the vaccination process will continue at the same rate or as to the effects it may have on the health of the Chilean population and the Chilean economy.

Chile has also begun opening its borders, enabling foreigners that have already been vaccinated to enter the country. The vaccination program has contributed to the slowing down of the spread of COVID-19 and has enabled the Chilean economy to begin recovery. However, as new variants of the COVID-19 virus spread throughout the world, the long-term ramifications of the COVID-19 pandemic are highly uncertain, and it is hard to predict the duration of the pandemic and its effects on the global and Chilean economy and on our business.

The Chilean government also rolled out a series of measures to increase liquidity for households, including an Emergency Plan for the Protection of Family Income, and Economic and Employment Reactivation (*Plan de Emergencia por la Protección de los ingresos de las Familias y la Reactivación Económica y del Empleo*) that is available for 90% of Chilean households, benefiting around 14.8 million people as of May 2021. These households received a monthly income for the months June, July, and August 2021, amounting to a total estimated government expense of US\$8.7 billion, and then a further 50% of this expense for September and then the full amount for the October and November 2021. During 2021, approximately US\$24,000 million was disbursed under this program. No assurances can be made as to whether this program (or similar programs) will continue on an ongoing basis.

In 2020, GDP fell 5.8% with an unemployment rate of 10.2% as of December 2020 as a result of the pandemic and subsequent lockdowns. However, improvements in terms of trade and better economic activity have led to the economy quickly recovering and the GDP is expected to have grown 12% in 2021. For 2022, GDP is expected to grow between 1.5% and 2.5%.

In Chile, the industries and sectors that have been most impacted have been hotels, casinos, tourism, restaurants, and airlines. As of December 31, 2021, our loan exposures to these industries totaled approximately 0.11% of our loan book.

The Chilean government has also announced a series of measures to support lending. The largest measures were to provide an additional US\$3 billion to the *Fondo de Garantía para Pequeños Empresarios* (Small Enterprise Guarantees Fund, or “FOGAPE”), a state fund that guarantees loans, leases and other credits provided to small businesses, extend FOGAPE’s coverage to companies with annual sales of up to UF 1 million (US\$34 million) and further amend the rules and regulations governing FOGAPE to encourage banks to provide lending to small businesses. Under FOGAPE’s new regulations, domestic banks, including us, may provide loans with preferential interest rates monetary policy rate (“MPR”) to the MPR plus 3% and terms of up to 48 months to eligible companies in an aggregate amount equal to up to 3 months of a company’s sales and receive a guarantee from FOGAPE of between 60% and 85% of each loan. Any recovery of all or a portion of a non-performing loan will first be used to satisfy the non-guaranteed portion of the principal amount of the loan as well as legal fees, followed by the amount of the guarantee provided by FOGAPE and lastly any accrued and unpaid interest and fees. In order to receive the guarantee from FOGAPE, such loans must have a 6-month grace period before a company must begin repaying the loan. In addition, companies that receive loans guaranteed by FOGAPE pursuant to these new regulations will be entitled to defer loan payments for a period of 6 months.

In February 2021, the government approved the FOGAPE 2.0 – or FOGAPE Reactiva – program. The maximum rate was set at a monthly rate of TPM (overnight rate) plus 0.6%, implying an annual rate of 7.2%. The program’s focus was to direct the loans for SME investments and not only for working capital needs.

Although we have received guarantees from FOGAPE for a portion of the FOGAPE loans we have granted, if our clients default on their payment obligations under these loans when they become due, or they otherwise fail to timely comply with their obligations under these loans, this will result in higher levels of non-performing loans in the future and require the recognition of additional allowances for loan losses. Moreover, we must share with FOGAPE a portion of any recovery made on non-performing loans guaranteed by FOGAPE. In addition, all other loans previously disbursed to a client from the same bank from which they receive the FOGAPE loan will also be granted a 6-month grace period for repayment. If our clients default on their obligations under these loans, which are not guaranteed by FOGAPE, when such grace period ends, it could result in higher levels of non-performing loans in the future and require the recognition of additional allowances for loan losses.

As of December 31, 2021, we had approved Ch\$2.0 trillion of FOGAPE loans to our SME and Middle-market clients, including Ch\$876,698 million in FOGAPE Reactiva. For loans under the original FOGAPE program we granted our clients grace periods, which have already expired as of December 31, 2021. We did not grant grace periods for the FOGAPE Reactiva loans. Of those under normal payment schedule, over 97% have been paying on time, while only 2.6% show impairment as of December 2021. Despite these positive figures, we cannot assure that these repayment trends will continue in the future and a greater extension of the COVID-19 pandemic could signify a greater deterioration of the payment ability of our clients with a FOGAPE loan.

The FMC has issued regulations regarding the granting of grace periods for mortgages, consumer loans and commercial loans that have been affected by the COVID-19 pandemic which may impact our results.

Additionally, we provided grace periods for our consumer portfolio for up to 3 months, our mortgage portfolio for up to 6 months, and other commercial loans up to 6 months to debtors who were 0-30 days overdue as of March 31, 2020. In view of the persistence of the COVID-19 pandemic, with the consequent effects on the normal development of economic activities, on April 23, 2021, the FMC instructed the Bank to extend these grace periods until July 31, 2021. As of December 31, 2021, we had provided a grace period according to the guidelines established by our regulator for Ch\$7.9 trillion of our loans, of which none are still subject to a grace period. As of December 31, 2021, Ch\$7,669 billion corresponds to clients who are servicing their debt properly, and Ch\$209 billion corresponds to clients who defaulted or requested additional extensions.

Despite this favorable evolution of asset quality, there is still risk of an increase in the NPL ratio due to the duration of the COVID-19 pandemic, the emergence of new COVID-19 variants and the uncertainty of their effect on the effectiveness of vaccines, the extent and length of the economic downturn and the rules and regulations put in place to combat the COVID-19 pandemic and its effects in the future.

The extent to which the COVID-19 pandemic impacts our results will depend on the duration of the pandemic and the level of continued disruption to Chilean, regional and global economic activity, which is impossible to predict at this time. Future developments with respect to the COVID-19 pandemic are highly uncertain and new information may emerge concerning the severity of the COVID-19 pandemic and the actions taken to contain it. Furthermore, there are no indications the Chilean government will continue providing loan support programs or other forms of relief or assistance for private sector entities such as us. If the pandemic continues and further government programs are not initiated, or the ones in place are not effective, this could have a material adverse effect on us.

Latam Airlines' bankruptcy may have a material adverse effect on our business.

On May 26, 2020, Latam Airlines Group S.A. and its affiliates in Chile, Peru, Colombia, Ecuador and the United States filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy in the United States. In Latam's filings with bankruptcy court, we were identified as having one of Latam's 40 largest unsecured claims. This claim is for the frequent flier mileage program we and Latam operate, through which holders of ours and Latam's co-branded credit card accumulate airline miles with each spend on their credit card. The Bank's balance sheet as of December 31, 2021, included a pre-paid expense for miles acquired under this program valued at Ch\$312,019 billion (US\$365 million) in Other Assets. Latam and its affiliates will be able to continue flying during the pendency of its Chapter 11 bankruptcy case. In initial hearings held on May 28, 2020 under the Chapter 11 restructuring process, Latam's motion to continue honoring its mileage program was approved. In November 2021, Latam finally reached a deal with key stakeholders, with creditors led by Sixth Street Partners, Sculptor Capital, and SVPGlobal agreeing to take control of the company. Latam plans to issue US\$800 million of common stock, with existing shareholders having a preferential subscription right over these new shares. Latam will also issue US\$2.75 billion of new debt to repay creditors. As a result of the foregoing, Latam's debt will decrease from US\$11 billion to US\$7 billion. As of the date hereof, the Bank does not see the need to re-value or recognize an impairment for this pre-paid expense, as the mileage program has continued to function normally, as approved by the Chapter 11 restructuring process. However, such assets may become impaired in the future as a result of the bankruptcy proceedings and we cannot assure that at a future date the restructuring process that Latam Airlines is undergoing will not have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our growth, asset quality and profitability, among others, may be adversely affected by a slowdown in the Chilean economy, as well as volatile macroeconomic and political conditions.

A slowdown or recession in Chile, such as the severe recession faced as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic during 2020, could lead major financial institutions, including some of the world's largest global commercial banks, investment banks, mortgage lenders, mortgage guarantors and insurance companies to experience significant difficulties, including runs on deposits, the need for government aid or assistance or the need to reduce or cease providing funding to borrowers (including to other financial institutions).

Volatile conditions in the global financial markets could also have a material adverse effect on us, including on our ability to access capital and liquidity on financial terms acceptable to us, if at all. If capital markets financing ceases to become available, or becomes excessively expensive, we may be forced to raise the rates we pay on deposits to attract more customers and become unable to maintain certain liability maturities. Any such increase in capital markets funding availability or costs or in deposit rates could have a material adverse effect on our interest margins and liquidity.

In particular, we face, among others, the following risks related to the economic downturn and volatile conditions:

- Reduced demand for our products and services.
- Increased regulation of our industry. Compliance with such regulation will continue to increase our costs and may affect the pricing for our products and services, increase our conduct and regulatory risks related to non-compliance and limit our ability to pursue business opportunities.
- Inability of our borrowers to timely or fully comply with their existing obligations. Macroeconomic shocks may negatively impact the income of our customers, both retail and corporate, and may adversely affect the recoverability of our loans, resulting in increased loan losses.
- The process we use to estimate losses inherent in our credit exposure requires complex judgements, including forecasts of economic conditions and how these economic conditions might impair the ability of our borrowers to repay their loans. The degree of uncertainty concerning economic conditions may adversely affect the accuracy of our estimates, which may, in turn, impact the reliability of the process and the sufficiency of our loan loss allowances.
- The value and liquidity of the portfolio of investment securities that we hold may be adversely affected.
- In 2022, the military conflict between the Russian Federation and Ukraine is contributing to further increases in the prices of energy, oil and other commodities and to volatility in financial markets globally as well as a new landscape in relation to international sanctions.

If all or some of the foregoing risks were to materialize, this could have a material adverse effect on our financing availability and terms and, more generally, on our results, financial condition and prospects.

The growth rate of our loan portfolio may be affected by economic turmoil, which could also lead to a contraction in our loan portfolio.

There can be no assurance that our loan portfolio will continue to grow at similar rates to historical growth rates. A reversal of the rate of growth of the Chilean economy, a slowdown in the growth of customer demand, an increase in market competition or changes in governmental regulations could adversely affect the rate of growth of our loan portfolio and our risk index and, accordingly, increase our required allowances for loan losses. Economic turmoil could materially adversely affect the liquidity, businesses and financial condition of our customers as well as lead to a general decline in consumer spending and a rise in unemployment. All this could in turn lead to decreased demand for borrowings in general.

Climate change can create transition risks, physical risks, and other risks that could adversely affect us.

There is an increasing concern over the risks of climate change and related environmental sustainability matters. Climate change may imply three primary drivers of financial risk that could adversely affect us:

- Transition risks associated with the move to a low-carbon economy, both at idiosyncratic and systemic levels, such as through policy, regulatory and technological changes, which could increase our exposures and impact our strategies.
- Physical risks related to discrete events, such as flooding and wildfires, and extreme weather impacts and longer term shifts in climate patterns, such as extreme heat, sea level rise and more frequent and prolonged drought, which could result in financial losses that could impair asset values and the creditworthiness of our customers. Such events could disrupt our operations or those of our customers or third parties on which we rely and do business with, including through direct damage to assets and indirect impacts from supply chain disruption and market volatility.
- Liability risks derived from parties who may suffer losses from the effects of climate change and may seek compensation from those they hold responsible such as state entities, regulators, investors and lenders.

These primary drivers could materialize, among others, in the following financial risks:

- Credit risks: Physical climate change could lead to increased credit exposure and companies with business models not aligned with the transition to a low-carbon economy may face a higher risk of reduced corporate earnings and business disruption due to new regulations or market shifts.
- Market risks: Market changes in the most carbon-intensive sectors could affect energy and commodity prices, corporate bonds, equities and certain derivatives contracts. Increasing frequency of severe weather events could affect macroeconomic conditions, weakening fundamental factors such as economic growth, employment and inflation.
- Operational risks: Severe weather events could directly impact business continuity and operations both of customers and ours.
- Reputational risk: our reputation and client relationships may be damaged as a result of our practices and decisions related to climate change and the environment, or to the practices or involvement of our clients, in certain industries or projects associated with causing or exacerbating climate change.

As climate risk is interconnected with all key risk types, we have developed and continue to enhance processes to embed climate risk considerations into our risk management strategies; however, because the timing and severity of climate change may not be predictable, our risk management strategies may not be effective in mitigating climate risk exposure.

Any of the conditions described above could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Competitive Risks

Increased competition, including from non-traditional providers of banking services such as financial technology providers, and industry consolidation may adversely affect our results of operations.

We face substantial competition in all parts of our business, including in payments, in originating loans and in attracting deposits. The competition in originating loans comes principally from other domestic and foreign banks, mortgage banking companies, consumer finance companies, insurance companies and other lenders and purchasers of loans.

The Chilean market for financial services is highly competitive. We compete with other private sector Chilean and non-Chilean banks, with Banco del Estado de Chile, the principal government-owned sector bank, with department stores and with larger supermarket chains that make consumer loans and sell other financial products to a large portion of the Chilean population. The lower to middle-income segments of the Chilean population and the small- and mid- sized corporate segments have become the target markets of several banks and competition in these segments may increase. In addition, there has been a trend towards consolidation in the Chilean banking industry in recent years, which has created larger banks with which we must now compete. There can be no assurance that this increased competition will not adversely affect our growth prospects, and therefore our operations. We also face competition from non-bank (such as insurance companies, *cajas de compensación* and *cooperativas*) and non-finance competitors (principally department stores, auto-lenders and larger supermarket chains) with respect to some of our credit products, such as credit cards, consumer loans and insurance brokerage. In addition, we face competition from non-bank finance competitors, such as leasing, factoring, automobile finance and brokerage companies, department stores (for some credit products), and mutual fund and pension fund management companies and insurance companies.

Non-traditional providers of banking services, such as fintechs, Internet-based e-commerce providers, mobile telephone companies and Internet search engines may offer and/or increase their offerings of financial products and services directly to customers. These non-traditional providers of banking services currently have an advantage over traditional providers because they are not subject to banking regulation. Several of these competitors may have long operating histories, large customer bases, strong brand recognition and significant financial, marketing and other resources. They may adopt more aggressive pricing and rates and devote more resources to technology, infrastructure and marketing.

New competitors may enter the market or existing competitors may adjust their services with unique product or service offerings or approaches to providing banking services. If we are unable to successfully compete with current and new competitors, or if we are unable to anticipate and adapt our offerings to changing banking industry trends, including technological changes, our business may be adversely affected. In addition, our failure to effectively anticipate or adapt to emerging technologies or changes in customer behavior, including among younger customers, could delay or prevent our access to new digital-based markets, which would in turn have an adverse effect on our competitive position and business. Furthermore, the widespread adoption of new technologies, including distributed ledger, artificial intelligence and/or biometrics, to provide services such as cryptocurrencies and payments, could require substantial expenditures to modify or adapt our existing products and services as we continue to grow our Internet and mobile banking capabilities. Our customers may choose to conduct business or offer products in areas that may be considered speculative or risky. Such new technologies and mobile banking platforms in recent years could negatively impact the value of our investments in bank premises, equipment and personnel for our branch network.

The persistence or acceleration of this shift in demand towards Internet and mobile banking may necessitate changes to our retail distribution strategy, which may include closing and/or selling certain branches and restructuring our remaining branches and work force. These actions could lead to losses on these assets and may lead to increased expenditures to renovate, reconfigure or close a number of our remaining branches or to otherwise reform our retail distribution channel. Furthermore, our failure to implement such changes to our distribution strategy swiftly and effectively could have an adverse effect on our competitive position.

In particular, we face the challenge to compete in an ecosystem where the relationship with the consumer is based on access to digital data. This access is increasingly dominated by digital platforms and fintechs who are already eroding our results in very relevant markets such as payments. This privileged access to data can be used as a leverage to compete with us in other adjacent markets and may reduce our operations and margins in core businesses such as lending or wealth management. The alliances that our competitors are starting to build with Bigtechs can make it more difficult for us to successfully compete with them and could adversely affect us.

Increasing competition could also require that we increase our rates offered on deposits or lower the rates we charge on loans, which could also have a material adverse effect on us, including our profitability. It may also negatively affect our business results and prospects by, among other things, limiting our ability to increase our customer base and expand our operations and increasing competition for investment opportunities.

If our customer service levels were perceived by the market to be materially below those of our competitor financial institutions, we could lose existing and potential business. If we are not successful in retaining and strengthening customer relationships, we may lose market share, incur losses on some or all of our activities or fail to attract new deposits or retain existing deposits, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results, financial condition and prospects.

Our ability to maintain our competitive position depends, in part, on the success of new products and services we offer our clients and our ability to offer products and services that meet the customers' needs during the whole life cycle of the products or services, and we may not be able to manage various risks we face as we expand our range of products and services that could have a material adverse effect on us.

The success of our operations and our profitability depends, in part, on the success of new products and services we offer our clients and our ability to offer products and services that meet the customers' needs during all their life cycle. However, our clients' needs, or desires may change over time, and such changes may render our products and services obsolete, outdated or unattractive and we may not be able to develop new products that meet our clients' changing needs. Our success is also dependent on our ability to anticipate and leverage new and existing technologies that may have an impact on products and services in the banking industry. Technological changes may further intensify and complicate the competitive landscape and influence client behavior. If we cannot respond in a timely fashion to the changing needs of our clients, we may lose clients, which could in turn materially and adversely affect us. In addition, the cost of developing products is likely to affect our results of operations.

As we expand the range of our products and services, some of which may be at an early stage of development in the markets of certain regions where we operate, we will be exposed to new and potentially increasingly complex risks, such as the conduct risk in the relationship with customers, and development expenses. Our employees and our risk management systems, as well as our experience and that of our partners may not be sufficient to enable us to properly manage such risks. Any or all of these factors, individually or collectively, could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our strong position in the credit card market is in part due to our credit card co-branding agreement with Latam Airlines. This agreement was renewed in January 2019 for seven more years. Once this agreement expires, no assurance can be given that it will be renewed, which may materially and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition in the credit card business. See "–Latam Airlines' bankruptcy may have a material adverse effect on our business."

While we have successfully increased our customer service levels in recent years, should these levels ever be perceived by the market to be materially below those of our competitor financial institutions, we could lose existing and potential business. If we are not successful in retaining and strengthening customer relationships, we may lose market share, incur losses on some or all of our activities or fail to attract new deposits or retain existing deposits, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results, financial condition and prospects.

Operational Risks

The financial problems faced by our customers could adversely affect us.

Potential market turmoil and economic recession could materially and adversely affect the liquidity, credit ratings, businesses and/or financial conditions of our borrowers, which could in turn increase our non-performing loan ratios, impair our loan and other financial assets and result in decreased demand for borrowings in general. In addition, our customers may further significantly decrease their risk tolerance to non-deposit investments such as stocks, bonds and mutual funds, which would adversely affect our fee and commission income. Any of the conditions described above could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may generate lower revenues from fee and commission based businesses.

The fees and commissions that we earn from the different banking and other financial services that we provide represent a significant source of our revenues. Regulatory changes that modify the fees we may charge could adversely affect our fee and commission income.

A portion of the Bank's fee income is derived from brokerage of mutual funds, stocks and bonds and a market downturn could result in significantly lower fees from these sources. Banco Santander Chile sold its asset management business in 2013 and signed a management service agreement for a 10 year-period with the acquirer of this business in which we sell asset management funds on their behalf. Therefore, even in the absence of a market downturn, below-market performance by the mutual funds of the firm we broker for may result in a reduction in revenue we receive from selling asset management funds and adversely affect our results of operations.

The growth of our loan portfolio may expose us to increased loan losses. Our exposure to individuals and small and mid-sized businesses could lead to higher levels of past due loans, allowances for loan losses and charge-offs.

The further expansion of our loan portfolio (particularly in the consumer, small- and mid-sized companies and real estate segments) can be expected to expose us to a higher level of loan losses and require us to establish higher levels of provisions for loan losses. See "Note 8-Loans and Account Receivable at Amortized Cost" and "Note 10-Loans and Account Receivable at Fair Value through Other Comprehensive Income" in our Audited Consolidated Financial Statements for a description and presentation of our loan portfolio as well as "Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects-C. Selected Statistical Information-Loan Portfolio."

Retail customers represent 70.6% of the value of the total loan portfolio at amortized cost as of December 31, 2021. As part of our business strategy, we seek to increase lending and other services to retail clients, which are more likely to be adversely affected by downturns in the Chilean economy. In addition, as of December 31, 2021, our residential mortgage loan portfolio totaled Ch\$13,876,175 million, representing 38.0% of our total loans. See "Note 8- Loans and Account Receivable at Amortized Cost" in our Audited Consolidated Financial Statements for a description and presentation of our residential mortgage loan portfolio. If the economy and real estate market in Chile experience a significant downturn, this could materially adversely affect the liquidity, businesses and financial conditions of our customers, which may in turn cause us to experience higher levels of past-due loans, thereby resulting in higher provisions for loan losses and subsequent charge-offs. This may materially and adversely affect our asset quality, results of operations and financial condition.

Failure to successfully implement and continue to improve our risk management policies, procedures and methods, including our credit risk management systems, could materially and adversely affect us, and we may be exposed to unidentified or unanticipated risks.

Risk management is an integral part of our activities. We seek to monitor and manage our risk exposure through a variety of separate but complementary financial, credit, market, operational, compliance and legal reporting systems, among others. While we employ a broad and diversified set of risk monitoring and risk mitigation techniques, such techniques and strategies may not be fully effective in mitigating our risk exposure in all economic market environments or against all types of risk, including risks that we may fail to identify or anticipate.

Some of our tools and metrics for managing risk are based upon our use of observed historical market behavior. We apply statistical and other tools to these observations to arrive at quantifications of our risk exposures. These tools and metrics may fail to predict future risk exposures. These risk exposures could, for example, arise from factors we did not anticipate or correctly evaluate in our statistical models. This would limit our ability to manage our risks. Our losses thus could be significantly greater than the historical measures indicate. In addition, our statistical models may not take all risks into account.

Our approach to managing risks could prove insufficient, exposing us to material unanticipated losses. We could face adverse consequences as a result of decisions, which may lead to actions by management, based on models that are poorly developed, implemented or used, or as a result of the modelled outcome being misunderstood or the use of such information for purposes for which it was not designed. If existing or potential customers or counterparties believe our risk management is inadequate, they could take their business elsewhere or seek to limit their transactions with us. Any of these factors could have a material adverse effect on our reputation, operating results, financial condition and prospects.

As a retail bank, one of the main types of risks inherent in our business is credit risk. For example, an important feature of our credit risk management system is to employ an internal credit rating to assess the particular risk profile of individual customers and SMEs. As this process involves detailed analyses of the customer, taking into account both quantitative and qualitative factors, it is subject to human or IT systems errors. In exercising their judgement on current or future credit risk behavior of our customers, our employees may not always be able to assign an accurate credit rating, which may result in a higher exposure to credit risks than indicated by our risk rating system.

Some of the models and other analytical and judgement-based estimations we use in managing risks are subject to review by, and require the approval of, our regulators. If models do not comply with all their expectations, our regulators may require us to make changes to such models, may approve them with additional capital requirements or we may be precluded from using them. Any of these possible situations could limit our ability to expand our businesses or have a material impact on our financial results.

Failure to effectively implement, consistently monitor or continuously refine our credit risk management system may result in an increase in the level of non-performing loans and a higher risk exposure for us, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

The effectiveness of our credit risk management is affected by the quality and scope of information available in Chile.

In assessing customers' creditworthiness, we rely largely on the credit information available from our own internal databases, the FMC, the Directorio de Información Comercial (Dicom), a Chilean nationwide credit bureau, and other sources. Due to limitations in the availability of information and the developing information infrastructure in Chile, our assessment of credit risk associated with a particular customer may not be based on complete, accurate or reliable information. In addition, although we have been improving our credit scoring systems to better assess borrowers' credit risk profiles, we cannot assure you that our credit scoring systems will collect complete or accurate information reflecting the actual behavior of customers or that their credit risk can be assessed correctly. Without complete, accurate and reliable information, we will have to rely on other publicly available resources and our internal resources, which may not be effective. As a result, our ability to effectively manage our credit risk and subsequently our loan loss allowances may be materially adversely affected.

We rely on models for many of our decisions. Their inaccurate or incorrect use could have a material adverse effect on us.

We use models for approval (scoring/rating), capital calculation, behavior, provisions, expected credit loss, market risk, operational risk, compliance, fair value of financial instruments and liquidity. A model is a system, approach or quantitative method that applies statistical, economic, financial or mathematical theories, techniques or hypotheses to transform input data into quantitative estimates. It involves simplified representations of real world relationships between characteristics, values and observed assumptions that allows us to focus on specific aspects.

Model risk is the negative consequence of decisions based on inaccurate, improper or incorrect use of models. Sources of model risk include (i) incorrect or incomplete data in the model itself or the modelling method used in systems; and (ii) incorrect use or implementation of the model.

Model risk can cause financial loss, erroneous commercial and strategic decision-making or damage to our transactions any of which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results, financial condition and prospects.

Unprecedented movement in economic and market drivers related to the COVID-19 pandemic required monitoring and adjustment of financial models (including credit loss models, capital models, traded risk models and models used in the asset/liability management process) to comply with the guidance and recommendations of standard setters, regulators and supervisors, particularly for credit loss models. It also resulted in the use of mitigants for model limitations, such as adjustments to model outputs to reflect consideration of management judgment. The performance and usage of models was and may continue to be impacted by the consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic. In addition, data obtained during the COVID-19 pandemic may not be representative and may distort the calibration of the models in the future, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

In addition, the fair value of our financial assets, determined using financial valuation models, may be inaccurate or subject to change and, as a consequence, we may have to register impairments or write-downs that could have a material adverse effect on our operating results, financial condition and prospects. See more information in “Market conditions have resulted and could result in material changes to the estimated fair values of our financial assets. Negative fair value adjustments could have a material adverse effect on our operating results, financial condition and prospects.”

Our loan and investment portfolios are subject to risk of prepayment, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our fixed rate loan and investment portfolios are subject to prepayment risk, which results from the ability of a borrower or issuer to pay a debt obligation prior to maturity. Generally, in a declining interest rate environment, prepayment activity increases, which reduces the weighted average lives of our earning assets and could have a material adverse effect on us. We would also be required to amortize net premiums into income over a shorter period of time, thereby reducing the corresponding asset yield and net interest income. Prepayment risk also has a significant adverse impact on credit card and collateralized mortgage loans, since prepayments could shorten the weighted average life of these assets, which may result in a mismatch in our funding obligations and reinvestment at lower yields. Prepayment risk is inherent to our commercial activity and an increase in prepayments or a reduction in prepayment fees could have a material adverse effect on us. The Chilean government is presently analyzing an initiative to reduce or limit prepayment fees and the Bank does not yet have an estimate of the potential impact of such initiatives. We cannot assure you that this change or any future regulatory changes related to prepayment fees will not have a material impact on our business.

If we are unable to manage the growth of our operations or to integrate successfully our inorganic growth, this could have an adverse impact on our profitability.

We allocate management and planning resources to develop strategic plans for organic growth, and to identify possible acquisitions and disposals and areas for restructuring our businesses. From time to time, we evaluate acquisition and partnership opportunities that we believe offer additional value to our shareholders and are consistent with our business strategy such as our acquisition of 51% of Santander Consumer S.A. in 2019. However, we may not be able to identify suitable acquisition or partnership candidates, and our ability to benefit from any such acquisitions and partnerships will depend in part on our successful integration of those businesses. Any such integration entails significant risks such as unforeseen difficulties in integrating operations and systems, unexpected liabilities or contingencies relating to the acquired businesses, including legal claims and delivery and execution risks. We can give no assurances that our expectations with regards to integration and synergies will materialize. We also cannot provide assurance that we will, in all cases, be able to manage our growth effectively or deliver our strategic growth objectives. Challenges that may result from our strategic growth decisions include our ability to:

- manage efficiently the operations and employees of expanding businesses;
- maintain or grow our existing customer base;
- assess the value, strengths and weaknesses of investment or acquisition candidates, including local regulation that can reduce or eliminate expected synergies;
- finance strategic investments or acquisitions;
- align our current information technology systems adequately with those of an enlarged group;
- apply our risk management policy effectively to an enlarged group; and
- manage a growing number of entities without over-committing management or losing key personnel.

Any failure to manage growth effectively could have a material adverse effect on our operating results, financial condition and prospects.

In addition, any acquisition or venture could result in the loss of key employees and inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies.

Moreover, the success of the acquisition or venture will at least in part be subject to a number of political, economic and other factors that are beyond our control. Any of these factors, individually or collectively, could have a material adverse effect on us.

Any failure to improve or upgrade our information technology infrastructure and information management systems in an effective, timely and cost-effective manner, including in response to new or modified cybersecurity and data privacy laws, rules and regulations could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our ability to remain competitive depends in part on our ability to upgrade our information technology in an effective, timely and cost-effective manner. We must continually make significant investments in and improvements to our information technology infrastructure and information management systems in order to meet the needs of our customers. We cannot guarantee that in the future we will be able to maintain the level of capital expenditures necessary to support the continuous improvement and upgrading of our information technology infrastructure and information management systems. To the extent we are dependent on any particular technology or technological solution, we may be harmed if such technology or technological solution becomes non-compliant with existing industry standards or applicable laws, rules or regulations, fails to meet or exceed the capabilities of our competitors' equivalent technologies or technological solutions, becomes increasingly expensive to service, retain and update, becomes subject to third-party claims of intellectual property infringement, misappropriation or other violation, or malfunctions or functions in a way we did not anticipate. Additionally, new technologies and technological solutions are continually being released. As such, it is difficult to predict the problems we may encounter in improving our technologies' functionality. There is no assurance that we will be able to successfully adopt new technology as critical systems and applications become obsolete and better ones become available. Any failure to effectively improve or upgrade our information technology infrastructure and information management systems in an effective, timely and cost-efficient manner could have a material adverse effect on us.

Data breaches and other security incidents with respect to our or our third-party vendors' systems could adversely affect our business or reputation, and create significant legal, regulatory or financial exposure.

Like other financial institutions, we receive, manage, hold, transmit and otherwise process certain proprietary, sensitive or confidential information, including personal information of customers and employees in the conduct of our banking operations, as well as a large number of assets. Accordingly, our business depends on our ability to process a large number of transactions efficiently and accurately, and on our ability to rely on our digital technologies, computer and email services, software and networks, as well as on the secure storage, transmission, and other processing of confidential, sensitive or personal data and other information using our computer systems and networks or those of our third-party vendors. The proper and secure functioning of our financial controls, accounting and other data collection and processing systems is critical to our business and to our ability to compete effectively.

Data breaches, security incidents and data losses can result from, among other things, inadequate personnel, inadequate or failed internal control processes and systems, or external events or actors that interrupt normal business operations. We also face the risk that the design of our or our third-party vendors' cybersecurity controls and procedures prove to be inadequate or are circumvented such that our data or client records are incomplete, not recoverable or not securely stored. Any material disruption or slowdown of our systems could cause information, including data related to customer requests, to be lost or to be delivered to our clients with delays or errors, which could reduce demand for our services and products, could produce customer claims and could materially and adversely affect us.

Although we work with our clients, vendors, service providers, counterparties and other third parties to develop secure data and information processing, collection, authentication, management, usage, storage and transmission capabilities and to ensure the eventual destruction of sensitive and confidential information, including personal information, to prevent against information security risk, we routinely manage personal, confidential and proprietary information by electronic means, and we, our third-party vendors or other third parties with which we do business may be the target of attempted cyber-attacks or subject to other information security incidents or breaches. This is especially applicable in the current environment, which is still being affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, and the shift to work-from-home policies for a significant portion of our workforce, as they access our secure networks remotely (see risk factor—The global COVID-19 pandemic has materially impacted our business, and the continuance of this pandemic or any future outbreak of any other highly contagious diseases or other public health emergency, could materially and adversely impact our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations). If we cannot maintain effective and secure electronic data and information (including personal information), management and processing systems or if we fail to maintain complete physical and electronic records, it could result in disruptions to our operations, litigation or claims from customers, regulators, employees and other third parties, violations of applicable privacy and other laws, rules or regulations, regulatory sanctions and serious reputational and financial harm to us.

Although we take protective measures and monitor and develop our systems to protect our technology infrastructure, data and information from misappropriation or corruption, our and our third-party vendors' systems, software and networks nevertheless may be vulnerable to breaches, disruptions, failures or other security incidents caused by, among other things, unauthorized access or misuse, computer viruses, malware, ransomware, disability devices, distributed denial-of-service attacks, phishing attacks, social engineering attacks, natural disasters such as fires, floods, hurricanes and tornadoes, power loss, telecommunications failures, employee or other third party misconduct, negligence, theft or fraud, human error, computer hackers, and other events that could have a serious impact on us. Although we have procedures and controls in place to safeguard personal and other confidential or sensitive information in our possession, we have been and continue to be subject to a range of cyber-attacks, such as denial of service, malware and phishing attacks. While we generally perform cybersecurity due diligence on our key vendors, because we do not control our vendors and our ability to monitor their cybersecurity is limited, we cannot ensure the cybersecurity measures they take will be sufficient to protect any information we share with them. Due to applicable laws and regulations or contractual obligations, we may be held responsible for security breaches, cyber-attacks or other similar incidents attributed to our vendors as they relate to the information we share with them. Moreover, it is not always possible to deter or prevent employee misconduct, and the precautions we take to detect and prevent this activity may not always be effective.

In addition, we may also be impacted by cyber-attacks against national critical infrastructures of Chile, such as telecommunications networks. Our information technology systems are dependent on such national critical infrastructure and any cyber-attack against such critical infrastructure could negatively affect our ability to service our customers. As we do not operate such national critical infrastructure, we have limited ability to protect our information technology systems from the adverse effects of such a cyber-attack. For further information, see "Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk—2. Non-financial risks—Cyber-security and data security plans."

We have seen in recent years the information technology and computer systems of companies and organizations being increasingly targeted, and the techniques used to obtain unauthorized, improper or illegal access to information technology and computer systems have become increasingly complex and sophisticated. Furthermore, such techniques change frequently and are often not recognized or detected until after they have been launched and can originate from a wide variety of sources, including not only cyber criminals, but also activists and terrorists, nation states, nation state-supported actors and others. As attempted attacks continue to evolve in scope and sophistication, we may incur significant costs in order to modify or enhance our protective measures against such attacks, or to investigate or remediate any vulnerability or resulting breach, or in communicating cyber-attacks to our customers, affected individuals or regulators, as applicable.

If we or our third-party vendors fall victim to successful cyber-attacks, penetrations, compromises, breaches or circumventions of our information technology systems or experience other security incidents in the future, we may incur substantial costs and suffer other negative consequences, such as disruption to our operations, misappropriation of personal, proprietary, confidential or sensitive information, remediation costs (including liabilities for stolen assets or information, repairs of system damage, among others), increased cybersecurity protection costs, lost revenues arising from the unauthorized use of personal, proprietary, confidential or sensitive information or the failure to retain or attract our customers following a cybersecurity incident, litigation and legal risks (including regulatory action, reporting obligations, investigation, fines and penalties), increased insurance premiums, reputational damage affecting our customers' and the investors' confidence, as well as damages to our competitiveness, stock price and long-term shareholder value. In addition, our remediation efforts may not be successful, and we may not have adequate insurance to cover these losses. Moreover, even when a failure of or interruption in our or our third-party vendors' systems or facilities is resolved in a timely manner or an attempted cyber-attack, data breach or security incident is successfully avoided or thwarted, substantial resources and management attention are expended in doing so, and to successfully avoid or resolve any such incidents, we may be required to take actions that could adversely affect customer satisfaction or retention, as well as harm our reputation.

Modifications to Law 20,009 were passed in 2020 that modified the scope of responsibility for users and issuers when a client's cards and/or online payment or transfer user information are lost, stolen or fraudulently used (including through hacking and cloning). Cardholders are obligated to notify the bank through an easily accessible channel when their cards have been lost, stolen, or fraudulently used. For those transactions realized prior to the notice of loss or theft of a credit card, the cardholder must also notify the issuer of all of the unauthorized transactions in the same notice or up to five business days following the original notification. In cases of fraud, the user will not be responsible for the transactions that they did not authorize, and which were made prior to the fraud notification within the 30 calendar days following the issuance of said notice. In these cases, issuers are responsible for assuming these costs or must demonstrate that the transaction was in fact authorized by the owner or user of the credit card. The law also considers increasing fines and jail time for those committing theft or fraud with credit cards, which must be legally pursued by the card issuer.

In light of these developments, we are trying to limit the exposure of our clients to credit card fraud through education, insurance coverage, marketing campaigns, daily transfer amount limits, chip technology, improved ATM software, and other technological improvements, but we cannot assure that this law will not increase the financial costs related to cybercrime and credit card fraud.

We rely on third parties and affiliates for important products and services.

Third party vendors and certain affiliated companies provide key components of our business infrastructure such as loan and deposit servicing systems, back office and business process support, information technology production and support, Internet connections and network access. Relying on these third parties and affiliated companies can be a source of operational and regulatory risk to us, including with respect to security breaches affecting such parties. We are also subject to risk with respect to security breaches affecting the vendors and other parties that interact with these service providers. As our interconnectivity with these third parties and affiliated companies increases, we increasingly face the risk of operational failure with respect to their systems. We may be required to take steps to protect the integrity of our operational systems, thereby increasing our operational costs and potentially decreasing customer satisfaction. In addition, any problems caused by these third parties or affiliated companies, including as a result of them not providing us their services for any reason, or performing their services poorly, could adversely affect our ability to deliver products and services to customers and otherwise conduct our business, which could lead to reputational damage and regulatory investigations and intervention. Replacing these third party vendors could also entail significant delays and expense. Further, the operational and regulatory risk we face as a result of these arrangements may be increased to the extent that we restructure such arrangements. Any restructuring could involve significant expense to us and entail significant delivery and execution risks, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, operations and financial condition.

Damage to our reputation could cause harm to our business prospects.

Maintaining a positive reputation is critical to protect our brand, attract and retain customers, investors and employees and conduct business transactions with counterparties. Damage to our reputation could therefore cause significant harm to our business and prospects. Harm to our reputation could arise from numerous sources, including, among others, employee misconduct, including the possibility of fraud perpetrated by our employees, litigation or regulatory enforcement, failure to deliver minimum standards of service and quality, dealing with sectors that are not well perceived by the public (weapons industries or embargoed countries, for example), dealing with customers in sanctions lists, rating downgrades, significant variations in our share price throughout the year, compliance failures, unethical behavior, and the activities of customers and counterparties, including activities that negatively affect the environment. Further, negative publicity regarding us may result in harm to our prospects.

Actions by the financial services industry generally or by certain members of, or individuals in, the industry can also affect our reputation. For example, the role played by financial services firms in the financial crisis and the seeming shift toward increasing regulatory supervision and enforcement has caused public perception of us and others in the financial services industry to decline.

We could suffer significant reputational harm if we fail to identify and manage potential conflicts of interest properly. The failure, or perceived failure, to adequately address conflicts of interest could affect the willingness of clients to deal with us, or give rise to litigation or enforcement actions against us. Therefore, there can be no assurance that conflicts of interest will not arise in the future that could cause material harm to us.

We may be the subject of misinformation and misrepresentations deliberately propagated to harm our reputation or for other deceitful purposes, or by profiteering short sellers seeking to gain an illegal market advantage by spreading false information about us. There can be no assurance that we will effectively neutralize and contain a false information that may be propagated regarding the business, which could have an adverse effect on our operating results, financial condition and prospects.

Financial Risks

We may not effectively manage risks associated with the replacement or reform of benchmark indices.

Interest rate, equity, foreign exchange rate and other types of indices which are deemed to be “benchmarks,” including those in widespread and long-standing use, have been the subject of ongoing international, national and other regulatory scrutiny and initiatives and proposals for reform. Some of these reforms are already effective while others are still to be implemented or are under consideration. These reforms have caused and may in the future cause benchmarks to perform differently than in the past, or to disappear entirely, or have other consequences, which cannot be fully anticipated.

Any of the benchmark reforms which have been proposed or implemented, or the general increased regulatory scrutiny of benchmarks, could also increase the costs and risks of administering or otherwise participating in the setting of benchmarks and complying with regulations or requirements relating to benchmarks. Such factors may have the effect of discouraging market participants from continuing to administer or contribute to certain benchmarks, trigger changes in the rules or methodologies used in certain benchmarks or lead to the disappearance of certain benchmarks.

Any of these developments, and any future initiatives to regulate, reform or change the administration of benchmarks, could result in adverse consequences to the return on, value of and market for loans, mortgages, securities, derivatives and other financial instruments whose returns are linked to any such benchmark, including those issued, funded or held by us.

Various regulators, industry bodies and other market participants in the U.S. and other countries have worked to develop, introduce and encourage the use of alternative rates to replace interest rate benchmarks. A transition away from the widespread use of interest rate benchmarks to alternative rates has begun and will continue over the course of the next few years. While central bank-sponsored committees in various jurisdictions have recommended alternative rates for various important interest rate benchmarks, if a particular benchmark were to be discontinued and an alternative rate had not been successfully introduced to replace that benchmark, this could result in widespread dislocation in the financial markets, engender volatility in the pricing of securities, derivatives and other instruments, and suppress capital markets activities, all of which could have adverse effects on our results of operations. In addition, the transition of a particular benchmark to a replacement rate could affect hedge accounting relationships between financial instruments linked to that benchmark and any related derivatives, which could adversely affect our results.

On March 5, 2021, the U.K. Financial Conduct Authority (the FCA), which regulates the London interbank offered rate (LIBOR), published an announcement to confirm the dates immediately after which all LIBOR settings will either cease to be provided by any administrator or no longer be representative: December 31, 2021 for all EUR, GBP, JPY and CHF LIBOR tenors and 1-week and 2-month USD LIBOR tenors, and June 30, 2023 for the remaining USD LIBOR tenors (overnight, 1-, 3-, 6- and 12-month). Therefore, since January 1, 2022, most LIBOR settings have ceased to be available. While publication of the 1-, 3- and 6-month GBP and JPY tenors will continue at least until the end of 2022 on the basis of a ‘synthetic’ methodology, these rates are solely available for use in legacy transactions. In addition, while certain USD LIBOR tenors are expected to continue to be published until June 30, 2023, U.S. regulators and the FCA have published guidance instructing banks to cease entering into new contracts referencing USD LIBOR no later than December 31, 2021, with limited exceptions.

Additionally, on September 13, 2018, the working group on euro risk-free rates recommended that the Euro Short Term Rate (€STR) shall replace the Euro Overnight Index Average (EONIA). Since 2 October 2019, when the €STR became available, EONIA changed its methodology to be calculated as the €STR plus a spread of 8.5 basis points. This change in EONIA's methodology is intended to facilitate the market's transition from EONIA to €STR, with the former having been discontinued on 3 January 2022.

In October 2020, the International Swaps and Derivatives Association (ISDA) launched the 2020 IBOR Fallbacks Protocol, which amends the ISDA's interest rate definitions used among protocol adherents, to incorporate new fallbacks for legacy non-cleared derivatives linked to LIBOR and certain other interest rate benchmarks. The protocol became effective as of January 25, 2021. Banco Santander S.A. and several subsidiaries, including Santander Chile, have adhered to this new protocol. Similarly, ISDA's IBOR Fallbacks Supplement also amended ISDA's standard definitions to incorporate these new fallbacks in new derivatives entered into on or after that same effective date. Following December 31, 2021, derivatives referencing non-USD LIBOR that were amended through adherence to the 2020 IBOR Fallbacks Protocol or that incorporate the IBOR Fallbacks Supplement are or will be valued using the adjusted version of the applicable risk-free reference rate selected as an alternative to the applicable IBOR by the appropriate national committee.

With respect to USD LIBOR-linked contracts that are governed by New York law, New York State has enacted legislation that will replace references to LIBOR in certain contracts with a benchmark based on the Secured Overnight Financing Rate (SOFR), including any spread adjustment, recommended by the Federal Reserve Board, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York or the Alternative Reference Rates Committee (the ARRC) convened by the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

In December 2020, the European Union Council endorsed new rules amending the EU Benchmark Regulation (BMR). The aim of the amendments to the BMR is to ensure that a statutory replacement benchmark can be established by the regulators by the time a systemically important benchmark is no longer in place, and, thus, protect financial stability in EU markets. It is likely that the regulators will decide to use these powers to mitigate, to the extent possible, systemic risks that might result from the phasing out of LIBOR by the end of 2021. The new rules give the European Commission the power to replace the so-called 'critical benchmarks', which could affect the stability of financial markets in Europe, and other relevant benchmarks, if their termination would result in a significant disruption in the functioning of financial markets in the EU. The European Commission will also be able to replace third-country benchmarks if their cessation would result in a significant disruption in the functioning of financial markets or pose a systemic risk for the financial system in the EU. In this regard, the European Commission (EC) published two Delegated Regulations in the Official Journal of the European Union, nominating the replacement rates for two interest rate benchmarks: the Swiss Franc London Interbank Offered Rate (CHF LIBOR) and the Euro Overnight Index Average (EONIA). The Regulations took effect from 11 November 2021.

The Federal Reserve Bank of New York currently publishes the SOFR based on overnight U.S. Treasury repurchase agreement transactions, which has been recommended as the alternative to USD LIBOR by the ARRC. In addition, the Bank of England publishes a reformed Sterling Overnight Index Average, comprised of a broader set of overnight GBP money market transactions, which has been selected by the Working Group on Sterling Risk-Free Reference Rates as the alternative rate to GBP LIBOR.

These and other reforms have caused and may in the future cause benchmarks to perform differently than in the past, or to disappear entirely, or have other consequences which cannot be fully anticipated which introduce a number of risks for the Group. These risks include (i) legal risks arising from potential changes required to documentation for new and existing transactions; (ii) risk management, financial and accounting risks arising from market risk models and from valuation, hedging, discontinuation and recognition of financial instruments linked to benchmark rates; (iii) business risk of a decrease in revenues of products linked to indices that will be replaced; (iv) pricing risks arising from how changes to benchmark indices could impact pricing mechanisms on some instruments; (v) operational risks arising from the potential requirement to adapt IT systems, trade reporting infrastructure and operational processes; (vi) conduct risks arising from the potential impact of communication with customers and engagement during the transition period and inquiries, reviews or other actions from regulators regarding our preparation, readiness and transition plans, and (vii) litigation risks and risks relating to other disputes and actions with clients, counterparties, investors and other parties regarding our existing products and services, which could adversely impact our profitability. The replacement benchmarks and their transition path have been defined, but, with respect to some benchmarks, the mechanisms for implementation are under development.

Accordingly, it is not currently possible to determine whether, or to what extent, any such changes would affect us. However, the implementation of alternative benchmark rates may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects. We may also be adversely affected if the change restricts our ability to provide products and services or if it necessitates the development of additional information technology systems. There can be no assurances that we or other market participants will be adequately prepared for the ongoing benchmark reforms.

Credit, market and liquidity risk may have an adverse effect on our credit ratings and our cost of funds. Any downgrade in Chile's, our controlling shareholders or our credit rating would likely increase our cost of funding, require us to post additional collateral or take other actions under some of our derivative and other contracts and adversely affect our interest margins and results of operations.

Credit ratings affect the cost and other terms upon which we can obtain funding. Rating agencies regularly evaluate us, and their ratings of our debt are based on several factors, including our financial strength and conditions affecting the financial services industry. In addition, due to the methodology of the main rating agencies, our credit rating is affected by the rating of Chile's sovereign debt. If Chile's sovereign debt is downgraded, our credit rating would also likely be downgraded by an equivalent amount. In addition, our ratings may be adversely affected by any downgrade in the ratings of our parent company, Santander Spain.

During 2020, as a result of the social unrest in Chile and the COVID-19 pandemic, Standard and Poor's Ratings Services ("S&P") and Moody's revised the Republic of Chile and the Bank's credit ratings to a negative outlook. In March 2021, due to the ongoing pandemic and the consequent increase in government spending with a higher fiscal deficit, S&P downgraded the Chilean sovereign rating from A+ to A. As a direct effect of the Chilean sovereign rating downgrade, S&P downgraded Santander Chile's rating from A to A-, maintaining a negative outlook. In September 2021, S&P changed Santander Chile's outlook from negative to stable.

Any downgrade in our debt credit ratings would likely increase our borrowing costs and require us to post additional collateral or take other actions under some of our derivative and other contracts, and could limit our access to capital markets and adversely affect our commercial business. For example, a ratings downgrade could adversely affect our ability to sell or market some of our products, engage in certain longer-term and derivatives transactions and retain our customers, particularly customers who need a minimum rating threshold in order to invest. In addition, under the terms of certain of our derivative contracts and other financial commitments, we may be required to maintain a minimum credit rating or terminate such contracts or require the posting of collateral. Any of these results of a ratings downgrade could reduce our liquidity and have an adverse effect on us, including our operating results and financial condition.

While certain potential impacts of these downgrades are contractual and quantifiable, the full consequences of a credit rating downgrade are inherently uncertain, as they depend upon numerous dynamic, complex and inter-related factors and assumptions, including market conditions at the time of any downgrade, whether any downgrade of our long-term credit rating precipitates downgrades to our short-term credit rating, and assumptions about the potential behaviors of various customers, investors and counterparties. Actual outflows could be higher or lower than the preceding hypothetical examples, depending upon certain factors including which credit rating agency downgrades our credit rating, any management or restructuring actions that could be taken to reduce cash outflows and the potential liquidity impact from loss of unsecured funding (such as from money market funds) or loss of secured funding capacity. Although unsecured and secured funding stresses are included in our stress testing scenarios and a portion of our total liquid assets is held against these risks, a credit rating downgrade could still have a material adverse effect on us.

In addition, if we were required to cancel our derivatives contracts with certain counterparties and were unable to replace such contracts, our market risk profile could be altered.

There can be no assurance that the rating agencies will maintain the current ratings or outlooks. In general, the future evolution of Santander's ratings will be linked, to a large extent, to macroeconomic outlook and, therefore, to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic (including, for example, new variants, new lockdowns, etc.) on the macro outlook of our asset quality, profitability and capital. Failure to maintain favorable ratings and outlooks could increase our cost of funding and adversely affect interest margins, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Market conditions have resulted and could result in material changes to the estimated fair values of our financial assets. Negative fair value adjustments could have a material adverse effect on our operating results, financial condition and prospects.

In the past, financial markets have been subject to significant stress resulting in steep falls in perceived or actual financial asset values, particularly due to volatility in global financial markets and the resulting widening of credit spreads, including as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. We have material exposures to securities, loans and other investments that are recorded at fair value and are therefore exposed to potential negative fair value adjustments. Asset valuations in future periods, reflecting then-prevailing market conditions, may result in negative changes in the fair values of our financial assets and these may also translate into increased impairments. In addition, the value ultimately realized by us on disposal may be lower than the current fair value. Any of these factors could require us to record negative fair value adjustments, which may have a material adverse effect on our operating results, financial condition or prospects.

In 2021, pension fund withdrawals and political uncertainty in Chile have led to significant rate increases along the entire yield curve. Furthermore, the Central Bank increased the MPR from 0.5% to 0.75% in July 2021, to 1.5% in August, to 2.5% in October and then to 4.0% in December 2021. This has negatively impacted the fair value of various financial assets, including our debt instruments at fair value through other comprehensive income. As of December 31, 2021, these instruments include balances of unrealized net loss of Ch\$112,223 million recognized as "Valuation accounts" in equity.

In addition, to the extent that fair values are determined using financial valuation models, such values may be inaccurate or subject to change, as the data used by such models may not be available or may become unavailable due to changes in market conditions, particularly for illiquid assets, and particularly in times of economic instability. In such circumstances, our valuation methodologies require us to make assumptions, judgements and estimates in order to establish fair value, and reliable assumptions are difficult to make and are inherently uncertain and valuation models are complex, making them inherently imperfect predictors of actual results. Any consequential impairments or write-downs could have a material adverse effect on our operating results, financial condition and prospects.

The value of the collateral securing our loans may not be sufficient, and we may be unable to realize the full value of the collateral securing our loan portfolio.

The value of the collateral securing our loan portfolio may fluctuate or decline due to factors beyond our control, including as a result of a prolonged COVID-19 pandemic or a weaker than expected recovery after the COVID-19 pandemic and macroeconomic factors affecting Chile's economy. The value of the collateral securing our loan portfolio may be adversely affected by force majeure events, such as natural disasters (including as a result of climate change), particularly in locations where a significant portion of our loan portfolio is composed of real estate loans. Natural disasters such as earthquakes and floods may cause widespread damage, which could impair the asset quality of our loan portfolio and could have an adverse impact on Chile's economy. The real estate market is particularly vulnerable in the current economic climate and this may affect us, as real estate represents a significant portion of the collateral securing our residential mortgage loan portfolio. We may also not have sufficiently recent information on the value of collateral, which may result in an inaccurate assessment for impairment losses of our loans secured by such collateral. If any of the above were to occur, we may need to make additional provisions to cover actual impairment losses of our loans, which may materially and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

At December 31, 2021, 62% of our loans and advances to customers are collateralized, which includes 14% of our consumer loans, 96% of our mortgage loans and 50% of our commercial loans. In addition, auto industry technology changes, accelerated by environmental rules, could affect our auto consumer business in Chile, particularly residual values of leased vehicles, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results, financial condition and prospects.

The credit quality of our loan portfolio may deteriorate, and our loan loss reserves could be insufficient to cover our loan losses, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Risks arising from changes in credit quality and the recoverability of loans and amounts due from counterparties are inherent to a wide range of our businesses. Non-performing or low credit quality loans have in the past negatively impacted our results of operations and could do so in the future. In particular, the amount of our reported credit impaired loans may increase in the future as a result of growth in our total loan portfolio, including as a result of loan portfolios that we may acquire in the future (the credit quality of which may turn out to be worse than we had anticipated), or factors beyond our control, such as adverse changes in the credit quality of our borrowers and counterparties or a general deterioration in economic conditions in Chile or in global economic and political conditions, including as a result of a prolonged COVID-19 pandemic or a weaker-than-expected economic recovery after the COVID-19 pandemic. If we are unable to control the level of our credit impaired or poor credit quality loans, this could have a material adverse effect on us.

As of December 31, 2021, our non-performing loans were Ch\$449,835 million, and the ratio of our non-performing loans to total loans was 1.2%. As of December 31, 2021, our allowance for loan losses was Ch\$1,051,434 million, and the ratio of our allowance for loan losses to total loans was 2.9%. For additional information on our asset quality, see “Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—C. Selected Statistical Information—Analysis and Classification of Loan Portfolio Based on the Borrower’s Payment Performance.”

Our loan loss reserves are based on our current assessment of and expectations concerning various factors affecting the quality of our loan portfolio. These factors include, among other things, our borrowers’ financial condition, repayment abilities and repayment intentions, the realizable value of any collateral, the prospects for support from any guarantor, Chile’s economy, government macroeconomic policies, interest rates and the legal and regulatory environment. Because many of these factors are beyond our control and there is no infallible method for predicting loan and credit losses, we cannot assure you that our current or future loan loss and reserves will be sufficient to cover actual losses. If our assessment of and expectations concerning the above-mentioned factors differ from actual developments, if the quality of our total loan portfolio deteriorates, for any reason, or if the future actual losses exceed our estimates of expected losses, we may be required to increase our loan loss reserves, which may adversely affect us. Additionally, in calculating our loan loss reserves, we employ qualitative tools and statistical models which may not be reliable in all circumstances and which are dependent upon data that may not be complete.

Our financial results are constantly exposed to market risk. We are subject to fluctuations in interest rates and other market risks, which may materially and adversely affect us and our profitability.

The COVID-19 pandemic caused and could still cause high market volatility, which could materially and adversely affect us and our trading and banking book.

Economic activities exposed to market risk include (i) transactions where risk is assumed as a consequence of potential changes in interest rates, inflation rates, exchange rates, stock prices, credit spreads, commodity prices, volatility and other market factors; (ii) the liquidity risk from our products and markets; and (iii) the balance sheet liquidity risk.

As described below, market risk affects (i) our interest income / (charges); (ii) the market value of our assets and liabilities, in particular of our securities holdings, loans and deposits and derivatives transactions; and (iii) other areas of our business such as the volume of loans originated or credit spreads.

The performance of financial markets may cause changes in the value of our investment and trading portfolios. The volatility of world equity markets due to the continued economic uncertainty and sovereign debt crisis has had a particularly strong impact on the financial sector. Continued volatility may affect the value of our investments in equity securities and, depending on their fair value and future recovery expectations, could become a permanent impairment which would be subject to write-offs against our results.

Market risk could include unexpected or unpredictable risks related to periods in which the market does not calculate prices efficiently (for example, during market interruptions or shocks).

Interest rates are sensitive to many factors beyond our control, including increased regulation of the financial sector, the reserve policies of the Central Bank, deregulation of the financial sector in Chile, monetary policies and domestic and international economic and political conditions. Variations in interest rates could affect the interest earned on our assets and the interest paid on our borrowings, thereby affecting our interest income / (charges), which comprises the majority of our revenue, reducing our growth rate and potentially resulting in losses. In addition, costs we incur as we implement strategies to reduce interest rate exposure could increase in the future, which, in turn, will impact our results.

Increases in interest rates may reduce the volume of loans we originate. Sustained high interest rates have historically discouraged customers from borrowing and have resulted in increased delinquencies in outstanding loans and deterioration in the quality of assets. Increases in interest rates may reduce the value of our financial assets and may reduce gains or require us to record losses on sales of our loans or securities.

While it would likely decrease funding costs, if interest rates decrease, the income we receive from our investments in securities and loans with similar maturities could be adversely affected. In addition, we may also experience increased delinquencies in a low interest rate environment when such an environment is accompanied by high unemployment and recessionary conditions. "See Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risks—Market Risk: Quantitative Disclosure—Impact of Interest Rates."

The market value of a security with a fixed interest rate generally decreases when the prevailing interest rates rise, which may have an adverse effect on our earnings and financial condition. In addition, we may incur costs as we implement strategies to reduce interest rate exposure in the future (which, in turn, will impact our results). The market value of an obligation with a floating interest rate can be adversely affected when interest rates increase, due to a lag in the implementation of repricing terms or an inability to refinance at lower rates.

High levels of inflation in Chile could adversely affect the Chilean economy and our business, financial condition and results of operations. Any change in the methodology of how the CPI index or the UF are calculated could also adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Extended periods of deflation could also have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. The UF is revalued in monthly cycles. On each day in the period beginning on the tenth day of any given month through the ninth day of the succeeding month, the nominal peso value of the UF is indexed up (or down in the event of deflation) in order to reflect a proportionate amount of the change in the Chilean Consumer Price Index during the prior calendar month. For more information regarding the UF, see "Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects—A. Operating Results—Impact of Inflation." Although we benefit from inflation in Chile due to the current structure of our assets and liabilities (i.e., a significant portion of our loans are indexed to the inflation rate, but there are no corresponding features in deposits, or other funding sources that would increase the size of our funding base), there can be no assurance that our business, financial condition and result of operations in the future will not be adversely affected by changing levels of inflation, including from extended periods of inflation that adversely affect economic growth or periods of deflation. "See Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risks—Market Risk: Quantitative Disclosure—Impact of Inflation."

We are also exposed to foreign exchange rate risk as a result of mismatches between assets and liabilities denominated in different currencies. Fluctuations in the exchange rate between currencies may negatively affect our earnings and value of our assets and securities. Therefore, while the Bank seeks to avoid significant mismatches between assets and liabilities due to foreign currency exposure, from time to time, we may have mismatches. The Chilean peso has been subject to large devaluations and appreciations in the past and could be subject to significant fluctuations in the future. Our results of operations may be affected by fluctuations in the exchange rates between the peso and the dollar despite our policy and Chilean regulations relating to the general avoidance of material exchange rate exposure. In order to avoid material exchange rate exposure, we enter into forward exchange transactions. We may decide to change our policy regarding exchange rate exposure. Regulations that limit such exposures may also be amended or eliminated. Greater exchange rate risk will increase our exposure to the devaluation of the peso, and any such devaluation may impair our capacity to service foreign currency obligations and may, therefore, materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Notwithstanding the existence of general policies and regulations that limit material exchange rate exposures, the economic policies of the Chilean government, new foreign currency regulations by the Central Bank and any future fluctuations of the peso against the dollar could affect our financial condition and results of operations. "See Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risks—Market Risk: Quantitative Disclosure—Foreign exchange fluctuations."

If any of these risks were to materialize, our interest income or the market value of our assets and liabilities could suffer a material adverse impact.

We are subject to market, operational and other related risks associated with our derivative transactions that could have a material adverse effect on us.

We enter into derivative transactions for trading purposes as well as for hedging purposes. We are subject to market, credit and operational risks associated with these transactions, including basis risk (the risk of loss associated with variations in the spread between the asset yield and the funding and/or hedge cost) and credit or default risk (the risk of insolvency or other inability of the counterparty to a particular transaction to perform its obligations thereunder, including providing sufficient collateral).

Market practices and documentation for derivative transactions in Chile may differ from those in other countries. For example, documentation may not incorporate terms and conditions of derivatives transactions as commonly understood in other countries. In addition, the execution and performance of these transactions depend on our ability to maintain adequate control and administration systems. Moreover, our ability to adequately monitor, analyze and report derivative transactions continues to depend, largely, on our information technology systems. These factors further increase the risks associated with these transactions and could have a material adverse effect on us.

At December 31, 2021, the notional value of the trading derivatives in our books amounted to Ch\$371,856,243 million (with a market value of Ch\$9,494,471 million of debit balance and Ch\$9,507,031 million of credit balance).

At December 31, 2021, the nominal value of the hedging derivatives in our books within our financial risk management strategy and with the aim of reducing asymmetries in the accounting treatment of our operations amounted to Ch\$31,463,553 million (with market value of Ch\$629,136 million in assets and Ch\$1,364,210 million in liabilities).

We are subject to counterparty risk in our banking business.

We are exposed to counterparty risk in addition to credit risks associated with lending activities. Counterparty risk may arise from, for example, investing in securities of third parties, entering into derivative contracts under which counterparties have obligations to make payments to us or executing securities, futures, currency or commodity trades from proprietary trading activities that fail to settle at the required time due to non-delivery by the counterparty or systems failure by clearing agents, clearing houses or other financial intermediaries.

We routinely transact with counterparties in the financial services industry, including brokers and dealers, commercial banks, investment banks, mutual funds, hedge funds and other institutional clients. Defaults by, and even rumors or questions about the solvency of, certain financial institutions and the financial services industry generally have led to market-wide liquidity problems and could lead to losses or defaults by other institutions. Many of the routine transactions we enter into expose us to significant credit risk in the event of default by one of our significant counterparties.

Liquidity and funding risks are inherent in our business and could have a material adverse effect on us.

Liquidity risk is the risk that we either do not have sufficient financial resources available to meet our obligations as they are due, or we can only secure them at excessive cost. This risk is inherent in any banking business and can be heightened by a number of enterprise-specific factors, including over-reliance on a particular source of funding, changes in credit ratings or market-wide phenomena such as market dislocation, including as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. While we have in place liquidity management processes to mitigate and control these risks, systemic market factors make it difficult to eliminate these risks completely. Constraints in the supply of liquidity, including in inter-bank lending, could materially and adversely affect the cost of funding of our business, and extreme liquidity constraints may affect our current operations and our ability to fulfill regulatory liquidity requirements, as well as limit growth possibilities.

Our cost of obtaining funding is directly related to prevailing interest rates and to our credit spreads. Increases in interest rates and/or in our credit spreads could significantly increase the cost of our funding. Credit spreads variations are market-driven and may be influenced by market perceptions of our creditworthiness. Changes to interest rates and our credit spreads may occur frequently and could be unpredictable and highly volatile.

We rely, and will continue to rely, primarily on retail deposits to fund lending activities. The ongoing availability of this type of funding is sensitive to a variety of factors beyond our control, such as general economic conditions and the confidence of retail depositors in the economy and in the financial services industry, and the availability and extent of deposit guarantees, as well as competition for deposits between banks or with other products, such as mutual funds. Any of these factors could increase the amount of retail deposit withdrawals in a short period of time, thereby reducing our ability to access retail deposit funding on appropriate terms, or at all, in the future. If these circumstances were to arise, this could have a material adverse effect on our operating results, financial condition and prospects.

We anticipate that our customers will continue, in the near future, to make short-term deposits (particularly demand deposits and short-term time deposits), and we intend to maintain our emphasis on the use of banking deposits as a source of funds. As of December 31, 2021, 99.4% of our customer deposits had remaining maturities of one year or less or were payable on demand. A significant portion of our assets have longer maturities, resulting in a mismatch between the maturities of liabilities and the maturities of assets. Historically, one of our principal sources of funds has been time deposits. Time deposits represented 15.0% and 19.0% of our total liabilities and equity as of December 31, 2021 and 2020, respectively. The Chilean time deposit market is concentrated given the importance in size of various large institutional investors such as pension funds and corporations relative to the total size of the economy. As of December 31, 2021, the Bank's top 20 time deposits represented 22.9% of total time deposits, or 3.6% of total liabilities and equity, and totaled U.S.\$ 2.7 billion. No assurance can be given that future economic stability in the Chilean market will not negatively affect our ability to continue funding our business or to maintain our current levels of funding without incurring increased funding costs, a reduction in the term of funding instruments or the liquidation of certain assets. If this were to happen, we could be materially adversely affected.

The short-term nature of this funding source could cause liquidity problems for us in the future if deposits are not made in the volumes we expect or are not renewed. If a substantial number of our depositors withdraw their demand deposits or do not roll over their time deposits upon maturity, we may be materially and adversely affected.

Central banks took extraordinary measures to increase liquidity in the financial markets as a response to the financial crisis and the COVID-19 pandemic. If these facilities, which are starting to be progressively reduced, were to be rapidly removed, this could have an adverse effect on our ability to access liquidity and on our funding costs.

In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Chilean Central Bank has made available two lines of credit to banks to reinforce their liquidity. Pursuant to these lines of credit, a bank may borrow up to 3% of the aggregate amount of its consumer and commercial loan portfolios as of February 29, 2020 and may borrow up to an additional 12% if it uses the funds to provide loans to companies and individuals. The first line of credit is a facility available conditionally on loan growth (the "FCIC") to ensure that banks continue to finance households and businesses in Chile. Loans provided by this line of credit may have maturities of up to 4 years and must be secured by government bonds, corporate bonds or highly rated large commercial loans as collateral. In stages 1 and 2, the Board of the Central Bank had allocated a total of US\$40 billion to this facility, of which approximately US\$30 billion was disbursed. The Central Bank in its Monetary Policy Meeting held on January 27, 2021 announced the beginning of a third stage of this instrument (FCIC3) commencing on March 1, 2021 for approximately US\$10 billion. The FCIC instruments bear interest at 0.5% (the lowest Central Bank MPR) for the duration of the program. Loans provided under the second line of credit, the LCL, are unsecured and may have maturities of up to 2 years, bearing interest in accordance with the current Central Bank MPR. In addition, borrowings by a bank under the LCL are limited to the aggregate amount of the liquidity reserve requirements of such bank. Ultimately, these lines of credit are intended to ensure banks have ample liquidity to enable them to continue financing companies and individuals. As of December 31, 2021, we had borrowed Ch\$5,611,439 million (US\$6.6 billion) under the FCIC programs and had no debt outstanding under the LCL.

Additionally, our activities could be adversely impacted by liquidity tensions arising from generalized drawdowns of committed credit lines to our customers.

We cannot assure that in the event of a sudden or unexpected shortage of funds in the banking system, we will be able to maintain levels of funding without incurring high funding costs, a reduction in the term of funding instruments or the liquidation of certain assets. If this were to happen, we could be materially adversely affected.

Changes to the pension fund system may affect our liquidity levels and/or funding costs.

The current pension fund system dates from the 1980s when pensions went from being state-funded to privately-funded, which requires Chilean employees to set aside 10% of their wages. As of December 31, 2021, the Chilean pension fund management companies (Administradora de Fondos de Pensión, or “AFPs”) had U.S.\$3.1 billion invested in the Bank via equity, deposits and fixed income. The demographics of Chilean society have changed, resulting in a need to modify the system. In January 2020, the Chilean government presented a bill for pension reform to Congress. These changes include increasing minimum pensions and introducing a social insurance scheme for events such as longevity. Also, the amount each worker must set aside would increase from the current 10% of wages to 16%. The additional 6% would be gradually introduced over 12 years and would be a cost for the employer, thus potentially raising payroll expenses. The additional 6% would not be managed by the AFPs, but by a new government pension entity. This bill has yet to be approved by Congress and we are unable to predict the final content of the law. The incoming administration has stated their desire to overhaul the current pension system, including switching to a defined benefit pension system or a mixed system with greater state involvement. The potential adverse effect of any proposed bill on our financial condition and results of operations cannot yet be ascertained.

Chilean regulations also impose a series of restrictions on how Chilean AFPs may allocate their assets. In the particular case of financial issuers’ there are three restrictions, each involving different assets and different limits determined by the amount of assets in each fund and the market and book value of the issuer’s equity. As a consequence, limits vary within funds of AFPs and issuers. According to our estimates in December 2021, the AFPs still had the possibility of being able to invest another U.S.\$8.0 billion in the Bank via equity, deposits and fixed income. If the exposure of any AFP to Santander-Chile exceeds the regulatory limits, if the regulatory limits are reduced or the amount of funds available in the pension funds falls significantly, we would need to seek alternative sources of funding, which could be more expensive and, as a consequence, may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

In July 2020, a law was passed permitting Chileans to withdraw a minimum of UF35 (U.S.\$1,200) and a maximum of UF150 (U.S.\$5,300) from their pension funds. For those that have funds below UF35, they were able to take out the total amount of their savings. The draw down was tax-free and approximately U.S.\$19.7 billion was withdrawn. In December 2020, a second pension fund withdrawal was approved, although withdrawals under this approval were not tax-exempt. This added another U.S.\$16.0 billion in liquidity to the system. On April 27, 2021, a third withdrawal was approved and added a further U.S.\$13.3 billion of liquidity into the system. Withdrawals had an immediate impact on local fixed income capital markets and between December 31, 2020 and December 31, 2021, the yield on Chile’s 10-year Central Bank nominal bond increased from 2.6% to 5.7%, reaching a peak at 6.8% on October 13, 2021. These extraordinary withdrawals have resulted in lower funding from AFPs. In addition, a bill has been proposed that would allow pensioners who receive an annuity to be eligible to receive an advancement. The FMC has stated that this new advancement of annuities could materially affect the solvency of life insurance companies with a subsequent negative impact on capital markets. No assurances can be made as to whether congress will approve additional withdrawals and as to whether the withdrawals will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, liquidity levels, and our ability to obtain funding from the AFPs.

Legal and Regulatory Risks

We are subject to regulatory capital requirements that could limit our operations, and changes to these requirements may further limit and adversely affect our operating results, financial condition and prospects.

On October 9, 2020, the FMC published the final regulations on regulatory capital to comply with effective net worth rules in accordance with Basel III and the General Banking Law. The new regulation became effective on December 1, 2021 and are being gradually implemented and adjusted to be fully effective by December 1, 2025. Pursuant to the new regulation, there are three levels of capital: ordinary capital level 1 or CET1 (basic capital), additional capital level 1 or AT1 (perpetual bonds and preferred stock) and capital level 2 or T2 (subordinated bonds and voluntary provisions). Regulatory capital is composed of the sum of CET1, AT1 and T2 after making some deductions, mainly for intangible assets, hybrid securities issued by foreign subsidiaries, partial deduction for deferred taxes and some reserve and profit accounts. For further details of capital requirements, please see “Item 4 Information on the Company– B. Business Overview-Regulation and Supervision– Minimum Capital.”

We believe our current capital levels are adequate, but we cannot rule out having to raise additional capital in the future in order to maintain our capital adequacy ratios above the minimum required by the FMC. Our ability to raise additional capital may be limited by numerous factors, including: our future financial condition, results of operations and cash flows; any necessary government regulatory approvals; our credit ratings; general market conditions for capital raising activities by commercial banks and other financial institutions; and domestic and international economic, political and other conditions. If we require additional capital in the future, we cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain such capital on favorable terms, in a timely manner or at all. Furthermore, the FMC may increase the minimum capital adequacy requirements applicable to us. Accordingly, although we currently meet the applicable capital adequacy requirements, we may face difficulties in meeting these requirements in the future. If we fail to meet the capital adequacy requirements, we may be required to take corrective actions. These measures could materially and adversely affect our business reputation, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, if we are unable to raise enough capital in a timely manner, the growth of our loan portfolio and other risk-weighted assets may be restricted, and we may face significant challenges in implementing our business strategy. As a result, our prospects, results of operations and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

We are subject to liquidity requirements that could limit our operations, and changes to these requirements may further limit and adversely affect our operating results, financial condition and prospects.

The FMC and the Central Bank published new liquidity standards in 2015 and ratios that must be implemented and calculated by all banks. These new liquidity standards are in line with those established in Basel III. The most important liquidity ratios that have been adopted by Chilean banks are:

- Liquidity coverage ratio (LCR), which measures the percentage of liquid assets over net cash outflows. The new guidelines also define liquid assets and the formulas for calculating net cash outflows.
- Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR) which will measure a bank's available stable funding relative to its required stable funding. Both concepts are also defined in the new regulations.

The implementation of internationally accepted liquidity ratios might require changes in business practices that affect our profitability. The LCR is a liquidity standard that measures if banks have enough high-quality liquid assets to cover expected net cash outflows over a 30-day liquidity stress period. The net stable funding ratio (NSFR) provides a sustainable maturity structure of assets and liabilities such that banks maintain a stable funding profile in relation to their activities. As of December 31, 2021 our LCR and NSFR were 149% and 110.8%, respectively while we are in compliance of regulatory requirements, no assurance can be made as to whether we will remain in compliance in the future. Moreover, there can be no assurance that the application of the existing regulatory requirements, standards or recommendations will not require us to issue additional securities that qualify as own funds or eligible liabilities, to maintain a greater proportion of its assets in highly-liquid but lower-yielding financial instruments, to liquidate assets, to curtail business or to take any other actions, any of which may have a material adverse effect on the our business, results of operations and/or financial position.

We are subject to extensive regulatory risk, or the risk of not being able to meet all of the applicable regulatory requirements and guidelines.

As a financial institution, we are subject to extensive regulation, inspections, examinations, inquiries, audits and other regulatory requirements by Chilean regulatory authorities, which materially affect our businesses. We cannot assure you that we will be able to meet all of the applicable regulatory requirements and guidelines, or that we will not be subject to sanctions, fines, restrictions on our business or other penalties in the future as a result of noncompliance. If higher capital requirements, sanctions, fines, restrictions on our business or other penalties are imposed on us for failure to comply with applicable requirements, guidelines or regulations, our business, financial condition, results of operations and our reputation and ability to engage in business may be materially and adversely affected.

In August 2021, Law No. 21,365 was enacted, regulating interchange fees in the credit card payment market in Chile. An autonomous and technical committee was formed to determine the interchange fee limits, conformed by 4 members designated by the Central Bank, the FMC, the National Economic Prosecutor (*Fiscalía Nacional Económica*) and the Ministry of Finance. This committee had six months to announce the first transitory limits. Interchange fee limits will be determined every three years. On February 5, 2022, the committee announced the new limits for interchange fees with a maximum fee of 0.6% for debit cards, 1.48% for credit cards and 1.04% for prepaid cards. Our initial estimate of the impact of this regulation is a decrease of approximately Ch\$30 billion per year in card fees.

In addition, Congress is currently discussing a bill that would introduce certain debtor rights, limiting interest rates, accelerating clauses and commissions. If enacted as currently proposed, this legislation may negatively affect our interest rate income and fees, which in turn could have a material adverse effect on our operating results, financial condition and prospects. No assurances can be made as to whether this law will be enacted or, if enacted, as to the final provisions contained therein.

In their supervisory roles, the regulators seek to maintain the safety and soundness of financial institutions with the aim of strengthening the protection of customers and the financial system. The supervisors' continuing supervision of financial institutions is conducted through a variety of regulatory tools, including the collection of information by way of prudential returns, reports obtained from skilled persons, visits to firms and regular meetings with management to discuss issues such as performance, risk management and strategy. In general, these regulators have a more outcome-focused regulatory approach that involves more proactive enforcement and more punitive penalties for infringement. As a result, we face increased supervisory scrutiny (resulting in increasing internal compliance costs and supervision fees), and in the event of a breach of our regulatory obligations we are likely to face more stringent regulatory fines.

Changes in regulations may also cause us to face increased compliance costs and limitations on our ability to pursue certain business opportunities and provide certain products and services. As some of the banking laws and regulations have been recently adopted, the way those laws and related regulations are applied to the operations of financial institutions is still evolving. Moreover, to the extent that regulations are implemented inconsistently in the various jurisdictions in which we operate, we may face higher compliance costs.

No assurance can be given generally that laws or regulations will be adopted, enforced or interpreted in a manner that will not have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Modifications to reserve requirements may affect our business.

Deposits are subject to a reserve requirement of 9.0% for demand deposits and 3.6% for time deposits (with terms of less than one year). The Central Bank has statutory authority to require banks to maintain reserves of up to an average of 40.0% for demand deposits and up to 20.0% for time deposits (irrespective, in each case, of the currency in which these deposits are denominated) to implement monetary policy. In addition, to the extent that the aggregate amount of the following types of liabilities exceeds 2.5 times the amount of a bank's regulatory capital, a bank must maintain a 100% reserve against them: demand deposits, deposits in checking accounts, obligations payable on sight incurred in the ordinary course of business and, in general, all deposits unconditionally payable immediately. The General Banking Law also states that the FMC, with the approval from the Central Bank, may lower this threshold from 2.5 times to 1.5 times a bank's regulatory capital for a bank considered to be a SIB. This could lead to lower loan growth and have a negative effect on our business. As of December 31, 2021, the Central Bank required us to maintain an additional technical reserve of Ch\$4,272,695 million, representing 15.2% of our demand deposits, due to the strong rise in demand deposits since the beginning of the pandemic.

We may not be able to detect or prevent money laundering and other financial crime activities fully or on a timely basis, which could expose us to additional liability and could have a material adverse effect on us.

We are required to comply with applicable anti-money laundering ("AML"), anti-terrorism, anti-bribery and corruption, sanctions and other laws and regulations applicable to us. These laws and regulations require us, among other things, to conduct full customer due diligence (including sanctions and politically-exposed person screening), keep our customer, account and transaction information up to date and have implemented financial crime policies and procedures detailing what is required from those responsible. We are also required to conduct AML training for our employees and to report suspicious transactions and activity to appropriate law enforcement following full investigation by our AML team.

Financial crime has become the subject of enhanced regulatory scrutiny and supervision by regulators globally. AML, anti-bribery and corruption and sanctions laws and regulations are increasingly complex and detailed. The Basel Committee has introduced guidelines to strengthen the interaction and cooperation between prudential and AML/CFT supervisors. Compliance with these laws and regulations requires automated systems, sophisticated monitoring and skilled compliance personnel.

We maintain updated policies and procedures aimed at detecting and preventing the use of our banking network for money laundering and other financial crime related activities. However, emerging technologies, such as cryptocurrencies and innovative payment methods, could limit our ability to track the movement of funds. Our ability to comply with the legal requirements depends on our ability to improve detection and reporting capabilities and reduce variation in control processes and oversight accountability. These require implementation and embedding within our business effective controls and monitoring, which in turn requires on-going changes to systems and operational activities. Financial crime is continually evolving and is subject to increasingly stringent regulatory oversight and focus. This requires proactive and adaptable responses from us so that we are able to deter threats and criminality effectively. Even known threats can never be fully eliminated, and there will be instances where we may be used by other parties to engage in money laundering and other illegal or improper activities. In addition, we rely heavily on our employees to assist us by spotting such activities and reporting them, and our employees have varying degrees of experience in recognizing criminal tactics and understanding the level of sophistication of criminal organizations. Where we outsource any of our customer due diligence, customer screening or anti financial crime operations, we remain responsible and accountable for full compliance and any breaches. If we are unable to apply the necessary scrutiny and oversight of third parties to whom we outsource certain tasks and processes, there remains a risk of regulatory breach.

If we are unable to comply fully with applicable laws, regulations and expectations, our regulators and relevant law enforcement agencies have the ability and authority to impose significant fines and other penalties on us, including requiring a complete review of our business systems, day-to-day supervision by external consultants and ultimately the revocation of our banking license.

The reputational damage to our business and global brand would be severe if we were found to have breached AML, anti-bribery and corruption or sanctions requirements. Our reputation could also suffer if we are unable to protect our customers' bank products and services from being used by criminals for illegal or improper purposes.

In addition, while we review our relevant counterparties' internal policies and procedures with respect to such matters, we expect our relevant counterparties to maintain and properly apply their own appropriate compliance procedures and internal policies. Such measures, procedures and internal policies may not be completely effective in preventing third parties from using our (and our relevant counterparties') services as a conduit for illicit purposes (including illegal cash operations) without our (and our relevant counterparties') knowledge. If we are associated with, or even accused of being associated with, breaches of AML, anti-terrorism or sanctions requirements, our reputation could suffer and/or we could become subject to fines, sanctions and/or legal enforcement (including being added to "watch lists" that would prohibit certain parties from engaging in transactions with us), any one of which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results, financial condition and prospects.

Any such risks could have a material adverse effect on our operating results, financial condition and prospects.

We are subject to extensive regulation and regulatory and governmental oversight which could adversely affect our business, operations and financial condition.

As a financial institution, we are subject to extensive regulation, inspections, examinations, inquiries, audits and other regulatory requirements by Chilean regulatory authorities, which materially affect our businesses. We cannot assure you that we will be able to meet all of the applicable regulatory requirements and guidelines, or that we will not be subject to sanctions, fines, restrictions on our business or other penalties in the future as a result of noncompliance. If sanctions, fines, restrictions on our business, higher capital requirement or other penalties are imposed on us for failure to comply with applicable requirements, guidelines or regulations, our business, financial condition, results of operations and our reputation and ability to engage in business may be materially and adversely affected.

In their supervisory roles, the regulators seek to maintain the safety and soundness of financial institutions with the aim of strengthening the protection of customers and the financial system. The supervisors' continuing supervision of financial institutions is conducted through a variety of regulatory tools, including the collection of information by way of prudential returns, reports obtained from skilled persons, visits to firms and regular meetings with management to discuss issues such as performance, risk management and strategy. In general, these regulators have a more outcome-focused regulatory approach that involves more proactive enforcement and more punitive penalties for infringement. As a result, we face increased supervisory scrutiny (resulting in increasing internal compliance costs and supervision fees), and in the event of a breach of our regulatory obligations we are likely to face more stringent regulatory fines.

Changes in regulations may also cause us to face increased compliance costs and limitations on our ability to pursue certain business opportunities and provide certain products and services. As some of the banking laws and regulations have been recently adopted, the manner in which those laws and related regulations are applied to the operations of financial institutions is still evolving. Moreover, to the extent these recently adopted regulations are implemented inconsistently in the various jurisdictions in which we operate, we may face higher compliance costs. No assurance can be given generally that laws or regulations will be adopted, enforced or interpreted in a manner that will not have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

The main regulations and regulatory and governmental oversight that can adversely impact us include but are not limited to the following (see more details on “Item 4. Information on the Company–B. Business Overview–Regulation and Supervision”).

We are subject to regulation by the FMC and by the Central Bank with regard to certain matters, including reserve requirements, interest rates, foreign exchange mismatches and market risks. Chilean laws, regulations, policies and interpretations of laws relating to the banking sector and financial institutions are continually evolving and changing. Any new reforms could result in increased competition in the industry and thus may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Pursuant to the General Banking Law, all Chilean banks may, subject to the approval of the FMC, engage in certain businesses other than commercial banking depending on the risk associated with such business and their financial strength. Such additional businesses include securities brokerage, mutual fund management, securitization, insurance brokerage, leasing, factoring, financial advisory, custody and transportation of securities, loan collection and financial services. The General Banking Law also applies to the Chilean banking system a modified version of the capital adequacy guidelines issued by the Basel Committee on Banking Regulation and Supervisory Practices and limits the discretion of the FMC to deny new banking licenses. There can be no assurance that regulators will not in the future impose more restrictive limitations on the activities of banks, including us. Any such change could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Historically, Chilean banks have not paid interest on amounts deposited in checking accounts. We have begun to pay interest on some checking accounts under certain conditions. If competition or other factors lead us to pay higher interest rates on checking accounts, to relax the conditions under which we pay interest or to increase the number of checking accounts on which we pay interest, any such change could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

We are exposed to risk of loss from legal and regulatory proceedings.

We face risk of loss from legal and regulatory proceedings, including tax proceedings, that could subject us to monetary judgements, regulatory enforcement actions, fines and penalties. The current regulatory and tax enforcement environment in the jurisdictions in which we operate reflects an increased supervisory focus on enforcement, combined with uncertainty about the evolution of the regulatory regime, and may lead to material operational and compliance costs.

We are from time to time subject to regulatory investigations and civil and tax claims, and party to certain legal proceedings incidental to the normal course of our business, including among others in connection with conflicts of interest, lending and derivatives activities, relationships with our employees and other commercial, data protection or tax matters. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of legal matters, particularly where the claimants seek very large or indeterminate damages, or where the cases present novel legal theories, involve a large number of parties or are in the early stages of investigation or discovery, we cannot state with certainty what the eventual outcome of these pending matters will be or what the eventual loss, fines or penalties related to each pending matter may be.

The amount of our reserves in respect of these matters, which considers the likelihood of future cash flows associated with each of such claims, is substantially less than the total amount of the claims asserted against us, and, in light of the uncertainties involved in such claims and proceedings, there is no assurance that the ultimate resolution of these matters will not significantly exceed the reserves currently accrued by us. As a result, the outcome of a particular matter may be material to our operating results for a particular period. At December 31, 2021, we had provisions for legal contingencies of Ch\$1,395 million.

RISK FACTORS IN RESPECT OF CHILE

Political, legal, regulatory and economic uncertainty arising from social unrest and the resulting social reforms, as well as the enactment of Chile's new constitution could adversely impact the Bank's business.

During October 2019, growing public concern over perceived social inequality led to a rise in social unrest. The social unrest caused commercial disruptions throughout the country, especially in Santiago and other major cities, including Valparaíso and Concepción. After three weeks of nationwide protests, the Chilean government announced in November 2019 that it would initiate a process to draft a new constitution for Chile. When the government announced the process of enacting a new constitution, there was increased volatility in the Chilean stock market and exchange rate fluctuations that resulted in a weakening of the Chilean peso against the U.S. dollar. The share prices on local banks and bond spreads, including Santander Chile, suffered significant declines in the market. In November 2020, a referendum was held to vote on two matters: (i) whether a new constitution should be enacted and (ii) if so, whether a constituent convention should be comprised of an elected mixed assembly of current Congress members and newly elected persons or entirely comprised of newly-elected citizens. This referendum resulted in ample support for convening a fully elected Constitutional Convention to draft Chile's new constitution. The election of the members of this convention was held in April 2021. In May 2021 the convention began the process of drafting Chile's new constitution. Each new article of the Constitution will have to be approved by two thirds of the convention, a rule that was ratified in September 2021 by the convention itself. The Constitutional Convention has approximately one year, from May 2021 to complete the draft of the constitution. An exit referendum with compulsory participation will then be held to ratify the new constitution. The long-term effects of the new constitution are hard to predict, but could include slower economic growth and higher taxes, which could adversely affect the Bank's profitability and prospects.

Our growth, asset quality and profitability may be adversely affected by macroeconomic and political conditions in Chile.

A substantial number of our loans are to borrowers doing business in Chile. Chile's economy has experienced significant volatility in recent decades, characterized, in some cases, by slow or regressive growth and declining investment. This volatility resulted in fluctuations in the levels of deposits and in the relative economic strength of various segments of the economies to which we lend. The Chilean economy may not continue to grow at similar rates as in the past or future developments may negatively affect Chile's overall levels of economic activity.

Negative and fluctuating economic conditions, such as slowing or negative growth and a changing interest rate and inflationary environment, impact our profitability by causing lending margins to decrease and credit quality to decline and leading to decreased demand for higher margin products and services. Negative and fluctuating economic conditions in Chile could also result in government defaults on public debt. This could affect us in two ways: directly, through portfolio losses, and indirectly, through instabilities that a default in public debt could cause to the banking system as a whole, particularly since commercial banks' exposure to government debt is high in Chile.

Our revenues are also subject to risk of loss from unfavorable political and diplomatic developments, social instability, international conflicts, and changes in governmental policies, including expropriation, nationalization, international ownership legislation, interest-rate caps and tax policies.

Any future fluctuation in oil prices may give rise to volatility in the global financial markets and further economic instability in oil-dependent regions, such as Chile. In addition, the ability of borrowers in or exposed to the oil sector has been and may be further adversely affected by such price fluctuations.

Our growth, asset quality and profitability may be adversely affected by volatile macroeconomic and political conditions in Chile.

Any material change to United States trade policy with respect to Chile could have a material adverse effect on the economy, which could in turn materially harm our financial condition and results of operations.

Portions of our loan portfolio are subject to risks relating to force majeure events and any such event could materially adversely affect our operating results.

Chile lies on the Nazca tectonic plate, making it one of the world's most seismically active regions. Our financial and operating performance may be adversely affected by force majeure events, such as natural disasters, particularly in locations where a significant portion of our loan portfolio is composed of real estate loans. Natural disasters such as earthquakes and floods may cause widespread damage which could impair the asset quality of our loan portfolio and could have an adverse impact on the economy of the affected region.

Changes in taxes, including the corporate tax rate, in Chile may have an adverse effect on us and our clients.

The Chilean Government enacted various tax reforms in 2014, 2016 and 2020 in order to finance greater social expenditures. The most relevant change was the rise of the corporate tax rate to 27% in 2018. There is currently discussion of another tax reform to finance the growing deficit. In January 2022, Congress began discussing proposal to raise funds for the guaranteed universal pension to be funded by means of a high equity tax for individuals. We cannot predict at this time if these reforms or discussions will have a material impact on our business or clients or if further tax reforms will be implemented in the future. Banco Santander Chile's effective corporate tax rate could rise in the future, which may have an adverse impact on our results of operations. Please see "Item 10-Additional information-E. Taxation" for more information regarding the impacts of these tax reforms on ADR holders.

Developments in other countries may affect us, including the prices for our securities.

The prices of securities issued by Chilean companies, including banks, are influenced to varying degrees by economic and market considerations in other countries. We cannot assure you that future developments in or affecting the Chilean economy, including consequences of economic difficulties in other markets, will not materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We are exposed to risks related to the weakness and volatility of the economic and political situation in Asia, the United States, Europe (including Spain, where Santander Spain, our controlling shareholder, is based), Brazil, Argentina and other nations. Although economic conditions in Europe and the United States may differ significantly from economic conditions in Chile, investors' reactions to developments in these other countries may have an adverse effect on the market value of securities of Chilean issuers. In particular, investor perceptions of the risks associated with our securities may be affected by perception of risk conditions in Spain.

If these, or other nations' economic conditions deteriorate, the economy in Chile, as both a neighboring country and a trading partner, could also be affected and could experience slower growth than in recent years, with possible adverse impact on our borrowers and counterparties. If this were to occur, we would potentially need to increase our allowances for loan losses, thus affecting our financial results, our results of operations and the price of our securities. As of December 31, 2021, the Bank's foreign exposure, including counterparty risk in the derivative instruments' portfolio, was US\$4,291 million or 5.4% of our total assets. There can be no assurance that the effects of a global financial crisis will not negatively impact growth, consumption, unemployment, investment and the price of exports in Chile. Crises and political uncertainties in other Latin American countries could also have an adverse effect on Chile, the price of our securities or our business.

Chile has considerable economic ties with China, the United States and Europe. In 2021, approximately 38.2% of Chile's exports went to China, mainly copper. China's economy has grown at a strong pace in recent times, but a slowdown in economic activity in China may affect Chile's GDP and export growth as well as the price of copper, which is Chile's main export. Chile exported approximately 15.9% of total exports to the United States and 11.7% to Europe in 2021.

Chile was recently involved in international litigation with Bolivia regarding maritime borders. We cannot assure you that crises and political uncertainty in other Latin American countries will not have an adverse effect on Chile, the price of our securities or our business.

A change in labor laws in Chile or a worsening of labor relations in the Bank could impact our business.

As of December 31, 2021, on a consolidated basis, we had 9,988 employees, of which 73.2% were unionized. In February 2021, a new collective bargaining agreement was signed with the main unions, which became effective as of September 1, 2021 and expires on December 31, 2024. We generally apply the terms of our collective bargaining agreement to unionized and non-unionized employees. We have traditionally had good relations with our employees and their unions, but we cannot assure you that in the future, a strengthening of cross-industry labor movements will not materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

There is currently a new labor reform being discussed in Congress, which, among other items, shortens the work week from 45 hours to 40 hours, excluding the lunch break. There is also discussion to increase minimum wage currently set at Ch\$337,000/month (US\$394/month). At Santander Chile, the weekly working hours agreed under the collective bargaining agreement are 40 hours, excluding lunch, and our minimum wage is set above the legal minimum. Despite this, we cannot assure at this time that the new labor reform will not have material impact on our expenses.

In addition, a bill is currently being discussed in Congress to modify the terms of the “*gratificación legal*,” which consists of an annual participation to employees of a company’s profit. The new bill being discussed seeks to modify the Labor Code regarding the participation of workers in the profits of companies. The new bill proposes to modify the Labor Code to increase the *gratificación legal* distributed to employees and change the way it is calculated. No assurances can be made as to whether the proposed bill will be approved and as to whether, if approved, it will have a material impact on our financial condition.

These and any additional legislative or regulatory actions in Chile, Spain, the European Union, the United States or other countries, and any required changes to our business operations resulting from such legislation and regulations, could result in reduced capital availability, significant loss of revenue, limit our ability to continue organic growth (including increased lending), pursue business opportunities in which we might otherwise consider engaging and provide certain products and services, affect the value of assets that we hold, require us to increase our prices and therefore reduce demand for our products, impose additional costs on us or otherwise adversely affect our businesses. Accordingly, we cannot provide assurance that any such new legislation or regulations would not have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition in the future.

Our corporate disclosure may differ from disclosure regularly published by issuers of securities in other countries, including the United States.

Issuers of securities in Chile are required to make public disclosures that are different from, and that may be reported under presentations that are not consistent with, disclosures required in other countries, including the United States. In particular, as a Chilean regulated financial institution, we are required to submit to the FMC on a monthly basis unaudited consolidated balance sheets and income statements, excluding any note disclosure, prepared in accordance with Chilean Bank GAAP as issued by the FMC. This disclosure differs in a number of significant respects from generally accepted accounting principles in the United States and information generally available in the United States with respect to U.S. financial institutions or IFRS. In addition, as a foreign private issuer, we are not subject to the same disclosure requirements in the United States as a domestic U.S. registrant under the Exchange Act, including the requirements to prepare and issue quarterly reports, the proxy rules applicable to domestic U.S. registrants under Section 14 of the Exchange Act or the insider reporting and short-swing profit rules under Section 16 of the Exchange Act. Accordingly, the information about us available to you will not be the same as the information available to shareholders of a U.S. company and may be reported in a manner that you are not familiar with.

RISKS FACTORS IN RESPECT OF OUR CONTROLLING SHAREHOLDER AND OUR ADSs

Investors may find it difficult to enforce civil liabilities against us or our directors, officers and controlling persons.

We are a Chilean corporation. None of our directors are residents of the United States and most of our executive officers reside outside of the United States. In addition, all or a substantial portion of our assets and the assets of our directors and executive officers are located outside of the United States. Although we have appointed an agent for service of process in any action against us in the United States with respect to our ADSs, none of our directors, officers or controlling persons has consented to service of process in the United States or to the jurisdiction of any United States court. As a result, it may be difficult for investors to effect service of process within the United States on such persons.

It may also be difficult for ADS holders to enforce in the United States or in Chilean courts money judgments obtained in United States courts against us or our directors and executive officers based on civil liability provisions of the U.S. federal securities laws. If a U.S. court grants a final money judgment in an action based on the civil liability provisions of the federal securities laws of the United States, enforceability of this money judgment in Chile will be subject to the obtaining of the relevant “exequatur” (i.e., recognition and enforcement of the foreign judgment) according to Chilean civil procedure law currently in force, and consequently, subject to the satisfaction of certain factors. The most important of these factors are the existence of reciprocity, the absence of a conflicting judgment by a Chilean court relating to the same parties and arising from the same facts and circumstances and the Chilean courts’ determination that the U.S. courts had jurisdiction, that process was appropriately served on the defendant and that enforcement would not violate Chilean public policy. Failure to satisfy any of such requirements may result in non-enforcement of your rights.

Our controlling shareholder has a great deal of influence over our business and its interests could conflict with yours.

Santander Spain controls Santander-Chile through its holdings in Teatinos Siglo XXI Inversiones S.A. and Santander Chile Holding S.A., which are controlled subsidiaries. Santander Spain has control over 67.18% of our shares and an actual participation, excluding non-controlling shareholders that participate in Santander Chile Holding, S.A., of 67.12%.

Due to its share ownership, our controlling shareholder has the ability to control us and our subsidiaries, including the ability to:

- elect the majority of the directors and exercise control over our company and subsidiaries;
- cause the appointment of our principal officers;
- declare the payment of any dividends;
- agree to sell or otherwise transfer its controlling stake in us; and
- determine the outcome of substantially all actions requiring shareholder approval, including amendments of our by-laws, transactions with related parties, corporate reorganizations, acquisitions and disposals of assets and issuance of additional equity securities, if any.

We operate as a stand-alone subsidiary within the Santander Group. Our controlling shareholder has no liability for our banking operations, except for the amount of its holdings of our capital stock. The interests of Santander Spain may differ from the interests of our other shareholders, and the concentration of control in Santander Spain may differ from the interests of our other shareholders, and the concentration of control in Santander Spain will limit other shareholders’ ability to influence corporate matters. As a result, we may take actions that our other shareholders do not view as beneficial.

Our status as a controlled company and a foreign private issuer exempts us from certain of the corporate governance standards of the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”), limiting the protections afforded to investors.

We are a “controlled company” and a “foreign private issuer” within the meaning of the NYSE corporate governance standards. Under the NYSE rules, a controlled company is exempt from certain NYSE corporate governance requirements. In addition, a foreign private issuer may elect to comply with the practice of its home country and not to comply with certain NYSE corporate governance requirements, including the requirements that (1) a majority of the board of directors consist of independent directors, (2) a nominating and corporate governance committee be established that is composed entirely of independent directors and has a written charter addressing the committee’s purpose and responsibilities, (3) a compensation committee be established that is composed entirely of independent directors and has a written charter addressing the committee’s purpose and responsibilities and (4) an annual performance evaluation of the nominating and corporate governance and compensation committees be undertaken. Although we have similar practices, they do not entirely conform to the NYSE requirements for U.S. issuers; therefore, we currently use these exemptions and intend to continue using them. Accordingly, you will not have the same protections afforded to shareholders of companies that are subject to all NYSE corporate governance requirements.

There may be a lack of liquidity and market for our shares and ADSs.

Our ADSs are listed and traded on the NYSE (under the ticker “BSAC”). Our common stock is listed and traded on the Santiago Stock Exchange (under the ticker “BSANTANDER”), which we refer to as the Chilean Stock Exchange, although the trading market for the common stock is small by international standards. At December 31, 2021, we had 188,446,126,794 shares of common stock outstanding. The Chilean securities markets are substantially smaller, less liquid and more volatile than major securities markets in the United States. According to Article 14 of the Ley de Mercado de Valores, Ley No. 18,045, or the Chilean Securities Market Law, the FMC may suspend the offer, quotation or trading of shares of any company listed on one or more Chilean stock exchanges for up to 30 days if, in its opinion, such suspension is necessary to protect investors or is justified for reasons of public interest. Such suspension may be extended for up to 120 days. If, at the expiration of the extension, the circumstances giving rise to the original suspension have not changed, the FMC will then cancel the relevant listing in the registry of securities. In addition, the Santiago Stock Exchange may inquire as to any movement in the price of any securities in excess of 10% and suspend trading in such securities for a day if it deems necessary.

Although our common stock is traded on the Chilean Stock Exchange, there can be no assurance that a liquid trading market for our common stock will continue to exist. Approximately 32.82% of our outstanding common stock is held by the public (i.e., shareholders other than Santander Spain and its affiliates), including our shares that are represented by ADSs trading on the NYSE. A limited trading market in general and our concentrated ownership in particular may impair the ability of an ADS holder to sell in the Chilean market shares of common stock obtained upon withdrawal of such shares from the ADR facility in the amount and at the price and time such holder desires, and could increase the volatility of the price of the ADSs.

Chile imposes controls on foreign investment and repatriation of investments that may affect your investment in, and earnings from, our ADSs.

Equity investments in Chile by persons who are not Chilean residents have generally been subject to various exchange control regulations, which restrict the repatriation of the investments and earnings therefrom. In April 2001, the Central Bank eliminated the regulations that affected foreign investors, except that investors are still required to provide the Central Bank with information relating to equity investments and conduct such operations within Chile’s Formal Exchange Market. The ADSs are subject to a contract, dated May 17, 1994, among the Depositary, us and the Central Bank (the “Foreign Investment Contract”) that remains in full force and effect. The ADSs continue to be governed by the provisions of the Foreign Investment Contract subject to the regulations in existence prior to April 2001. The Foreign Investment Contract grants the Depositary and the holders of the ADSs access to the Formal Exchange Market, which permits the Depositary to remit dividends it receives from us to the holders of the ADSs. The Foreign Investment Contract also permits ADS holders to repatriate the proceeds from the sale of shares of our common stock withdrawn from the ADR facility, or that have been received free of payment as a consequence of spin offs, mergers, capital increases, wind ups, share dividends or preemptive rights transfers, enabling them to acquire the foreign currency necessary to repatriate earnings from such investments. Pursuant to Chilean law, the Foreign Investment Contract cannot be amended unilaterally by the Central Bank, and there are judicial precedents (although not binding with respect to future judicial decisions) indicating that contracts of this type may not be abrogated by future legislative changes or resolutions of the Advisory Council of the Central Bank. Holders of shares of our common stock, except for shares of our common stock withdrawn from the ADS facility or received in the manner described above, are not entitled to the benefits of the Foreign Investment Contract, may not have access to the Formal Exchange Market, and may have restrictions on their ability to repatriate investments in shares of our common stock and earnings therefrom.

Holders of ADSs are entitled to receive dividends on the underlying shares to the same extent as the holders of shares. Dividends received by holders of ADSs will be paid net of foreign currency exchange fees and expenses of the Depositary and will be subject to the Chilean withholding tax, currently imposed at a rate of 35.0% (subject to credits in certain cases). If for any reason, including changes in Chilean law, the Depositary was unable to convert Chilean pesos to U.S. dollars, investors would receive dividends and other distributions, if any, in Chilean pesos.

We cannot assure you that additional Chilean restrictions applicable to holders of our ADSs, the disposition of the shares underlying them or the repatriation of the proceeds from such disposition or the payment of dividends will not be imposed in the future, nor can we advise you as to the duration or impact of such restrictions if imposed.

You may be unable to exercise preemptive rights.

The *Ley Sobre Sociedades Anónimas, Ley No. 18,046* and the *Reglamento de Sociedades Anónimas*, which we refer to collectively as the Chilean Companies Law, and applicable regulations require that whenever we issue new common stock for cash, we grant preemptive rights to all of our shareholders (including holders of ADSs), giving them the right to purchase a sufficient number of shares to maintain their existing ownership percentage. Such an offering would not be possible in the United States unless a registration statement under the U.S. Securities Act of 1933 (“Securities Act”), as amended, was effective with respect to such rights and common stock or an exemption from the registration requirements thereunder were available.

Since we are not obligated to make a registration statement available with respect to such rights and the common stock, you may not be able to exercise your preemptive rights in the United States. If a registration statement is not filed or an applicable exemption is not available under U.S. securities law, the Depositary will sell such holders’ preemptive rights and distribute the proceeds thereof if a premium can be recognized over the cost of any such sale.

As a holder of ADSs you will have different shareholders’ rights than in the United States and certain other jurisdictions.

Our corporate affairs are governed by our *estatutos*, or by-laws, and the laws of Chile, which may differ from the legal principles that would apply if we were incorporated in a jurisdiction in the United States or in certain other jurisdictions outside Chile. Under Chilean corporate law, you may have fewer and less well-defined rights to protect your interests than under the laws of other jurisdictions outside Chile. For example, under legislation applicable to Chilean banks, our shareholders would not be entitled to appraisal rights in the event of a merger or other business combination undertaken by us.

Although Chilean corporate law imposes restrictions on insider trading and price manipulation, the form of these regulations and the manner of their enforcement may differ from that in the U.S. securities markets or markets in certain other jurisdictions. In addition, in Chile, self-dealing and the preservation of shareholder interests may be regulated differently, which could potentially disadvantage you as a holder of the shares underlying ADSs.

Holders of ADSs may find it difficult to exercise voting rights at our shareholders’ meetings.

Holders of ADSs will not be our direct shareholders and will be unable to enforce directly the rights of shareholders under our by-laws and the laws of Chile. Holders of ADSs may exercise voting rights with respect to the common stock represented by ADSs only in accordance with the deposit agreement governing the ADSs. Holders of ADSs will face practical limitations in exercising their voting rights because of the additional steps involved in our communications with ADS holders. Holders of our common stock will be able to exercise their voting rights by attending a shareholders’ meeting in person or voting by proxy. By contrast, holders of ADSs will receive notice of a shareholders’ meeting by mail from the Depositary following our notice to the Depositary requesting the Depositary to do so. To exercise their voting rights, holders of ADSs must instruct the Depositary on a timely basis on how they wish to vote. This voting process necessarily will take longer for holders of ADSs than for holders of our common stock. If the Depositary fails to receive timely voting instructions for all or part of the ADSs, the Depositary will assume that the holders of those ADSs are instructing it to give a discretionary proxy to a person designated by us to vote their ADSs, except in limited circumstances.

Holders of ADSs also may not receive the voting materials in time to instruct the Depositary to vote the common stock underlying their ADSs. In addition, the Depositary and its agents are not responsible for failing to carry out voting instructions of the holders of ADSs or for the manner of carrying out those voting instructions. Accordingly, holders of ADSs may not be able to exercise voting rights, and they will have little, if any, recourse if the common stocks underlying their ADSs are not voted as requested.

ADS holders may be subject to additional risks related to holding ADSs rather than shares.

Because ADS holders do not hold their shares directly, they are subject to the following additional risks, among others:

- as an ADS holder, you may not be able to exercise the same shareholder rights as a direct holder of ordinary shares;
- we and the Depositary may amend or terminate the deposit agreement without the ADS holders' consent in a manner that could prejudice ADS holders or that could affect the ability of ADS holders to transfer ADSs; and
- the Depositary may take or be required to take actions under the Deposit Agreement that may have adverse consequences for some ADS holders in their particular circumstances.

GENERAL RISK FACTORS

Disclosure controls and procedures over financial reporting may not prevent or detect all errors or acts of fraud.

Disclosure controls and procedures, including internal controls, over financial reporting are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the company in reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") is accumulated and communicated to management, and recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's US Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

These disclosure controls and procedures have inherent limitations, which include the possibility that judgements in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of errors or mistakes. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by any unauthorized override of the controls. Consequently, our businesses are exposed to risk from potential non-compliance with policies, employee misconduct or negligence and fraud, which could result in regulatory sanctions, civil claims and serious reputational or financial harm. In recent years, a number of multinational financial institutions have suffered material losses due to the actions of 'rogue traders' or other employees. It is not always possible to deter employee misconduct and the precautions we take to prevent and detect this activity may not always be effective. Accordingly, because of the inherent limitations in the control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Our financial statements are based in part on assumptions and estimates which, if inaccurate, could cause material misstatement of the results of our operations and financial position.

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make judgements, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, income and expenses. Due to the inherent uncertainty in making estimates, actual results reported in future periods may be based upon amounts which differ from those estimates. Estimates, judgements and assumptions are continually evaluated and are based on historical experience and other factors, including expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Revisions to accounting estimates are recognized in the period in which the estimate is revised and in any future periods affected. The accounting policies deemed critical to our results and financial position, based upon materiality and significant judgements and estimates, include impairment of loans and advances, good will impairment, valuation of financial instruments, deferred tax assets -provisions and pension obligations for liabilities.

If the judgement, estimates and assumptions we use in preparing our consolidated financial statements are subsequently found to be incorrect, there could be a material effect on our results of operations and a corresponding effect on our funding requirements and capital ratios.

Changes in accounting standards could impact reported earnings.

The accounting standard setters and other regulatory bodies periodically change the financial accounting and reporting standards that govern the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. For example, the Bank adopted IFRS 16 as of January 1, 2019, requiring new standards for recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of leases. This led to approximately Ch\$154,284 million of assets for the right of use and lease liabilities for the same amount as of the date of adoption of IFRS 16. Changes made to accounting standards can materially impact how we record and report our financial condition and results of operations, as well as affect the calculation of our capital ratios. In some cases, we could be required to apply a new or revised standard retroactively, resulting in the restatement of prior period financial statements. Various amendments were made to financial and accounting standards in 2020 and 2021 for implementation in future periods without an impact in 2021. The Bank's management is still evaluating the potential impact of these new standards. For further information about developments in financial accounting and reporting standards, see Note 1 to our Audited Consolidated Financial Statements.

We rely on recruiting, retaining and developing appropriate senior management and skilled personnel.

Our continued success depends in part on the continued service of key members of our senior executive team and other key employees. The ability to continue to attract, train, motivate and retain highly qualified and talented professionals is a key element of our strategy. The successful implementation of our strategy and culture depends on the availability of skilled and appropriate management, both at our head office and in each of our business units. If we or one of our business units or other functions fails to staff its operations appropriately, or loses one or more of its key senior executives or other key employees and fails to replace them in a satisfactory and timely manner, our business, financial condition and results of operations, including control and operational risks, may be adversely affected.

Our ability to attract and retain qualified employees is affected by perceptions of our culture and management, our profile in the markets in which we operate and the professional opportunities we offer.

In addition, the financial industry has and may continue to experience more stringent regulation of employee compensation, which could have an adverse effect on our ability to hire or retain the most qualified employees. If we fail or are unable to attract and appropriately train, motivate and retain qualified professionals, our business may also be adversely affected.

Our business could be affected if its capital is not managed effectively or if changes limiting our ability to manage our capital position are adopted.

Effective management of our capital position is important to our ability to operate our business, to continue to grow organically and to pursue our business strategy. However, in response to the global financial crisis, a number of changes to the regulatory capital framework have been adopted. As these and other changes are implemented or future changes are considered or adopted that limit our ability to manage our balance sheet and capital resources effectively or to access funding on commercially acceptable terms, we may experience a material adverse effect on our financial condition and regulatory capital position.

We are subject to review by tax authorities, and an incorrect interpretation by us of tax laws and regulations may have a material adverse effect on us.

The preparation of our tax returns requires the use of estimates and interpretations of complex tax laws and regulations and is subject to review by tax authorities.

We are subject to the income tax laws of Chile and certain foreign countries. These tax laws are complex and subject to different interpretations by the taxpayer and relevant governmental tax authorities, which are sometimes subject to prolonged evaluation periods until a final resolution is reached. In establishing a provision for income tax expense and filing returns, we must make judgements and interpretations about the application of these inherently complex tax laws.

If the judgement, estimates and assumptions we use in preparing our tax returns are subsequently found to be incorrect, there could be a material adverse effect on our results of operations. In some jurisdictions, the interpretations of the tax authorities are unpredictable and frequently involve litigation, which introduces further uncertainty and risk as to tax expense.

We engage in transactions with related parties that others may not consider to be on an arm's-length basis.

We and our affiliates have entered into a number of services agreements pursuant to which we render services, such as administrative, accounting, finance, treasury, legal services and others.