

Our equity in earnings of Hutchison Baiyunshan reported under U.S. GAAP was \$10.2 million, \$10.7 million, \$10.4 million and \$8.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

	As of December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
	(in thousands)		
Financial position data:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 23,448	\$ 31,155	\$ 31,004
Total assets	\$ 221,735	\$ 202,646	\$ 217,171
Total company's equity holders' equity	\$ 133,369	\$ 125,063	\$ 115,308
Total liabilities	\$ 88,366	\$ 77,583	\$ 101,863

Nutrition Science Partners

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2016	2015	2014	2013
	(in thousands)			
Comprehensive income data:				
Revenue	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Loss for the year	\$ (8,482)	\$ (7,552)	\$ (16,812)	\$ (17,543)

Our equity in loss of Nutrition Science Partners reported under U.S. GAAP was \$4.2 million, \$3.8 million, \$8.4 million and \$8.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

	As of December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
	(in thousands)		
Financial position data:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 5,393	\$ 2,624	\$ 6,249
Total assets	\$ 35,393	\$ 33,034	\$ 38,548
Total company's equity holders' equity	\$ 33,611	\$ 18,093	\$ 25,645
Total liabilities	\$ 1,782	\$ 14,941	\$ 12,903

B. Capitalization and Indebtedness.

Not applicable.

C. Reasons for the Offer and Use of Proceeds.

Not applicable.

D. Risk Factors.

Risks Related to Our Financial Position and Need for Capital

We may need substantial funding for our product development programs and commercialization efforts. If we are unable to raise capital on acceptable terms when needed, we could incur losses and be forced to delay, reduce or eliminate such efforts.

We expect our expenses to increase significantly in connection with our ongoing activities, particularly as we or our collaboration partners advance the clinical development of our eight clinical drug candidates, which are currently in 30 active clinical studies in various countries with further studies targeted to begin in 2017, including four Phase III studies, and continue research and development and initiate additional clinical trials of, and seek regulatory approval for, these and other future drug candidates. In addition, if we obtain regulatory approval for any of our drug candidates, we expect to incur significant commercialization expenses related to product manufacturing, marketing, sales and distribution. In particular, the costs that may be required for the manufacture of any drug candidate that receives regulatory approval may be substantial as we may have to modify or increase the production capacity at our current manufacturing facilities or contract with third-party manufacturers. We may also incur expenses as we create additional infrastructure to support our operations as a U.S. public company. Accordingly, we may need to obtain substantial funding in connection with our continuing operations through public or private equity offerings, debt financings, collaborations or licensing arrangements.

or other sources. If we are unable to raise capital when needed or on attractive terms, we could incur losses and be forced to delay, reduce or eliminate our research and development programs or any future commercialization efforts.

We believe that our expected cashflow from operations (including from our Commercial Platform and milestone and other payments from our collaboration partners) and our cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2016, as well as the HK\$234.0 million (equivalent to \$30.0 million as of December 31, 2016) in borrowings available under our revolving credit facility with The Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation Limited, or HSBC, the HK\$210.0 million (equivalent to \$26.9 million as of December 31, 2016) we received pursuant to a four-year term loan from Scotiabank (Hong Kong) Limited, which we refer to as our 2014 Scotiabank Term Loan, and the aggregate HK\$546.0 million (equivalent to \$70.0 million using the exchange rate as of December 31, 2016) in credit facilities entered into with Bank of America N.A. and Deutsche Bank AG, Hong Kong Branch in February 2017 will enable us to fund our operating expenses, debt service and capital expenditure requirements for at least the next 12 months. We have based this estimate on assumptions that may prove to be wrong, and we could use our capital resources sooner than we currently expect. Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including:

- the number and development requirements of the drug candidates we pursue;
- the scope, progress, timing, results and costs of researching and developing our drug candidates, and conducting pre-clinical and clinical trials;
- the cost, timing and outcome of regulatory review of our drug candidates;
- the cost and timing of future commercialization activities, including product manufacturing, marketing, sales and distribution, for any of our drug candidates for which we receive regulatory approval;
- the amount and timing of any milestone payments from our collaboration partners, with whom we cooperate with respect to the development and potential commercialization of certain of our drug candidates;
- the cash received, if any, received from commercial sales of any drug candidates for which we receive regulatory approval;
- our ability to establish and maintain strategic partnerships, collaboration, licensing or other arrangements and the financial terms of such agreements;
- the cost, timing and outcome of preparing, filing and prosecuting patent applications, maintaining and enforcing our intellectual property rights and defending any intellectual property-related claims;
- our headcount growth and associated costs; and
- the costs of operating as a public company in the United States and on the AIM market.

Identifying potential drug candidates and conducting pre-clinical testing and clinical trials is a time-consuming, expensive and uncertain process that may take years to complete, and our commercial revenue, if any, will be derived from sales of products that we do not expect to be commercially available until we receive regulatory approval, if at all. We may never generate the necessary data or results required to obtain regulatory approval and achieve product sales, and even if one or more of our drug candidates is approved, they may not achieve commercial success. Accordingly, we will need to continue to rely on financing to achieve our business objectives. Adequate financing may not be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all.

If the CK Hutchison group does not renew our existing loan guarantee or does not enter into new guarantees with us, we may incur significantly higher borrowing costs.

Hutchison Whampoa Limited, a wholly owned subsidiary of CK Hutchison, has guaranteed our 2014 Scotiabank Term Loan for a guarantee fee. The CK Hutchison group has no obligation to enter into new guarantees. We may incur significantly higher funding costs if we no longer have the benefit of the CK Hutchison group guarantees or other similar arrangements by the CK Hutchison group.

Raising capital may cause dilution to our shareholders, restrict our operations or require us to relinquish rights to technologies or drug candidates.

We expect to finance our cash needs in part through cash flow generated by our Commercial Platform, and we may also rely on raising capital through a combination of public or private equity offerings, debt financings and/or license and development agreements with collaboration partners. In addition, we may seek capital due to favorable market conditions or strategic considerations, even if we believe we have sufficient funds for our current or future operating plans. To the extent that we raise capital through the sale of equity or convertible debt securities, the ownership interest of our shareholders may be materially diluted, and the terms of such securities could include liquidation or other preferences that adversely affect the rights of our existing shareholders. Debt financing and preferred equity financing, if available, may involve agreements that include restrictive covenants that limit our ability to take specified actions, such as incurring additional debt, making capital expenditures or declaring dividends. Additional debt financing would also result in increased fixed payment obligations.

In addition, if we raise funds through collaborations, strategic partnerships or marketing, distribution or licensing arrangements with third parties, we may have to relinquish valuable rights to our technologies, future revenue streams, research programs or drug candidates or grant licenses on terms that may not be favorable to us. We may also lose control of the development of drug candidates, such as the pace and scope of clinical trials, as a result of such third-party arrangements. If we are unable to raise funds through equity or debt financings when needed, we may be required to delay, limit, reduce or terminate our product development or future commercialization efforts or grant rights to develop and market drug candidates that we would otherwise prefer to develop and market ourselves.

Our existing and any future indebtedness could adversely affect our ability to operate our business.

Our outstanding indebtedness combined with current and future financial obligations and contractual commitments, including any additional indebtedness beyond our current facilities with HSBC, Scotiabank, Bank of America N.A. and Deutsche Bank AG, could have significant adverse consequences, including:

- requiring us to dedicate a portion of our cash resources to the payment of interest and principal, and prepayment and repayment fees and penalties, thereby reducing money available to fund working capital, capital expenditures, product development and other general corporate purposes;
- increasing our vulnerability to adverse changes in general economic, industry and market conditions;
- subjecting us to restrictive covenants that may reduce our ability to take certain corporate actions or obtain further debt or equity financing;
- limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we compete; and
- placing us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt or better debt servicing options.

We intend to satisfy our current and future debt service obligations with our existing cash and cash equivalents. Nevertheless, we may not have sufficient funds, and may be unable to arrange for financing, to pay the amounts due under our existing debt. Failure to make payments or comply with other covenants under our existing debt instruments could result in an event of default and acceleration of amounts due.

Risks Related to Our Innovation Platform

Historically, our in-house research and development division, known as our Innovation Platform, has not generated significant profits or has operated at a net loss.

We do not expect our Innovation Platform to be significantly profitable unless and until we obtain regulatory approval of, and begin to sell, one or more of our drug candidates. We expect to incur significant sales and marketing costs as we prepare to commercialize our drug candidates. Even if we initiate and successfully complete clinical trials of our drug candidates, and our drug candidates are approved for commercial sale, and despite expending these costs, our drug candidates may not be commercially successful. We may not achieve profitability soon after generating drug sales, if ever.

If we are unable to generate drug revenue, we will not become profitable and may be unable to continue operations without continued funding.

All of our drug candidates are still in development. If we are unable to obtain regulatory approval and ultimately commercialize our drug candidates or experience significant delays in doing so, our business will be materially harmed.

All of our drug candidates are still in development including eight in clinical development. Although we and our joint venture Nutrition Science Partners receive certain payments from our collaboration partners, including upfront payments and payments for achieving certain development, regulatory or commercial milestones, for certain of our drug candidates, our ability to generate revenue from our drug candidates is dependent on their receipt of regulatory approval for and successfully commercializing such products, which may never occur. Each of our drug candidates will require additional pre-clinical and/or clinical development, regulatory approval in multiple jurisdictions, manufacturing supply, substantial investment and significant marketing efforts before we generate any revenue from product sales. The success of our drug candidates will depend on several factors, including the following:

- successful completion of pre-clinical and/or clinical studies;
- successful enrollment in, and completion of, clinical trials;
- receipt of regulatory approvals from applicable regulatory authorities for planned clinical trials, future clinical trials or drug registrations;
- successful completion of all safety studies required to obtain regulatory approval in the United States, China and other jurisdictions for our drug candidates;
- adapting our commercial manufacturing capabilities to the specifications for our drug candidates for clinical supply and commercial manufacturing;
- obtaining and maintaining patent and trade secret protection or regulatory exclusivity for our drug candidates;
- launching commercial sales of our drug candidates, if and when approved, whether alone or in collaboration with others;
- acceptance of the drug candidates, if and when approved, by patients, the medical community and third-party payors;
- effectively competing with other therapies;
- obtaining and maintaining healthcare coverage and adequate reimbursement;
- enforcing and defending intellectual property rights and claims; and
- maintaining a continued acceptable safety profile of the drug candidates following approval.

If we do not achieve one or more of these factors in a timely manner or at all, we could experience significant delays or an inability to successfully commercialize our drug candidates, which would materially harm our business.

Our primary approach to the discovery and development of drug candidates focuses on the inhibition of kinases, some of which are unproven, and we do not know whether we will be able to develop any products of commercial value.

A primary focus of our research and development efforts is on identifying kinase targets for which drug compounds previously developed by others affecting those targets have been unsuccessful due to limited selectivity, off-target toxicity and other problems. We then work to engineer drug candidates which have the potential to have superior efficacy, safety and other features as compared to such prior drug compounds. We also focus on developing drug compounds with the potential to be global best-in-class/next generation therapies for validated kinase targets.

Even if we are able to develop compounds that successfully target the relevant kinases in pre-clinical studies, we may not succeed in demonstrating safety and efficacy of the drug candidates in clinical trials. As a result, our efforts may

not result in the discovery or development of drugs that are commercially viable or are superior to existing drugs or other therapies on the market. While the results of pre-clinical studies and early-stage clinical trials have suggested that certain of our drug candidates may successfully inhibit kinases and may have significant utility in several cancer indications, potentially in combination with other cancer drugs and with chemotherapy, we have not yet demonstrated efficacy and safety for most of our drug candidates in later stage clinical trials.

In addition, we have not yet had a drug candidate receive approval or clearance from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, the China Food and Drug Administration, or CFDA, or another regulatory authority. While the FDA and CFDA have approved kinases inhibitors before, the regulatory review process for our drug candidates is uncertain, and we may be required to conduct additional studies or trials beyond those we anticipate resulting in a longer regulatory approval pathway.

We may expend our limited resources to pursue a particular drug candidate or indication and fail to capitalize on drug candidates or indications that may be more profitable or for which there is a greater likelihood of success.

Because we have limited financial and managerial resources, we must limit our research programs to specific drug candidates that we identify for specific indications. As a result, we may forego or delay pursuit of opportunities with other drug candidates or for other indications that later prove to have greater commercial potential. Our resource allocation decisions may cause us to fail to capitalize on viable commercial drugs or profitable market opportunities. In addition, if we do not accurately evaluate the commercial potential or target market for a particular drug candidate, we may relinquish valuable rights to that drug candidate through collaboration, licensing or other royalty arrangements when it would have been more advantageous for us to retain sole development and commercialization rights to such drug candidate.

We have no history of commercializing our internally developed drugs, which may make it difficult to evaluate our future prospects.

The operations of our Innovation Platform have been limited to developing and securing our technology and undertaking pre-clinical studies and clinical trials of our drug candidates, either independently or with our collaboration partners. We have not yet demonstrated the ability to successfully complete development of any drug candidates, obtain marketing approvals, manufacture our internally developed drugs at a commercial scale, or conduct sales and regulatory activities necessary for successful product commercialization of our drug candidates. While we believe we will be able to successfully leverage our existing Commercial Platform to manufacture, sell and market our drug candidates in China once approved, any predictions about our future success or viability may not be as accurate as they could be if we had a history of successfully developing and commercializing our internally developed pharmaceutical products.

The regulatory approval processes of the FDA, CFDA and comparable authorities are lengthy, time consuming and inherently unpredictable, and if we are ultimately unable to obtain regulatory approval for our drug candidates, our ability to generate revenue will be materially impaired.

Our drug candidates and the activities associated with their development and commercialization, including their design, testing, manufacture, safety, efficacy, recordkeeping, labeling, storage, approval, advertising, promotion, sale, distribution, import and export are subject to comprehensive regulation by the FDA, CFDA and other regulatory agencies in the United States and China and by comparable authorities in other countries. Securing regulatory approval requires the submission of extensive pre-clinical and clinical data and supporting information to the various regulatory authorities for each therapeutic indication to establish the drug candidate's safety and efficacy. Securing regulatory approval also requires the submission of information about the drug manufacturing process to, and inspection of manufacturing facilities by, the relevant regulatory authority. Our drug candidates may not be effective, may be only moderately effective or may prove to have undesirable or unintended side effects, toxicities or other characteristics that may preclude our obtaining regulatory approval or prevent or limit commercial use.

The process of obtaining regulatory approvals, both in the United States, China and other countries, is expensive, may take many years if additional clinical trials are required, if approval is obtained at all, and can vary substantially based upon a variety of factors, including the type, complexity and novelty of the drug candidates involved. Changes in regulatory approval policies during the development period, changes in or the enactment of additional statutes or regulations, or changes in regulatory review for each submitted NDA, pre-market approval or equivalent application types, may cause delays in the approval or rejection of an application. The FDA, CFDA and comparable authorities in other countries have substantial discretion in the approval process and may refuse to accept any application or may decide that our data are

insufficient for approval and require additional pre-clinical, clinical or other studies. Our drug candidates could be delayed in receiving, or fail to receive, regulatory approval for many reasons, including the following:

- the FDA, CFDA or comparable regulatory authorities may disagree with the number, design, size, conduct or implementation of our clinical trials;
- we may be unable to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the FDA, CFDA or comparable regulatory authorities that a drug candidate is safe and effective for its proposed indication;
- the results of clinical trials may not meet the level of statistical significance required by the FDA, CFDA or comparable regulatory authorities for approval;
- we may be unable to demonstrate that a drug candidate's clinical and other benefits outweigh its safety risks;
- the FDA, CFDA or comparable regulatory authorities may disagree with our interpretation of data from pre-clinical studies or clinical trials;
- the data collected from clinical trials of our drug candidates may not be sufficient to support the submission of an NDA or other submission or to obtain regulatory approval in the United States or elsewhere;
- the FDA, CFDA or comparable regulatory authorities may fail to approve the manufacturing processes for our clinical and commercial supplies;
- the approval policies or regulations of the FDA, CFDA or comparable regulatory authorities may significantly change in a manner rendering our clinical data insufficient for approval;
- the FDA, CFDA or comparable regulatory authorities may restrict the use of our products to a narrow population; and
- our collaboration partners or the contract research organizations, or CROs, that are retained to conduct the clinical trials of our drug candidates may take actions that materially and adversely impact the clinical trials.

In addition, even if we were to obtain approval, regulatory authorities may approve any of our drug candidates for fewer or more limited indications than we request, may not approve the price we intend to charge for our drugs, may grant approval contingent on the performance of costly post-marketing clinical trials, or may approve a drug candidate with a label that does not include the labeling claims necessary or desirable for the successful commercialization of that drug candidate. Any of the foregoing scenarios could materially harm the commercial prospects for our drug candidates.

If the FDA, CFDA or another regulatory agency revokes its approval of, or if safety, efficacy, manufacturing or supply issues arise with, any therapeutic that we use in combination with our drug candidates, we may be unable to market such drug candidate or may experience significant regulatory delays or supply shortages, and our business could be materially harmed.

We are currently focusing on the clinical development of savolitinib as both a monotherapy and in combination with immunotherapy (durvalumab), targeted therapies (Tagrisso (osimertinib) and Iressa (gefitinib)) and chemotherapy (Taxotere (docetaxel)). We are also focusing on the clinical development of our drug candidate fruquintinib as both a monotherapy and in combination with chemotherapy (Taxol (paclitaxel)), and may focus on additional combinations in the future. However, we did not develop or obtain regulatory approval for, and we do not manufacture or sell, Tagrisso, Iressa, Taxotere, Taxol or durvalumab or any other therapeutic we use in combination with our drug candidates. We may also seek to develop our drug candidates in combination with other therapeutics in the future.

If the FDA, CFDA or another regulatory agency revokes its approval of any of Tagrisso, Iressa, Taxotere, Taxol, durvalumab or another therapeutic we use in combination with our drug candidates, we will not be able to market our drug candidates in combination with such revoked therapeutic. If safety or efficacy issues arise with these or other therapeutics that we seek to combine with our drug candidates in the future, we may experience significant regulatory delays, and we may be required to redesign or terminate the applicable clinical trials. In addition, if manufacturing or other issues result

in a supply shortage of Tagrisso, Iressa, Taxotere, Taxol or any other combination therapeutics, we may not be able to complete clinical development of savolitinib, fruquintinib and/or another of our drug candidates on our current timeline or at all.

Even if one or more of our drug candidates were to receive regulatory approval for use in combination with Tagrisso, Iressa, Taxotere, Taxol as applicable, or another therapeutic, we would continue to be subject to the risk that the FDA, CFDA or another regulatory agency could revoke its approval of the combination therapeutic, or that safety, efficacy, manufacturing or supply issues could arise with one of these combination therapeutics. This could result in savolitinib, fruquintinib or one of our other products being removed from the market or being less successful commercially.

We face substantial competition, which may result in others discovering, developing or commercializing drugs before or more successfully than we do.

The development and commercialization of new drugs is highly competitive. We face competition with respect to our current drug candidates, and will face competition with respect to any drug candidates that we may seek to develop or commercialize in the future, from major pharmaceutical companies, specialty pharmaceutical companies and biotechnology companies worldwide. There are a number of large pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies that currently market drugs or are pursuing the development of therapies in the field of kinase inhibition for cancer and other diseases. Some of these competitive drugs and therapies are based on scientific approaches that are the same as or similar to our approach, and others are based on entirely different approaches. Potential competitors also include academic institutions, government agencies and other public and private research organizations that conduct research, seek patent protection and establish collaborative arrangements for research, development, manufacturing and commercialization. Specifically, there are a large number of companies developing or marketing treatments for cancer, including many major pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies.

Many of the companies against which we are competing or against which we may compete in the future have significantly greater financial resources and expertise in research and development, manufacturing, pre-clinical testing, conducting clinical trials, obtaining regulatory approvals and marketing approved drugs than we do. Mergers and acquisitions in the pharmaceutical, biotechnology and diagnostic industries may result in even more resources being concentrated among a smaller number of our competitors. Smaller or early stage companies may also prove to be significant competitors, particularly through collaborative arrangements with large and established companies. These competitors also compete with us in recruiting and retaining qualified scientific and management personnel and establishing clinical trial sites and patient registration for clinical trials, as well as in acquiring technologies complementary to, or necessary for, our programs.

Our commercial opportunity could be reduced or eliminated if our competitors develop and commercialize drugs that are safer, more effective, have fewer or less severe side effects, are more convenient or are less expensive than any drugs that we or our collaborators may develop. Our competitors also may obtain FDA, CFDA or other regulatory approval for their drugs more rapidly than we may obtain approval for ours, which could result in our competitors establishing a strong market position before we or our collaborators are able to enter the market. The key competitive factors affecting the success of all of our drug candidates, if approved, are likely to be their efficacy, safety, convenience, price, the level of generic competition and the availability of reimbursement from government and other third-party payors.

Clinical development involves a lengthy and expensive process with an uncertain outcome.

There is a risk of failure for each of our drug candidates. It is difficult to predict when or if any of our drug candidates will prove effective and safe in humans or will receive regulatory approval. Before obtaining regulatory approval from regulatory authorities for the sale of any drug candidate, we or our collaboration partners must complete pre-clinical studies and then conduct extensive clinical trials to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of our drug candidates in humans. Clinical testing is expensive, difficult to design and implement and can take many years to complete. The outcomes of pre-clinical development testing and early clinical trials may not be predictive of the success of later clinical trials, and interim results of a clinical trial do not necessarily predict final results. Moreover, pre-clinical and clinical data are often susceptible to varying interpretations and analyses, and many companies that have believed their drug candidates performed satisfactorily in pre-clinical studies and clinical trials have nonetheless failed to obtain regulatory approval of their drug candidates. Our future clinical trials may not be successful.

Commencing each of our clinical trials is subject to finalizing the trial design based on ongoing discussions with the FDA, CFDA or other regulatory authorities. The FDA, CFDA and other regulatory authorities could change their

position on the acceptability of our trial designs or clinical endpoints, which could require us to complete additional clinical trials or impose approval conditions that we do not currently expect. Successful completion of our clinical trials is a prerequisite to submitting a new drug application, or NDA, or analogous filing to the FDA, CFDA or other regulatory authorities for each drug candidate and, consequently, the ultimate approval and commercial marketing of our drug candidates. We do not know whether any of our clinical trials will begin or be completed on schedule, if at all.

We and our collaboration partners may incur additional costs or experience delays in completing our pre-clinical or clinical trials, or ultimately be unable to complete the development and commercialization of our drug candidates.

We and our collaboration partners, including AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly and Nestlé Health Science, may experience delays in completing our pre-clinical or clinical trials, and numerous unforeseen events could arise during, or as a result of, future clinical trials, which could delay or prevent us from receiving regulatory approval, including:

- regulators or institutional review boards, or IRBs, or ethics committees may not authorize us or our investigators to commence or conduct a clinical trial at a prospective trial site;
- we may experience delays in reaching, or we may fail to reach, agreement on acceptable terms with prospective trial sites and prospective contract research organizations, or CROs, who conduct clinical trials on behalf of us and our collaboration partners, the terms of which can be subject to extensive negotiation and may vary significantly among different CROs and trial sites;
- clinical trials may produce negative or inconclusive results, and we or our collaboration partners may decide, or regulators may require us or them, to conduct additional clinical trials or we may decide to abandon drug development programs;
- the number of patients required for clinical trials of our drug candidates may be larger than we anticipate, enrollment in these clinical trials may be slower than we anticipate or participants may drop out of these clinical trials or fail to return for post-treatment follow-up at a higher rate than we anticipate;
- third-party contractors used in our clinical trials may fail to comply with regulatory requirements or meet their contractual obligations in a timely manner, or at all, or may deviate from the clinical trial protocol or drop out of the trial, which may require that we or our collaboration partners add new clinical trial sites or investigators;
- we or our collaboration partners may elect to, or regulators, IRBs or ethics committees may require that we or our investigators, suspend or terminate clinical research for various reasons, including non-compliance with regulatory requirements or a finding that the participants are being exposed to unacceptable health risks;
- the cost of clinical trials of our drug candidates may be greater than we anticipate;
- the supply or quality of our drug candidates or other materials necessary to conduct clinical trials of our drug candidates may be insufficient or inadequate; and
- our drug candidates may have undesirable side effects or unexpected characteristics, causing us or our investigators, regulators, IRBs or ethics committees to suspend or terminate the trials, or reports may arise from pre-clinical or clinical testing of other cancer therapies that raise safety or efficacy concerns about our drug candidates.

We could encounter regulatory delays if a clinical trial is suspended or terminated by us or our collaboration partners, by, as applicable, the IRBs of the institutions in which such trials are being conducted, by the data safety monitoring board, which is an independent group of experts that is formed to monitor clinical trials while ongoing, or by the FDA, CFDA or other regulatory authorities. Such authorities may impose a suspension or termination due to a number of factors, including: a failure to conduct the clinical trial in accordance with regulatory requirements or the applicable clinical protocols, inspection of the clinical trial operations or trial site by the FDA, CFDA or other regulatory authorities that results in the imposition of a clinical hold, unforeseen safety issues or adverse side effects, failure to demonstrate a benefit from using a drug, changes in governmental regulations or administrative actions or lack of adequate funding to continue the clinical trial. Many of the factors that cause a delay in the commencement or completion of clinical trials may

also ultimately lead to the denial of regulatory approval of our drug candidates. Further, the FDA, CFDA or other regulatory authorities may disagree with our clinical trial design and our interpretation of data from clinical trials, or may change the requirements for approval even after it has reviewed and commented on the design for our clinical trials.

If we or our collaboration partners are required to conduct additional clinical trials or other testing of our drug candidates beyond those that are currently contemplated, if we or our collaboration partners are unable to successfully complete clinical trials of our drug candidates or other testing, if the results of these trials or tests are not positive or are only modestly positive or if there are safety concerns, we may:

- be delayed in obtaining regulatory approval for our drug candidates;
- not obtain regulatory approval at all;
- obtain approval for indications or patient populations that are not as broad as intended or desired;
- be subject to post-marketing testing requirements; or
- have the drug removed from the market after obtaining regulatory approval.

Our drug development costs will also increase if we experience delays in testing or regulatory approvals. We do not know whether any of our clinical trials will begin as planned, will need to be restructured or will be completed on schedule, or at all. Significant pre-clinical study or clinical trial delays also could allow our competitors to bring products to market before we do and impair our ability to successfully commercialize our drug candidates and may harm our business and results of operations. Any delays in our clinical development programs may harm our business, financial condition and prospects significantly.

If we or our collaboration partners experience delays or difficulties in the enrollment of patients in clinical trials, the progress of such clinical trials and our receipt of necessary regulatory approvals could be delayed or prevented.

We or our collaboration partners may not be able to initiate or continue clinical trials for our drug candidates if we or our collaboration partners are unable to locate and enroll a sufficient number of eligible patients to participate in these trials as required by the FDA, CFDA or similar regulatory authorities. In particular, we and our collaboration partners have designed many of our clinical trials, and expect to design future trials, to include some patients with the applicable genomic alteration that causes the disease with a view to assessing possible early evidence of potential therapeutic effect. Genomically defined diseases, however, may have relatively low prevalence, and it may be difficult to identify patients with the applicable genomic alteration. In addition, for our fruquintinib trials, we focus on enrolling patients who have failed their first or second-line treatments, which limits the total size of the patient population available for such trials. The inability to enroll a sufficient number of patients with the applicable genomic alteration or that meet other applicable criteria for our clinical trials would result in significant delays and could require us or our collaboration partners to abandon one or more clinical trials altogether.

In addition, some of our competitors have ongoing clinical trials for drug candidates that treat the same indications as our drug candidates, and patients who would otherwise be eligible for our clinical trials may instead enroll in clinical trials of our competitors' drug candidates.

Patient enrollment may be affected by other factors including:

- the severity of the disease under investigation;
- the total size and nature of the relevant patient population;
- the design and eligibility criteria for the clinical trial in question;
- the availability of an appropriate genomic screening test;
- the perceived risks and benefits of the drug candidate under study;
- the efforts to facilitate timely enrollment in clinical trials;

- the patient referral practices of physicians;
- the availability of competing therapies which are undergoing clinical trials;
- the ability to monitor patients adequately during and after treatment; and
- the proximity and availability of clinical trial sites for prospective patients.

Enrollment delays in our clinical trials may result in increased development costs for our drug candidates, which could cause the value of our company to decline and limit our ability to obtain financing.

Our drug candidates may cause undesirable side effects that could delay or prevent their regulatory approval, limit the commercial profile of an approved label, or result in significant negative consequences following regulatory approval, if any.

Undesirable side effects caused by our drug candidates could cause us or our collaboration partners to interrupt, delay or halt clinical trials or could cause regulatory authorities to interrupt, delay or halt our clinical trials and could result in a more restrictive label or the delay or denial of regulatory approval by the FDA, CFDA or other regulatory authorities. In particular, as is the case with all oncology drugs, it is likely that there may be side effects, for example, hand-foot syndrome, associated with the use of certain of our drug candidates. Results of our trials could reveal a high and unacceptable severity and prevalence of these or other side effects. In such an event, our trials could be suspended or terminated and the FDA, CFDA or comparable regulatory authorities could order us to cease further development of or deny approval of our drug candidates for any or all targeted indications. The drug-related side effects could affect patient recruitment or the ability of enrolled patients to complete the trial or result in potential product liability claims. Any of these occurrences may harm our business, financial condition and prospects significantly.

Further, our drug candidates could cause undesirable side effects related to off-target toxicity. Many of the currently approved tyrosine-kinase inhibitors have been associated with off-target toxicities because they affect multiple kinases. While we believe that the kinase selectivity of our drug candidates has the potential to significantly improve the unfavorable adverse off-target toxicity issues, if patients were to experience off-target toxicity, we may not be able to achieve an effective dosage level, receive approval to market, or achieve the commercial success we anticipate with respect to, any of our drug candidates, which could prevent us from ever generating revenue or achieving profitability. Many compounds that initially showed promise in early stage testing for treating cancer have later been found to cause side effects that prevented further development of the compound.

Clinical trials assess a sample of the potential patient population. With a limited number of patients and duration of exposure, rare and severe side effects of our drug candidates may only be uncovered with a significantly larger number of patients exposed to the drug candidate. If our drug candidates receive regulatory approval and we or others identify undesirable side effects caused by such drug candidates (or any other similar drugs) after such approval, a number of potentially significant negative consequences could result, including:

- regulatory authorities may withdraw or limit their approval of such drug candidates;
- regulatory authorities may require the addition of labeling statements, such as a “boxed” warning or a contra-indication;
- we may be required to create a medication guide outlining the risks of such side effects for distribution to patients;
- we may be required to change the way such drug candidates are distributed or administered, conduct additional clinical trials or change the labeling of the drug candidates;
- regulatory authorities may require a Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy, or REMS, plan to mitigate risks, which could include medication guides, physician communication plans, or elements to assure safe use, such as restricted distribution methods, patient registries and other risk minimization tools;
- we may be subject to regulatory investigations and government enforcement actions;
- we may decide to remove such drug candidates from the marketplace;

- we could be sued and held liable for injury caused to individuals exposed to or taking our drug candidates; and
- our reputation may suffer.

Any of these events could prevent us from achieving or maintaining market acceptance of the affected drug candidates and could substantially increase the costs of commercializing our drug candidates, if approved, and significantly impact our ability to successfully commercialize our drug candidates and generate revenue.

We and our collaboration partners have conducted and intend to conduct additional clinical trials for certain of our drug candidates at sites outside the United States, and the FDA may not accept data from trials conducted in such locations or may require additional U.S.-based trials.

We and our collaboration partners have conducted, currently are conducting and intend in the future to conduct, clinical trials outside the United States, particularly in China where our Innovation Platform is headquartered as well as in Australia.

Although the FDA may accept data from clinical trials conducted outside the United States, acceptance of these data is subject to certain conditions imposed by the FDA. For example, the clinical trial must be well designed and conducted by qualified investigators in accordance with current good clinical practices, or GCPs, including review and approval by an independent ethics committee and receipt of informed consent from trial patients. The trial population must also adequately represent the U.S. population, and the data must be applicable to the U.S. population and U.S. medical practice in ways that the FDA deems clinically meaningful. Generally, the patient population for any clinical trial conducted outside of the United States must be representative of the population for which we intend to seek approval in the United States. In addition, while these clinical trials are subject to applicable local laws, FDA acceptance of the data will be dependent upon its determination that the trials also comply with all applicable U.S. laws and regulations. There can be no assurance that the FDA will accept data from trials conducted outside of the United States. If the FDA does not accept the data from our clinical trials of savolitinib, fruquintinib, sulfatinib, epitinib or theliatinib in China or HMPL-523, HMPL-689 and HMPL-453 in Australia and China, for example, or any other trial that we or our collaboration partners conduct outside the United States, it would likely result in the need for additional clinical trials, which would be costly and time-consuming and delay or permanently halt our ability to develop and market these or other drug candidates in the United States.

In addition, there are risks inherent in conducting clinical trials in jurisdictions outside the United States including:

- regulatory and administrative requirements of the jurisdiction where the trial is conducted that could burden or limit our ability to conduct our clinical trials;
- foreign exchange fluctuations;
- manufacturing, customs, shipment and storage requirements;
- cultural differences in medical practice and clinical research; and
- the risk that patient populations in such trials are not considered representative as compared to patient populations in the United States and other markets.

A Breakthrough Therapy designation by the FDA may not be granted to any of our drug candidates, and even if granted, may not lead to a faster development or regulatory review or approval process, and it does not increase the likelihood that our drug candidates will receive regulatory approval.

We intend to seek Breakthrough Therapy designation in the United States for some of our drug candidates, including savolitinib in patients with papillary renal cell carcinoma, non-small cell lung cancer and gastric cancer, sulfatinib in patients with neuroendocrine tumors and epitinib in patients with non-small cell lung cancer with brain metastasis. A Breakthrough Therapy is defined as a drug that is intended, alone or in combination with one or more other drugs, to treat a serious or life-threatening disease or condition, and preliminary clinical evidence indicates that the drug may demonstrate substantial improvement over existing therapies on one or more clinically significant endpoints, such as substantial treatment effects observed early in clinical development. For drugs that have been designated as Breakthrough

Therapies, interaction and communication between the FDA and the sponsor of the trial can help to identify the most efficient path for clinical development while minimizing the number of patients placed in ineffective control regimens. Drugs designated as Breakthrough Therapies by the FDA are also eligible for accelerated approval.

Designation as a Breakthrough Therapy is within the discretion of the FDA. Accordingly, even if we believe one of our drug candidates meets the criteria for designation as a Breakthrough Therapy, the FDA may disagree and instead determine not to make such designation. In any event, the receipt of a Breakthrough Therapy designation for a drug candidate may not result in a faster development process, review or approval compared to drugs considered for approval under conventional FDA procedures and does not assure ultimate approval by the FDA. In addition, even if one or more of our drug candidates qualify as Breakthrough Therapies, the FDA may later decide that the drugs no longer meet the conditions for qualification.

If we are unable to obtain and/or maintain CFDA approval for our drug candidates to be eligible for an expedited registration pathway, the time and cost we incur to obtain regulatory approvals may increase. Even if we receive such approvals, they may not lead to a faster development, review or approval process.

Under the Special Examination and Approval of the Registration of New Drugs provisions, the CFDA may grant "green-channel" approval to (i) active ingredients and their preparations extracted from plants, animals and minerals, and newly discovered medical materials and their preparations that have not been sold in the China market, (ii) chemical drugs and their preparations and biological products that have not been approved for sale at its origin country or abroad, (iii) new drugs with obvious clinical treatment advantages for such diseases as AIDS, thieroma, and rare diseases, and (iv) new drugs for diseases that have not been treated effectively. We have achieved green-channel approval from the CFDA for savolitinib, fruquintinib, sulfatinib, epitinib and theliatinib. We anticipate that we may seek a green-channel development pathway for certain of our other drug candidates and indications. If granted, the green-channel will enable us to establish streamlined communication with the relevant review panel of the CFDA, thus improving the efficiency of new drug approval.

A failure to obtain and/or maintain green-channel approval or any other form of expedited development, review or approval for our drug candidates would result in a longer time period to commercialization of such drug candidate, could increase the cost of development of such drug candidate and could harm our competitive position in the marketplace. In addition, even if we obtain green-channel approval, there is no guarantee that we will experience a faster development process, review or approval compared to non-accelerated registration pathways or that a drug candidate will ultimately be approved for sale.

Even if we receive regulatory approval for any of our drug candidates, we will be subject to ongoing obligations and continued regulatory review, which may result in significant additional expense.

If the FDA, CFDA or a comparable regulatory authority approves any of our drug candidates, the manufacturing processes, labeling, packaging, distribution, adverse event reporting, storage, advertising, promotion and recordkeeping for the drug will be subject to extensive and ongoing regulatory requirements. These requirements include submissions of safety and other post-marketing information and reports, registration, as well as continued compliance with current Good Manufacturing Practices, or GMPs, and GCPs. Any regulatory approvals that we receive for our drug candidates may also be subject to limitations on the approved indicated uses for which the drug may be marketed or to the conditions of approval, or contain requirements for potentially costly post-marketing testing, including Phase IV clinical trials, and surveillance to monitor the safety and efficacy of the drug.

In addition, regulatory policies may change or additional government regulations may be enacted that could prevent, limit or delay regulatory approval of our drug candidates. If we are slow or unable to adapt to changes in existing requirements or the adoption of new requirements or policies, or if we are not able to maintain regulatory compliance, we may lose any regulatory approval that we may have obtained, which would adversely affect our business, prospects and ability to achieve or sustain profitability.

We may be subject to penalties if we fail to comply with regulatory requirements or experience unanticipated problems with any of our drugs that receive regulatory approval.

Once a drug is approved by the FDA, CFDA or a comparable regulatory authority for marketing, it is possible that there could be a subsequent discovery of previously unknown problems with the drug, including problems with

third-party manufacturers or manufacturing processes, or failure to comply with regulatory requirements. If any of the foregoing occurs with respect to our drug products, it may result in, among other things:

- restrictions on the marketing or manufacturing of the drug, withdrawal of the drug from the market, or drug recalls;
- fines, warning letters or holds on clinical trials;
- refusal by the FDA, CFDA or comparable regulatory authority to approve pending applications or supplements to approved applications filed by us, or suspension or revocation of drug license approvals;
- drug seizure or detention, or refusal to permit the import or export of drugs; and
- injunctions or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

Any government investigation of alleged violations of law could require us to expend significant time and resources and could generate negative publicity. If we or our collaborators are not able to maintain regulatory compliance, regulatory approval that has been obtained may be lost and we may not achieve or sustain profitability, which would adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

The incidence and prevalence for target patient populations of our drug candidates are based on estimates and third-party sources. If the market opportunities for our drug candidates are smaller than we estimate or if any approval that we obtain is based on a narrower definition of the patient population, our revenue and ability to achieve profitability will be adversely affected, possibly materially.

Periodically, we make estimates regarding the incidence and prevalence of target patient populations for particular diseases based on various third-party sources and internally generated analysis and use such estimates in making decisions regarding our drug development strategy, including determining indications on which to focus in pre-clinical or clinical trials.

These estimates may be inaccurate or based on imprecise data. For example, the total addressable market opportunity will depend on, among other things, their acceptance by the medical community and patient access, drug pricing and reimbursement. The number of patients in the addressable markets may turn out to be lower than expected, patients may not be otherwise amenable to treatment with our drugs, or new patients may become increasingly difficult to identify or gain access to, all of which would adversely affect our results of operations and our business.

Our future success depends on our ability to retain key executives and to attract, retain and motivate qualified personnel.

We are highly dependent on the expertise of the members of our research and development team, as well as the other principal members of our management, including Christian Hogg, our Chief Executive Officer and director, and Weiguo Su, Ph.D., our Chief Scientific Officer. Although we have entered into employment letter agreements with our executive officers, each of them may terminate their employment with us at any time with three months' prior written notice. We do not maintain "key person" insurance for any of our executives or other employees.

Recruiting and retaining qualified management, scientific, clinical, manufacturing and sales and marketing personnel will also be critical to our success. The loss of the services of our executive officers or other key employees could impede the achievement of our research, development and commercialization objectives and seriously harm our ability to successfully implement our business strategy. Furthermore, replacing executive officers and key employees may be difficult and may take an extended period of time because of the limited number of individuals in our industry with the breadth of skills and experience required to successfully develop, gain regulatory approval of and commercialize drugs. Competition to hire from this limited pool is intense, and we may be unable to hire, train, retain or motivate these key personnel on acceptable terms given the competition among numerous pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies for similar personnel. We also experience competition for the hiring of scientific and clinical personnel from universities and research institutions. Failure to succeed in clinical trials may make it more challenging to recruit and retain qualified scientific personnel.

Risks Related to Our Commercial Platform

As a significant portion of our Commercial Platform business, which consists of our Prescription Drugs and Consumer Health divisions, is conducted through joint ventures, we are largely dependent on the success of our joint ventures and our receipt of dividends or other payments from our joint ventures for cash to fund our operations.

We are party to joint venture agreements with our non-consolidated joint ventures Shanghai Pharmaceuticals and Guangzhou Baiyunshan, which together form an important part of our Commercial Platform business. Our equity in the earnings of these non-consolidated joint ventures was \$23.6 million, \$26.3 million and \$70.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2015 and 2016, respectively, as recorded in our consolidated financial statements. Furthermore, we have consolidated joint ventures with each of Sinopharm and Hain Celestial which accounted for substantially all of our Commercial Platform's consolidated revenue for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2015 and 2016.

As a result, our ability to fund our operations and pay our expenses or to make future dividend payments, if any, is largely dependent on the earnings of our joint ventures and the payment of those earnings to us in the form of dividends. Payments to us by our joint ventures will be contingent upon our joint ventures' earnings and other business considerations and may be subject to statutory or contractual restrictions. Each joint venture's ability to distribute dividends to us is subject to approval by their respective boards of directors, which in the case of Shanghai Hutchison Pharmaceuticals and Hutchison Baiyunshan are comprised of an equal number of representatives from each party.

Operationally, our joint venture partners have certain responsibilities and/or certain rights to exercise control or influence over operations and decision-making under the joint venture arrangements. Therefore, the success of our joint ventures depends on the efforts and abilities of our joint venture parties to varying degrees. For example, we share the ability to appoint the general manager of our joint venture with Guangzhou Baiyunshan, with each of us having a rotating four-year right, and therefore, our ability to manage the day-to-day operations of this joint venture is more limited. On the other hand, we appoint the general managers of Hutchison Sinopharm and Shanghai Hutchison Pharmaceuticals pursuant to the joint venture agreements governing these entities and therefore oversee the day-to-day management of these joint ventures. However, we still rely on our joint venture partners Sinopharm and Shanghai Pharmaceuticals to provide certain distribution and logistics services. See "—Risks Related to our Dependence on Third Parties—Joint ventures form an important part of our Commercial Platform business, and our ability to manage and develop the businesses conducted by these joint ventures depends in part on our relationship with our joint venture partners" for more information.

We intend to use our Commercial Platform's Prescription Drugs business to commercialize our internally developed drug candidates, but we may not be successful in adapting this business to successfully manufacture, sell and market our drug candidates if and when they are approved, and we may not be able to generate any revenue from such products.

Our Prescription Drugs business is operated by our Shanghai Hutchison Pharmaceuticals and Hutchison Sinopharm joint ventures and currently has a manufacturing, sales and marketing infrastructure in China. If our drug candidates are approved, we intend to leverage our Prescription Drugs business to commercialize such drug candidates; however, to do so, we must adapt our Prescription Drugs business to cater to oncology and/or immunology drug sales to achieve commercial success for any approved drug candidate in these areas. In the future, we may need to expand the sales and marketing team of these joint ventures or refocus their activities to some of our drug candidates if and when they are approved.

There are risks involved with adapting our current Prescription Drugs business. For example, recruiting and/or training a sales force in new therapeutic areas is time consuming and could delay any drug launch. Factors that may inhibit our efforts to commercialize our drug candidates through our Prescription Drugs business include:

- our joint ventures' inability to recruit and retain adequate numbers of effective sales and marketing personnel;
- the inability of our joint ventures' sales personnel to obtain access to physicians or persuade adequate numbers of physicians to prescribe any future drugs; and
- the lack of complementary drugs to be offered by our joint ventures' sales personnel, which may put our joint ventures at a competitive disadvantage relative to companies with more extensive product lines.

In such case, our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects will be materially and adversely affected.

Our Commercial Platform faces substantial competition.

Our Commercial Platform's Prescription Drugs business competes in the pharmaceutical industry in China, which is characterized by a number of established, large pharmaceutical companies, as well as some smaller emerging pharmaceutical companies. Our Prescription Drugs business competes with pharmaceutical companies engaged in the development, production, marketing or sales of prescription drugs, in particular cardiovascular drugs. The identities of the key competitors with respect to our Prescription Drugs business vary by product and, in certain cases, competitors have greater financial resources than us and may elect to focus these resources on developing, importing or in-licensing and marketing products in the PRC that are substitutes for our products and may have broader sales and marketing infrastructure with which to do so. Our Commercial Platform's Consumer Health business also competes in a highly fragmented market in Asia.

The products sold through our Commercial Platform, which may include our drug candidates if they receive regulatory approval, may compete against products that have lower prices, superior performance, greater ease of administration or other advantages compared to our products. In some circumstances, price competition may drive our competitors to conduct illegal manufacturing processes to lower their manufacturing costs. Increased competition may result in price reductions, reduced margins and loss of market share, whether achieved by either legal or illegal means, any of which could materially and adversely affect our profit margins. We and our joint ventures may not be able to compete effectively against current and future competitors.

If we are not able to maintain and enhance brand recognition of the Commercial Platform's products to maintain its competitive advantage, our reputation, business and operating results may be harmed.

We believe that market awareness of the products sold through our Commercial Platform, which include our joint ventures' branded products, such as Baiyunshan and Shang Yao, and the brands of third-party products which are distributed through our joint ventures, such as AstraZeneca's Seroquel, has contributed significantly to the success of our Commercial Platform. We also believe that maintaining and enhancing such brands is critical to maintaining our competitive advantage. Although the sales and marketing staff of our Commercial Platform will continue to further promote such brands to remain competitive, they may not be successful. If our joint ventures are unable to further enhance brand recognition and increase awareness of their products, or if they are compelled to incur excessive marketing and promotion expenses in order to maintain brand awareness, our business and results of operations may be materially and adversely affected. Furthermore, our results of operations could be adversely affected if the Baiyunshan and Shang Yao brands, or the brands of any other products, or our reputation, are impaired by certain actions taken by our joint venture partners, distributors, competitors or relevant regulatory authorities.

Reimbursement may not be available for the products currently sold through our Commercial Platform or our drug candidates in China, the United States or other countries, which could diminish our sales or affect our profitability.

The regulations that govern pricing and reimbursement for pharmaceuticals vary widely from country to country. Some countries require approval of the sale price of a drug before it can be marketed. In many countries, the pricing review period begins after regulatory approval is granted. In some foreign markets, pharmaceutical pricing remains subject to continuing governmental control even after initial approval is granted. Furthermore, once marketed and sold, government authorities and third-party payors, such as private health insurers and health maintenance organizations, decide which medications they will pay for and establish reimbursement levels. Adverse pricing reimbursement levels may hinder market acceptance of products sold by our Commercial Platform or drug candidates.

In China, for example, the Ministry of Labor and Social Security of the PRC or provincial or local labor and social security authorities, together with other government authorities, review the inclusion or removal of drugs from the PRC's National Medical Insurance Catalogue or provincial or local medical insurance catalogues for the National Medical Insurance Program every other year, and the tier under which a drug will be classified, both of which affect the amounts reimbursable to program participants for their purchases of those medicines. These determinations are made based on a number of factors, including price and efficacy. Depending on the tier under which a drug is classified in the provincial medicine catalogue, a National Medical Insurance Program participant residing in that province can be reimbursed for the full cost of Tier 1 medicine and for the majority of the cost of a Tier 2 medicine. In some instances, if the price range designated by the local or provincial government decreases, it may adversely affect our business and could reduce our total revenue or decrease our profit falls below production costs, we may stop manufacturing certain products. In addition, in order to access certain local or provincial-level markets, our joint ventures are periodically required to enter into competitive bidding processes for She Xiang Bao Xin pills (the best selling product of our Shanghai Hutchison

Pharmaceuticals joint venture), Fu Fang Dan Shen tablets (the best selling product of our Hutchison Baiyunshan joint venture) and other products with a pre-defined price range. The competitive bidding in effect sets price ceilings for those products, thereby limiting our profitability.

In the United States, there have been and continue to be a number of legislative initiatives to contain healthcare costs which may affect reimbursement rates of our drug candidates if approved. For example, in March 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act, or the Affordable Care Act, was passed, which substantially changes the way health care is financed by both governmental and private insurers. The Affordable Care Act, among other things, subjects biologic products to potential competition by lower-cost biosimilars and establishes annual fees and taxes on manufacturers of certain branded prescription drugs. It also establishes a new Medicare Part D coverage gap discount program, in which manufacturers must agree to offer 50% point-of-sale discounts off negotiated prices of applicable brand drugs to eligible beneficiaries during their coverage gap period as a condition for the manufacturer's outpatient drugs to be covered under Medicare Part D. In addition, other legislative changes have been proposed and adopted in the United States since the Affordable Care Act was enacted.

Modifications to or repeal of all or certain provisions of the Healthcare Reform Act are expected as a result of the outcome of the recent presidential election and Republicans maintaining control of Congress, consistent with statements made by Donald Trump and members of Congress during the presidential campaign and following the election. We cannot predict the ultimate content, timing or effect of any changes to the Healthcare Reform Act or other federal and state reform efforts. There is no assurance that federal or state health care reform will not adversely affect our future business and financial results. We expect that additional U.S. state and federal healthcare reform measures will be adopted in the future, any of which could limit the amounts that federal and state governments will pay for healthcare products and services, which could result in reduced demand for our drug candidates or additional pricing pressures. We expect that the pharmaceutical industry will experience pricing pressures due to the increasing influence of managed care (and related implementation of managed care strategies to control utilization), additional federal and state legislative and regulatory proposals to regulate pricing of drugs, limit coverage of drugs or reduce reimbursement for drugs, public scrutiny and the Trump administration's agenda to control the price of pharmaceuticals through government negotiations of drug prices in Medicare Part D and importation of cheaper products from abroad.

Moreover, eligibility for reimbursement in the United States does not imply that any drug will be paid for in all cases or at a rate that covers our costs, including research, development, manufacture, sale and distribution. Interim U.S. reimbursement levels for new drugs, if applicable, may also not be sufficient to cover our costs and may not be made permanent. Reimbursement rates may vary according to the use of the drug and the clinical setting in which it is used, may be based on reimbursement levels already set for lower cost drugs and may be incorporated into existing payments for other services. Net prices for drugs may be reduced by mandatory discounts or rebates required by U.S. government healthcare programs or private payors and by any future relaxation of laws that presently restrict imports of drugs from countries where they may be sold at lower prices than in the United States. Third-party payors in the United States often rely upon Medicare coverage policy and payment limitations in setting their own reimbursement policies. Our inability to promptly obtain coverage and profitable payment rates from both government-funded and private payors for any approved drugs that we develop could have a material adverse effect on our operating results, our ability to raise capital needed to commercialize drugs and our overall financial condition.

Sales of products sold by our Prescription Drugs business rely on the ability to win tender bids for the medicine purchases of hospitals in China.

Our Commercial Platform's Prescription Drugs business markets to hospitals in China who may make bulk purchases of a medicine only if that medicine is selected under a government-administered tender process. Periodically, a bidding process is organized on a provincial or municipal basis. Whether a drug manufacturer is invited to participate in the tender depends on the level of interest that hospitals have in purchasing this drug. The interest of a hospital in a medicine is evidenced by:

- the inclusion of this medicine on the hospital's formulary, which establishes the scope of drug physicians at this hospital may prescribe to their patients, and
- the willingness of physicians at this hospital to prescribe a particular drug to their patients.

We believe that effective marketing efforts are critical in making and keeping hospitals interested in purchasing the Prescription Drugs sold through our Commercial Platform so that we and our joint ventures are invited to submit the

products to the tender. Even if we and our joint ventures are invited to do so, competitors may be able to substantially reduce the price of their products or services. If competitors are able to offer lower prices, our and our joint ventures' ability to win tender bids during the hospital tender process will be materially affected, and could reduce our total revenue or decrease our profit.

Counterfeit products in China could negatively impact our revenue, brand reputation, business and results of operations.

Our Commercial Platform's products are subject to competition from counterfeit products, especially counterfeit pharmaceuticals which are manufactured without proper licenses or approvals and are fraudulently mislabeled with respect to their content and/or manufacturer. Counterfeiters may illegally manufacture and market products under our or our joint venture's brand names, the brand names of the third-party products we or they sell, or those of our or their competitors. Counterfeit pharmaceuticals are generally sold at lower prices than the authentic products due to their low production costs, and in some cases are very similar in appearance to the authentic products. Counterfeit pharmaceuticals may or may not have the same chemical content as their authentic counterparts. If counterfeit pharmaceuticals illegally sold under our or our joint ventures' brand names or the brand names of third-party products we or they sell result in adverse side effects to consumers, we or our joint ventures may be associated with any negative publicity resulting from such incidents. In addition, consumers may buy counterfeit pharmaceuticals that are in direct competition with the products sold through our Commercial Platform, which could have an adverse impact on our revenue, business and results of operations. The proliferation of counterfeit pharmaceuticals in China and globally may grow in the future. Any such increase in the sales and production of counterfeit pharmaceuticals in China, or the technological capabilities of the counterfeiters, could negatively impact our revenue, brand reputation, business and results of operations.

Pharmaceutical companies in China are required to comply with extensive regulations and hold a number of permits and licenses to carry on their business. Our and our joint ventures' ability to obtain and maintain these regulatory approvals is uncertain, and future government regulation may place additional burdens on the Commercial Platform business.

The pharmaceutical industry in China is subject to extensive government regulation and supervision. The regulatory framework addresses all aspects of operating in the pharmaceutical industry, including approval, production, distribution, advertising, licensing and certification requirements and procedures, periodic renewal and reassessment processes, registration of new drugs and environmental protection. Violation of applicable laws and regulations may materially and adversely affect our business. In order to manufacture and distribute pharmaceutical products in China, we and our joint ventures are required to:

- obtain a pharmaceutical manufacturing permit and GMP certificate for each production facility from the relevant food and drug administrative authority;
- obtain a drug registration certificate, which includes a drug approval number, from the CFDA for each drug manufactured by us;
- obtain a pharmaceutical distribution permit and good supply practice, or GSP, certificate from the CFDA; and
- renew the pharmaceutical manufacturing permits, the pharmaceutical distribution permits, drug registration certificates, GMP certificates and GSP certificates every five years, among other requirements.

If we or our joint ventures are unable to obtain or renew such permits or any other permits or licenses required for our or their operations, we will not be able to engage in the manufacture and distribution of our products and our business may be adversely affected.

The regulatory framework regarding the pharmaceutical industry in China is subject to change and amendment from time to time. Any such change or amendment could materially and adversely impact our business, financial condition and results of operations. The PRC government has introduced various reforms to the Chinese healthcare system in recent years and may continue to do so, with an overall objective to expand basic medical insurance coverage and improve the quality and reliability of healthcare services. The specific regulatory changes under the reform still remain uncertain. The implementing measures to be issued may not be sufficiently effective to achieve the stated goals, and as a result, we may

not be able to benefit from such reform to the level we expect, if at all. Moreover, the reform could give rise to regulatory developments, such as more burdensome administrative procedures, which may have an adverse effect on our business and prospects.

For further information regarding government regulation in China and other jurisdictions, see Item 4.B. “Business Overview—Regulation—Government Regulation of Pharmaceutical Product Development and Approval,” “Business Overview—Regulation—Coverage and Reimbursement” and “Business Overview—Regulation—Other Healthcare Laws.”

Rapid changes in the pharmaceutical industry may render our Commercial Platform’s current products or our drug candidates obsolete.

Future technological improvements by our competitors and continual product developments in the pharmaceutical market may render our and our joint ventures’ existing products, our or their third-party licensed products or our drug candidates obsolete or affect our Commercial Platform’s viability and competitiveness. Therefore, our Commercial Platform’s future success will largely depend on our and our joint ventures’ ability to:

- improve existing products;
- develop innovative drug candidates;
- diversify the product and drug candidate portfolio;
- license diverse third-party products; and
- develop new and competitively priced products which meet the requirements of the constantly changing market.

If we or our joint ventures fail to respond to this environment by improving our Commercial Platform’s existing products, licensing new third-party products or developing new drug candidates in a timely fashion, or if such new or improved products do not achieve adequate market acceptance, our business and profitability may be materially and adversely affected.

Our Commercial Platform’s principal products involve the cultivation or sourcing of key raw materials including botanical products, and any quality control or supply failure or price fluctuations could adversely affect our Commercial Platform’s ability to manufacture our products and/or could materially and adversely affect our operating results.

The key raw materials used in the manufacturing process of certain of our Commercial Platform’s principal products are medicinal herbs whose properties are related to the regions and climatic conditions in which they are grown. Access to quality raw materials and products necessary for the manufacture of our Commercial Platform products is not guaranteed. We rely on a combination of materials grown by our or our joint ventures’ good agriculture practice, or GAP-certified entities and materials sourced from third-party growers and suppliers. The availability, quality and prices of these raw materials are dependent on and closely affected by weather conditions and other seasonal factors which have an impact on the yields of the harvests each year. The quality, in some instances, also depends on the operations of third-party growers or suppliers. There is a risk that such growers or suppliers sell or attempt to sell us or our joint ventures raw materials which are not authentic. If there is any supply interruption for an indeterminate period of time, our joint ventures may not be able to identify and obtain alternative supplies that comply with our quality standards in a timely manner. Any supply disruption could adversely affect our ability to satisfy demand for our products, and materially and adversely affect our product sales and operating results. Moreover, any use by us or our joint ventures of unauthentic materials illegally sold to us by third-party growers or suppliers in our or our joint ventures’ products may result in adverse side effects to the consumers, negative publicity, or product liability claims against us or our joint ventures, any of which may materially and adversely affect our operating results.

The prices of necessary raw materials and products may be subject to price fluctuations according to market conditions, and any sudden increases in demand in the case of a widespread illness such as SARS, MERS or avian flu may impact the costs of production. For example, the market price of Sanqi, one of the main natural raw materials in Hutchison Baiyunshan’s Fu Fang Dan Shen tablets, fluctuated significantly between 2009 and 2016. Our Commercial Platform sources Sanqi and other necessary raw materials on a purchase order basis and does not have long-term supply contracts

in place so that it can manage inventory levels to reduce its risk to price fluctuations; however, we cannot guarantee that we or our joint ventures will be successful. Raw material price fluctuations could increase the cost to manufacture our Commercial Platform's products and adversely affect our operating results.

Adverse publicity associated with our company, our joint ventures or our or their products or third-party licensed products or similar products manufactured by our competitors could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Sales of the Commercial Platform's products are highly dependent upon market perceptions of the safety and quality of our and our joint ventures' products and the third-party products we and they distribute. Concerns over the safety of biopharmaceutical products manufactured in China could have an adverse effect on the reputation of our industry and the sale of such products, including products manufactured or distributed by us and our joint ventures.

We could be adversely affected if any of our or our joint ventures' products, third-party licensed products or any similar products manufactured by other companies prove to be, or are alleged to be, harmful to patients. Any negative publicity associated with severe adverse reactions or other adverse effects resulting from patients' use or misuse of our and our joint ventures' products or any similar products manufactured by other companies could also have a material adverse impact on our results of operations. We and our joint ventures have not, to date, experienced any significant quality control or safety problems. If in the future we or our joint ventures become involved in incidents of the type described above, such problems could severely and adversely impact our financial position and reputation.

We are dependent on our joint ventures' production facilities in Shanghai, Guangzhou and Anhui, China for the manufacture of our principal Commercial Platform products.

The principal products sold by our Commercial Platform are mainly produced or expected to be produced at our joint ventures' manufacturing facilities in Shanghai, Guangzhou and Anhui, China. A significant disruption at those facilities, even on a short-term basis, could impair our joint ventures' ability to timely produce and ship products, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position and results of operations.

Our joint ventures' manufacturing operations are vulnerable to interruption and damage from natural and other types of disasters, including earthquake, fire, floods, environmental accidents, power loss, communications failures and similar events. If any disaster were to occur, our ability to operate our or our joint ventures' business at these facilities would be materially impaired. In addition, the nature of our production and research activities could cause significant delays in our programs and make it difficult for us to recover from a disaster. We and our joint ventures maintain insurance for business interruptions to cover some of our potential losses; however, such disasters could still disrupt our operations and thereby result in substantial costs and diversion of resources.

In addition, our and our joint ventures' production process requires a continuous supply of electricity. We and they have encountered power shortages historically due to restricted power supply to industrial users during summers when the usage of electricity is high and supply is limited or as a result of damage to the electricity supply network. Because the duration of those power shortages was brief, they had no material impact on our or their operations. Interruptions of electricity supply could result in lengthy production shutdowns, increased costs associated with restarting production and the loss of production in progress. Any major suspension or termination of electricity or other unexpected business interruptions could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to our Dependence on Third Parties

Disagreements with our current or future collaboration partners, or the termination of any collaboration arrangement, could cause delays in our product development and materially and adversely affect our business.

Our collaborations with AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly and Nestlé Health Science and any future collaborations that we enter into may not be successful. Disagreements between parties to a collaboration arrangement regarding clinical development and commercialization matters can lead to delays in the development process or commercializing the applicable drug candidate and, in some cases, termination of the collaboration arrangement. Because, among other things, we are much smaller than our collaboration partners and because they or their affiliates may sell competing products, our interests may not always be aligned. This may result in potential conflicts between our collaborators and us on matters that we may not be able to resolve on favorable terms or at all.

Collaborations with pharmaceutical or biotechnology companies and other third parties, including our existing agreements with AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly and Nestlé Health Science, are often terminable by the other party for any reason with certain advance notice. For example, we had a collaboration arrangement with Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or Janssen, with respect to the development of a compound for a specific target related to inflammation and immunology, but Janssen gave notice to terminate the arrangement following their scientific review of the compound effective November 2015. Any such termination or expiration would adversely affect us financially and could harm our business reputation. For instance, in the event one of the strategic alliances with a current collaborator is terminated, we may require significant time and resources to secure a new collaboration partner, if we are able to secure such an arrangement at all. As noted in the following risk factor, establishing new collaboration arrangements can be challenging and time-consuming. The loss of existing or future collaboration arrangements would not only delay or potentially terminate the possible development or commercialization of products we may derive from our technologies, but it may also delay or terminate our ability to test specific target candidates.

We rely on our collaborations with third parties for certain of our drug development activities, and, if we are unable to establish new collaborations when desired on commercially attractive terms or at all, we may have to alter our development and commercialization plans.

Certain of our drug development programs and the potential commercialization of certain drug candidates rely on collaborations with AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly and Nestlé Health Science. In the future, we may decide to collaborate with additional pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies for the development and potential commercialization of our other drug candidates.

We face significant competition in seeking appropriate collaborators. Whether we reach a definitive agreement for collaboration will depend, among other things, upon our assessment of the collaborator's resources and expertise, the terms and conditions of the proposed collaboration and the proposed collaborator's evaluation of a number of factors. Those factors may include the design or results of clinical trials, the likelihood of approval by the FDA, CFDA or similar regulatory authorities outside the United States and China, the potential market for the subject drug candidate, the costs and complexities of manufacturing and delivering such drug candidate to patients, the potential of competing drugs, the existence of uncertainty with respect to our ownership of technology, which can exist if there is a challenge to such ownership without regard to the merits of the challenge and industry and market conditions generally. The collaborator may also consider alternative drug candidates or technologies for similar indications that may be available to collaborate on and whether such collaboration could be more attractive than the one with us for our drug candidate. The terms of any additional collaboration or other arrangements that we may establish may not be favorable to us.

We may also be restricted under existing collaboration agreements from entering into future agreements on certain terms with potential collaborators. Collaborations are complex and time-consuming to negotiate and document. In addition, there have been a significant number of recent business combinations among large pharmaceutical companies that have resulted in a reduced number of potential future collaborators.

We may not be able to negotiate additional collaborations on a timely basis, on acceptable terms, or at all. If we are unable to do so, we may have to curtail the development of the drug candidate for which we are seeking to collaborate, reduce or delay its development program or one or more of our other development programs, delay its potential commercialization or reduce the scope of any sales or marketing activities, or increase our expenditures and undertake development or commercialization activities at our own expense. If we elect to increase our expenditures to fund development or commercialization activities on our own, we may need to obtain additional capital, which may not be available to us on acceptable terms or at all. If we do not have sufficient funds, we may not be able to further develop our drug candidates or bring them to market and generate drug revenue.

Further development and commercialization of our own drug candidates will depend, in part, on strategic alliances with our collaborators. If our collaborators do not diligently pursue product development efforts, impeding our ability to collect milestone and royalty payments, our progress may be delayed and our revenue may be deferred.

We rely and expect to continue to rely, to some extent, on our collaborators to provide funding in support of our own independent research and pre-clinical and clinical testing. We do not currently possess the financial resources necessary to fully develop and commercialize each of our drug candidates or the resources or capabilities to complete the lengthy regulatory approval processes that may be required for our drug candidates. Therefore, we rely and plan to continue to rely on strategic alliances to financially help us develop and commercialize certain of our drug candidates. As a result, our success depends, in part, on our ability to collect milestone and royalty payments from our existing collaborators,

AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly, Nestlé Health Science and potential new collaborators. To the extent our collaborators do not aggressively pursue drug candidates for which we are entitled to such payments or pursue such drug candidates ineffectively, we will fail to realize these significant revenue streams, which could have an adverse effect on our business and future prospects.

If the alliances we currently have with AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly and Nestlé Health Science, or future collaborators with whom we may engage, are unable or unwilling to advance our programs, or if they do not diligently pursue product development and product approval, this may slow our progress and defer our revenue. Any such failure would have an adverse effect on our ability to collect key revenue streams and, for this reason, would adversely impact our business, financial position and prospects. Our collaborators may sub-license or abandon drug candidates or we may have disagreements with our collaborators, which would cause associated product development to slow or cease. There can be no assurance that our current strategic alliances will be successful, and we may require significant time to secure new strategic alliances because we need to effectively market the benefits of our technology to these future alliance partners, which may direct the attention and resources of our research and development personnel and management away from our primary business operations. Further, each strategic alliance arrangement will involve the negotiation of terms that may be unique to each collaborator. These business development efforts may not result in a strategic alliance or may result in unfavorable arrangements.

Under typical collaboration agreements, we would expect to receive revenue for our selective kinase inhibitors based on achievement of specific development, sales or regulatory approval milestones, as well as royalties based on a percentage of sales of the commercialized products. Achieving these milestones will depend, in part, on the efforts of our partner as well as our own. If we, or any alliance partner, fail to meet specific milestones, then the strategic alliance may be terminated, which could reduce our revenue.

The third-party vendors upon whom we rely for the supply of the active pharmaceutical ingredient, drug product and drug substance used in our drug candidates are our sole source of supply, and the loss of any of these suppliers could significantly harm our business.

The active pharmaceutical ingredients, or API, drug product and drug substance used in our drug candidates are supplied to us from third-party vendors. Our ability to successfully develop our drug candidates, and to ultimately supply our commercial drugs in quantities sufficient to meet the market demand, depends in part on our ability to obtain the API, drug product and drug substance for these drugs in accordance with regulatory requirements and in sufficient quantities for commercialization and clinical testing. While we do produce small amounts of API, we do not currently have arrangements in place for a redundant or second-source supply of any such API, drug product or drug substance in the event any of our current suppliers of such API, drug product and drug substance cease their operations for any reason, which may lead to an interruption in our production.

For all of our drug candidates, we intend to identify and qualify additional manufacturers to provide such API, drug product and drug substance prior to submission of an NDA to the FDA and/or CFDA. We are not certain, however, that our current suppliers will be able to meet our demand for their products, either because of the nature of our agreements with those suppliers, our limited experience with those suppliers or our relative importance as a customer to those suppliers. It may be difficult for us to assess their ability to timely meet our demand in the future based on past performance. While our suppliers have generally met our demand for their products on a timely basis in the past, they may subordinate our needs in the future to their other customers.

Establishing additional or replacement suppliers for the API, drug product and drug substance used in our drug candidates, if required, may not be accomplished quickly. If we are able to find a replacement supplier, such replacement supplier would need to be qualified and may require additional regulatory approval, which could result in further delay. While we seek to maintain adequate inventory of the API, drug product and drug substance used in our drug candidates, any interruption or delay in the supply of components or materials, or our inability to obtain such API, drug product and drug substance from alternate sources at acceptable prices in a timely manner could impede, delay, limit or prevent our development efforts, which could harm our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

We and our collaborators rely, and expect to continue to rely, on third parties to conduct certain of our clinical trials for our drug candidates. If these third parties do not successfully carry out their contractual duties, comply with regulatory requirements or meet expected deadlines, we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for or commercialize our drug candidates and our business could be harmed.

We do not have the ability to independently conduct large-scale clinical trials. We and our collaboration partners rely, and expect to continue to rely, on medical institutions, clinical investigators, contract laboratories and other third parties, such as CROs, to conduct or otherwise support certain clinical trials for our drug candidates. Nevertheless, we and our collaboration partners (as applicable) will be responsible for ensuring that each clinical trial is conducted in accordance with the applicable protocol, legal and regulatory requirements and scientific standards, and reliance on CROs will not relieve us of our regulatory responsibilities. For any violations of laws and regulations during the conduct of clinical trials for our drug candidates, we could be subject to warning letters or enforcement action that may include civil penalties up to and including criminal prosecution.

Although we or our collaboration partners design the clinical trials for our drug candidates, CROs conduct most of the clinical trials. As a result, many important aspects of our development programs, including their conduct and timing, are outside of our direct control. Our reliance on third parties to conduct clinical trials results in less control over the management of data developed through clinical trials than would be the case if we were relying entirely upon our own staff. Communicating with outside parties can also be challenging, potentially leading to mistakes as well as difficulties in coordinating activities. Outside parties may:

- have staffing difficulties;
- fail to comply with contractual obligations;
- experience regulatory compliance issues;
- undergo changes in priorities or become financially distressed; or
- form relationships with other entities, some of which may be our competitors.

These factors may materially and adversely affect the willingness or ability of third parties to conduct our and our collaboration partners' clinical trials and may subject us or them to unexpected cost increases that are beyond our or their control.

If any of our and our collaboration partners' relationships with these third-party CROs terminate, we or they may not be able to enter into arrangements with alternative CROs on reasonable terms or at all. If CROs do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or obligations or meet expected deadlines, if they need to be replaced or if the quality or accuracy of the clinical data they obtain is compromised due to the failure to adhere to our clinical protocols, regulatory requirements or for other reasons, any clinical trials such CROs are associated with may be extended, delayed or terminated, and we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for or successfully commercialize our drug candidates. As a result, we believe that our financial results and the commercial prospects for our drug candidates in the subject indication would be harmed, our costs could increase and our ability to generate revenue could be delayed.

We, our collaboration partners or our CROs may fail to comply with the regulatory requirements pertaining to clinical trials, which could result in fines, adverse publicity and civil or criminal sanctions.

We, our collaboration partners and our CROs are required to comply with regulations for conducting, monitoring, recording and reporting the results of clinical trials to ensure that the data and results are scientifically credible and accurate, and that the trial patients are adequately informed of the potential risks of participating in clinical trials and their rights are protected. These regulations are enforced by the FDA, the CFDA and comparable foreign regulatory authorities for any drugs in clinical development. In the United States, the FDA regulates GCP through periodic inspections of clinical trial sponsors, principal investigators and trial sites. If we, our collaboration partners or our CROs fail to comply with applicable GCPs, the clinical data generated in our clinical trials may be deemed unreliable and the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may require additional clinical trials before approving the marketing applications for the relevant drug candidate. We cannot assure you that, upon inspection, the FDA or other applicable regulatory authority will determine that any of the future clinical trials for our drug candidates will comply with GCPs. In addition, clinical trials must be conducted with drug candidates produced under applicable GMP regulations. Our failure or the failure of our

collaboration partners or CROs to comply with these regulations may require us or them to repeat clinical trials, which would delay the regulatory approval process and could also subject us to enforcement action. We are also required to register applicable clinical trials and post certain results of completed clinical trials on a government-sponsored database, ClinicalTrials.gov, within certain timeframes. Failure to do so can result in fines, adverse publicity and civil sanctions.

Joint ventures form an important part of our Commercial Platform business, and our ability to manage and develop the businesses conducted by these joint ventures depends in part on our relationship with our joint venture partners.

We are party to joint venture agreements with each of Shanghai Pharmaceuticals, Guangzhou Baiyunshan, Sinopharm and Hain Celestial, which together form an important part of our Commercial Platform business. Under these arrangements, our joint venture partners have certain operational responsibilities and/or certain rights to exercise control or influence over operations and decision-making.

Our equity interests in these operating companies do not provide us with the ability to control actions which require shareholder approval. In addition, under the joint venture contracts for these entities, the consent of the directors nominated by our joint venture partners is required for the passing of resolutions in relation to certain matters concerning the operations of these companies. As a result, although we participate in the management, and in the case of Sinopharm and Shanghai Pharmaceuticals nominate the management and run the day-to-day operations, we may not be able to secure the consent of our joint venture partners to pursue activities or strategic objectives that are beneficial to or that facilitate our overall business strategies. With respect to Hutchison Baiyunshan, which is a jointly controlled and managed joint venture where we share the ability to appoint the general manager with our partner Guangzhou Baiyunshan, with each of us having a rotating four-year right, we rely on our relationship with our partner, and our ability to manage the day-to-day operations of this joint venture is more limited. To the extent Guangzhou Baiyunshan does not, for example, diligently perform its responsibilities with respect to any aspect of Hutchison Baiyunshan's operations, agree with or cooperate in the implementation of any plans we may have for Hutchison Baiyunshan's business in the future or take steps to ensure that Hutchison Baiyunshan is in compliance with applicable laws and regulations, our business and ability to comply with legal, regulatory and financial reporting requirements which will apply to us as a public company, as well as the results of this joint venture, could be materially and adversely affected. Furthermore, disagreements or disputes which arise between us and our joint venture partners may potentially require legal action to resolve and hinder the smooth operation of our Commercial Platform business or adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We and our joint ventures rely on our distributors for logistics and distribution services for our Commercial Platform business.

We and our joint ventures rely on distributors to perform certain operational activities, including invoicing, logistics and delivery of the products we and they market to the end customers. Because we and our joint ventures rely on third-party distributors, we have less control than if we handled distribution logistics directly and can be adversely impacted by the actions of our distributors. Any disruption of our distribution network, including failure to renew existing distribution agreements with desired distributors, could negatively affect our ability to effectively sell our products and materially and adversely affect the business, financial condition and results of operations of us and our joint ventures.

There is no assurance that the benefits currently enjoyed by virtue of our association with CK Hutchison will continue to be available.

Historically, we have relied on the reputation and experience of, and support provided by, our founding shareholder, Hutchison Whampoa Limited (a wholly owned subsidiary of CK Hutchison), to advance our joint ventures and collaborations in China and elsewhere. CK Hutchison is a Hong Kong-based, multinational conglomerate with operations in over 50 countries. CK Hutchison is the ultimate parent company of Hutchison Healthcare Holdings Limited, which as of February 28, 2017, owns 60.4% of our total outstanding share capital. We believe that CK Hutchison group's reputation in China has given us an advantage in negotiating collaborations and obtaining opportunities.

We also benefit from sharing certain services with the CK Hutchison group including, among others, legal and regulatory services, company secretarial support services, tax and internal audit services, shared use of accounting software system and related services, participation in the CK Hutchison group's pension, medical and insurance plans, participation in the CK Hutchison group's procurement projects with third-party vendors/suppliers, other staff benefits and staff training services, company functions and activities and operation advisory and support services. We pay a management fee to an affiliate of CK Hutchison for the provision of such services. In the years ended December 31, 2014, 2015 and 2016, we paid a management fee of approximately \$989,000, \$845,000 and \$874,000, respectively. In addition, we benefit from the

fact that two retail chains affiliated with the CK Hutchison group, PARKnSHOP and Watsons, sell certain of our Commercial Platform products in their stores throughout Hong Kong and in other Asian countries. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2015 and 2016, sales of our products to members of the CK Hutchison group amounted to \$7.8 million, \$8.1 million and \$9.8 million, respectively.

Our business also depends on certain intellectual property rights licensed to us by the CK Hutchison group. See “Risks Related to Intellectual Property—We and our joint ventures are dependent on trademark and other intellectual property rights licensed from others. If we lose our licenses for any of our products, we or our joint ventures may not be able to continue developing such products or may be required to change the way we market such products” for more information on risks associated with such intellectual property licensed to us.

There can be no assurance the CK Hutchison group will continue to provide the same benefits or support that they have provided to our business historically. Such benefit or support may no longer be available to us, in particular, if CK Hutchison’s ownership interest in our company significantly decreases in the future.

Other Risks and Risks Related to Doing Business in China

We and our joint ventures may be exposed to liabilities under the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, or FCPA, the Bribery Act 2010 of the Parliament of the United Kingdom, or U.K. Bribery Act, and Chinese anti-corruption laws, and any determination that we have violated these laws could have a material adverse effect on our business or our reputation.

In the day-to-day conduct of our business, we and our joint ventures are in frequent contact with persons who may be considered government officials under applicable anti-corruption, anti-bribery and anti-kickback laws, and therefore, we and our joint ventures are subject to risk of violations under the FCPA, the U.K. Bribery Act, and other laws in the countries where we do business. We and our joint ventures have operations, agreements with third parties and we and our joint ventures make most of our sales in China. The PRC also strictly prohibits bribery of government officials. Our and our joint ventures’ activities in China create the risk of unauthorized payments or offers of payments by the directors, employees, representatives, distributors, consultants or agents of our company or our joint ventures, even though they may not always be subject to our control.

It is our policy to implement safeguards to discourage these practices by our and our joint ventures’ employees. We have implemented and adopted policies designed by the R&D-based Pharmaceutical Association Committee, an industry association representing 38 global biopharmaceutical companies, to ensure compliance by us and our joint ventures and our directors, officers, employees, representatives, distributors, consultants and agents with the anti-corruption laws and regulations. We cannot assure you, however, that our existing safeguards are sufficient or that our or our joint venture’s directors, officers, employees, representatives, distributors, consultants and agents have not engaged and will not engage in conduct for which we may be held responsible, nor can we assure you that our business partners have not engaged and will not engage in conduct that could materially affect their ability to perform their contractual obligations to us or even result in our being held liable for such conduct. Violations of the FCPA, the U.K. Bribery Act or Chinese anti-corruption laws may result in severe criminal or civil sanctions, and we may be subject to other liabilities, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, reputation financial condition, cash flows and results of operations.

Ensuring that our and our joint ventures’ future business arrangements with third parties comply with applicable laws could also involve substantial costs. It is possible that governmental authorities will conclude that our business practices do not comply with current or future statutes, regulations or case law involving applicable fraud and abuse or other healthcare laws and regulations. If our or our joint ventures’ operations were found to be in violation of any of these laws or any other governmental regulations that may apply to us, we may be subject to significant civil, criminal and administrative penalties, damages, fines, disgorgement, individual imprisonment and exclusion from government funded healthcare programs, any of which could substantially disrupt our operations. If the physicians, hospitals or other providers or entities with whom we and our joint ventures do business are found not to be in compliance with applicable laws, they may also be subject to criminal, civil or administrative sanctions, including exclusions from government funded healthcare programs.

If we or our joint ventures fail to comply with environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, we or they could become subject to fines or penalties or incur costs that could have a material adverse effect on the success of our business.

We and our joint ventures are subject to numerous environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, including those governing laboratory procedures and the handling, use, storage, treatment and disposal of hazardous materials and wastes. Our operations involve the use of hazardous and flammable materials, including chemical materials. Our operations also produce hazardous waste products. We and our joint ventures are therefore subject to PRC laws and regulations concerning the discharge of waste water, gaseous waste and solid waste during our manufacturing processes. We and our joint ventures are required to establish and maintain facilities to dispose of waste and report the volume of waste to the relevant government authorities, which conduct scheduled or unscheduled inspections of our facilities and treatment of such discharge. We and our joint ventures may not at all times comply fully with environmental regulations. Any violation of these regulations may result in substantial fines, criminal sanctions, revocations of operating permits, shutdown of our facilities and obligation to take corrective measures. We and our joint ventures generally contract with third parties for the disposal of these materials and waste. We and our joint ventures cannot eliminate the risk of contamination or injury from these materials. In the event of contamination or injury resulting from the use of hazardous materials, we and/or our joint ventures could be held liable for any resulting damages, and any liability could exceed our resources. We and/or our joint ventures also could incur significant costs associated with civil or criminal fines and penalties.

Although we and our joint ventures maintain workers' compensation insurance to cover costs and expenses incurred due to on-the-job injuries to our employees and third party liability insurance for injuries caused by unexpected seepage, pollution or contamination, this insurance may not provide adequate coverage against potential liabilities. Furthermore, the PRC government may take steps towards the adoption of more stringent environmental regulations. Due to the possibility of unanticipated regulatory or other developments, the amount and timing of future environmental expenditures may vary substantially from those currently anticipated. If there is any unanticipated change in the environmental regulations, we and our joint ventures may need to incur substantial capital expenditures to install, replace, upgrade or supplement our equipment or make operational changes to limit any adverse impact or potential adverse impact on the environment in order to comply with new environmental protection laws and regulations. If such costs become prohibitively expensive, we may be forced to cease certain aspects of our or our joint ventures' business operations.

Product liability claims or lawsuits could cause us or our joint ventures to incur substantial liabilities.

We and our joint ventures face an inherent risk of product liability exposure related to the use of our drug candidates in clinical trials, sales of our or our joint ventures' products or the products we or they license from third parties through our Commercial Platform. If we and our joint ventures cannot successfully defend against claims that the use of such drug candidates in our clinical trials or any products sold through our Commercial Platform, including any of our drug candidates which receive regulatory approval, caused injuries, we and our joint ventures could incur substantial liabilities. Regardless of merit or eventual outcome, liability claims may result in:

- decreased demand for any products sold through our Commercial Platform;
- significant negative media attention and reputational damage;
- withdrawal of clinical trial participants;
- significant costs to defend the related litigation;
- substantial monetary awards to trial participants or patients;
- loss of revenue; and
- the inability to commercialize any drug candidates that we may develop.

Existing PRC laws and regulations do not require us or our joint ventures to have, nor do we or they, maintain liability insurance to cover product liability claims. We and our joint ventures do not have business liability, or in particular, product liability for each of our drug candidates or certain of our or their products. Any litigation might result in substantial costs and diversion of resources. While we and our joint ventures maintain liability insurance for certain clinical trials, this insurance may not fully cover our potential liabilities. Inability to obtain sufficient insurance coverage at an acceptable

cost or otherwise to protect against potential product liability claims could prevent or inhibit the commercialization of products that we or our collaborators develop.

The PRC's economic, political and social conditions, as well as governmental policies, could affect the business environment and financial markets in China, our ability to operate our business, our liquidity and our access to capital.

Substantially all of our and our joint ventures' business operations are conducted in China. Accordingly, our results of operations, financial condition and prospects are subject to a significant degree to economic, political and legal developments in China. China's economy differs from the economies of developed countries in many respects, including with respect to the amount of government involvement, level of development, growth rate, control of foreign exchange and allocation of resources. While the PRC economy has experienced significant growth in the past 30 years, growth has been uneven across different regions and among various economic sectors of China. The PRC government has implemented various measures to encourage economic development and guide the allocation of resources. Some of these measures benefit the overall PRC economy, but may have a negative effect on us or our joint ventures. For example, our financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected by government control over capital investments or changes in tax regulations that are applicable to us or our joint ventures. More generally, if the business environment in China deteriorates from the perspective of domestic or international investors, our or our joint ventures' business in China may also be adversely affected.

Uncertainties with respect to the PRC legal system and changes in laws, regulations and policies in China could materially and adversely affect us.

We conduct our business primarily through our subsidiaries and joint ventures in China. PRC laws and regulations govern our and their operations in China. Our subsidiaries and joint ventures are generally subject to laws and regulations applicable to foreign investments in China, which may not sufficiently cover all of the aspects of our or their economic activities in China. In particular, some laws, particularly with respect to drug price reimbursement, are relatively new, and because of the limited volume of published judicial decisions and their non-binding nature, the interpretation and enforcement of these laws and regulations are uncertain. Furthermore, regulatory reform in the China pharmaceutical industry, expected to be announced in 2017, appears that it might limit the number of distributors allowed between a manufacturer and each hospital to one, which may limit the rate of sales growth of Hutchison Sinopharm in future periods. In addition, the implementation of laws and regulations may be in part based on government policies and internal rules that are subject to the interpretation and discretion of different government agencies (some of which are not published on a timely basis or at all) that may have a retroactive effect. As a result, we may not be aware of our or our joint ventures' violation of these policies and rules until some time after the violation. In addition, any litigation in China, regardless of outcome, may be protracted and result in substantial costs and diversion of resources and management attention.

For further information regarding government regulation in China and other jurisdictions, see Item 4.B. "Business Overview-Regulation-Government Regulation of Pharmaceutical Product Development and Approval-PRC Regulation of Pharmaceutical Product Development and Approval," "Business Overview-Regulation-Coverage and Reimbursement-PRC Coverage and Reimbursement" and "Business Overview-Regulation-Other Healthcare Laws-Other PRC Healthcare Laws."

Restrictions on currency exchange may limit our ability to receive and use our revenue effectively.

Substantially all of our revenue are denominated in renminbi, which currently is not a freely convertible currency. A portion of our revenue may be converted into other currencies to meet our foreign currency obligations, including, among others, payments of dividends declared, if any, in respect of our ordinary shares or ADSs. Under China's existing foreign exchange regulations, our subsidiaries and joint ventures are able to pay dividends in foreign currencies or convert renminbi into other currencies for use in operations without prior approval from the PRC State Administration of Foreign Exchange, or SAFE, by complying with certain procedural requirements. However, we cannot assure you that the PRC government will not take future measures to restrict access to foreign currencies for current account transactions.

Our PRC subsidiaries' and joint ventures' ability to obtain foreign exchange is subject to significant foreign exchange controls and, in the case of amounts under the capital account, requires the approval of and/or registration with PRC government authorities, including the SAFE. In particular, if we finance our PRC subsidiaries or joint ventures by means of foreign debt from us or other foreign lenders, the amount is not allowed to exceed the difference between the amount of total investment and the amount of the registered capital as approved by the Ministry of Commerce, or MOFCOM, and registered with the SAFE. Further, such loans must be registered with the SAFE. If we finance our PRC

subsidiaries or joint ventures by means of additional capital contributions, the amount of these capital contributions must first be approved by the relevant government approval authority. These limitations could affect the ability of our PRC subsidiaries and joint ventures to obtain foreign exchange through debt or equity financing.

Our business benefits from certain PRC government tax incentives. The expiration of, changes to, or our PRC subsidiaries/joint ventures failing to continuously meet the criteria for these incentives could have a material adverse effect on our operating results by significantly increasing our tax expenses.

Certain of our PRC subsidiaries and joint ventures have been granted the special High and New Technology Enterprise, or HNTE, status (since 2005, 2008 or 2014) and/or the Technological Advance Service Enterprise, or TASE, status (since 2010) by the relevant PRC authorities. Both of these statuses allow the relevant enterprise to enjoy a reduced Enterprise Income Tax, or EIT, rate at 15% on its taxable profits. The statuses are valid until the end of 2016 (for HNTE) or 2018 (for TASE) during which the relevant PRC enterprise must continue to meet the relevant criteria or else the 25% standard EIT rate will be applied from the beginning of the calendar year when the enterprise fails to meet the relevant criteria. In addition, it is unclear whether the HNTE/TASE status and tax incentives under the current policy will continue to be granted after their respective expiration dates. If the rules for such incentives are amended or the statutes are not renewed, higher EIT rates may apply resulting in increased tax burden which will impact our business, financial condition, results of operations and growth prospects.

We may be treated as a resident enterprise for PRC Tax purposes under the PRC EIT Law, and our global income may therefore be subject to PRC income tax.

China's EIT Law and the Regulation on the Implementation of the EIT Law, effective as of January 1, 2008, define the term "de facto management bodies" as "bodies that substantially carry out comprehensive management and control on the business operation, employees, accounts and assets of enterprises." Under the EIT Law, an enterprise incorporated outside of China whose "de facto management bodies" are located in China is considered a "resident enterprise" and will be subject to a uniform 25% EIT rate on its global income. On April 22, 2009, China's State Administration of Taxation, or the SAT, in the Notice Regarding the Determination of Chinese-Controlled Offshore-Incorporated Enterprises as PRC Tax Resident Enterprises on the Basis of De Facto Management Bodies, or Circular 82, further specified certain criteria for the determination of what constitutes "de facto management bodies." If all of these criteria are met, the relevant foreign enterprise may be regarded to have its "de facto management bodies" located in China and therefore be considered a resident enterprise in China. These criteria include: (i) the enterprise's day-to-day operational management is primarily exercised in China; (ii) decisions relating to the enterprise's financial and human resource matters are made or subject to approval by organizations or personnel in China; (iii) the enterprise's primary assets, accounting books and records, company seals, and board and shareholders' meeting minutes are located or maintained in China; and (iv) 50% or more of voting board members or senior executives of the enterprise habitually reside in China. Although Circular 82 only applies to foreign enterprises that are majority-owned and controlled by PRC enterprises, not those owned and controlled by foreign enterprises or individuals, the determining criteria set forth in Circular 82 may be adopted by the PRC tax authorities as the test for determining whether the enterprises are PRC tax residents, regardless of whether they are majority-owned and controlled by PRC enterprises.

Except for our PRC subsidiaries and joint ventures incorporated in China, we believe that none of our entities incorporated outside of China is a PRC resident enterprise for PRC tax purposes. However, the tax resident status of an enterprise is subject to determination by the PRC tax authorities, and uncertainties remain with respect to the interpretation of the term "de facto management body."

If we are treated as a PRC tax resident, dividends distributed by us to our non-PRC shareholders and ADS holders or any gains realized by non-PRC shareholders and ADS holders from the transfer of our shares or ADSs may be subject to PRC tax.

Under the EIT Law, dividends payable by a PRC enterprise to its foreign investor who is a non-PRC resident enterprise, as well as gains on transfers of shares of a PRC enterprise by such a foreign investor will generally be subject to a 10% withholding tax, unless such non-PRC resident enterprise's jurisdiction of tax residency has an applicable tax treaty with the PRC that provides for a reduced rate of withholding tax.

If the PRC tax authorities determine that we should be considered a PRC resident enterprise for EIT purposes, any dividends payable by us to our non-PRC resident enterprise shareholders or ADS holders, as well as gains realized by such investors from the transfer of our shares or ADSs may be subject to a 10% withholding tax, unless a reduced rate is

available under an applicable tax treaty. Furthermore, if we are considered a PRC resident enterprise for EIT purposes, it is unclear whether our non-PRC individual shareholders (including our ADS holders) would be subject to any PRC tax on dividends or gains obtained by such non-PRC individual shareholders. If any PRC tax were to apply to dividends or gains realized by non-PRC individuals, it would generally apply at a rate of up to 20% unless a reduced rate is available under an applicable tax treaty. If dividends payable to our non-PRC resident shareholders, or gains from the transfer of our shares or ADSs by such shareholders are subject to PRC tax, the value of your investment in our shares or ADSs may decline significantly.

There is uncertainty regarding the PRC withholding tax rate that will be applied to distributions from our PRC subsidiaries and joint ventures to their respective Hong Kong immediate holding companies, which could have a negative impact on our business.

The EIT Law provides that a withholding tax at the rate of 10% is applicable to dividends payable by a PRC resident enterprise to investors who are “non-resident enterprises” (i.e., that do not have an establishment or place of business in the PRC or that have such establishment or place of business but the relevant dividend is not effectively connected with the establishment or place of business). However, pursuant to the Arrangement between the Mainland of China and the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region for the Avoidance of Double Taxation and the Prevention of Fiscal Evasion with respect to Taxes on Income, or the Arrangement, withholding tax at a reduced rate of 5% may be applicable to dividends payable to non-resident beneficial owners of the dividends by PRC resident enterprises if certain requirements are met.

There is uncertainty regarding whether the PRC tax authorities will consider us to be eligible to the reduced tax rate. If the Arrangement is deemed not to apply to dividends payable by our PRC subsidiaries and joint ventures to their respective Hong Kong immediate holding companies that are ultimately owned by us, the withholding tax rate applicable to us will be the statutory rate of 10% instead of 5% which may potentially impact our business, financial condition, results of operations and growth prospects.

We may be treated as a resident enterprise for U.K. corporate tax purposes, and our global income may therefore be subject to U.K. corporation tax.

U.K. resident companies are taxable in the United Kingdom on their worldwide profits. A company incorporated outside of the United Kingdom would be regarded as a resident if its central management and control resides in the United Kingdom. The place of central management and control generally means the place where the high-level strategic decisions of a company are made.

We are an investment holding company incorporated in the Cayman Islands that is listed on the AIM market of the London Stock Exchange. Our central management and control resides in Hong Kong, and therefore we believe that we are not a U.K. resident for corporate tax purposes. However, the tax resident status of a non-resident entity could be challenged by the U.K. tax authorities.

If the U.K. tax authorities determine that we are a U.K. tax resident, our profits will be subject to U.K. Corporation Tax rate at 20%, subject to the potential availability of certain exemptions related to dividend income and capital gains. This may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Any failure to comply with PRC regulations regarding our employee equity incentive plans may subject the PRC plan participants or us to fines and other legal or administrative sanctions, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In February 2012, the SAFE promulgated the Notices on Issues Concerning the Foreign Exchange Administration for Domestic Individuals Participating in Stock Incentive Plans of Overseas Publicly Listed Companies. Based on this regulation, PRC residents who are granted shares or share options by a company listed on an overseas stock market under its employee share option or share incentive plan are required to register with the SAFE or its local counterparts by following certain procedures. We and our employees who are PRC residents and individual beneficial owners who have been granted shares or share options have been subject to these rules due to our listing on the AIM market of the London Stock Exchange and the listing of our ADSs on the Nasdaq Global Select Market. We have registered the option schemes and the share incentive plan and will continue to assist our employees to register their share options or shares. However, any failure of our PRC individual beneficial owners and holders of share options or shares to comply with the SAFE registration requirements in the future may subject them to fines and legal sanctions and may, in rare instances, limit the ability of our PRC subsidiaries to distribute dividends to us.

In addition, the SAT has issued circulars concerning employee share options or restricted shares. Under these circulars, employees working in the PRC who exercise share options, or whose restricted shares vest, will be subject to PRC individual income tax, or the IIT. The PRC subsidiaries of an overseas listed company have obligations to file documents related to employee share options or restricted shares with relevant tax authorities and to withhold IIT of those employees related to their share options or restricted shares. Although the PRC subsidiaries currently withhold IIT from the PRC employees in connection with their exercise of share options, if they fail to report and pay the tax withheld according to relevant laws, rules and regulations, the PRC subsidiaries may face sanctions imposed by the tax authorities or other PRC government authorities.

Risks Related to Intellectual Property

If we or our joint ventures are unable to protect our or their products and drug candidates through intellectual property rights, our competitors may compete directly against us or them.

Our success depends, in part, on our ability to protect our and our joint ventures' products and drug candidates from competition by establishing, maintaining and enforcing our or their intellectual property rights. We and our joint ventures seek to protect the products and technology that we and they consider commercially important by filing PRC and international patent applications, relying on trade secrets or pharmaceutical regulatory protection or employing a combination of these methods. As of December 31, 2016, we had 131 issued patents, including 25 Chinese patents, 20 U.S. patents and seven European patents, 126 patent applications pending in major market jurisdictions, and five pending Patent Cooperation Treaty, or PCT, patent applications relating to the drug candidates of our Innovation Platform. As of the same date, our joint venture Nutrition Science Partners had 23 issued patents and six pending patent applications relating to HMPL-004. Additionally, our joint ventures collectively had 123 issued patents and 15 patent applications in China relating to our Commercial Platform's products as of December 31, 2016. For more details, see Item 4.B. "Business Overview-Patents and Other Intellectual Property." Patents may become invalid and patent applications may not be granted for a number of reasons, including known or unknown prior art, deficiencies in the patent application or the lack of originality of the technology. In addition, the PRC and the United States have adopted the "first-to-file" system under which whoever first files an invention patent application will be awarded the patent. Under the first-to-file system, third parties may be granted a patent relating to a technology which we invented. Furthermore, the terms of patents are finite. The patents we hold and patents to be issued from our currently pending patent applications generally have a twenty-year protection period starting from the date of application.

We or our joint ventures may become involved in patent litigation against third parties to enforce our or their patent rights, to invalidate patents held by such third parties, or to defend against such claims. A court may refuse to stop the other party from using the technology at issue on the grounds that our or our joint ventures' patents do not cover the third-party technology in question. Further, such third parties could counterclaim that we or our joint ventures infringe their intellectual property or that a patent we or our joint ventures have asserted against them is invalid or unenforceable. In patent litigation, defendant counterclaims challenging the validity, enforceability or scope of asserted patents are commonplace. In addition, third parties may initiate legal proceedings against us or our intellectual property to assert such challenges to our intellectual property rights.

The outcome of any such proceeding is generally unpredictable. Grounds for a validity challenge could be an alleged failure to meet any of several statutory requirements, including lack of novelty, obviousness or non-enablement. Patents may be unenforceable if someone connected with prosecution of the patent withheld relevant information or made a misleading statement during prosecution. It is possible that prior art of which we or our joint ventures and the patent examiner were unaware during prosecution exists, which could render our or their patents invalid. Moreover, it is also possible that prior art may exist that we or our joint ventures are aware of but do not believe is relevant to our or their current or future patents, but that could nevertheless be determined to render our patents invalid. The cost to us or our joint ventures of any patent litigation or similar proceeding could be substantial, and it may consume significant management time. We and our joint ventures do not maintain insurance to cover intellectual property infringement.

An adverse result in any litigation proceeding could put one or more of our or our joint ventures' patents at risk of being invalidated or interpreted narrowly. If a defendant were to prevail on a legal assertion of invalidity or unenforceability of our patents covering one of our or our joint ventures' products or our drug candidates, we could lose at least part, and perhaps all, of the patent protection covering such product or drug candidate. Competing drugs may also be sold in other countries in which our or our joint ventures' patent coverage might not exist or be as strong. If we lose a foreign patent lawsuit, alleging our or our joint ventures' infringement of a competitor's patents, we could be prevented

from marketing our drugs in one or more foreign countries. Any of these outcomes would have a materially adverse effect on our business.

Intellectual property and confidentiality legal regimes in China may not afford protection to the same extent as in the United States or other countries. Implementation and enforcement of PRC intellectual property laws may be deficient and ineffective. Policing unauthorized use of proprietary technology is difficult and expensive, and we or our joint ventures may need to resort to litigation to enforce or defend patents issued to us or them or to determine the enforceability, scope and validity of our proprietary rights or those of others. The experience and capabilities of PRC courts in handling intellectual property litigation varies, and outcomes are unpredictable. Further, such litigation may require a significant expenditure of cash and may divert management's attention from our or our joint ventures' operations, which could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. An adverse determination in any such litigation could materially impair our or our joint ventures' intellectual property rights and may harm our business, prospects and reputation.

Developments in patent law could have a negative impact on our business.

From time to time, authorities in the United States, China and other government authorities may change the standards of patentability, and any such changes could have a negative impact on our business.

For example, in the United States, the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act, or the America Invents Act, which was signed into law in 2011, includes a number of significant changes to U.S. patent law. These changes include a transition from a "first-to-invent" system to a "first-to-file" system, changes to the way issued patents are challenged, and changes to the way patent applications are disputed during the examination process. As a result of these changes, patent law in the United States may favor larger and more established companies that have greater resources to devote to patent application filing and prosecution. The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, or USPTO, has developed new and untested regulations and procedures to govern the full implementation of the America Invents Act, and many of the substantive changes to patent law associated with the America Invents Act, and, in particular, the first-to-file provisions became effective on March 16, 2013. Substantive changes to patent law associated with the America Invents Act may affect our ability to obtain patents, and if obtained, to enforce or defend them. Accordingly, it is not clear what, if any, impact the America Invents Act will have on the cost of prosecuting our or our joint ventures' patent applications and our or their ability to obtain patents based on our or our joint ventures' discoveries and to enforce or defend any patents that may issue from our or their patent applications, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

If we are unable to maintain the confidentiality of our and our joint ventures' trade secrets, the business and competitive position of ourselves and our joint ventures may be harmed.

In addition to the protection afforded by patents and the PRC's State Secret certification, we and our joint ventures rely upon unpatented trade secret protection, unpatented know-how and continuing technological innovation to develop and maintain our competitive position. We seek to protect our and our joint ventures' proprietary technology and processes, in part, by entering into confidentiality agreements with our and their collaborators, scientific advisors, employees and consultants, and invention assignment agreements with our and their consultants and employees. We and our joint ventures may not be able to prevent the unauthorized disclosure or use of our or their technical know-how or other trade secrets by the parties to these agreements, however, despite the existence generally of confidentiality agreements and other contractual restrictions. If any of the collaborators, scientific advisors, employees and consultants who are parties to these agreements breaches or violates the terms of any of these agreements, we and our joint ventures may not have adequate remedies for any such breach or violation, and we could lose our trade secrets as a result. Enforcing a claim that a third-party illegally obtained and is using our or our joint ventures' trade secrets, like patent litigation, is expensive and time consuming, and the outcome is unpredictable. In addition, courts in China and other jurisdictions outside the United States are sometimes less prepared or willing to protect trade secrets.

Our and our joint ventures' trade secrets could otherwise become known or be independently discovered by our or their competitors. For example, competitors could purchase our drugs and attempt to replicate some or all of the competitive advantages we derive from our development efforts, willfully infringe our intellectual property rights, design around our protected technology or develop their own competitive technologies that fall outside of our intellectual property rights. If any of our or our joint ventures' trade secrets were to be lawfully obtained or independently developed by a competitor, we and our joint ventures would have no right to prevent them, or others to whom they communicate it, from using that technology or information to compete against us or our joint ventures. If our or our joint ventures' trade secrets are unable to adequately protect our business against competitors' drugs, our competitive position could be adversely affected, as could our business.

We and our joint ventures are dependent on trademark and other intellectual property rights licensed from others. If we lose our licenses for any of our products, we or our joint ventures may not be able to continue developing such products or may be required to change the way we market such products.

We and our joint ventures are parties to licenses that give us or them rights to third-party intellectual property that are necessary or useful for our or our joint ventures' businesses. In particular, the "Hutchison," "Chi-Med" and "China-MedTech" brands, among others, have been licensed to us by Hutchison Whampoa Enterprises Limited, an affiliate of our majority shareholder, Hutchison Healthcare Holdings Limited. Hutchison Whampoa Enterprises Limited grants us a royalty-free, worldwide license to such brands. Hutchison Whampoa Enterprises Limited has the right to terminate the license during the 12-month period following each time the interest of Hutchison Whampoa Limited, an indirect shareholder of Hutchison Healthcare Holdings Limited, in us is reduced below 50%, 40%, 30% or 20%. Currently, Hutchison Whampoa Limited's interest in our company is less than 20%, but we do not anticipate that Hutchison Whampoa Enterprises Limited will terminate such license in the foreseeable future. In addition, the "Baiyunshan" brand, which is a key brand used by Hutchison Baiyunshan on its products, has been licensed to Hutchison Baiyunshan by our joint venture partner, Guangzhou Baiyunshan, for use during the 50-year joint venture period; however, Guangzhou Baiyunshan has the right to terminate the license if its interest in Hutchison Baiyunshan falls below 50%. If any such license is terminated, our or Hutchison Baiyunshan's business, and our or their positioning in the Chinese market and our financial condition, results of operations and prospects may be materially and adversely affected.

In some cases, our licensors have retained the right to prosecute and defend the intellectual property rights licensed to us or our joint ventures. We depend in part on the ability of our licensors to obtain, maintain and enforce intellectual property protection for such licensed intellectual property. Such licensors may not successfully maintain their intellectual property, may determine not to pursue litigation against other companies that are infringing on such intellectual property, or may pursue litigation less aggressively than we or our joint ventures would. Without protection for the intellectual property we or our joint ventures license, other companies might be able to offer substantially identical products or branding, which could adversely affect our competitive business position and harm our business prospects.

If our or our joint ventures' products or drug candidates infringe the intellectual property rights of third parties, we and they may incur substantial liabilities, and we and they may be unable to sell these products.

Our commercial success depends significantly on our and our joint ventures' ability to operate without infringing the patents and other proprietary rights of third parties. In the PRC, invention patent applications are generally maintained in confidence until their publication 18 months from the filing date. The publication of discoveries in the scientific or patent literature frequently occurs substantially later than the date on which the underlying discoveries were made and invention patent applications are filed. Even after reasonable investigation, we may not know with certainty whether any third-party may have filed a patent application without our knowledge while we or our joint ventures are still developing or producing that product. While the success of pending patent applications and applicability of any of them to our or our joint ventures' programs are uncertain, if asserted against us or them, we could incur substantial costs and we or they may have to:

- obtain licenses, which may not be available on commercially reasonable terms, if at all;
- redesign products or processes to avoid infringement; and
- stop producing products using the patents held by others, which could cause us or them to lose the use of one or more of our or their products.

To date, we and our joint ventures have not received any material claims of infringement by any third parties. If a third-party claims that we or our joint ventures infringe its proprietary rights, any of the following may occur:

- we or our joint ventures may have to defend litigation or administrative proceedings that may be costly whether we or they win or lose, and which could result in a substantial diversion of management resources;
- we or our joint ventures may become liable for substantial damages for past infringement if a court decides that our technology infringes a third-party's intellectual property rights;

- a court may prohibit us or our joint ventures from producing and selling our or their product(s) without a license from the holder of the intellectual property rights, which may not be available on commercially acceptable terms, if at all; and
- we or our joint ventures may have to reformulate product(s) so that it does not infringe the intellectual property rights of others, which may not be possible or could be very expensive and time consuming.

Any costs incurred in connection with such events or the inability to sell our or our joint ventures' products may have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

We and our joint ventures may not be able to effectively enforce our intellectual property rights throughout the world.

Filing, prosecuting and defending patents on our or our joint venture's products or drug candidates in all countries throughout the world would be prohibitively expensive. The requirements for patentability may differ in certain countries, particularly in developing countries. Moreover, our or our joint ventures' ability to protect and enforce our or their intellectual property rights may be adversely affected by unforeseen changes in foreign intellectual property laws. Additionally, the patent laws of some foreign countries do not afford intellectual property protection to the same extent as the laws of the United States. Many companies have encountered significant problems in protecting and defending intellectual property rights in certain foreign jurisdictions. The legal systems of some countries, particularly developing countries, may not favor the enforcement of patents and other intellectual property rights. This could make it difficult for us or our joint ventures to stop the infringement of our or their patents or the misappropriation of our or their other intellectual property rights. For example, many foreign countries have compulsory licensing laws under which a patent owner must grant licenses to third parties. Consequently, we may not be able to prevent third parties from practicing our or our joint ventures' inventions throughout the world. Competitors may use our or our joint ventures' technologies in jurisdictions where we or they have not obtained patent protection to develop their own drugs and, further, may export otherwise infringing drugs to territories where we or our joint ventures have patent protection, if our or our joint ventures' ability to enforce our or their patents to stop infringing activities is inadequate. These drugs may compete with our drug candidates, and our patents or other intellectual property rights may not be effective or sufficient to prevent them from competing.

Proceedings to enforce our or our joint ventures' patent rights in foreign jurisdictions, whether or not successful, could result in substantial costs and divert our or their efforts and resources from other aspects of our and their businesses. Furthermore, while we intend to protect our intellectual property rights in the major markets for our drug candidates, we cannot ensure that we will be able to initiate or maintain similar efforts in all jurisdictions in which we may wish to market our drug candidates. Accordingly, our efforts to protect the intellectual property rights of our drug candidates in such countries may be inadequate.

We and our joint ventures may be subject to damages resulting from claims that we or they, or our or their employees, have wrongfully used or disclosed alleged trade secrets of competitors or are in breach of non-competition or non-solicitation agreements with competitors.

We and our joint ventures could in the future be subject to claims that we or they, or our or their employees, have inadvertently or otherwise used or disclosed alleged trade secrets or other proprietary information of former employers or competitors. Although we try to ensure that our and our joint ventures' employees and consultants do not improperly use the intellectual property, proprietary information, know-how or trade secrets of others in their work for us or our joint ventures, we or our joint ventures may in the future be subject to claims that we or they caused an employee to breach the terms of his or her non-competition or non-solicitation agreement, or that we, our joint ventures, or these individuals have, inadvertently or otherwise, used or disclosed the alleged trade secrets or other proprietary information of a former employer or competitor. Litigation may be necessary to defend against these claims. Even if we and our joint ventures are successful in defending against these claims, litigation could result in substantial costs and could be a distraction to management. If our or our joint ventures' defenses to these claims fail, in addition to requiring us and them to pay monetary damages, a court could prohibit us or our joint ventures from using technologies or features that are essential to our or their products or our drug candidates, if such technologies or features are found to incorporate or be derived from the trade secrets or other proprietary information of the former employers. An inability to incorporate such technologies or features would have a material adverse effect on our business, and may prevent us from successfully commercializing our drug candidates. In addition, we or our joint ventures may lose valuable intellectual property rights or personnel as a result of such claims. Moreover, any such litigation or the threat thereof may adversely affect our or our joint ventures' ability to hire employees or contract with independent sales representatives. A loss of key personnel or their work product could hamper or prevent

our ability to commercialize our drug candidates, which would have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Risks Related to Our ADSs

Certain shareholders own a significant percentage of our ordinary shares, which limits the ability of other shareholders to influence corporate matters.

As of February 28, 2017, Hutchison Healthcare Holdings Limited owns approximately 60.4% of our ordinary shares. Accordingly, Hutchison Healthcare Holdings Limited has a significant influence over the outcome of any corporate transaction or other matter submitted to shareholders for approval and the interests of Hutchison Healthcare Holdings Limited may differ from the interests of our other shareholders. Because we are incorporated in the Cayman Islands, certain matters, such as amendments to our memorandum and articles of association, require approval of at least two-thirds of our shareholders by law subject to higher thresholds which we may set in our memorandum and articles of association. Therefore, Hutchison Healthcare Holdings Limited's approval will be required to achieve any such threshold. In addition, Hutchison Healthcare Holdings Limited will have a significant influence over the management and the strategic direction of our company.

We may be at an increased risk of securities class action litigation.

Historically, securities class action litigation has often been brought against a company following a decline in the market price of its securities. This risk is especially relevant for us because biotechnology and biopharmaceutical companies have experienced significant share price volatility in recent years. If we were to be sued, it could result in substantial costs and a diversion of management's attention and resources, which could harm our business.

If securities analysts do not publish research or reports about our business or if they publish negative evaluations of our business, the price of our ADSs could decline.

The trading market for our ADSs will rely in part on the research and reports that industry or financial analysts publish about us or our business. We may never obtain research coverage by industry or financial analysts. If one or more of the analysts covering our business downgrade their evaluations of our stock, the price of our stock could decline. If one or more of these analysts cease to cover our stock, we could lose visibility in the market for our stock, which in turn could cause our stock price to decline.

If we fail to establish and maintain proper internal financial reporting controls, our ability to produce accurate financial statements or comply with applicable regulations could be impaired.

Pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, we will be required to file a report by our management on our internal control over financial reporting, including an attestation report on internal control over financial reporting issued by our independent registered public accounting firm in our second annual report as a foreign private issuer. However, if we remain an "emerging growth company," as defined in Section 2(a) of the Securities Act, we will not be required to include an attestation report on internal control over financial reporting issued by our independent registered public accounting firm. The presence of material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting could result in financial statement errors which, in turn, could lead to errors in our financial reports and/or delays in our financial reporting, which could require us to restate our operating results. We might not identify one or more material weaknesses in our internal controls in connection with evaluating our compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. In order to maintain and improve the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting, we will need to expend significant resources and provide significant management oversight. Implementing any appropriate changes to our internal controls may require specific compliance training of our directors and employees, entail substantial costs in order to modify our existing accounting systems, take a significant period of time to complete and divert management's attention from other business concerns. These changes may not, however, be effective in maintaining the adequacy of our internal control.

If we are unable to conclude that we have effective internal control over financial reporting, investors may lose confidence in our operating results, the price of the ADSs could decline and we may be subject to litigation or regulatory enforcement actions. In addition, if we are unable to meet the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, the ADSs may not be able to remain listed on Nasdaq.

As a foreign private issuer, we are not subject to certain U.S. securities law disclosure requirements that apply to a domestic U.S. issuer, which may limit the information publicly available to our shareholders.

As a foreign private issuer we are not required to comply with all of the periodic disclosure and current reporting requirements of the Exchange Act and therefore there may be less publicly available information about us than if we were a U.S. domestic issuer. For example, we are not subject to the proxy rules in the United States and disclosure with respect to our annual general meetings will be governed by the AIM Rules for Companies, or the AIM Rules, and Cayman Islands requirements. In addition, our officers, directors and principal shareholders are exempt from the reporting and “short-swing” profit recovery provisions of Section 16 of the Exchange Act and the rules thereunder. Therefore, our shareholders may not know on a timely basis when our officers, directors and principal shareholders purchase or sell our ordinary shares or ADSs.

As a foreign private issuer, we are permitted to adopt certain home country practices in relation to corporate governance matters that differ significantly from Nasdaq corporate governance listing standards. These practices may afford less protection to shareholders than they would enjoy if we complied fully with corporate governance listing standards.

As a foreign private issuer, we are permitted to take advantage of certain provisions in the Nasdaq listing rules that allow us to follow Cayman Islands law for certain governance matters. Certain corporate governance practices in the Cayman Islands may differ significantly from corporate governance listing standards as, except for general fiduciary duties and duties of care, Cayman Islands law has no corporate governance regime which prescribes specific corporate governance standards. We intend to continue to follow Cayman Islands corporate governance practices in lieu of the corporate governance requirements of the Nasdaq Global Select Market in respect of the following: (i) the majority independent director requirement under Section 5605(b)(1) of the Nasdaq listing rules, (ii) the requirement under Section 5605(d) of the Nasdaq listing rules that a remuneration committee comprised solely of independent directors governed by a remuneration committee charter oversee executive compensation and (iii) the requirement under Section 5605(e) of the Nasdaq listing rules that director nominees be selected or recommended for selection by either a majority of the independent directors or a nominations committee comprised solely of independent directors. Cayman Islands law does not impose a requirement that our board of directors consist of a majority of independent directors. Nor does Cayman Islands law impose specific requirements on the establishment of a remuneration committee or nominating committee or nominating process. Therefore, our shareholders may be afforded less protection than they otherwise would have under corporate governance listing standards applicable to U.S. domestic issuers. We have voluntarily complied with, and plan to continue to comply with for the foreseeable future, the principles of the U.K. Corporate Governance Code published by the U.K. Financial Reporting Council which guides certain of our other corporate governance practices. See Item 6.C. “Board Practice–U.K. Corporate Governance Code” for more details.

We may lose our foreign private issuer status in the future, which could result in significant additional costs and expenses.

As discussed above, we are a foreign private issuer, and therefore, we are not required to comply with all of the periodic disclosure and current reporting requirements of the Exchange Act. The determination of foreign private issuer status is made annually on the last business day of an issuer’s most recently completed second fiscal quarter, and, accordingly, the next determination will be made with respect to us on June 30, 2017. We would lose our foreign private issuer status if, for example, more than 50% of our ordinary shares are directly or indirectly held by residents of the United States on June 30, 2017 and we fail to meet additional requirements necessary to maintain our foreign private issuer status. If we lose our foreign private issuer status on this date, we will be required to file with the SEC periodic reports and registration statements on U.S. domestic issuer forms beginning on January 1, 2018, which are more detailed and extensive than the forms available to a foreign private issuer. We will also have to mandatorily comply with U.S. federal proxy requirements, and our officers, directors and principal shareholders will become subject to the short-swing profit disclosure and recovery provisions of Section 16 of the Exchange Act. In addition, we will lose our ability to rely upon exemptions from certain corporate governance requirements under the Nasdaq listing rules. As a U.S.-listed public company that is not a foreign private issuer, we will incur significant additional legal, accounting and other expenses that we will not incur as a foreign private issuer, and accounting, reporting and other expenses in order to maintain a listing on a U.S. securities exchange.

Certain audit reports included in this annual report were prepared by an auditor who is not inspected by the U.S. Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, or the PCAOB, and as such, you are deprived of the benefits of such inspection.

Auditors of companies that are registered with the SEC and traded publicly in the United States, including the independent registered public accounting firm of our company, must be registered with the PCAOB, and are required by the laws of the United States to undergo regular inspections by the PCAOB to assess their compliance with the laws of the United States and professional standards. Because we have substantial operations within the PRC, a jurisdiction where the PCAOB is currently unable to conduct inspections without the approval of the Chinese authorities, our auditor and the auditors of our joint ventures are not currently inspected by the PCAOB.

In May 2013, the PCAOB announced that it had entered into a Memorandum of Understanding on Enforcement Cooperation with the China Securities Regulatory Commission, or CSRC, and the Ministry of Finance, which establishes a cooperative framework between the parties for the production and exchange of audit documents relevant to investigations undertaken by the PCAOB, the CSRC, or the Ministry of Finance in the United States and the PRC, respectively. The PCAOB continues to be in discussions with the CSRC and the Ministry of Finance to permit joint inspections in the PRC of audit firms that are registered with PCAOB and audit Chinese companies that trade on U.S. exchanges.

This lack of PCAOB inspections in China prevents the PCAOB from regularly evaluating audits and quality control procedures of any auditors operating in China, including our auditor and the auditors of our joint ventures. As a result, investors may be deprived of the benefits of PCAOB inspections. The inability of the PCAOB to conduct inspections of auditors in China makes it more difficult to evaluate the effectiveness of our auditor's audit procedures or quality control procedures as compared to auditors outside of China that are subject to PCAOB inspections. Investors may lose confidence in our reported financial information and procedures and the quality of our financial statements.

We do not currently intend to pay dividends on our securities, and, consequently, your ability to achieve a return on your investment will depend on appreciation in the price of the ADSs.

We have never declared or paid any dividends on our ordinary shares. We currently intend to invest our future earnings, if any, to fund our growth. Therefore, you are not likely to receive any dividends on your ADSs at least in the near term, and the success of an investment in ADSs will depend upon any future appreciation in its value. Consequently, investors may need to sell all or part of their holdings of ADSs after price appreciation, which may never occur, to realize any future gains on their investment. There is no guarantee that the ADSs will appreciate in value or even maintain the price at which our shareholders have purchased the ADSs.

The market price for our ADSs may be volatile which could result in substantial loss to you.

The market price of our ADSs has been volatile. From March 17, 2016 to March 10, 2017, the closing sale price of our ADSs ranged from a high of \$14.95 to a low of \$11.26 per ADS.

The market price for our ADSs is likely to be highly volatile and subject to wide fluctuations in response to factors, including the following:

- announcements of competitive developments;
- regulatory developments affecting us, our customers or our competitors;
- announcements regarding litigation or administrative proceedings involving us;
- actual or anticipated fluctuations in our period-to-period operating results;
- changes in financial estimates by securities research analysts;
- additions or departures of our executive officers;
- release or expiry of lock-up or other transfer restrictions on our outstanding ordinary shares or ADSs; and
- sales or perceived sales of additional ordinary shares or ADSs.

In addition, the securities markets have from time to time experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that are not related to the operating performance of particular companies. For example, since August 2008, multiple exchanges in the United States and other countries and regions, including China, experienced sharp declines in response to the growing credit market crisis and the recession in the United States. As recently as July 2015, the exchanges in China experienced a sharp decline. Prolonged global capital markets volatility may affect overall investor sentiment towards our ADSs, which would also negatively affect the trading prices for our ADSs.

The dual listing of our ordinary shares and the ADSs may adversely affect the liquidity and value of the ADSs.

Our ordinary shares continue to be listed on the AIM market of the London Stock Exchange. The dual listing of our ordinary shares and the ADSs may dilute the liquidity of these securities in one or both markets and may adversely affect the development of an active trading market for the ADSs in the United States. The price of the ADSs could also be adversely affected by trading in our ordinary shares on the AIM market. Furthermore, our ordinary shares trade on the AIM market of the London Stock Exchange in the form of depository interests, each of which is an electronic book-entry interest representing one of our ordinary shares. However, the ADSs are backed by physical ordinary share certificates, and the depository for our ADS program is unable to accept depository interests into its custody in order to issue ADSs. As a result, if an ADS holder wishes to cancel its ADSs and instead hold depository interests for trading on the AIM market or vice versa, the issuance and cancellation process may be longer than if the depository could accept such depository interests.

Although our ordinary shares continue to be listed on the AIM market following our initial public offering in the United States completed in March 2016, we may decide at some point in the future to propose to our ordinary shareholders to delist our ordinary shares from the AIM market, and our ordinary shareholders may approve such delisting. We cannot predict the effect such delisting of our ordinary shares on the AIM market would have on the market price of the ADSs on the Nasdaq Global Select Market.

Fluctuations in the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and the pound sterling may increase the risk of holding the ADSs.

Our share price is quoted on the AIM market of the London Stock Exchange in pence sterling, while the ADSs will trade on Nasdaq in U.S. dollars. Fluctuations in the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and the pound sterling may result in temporary differences between the value of the ADSs and the value of our ordinary shares, which may result in heavy trading by investors seeking to exploit such differences. In addition, as a result of fluctuations in the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and the pound sterling, the U.S. dollar equivalent of the proceeds that a holder of the ADSs would receive upon the sale in the United Kingdom of any shares withdrawn from the depository and the U.S. dollar equivalent of any cash dividends paid in pound sterling on our shares represented by the ADSs could also decline.

Fluctuations in the value of the renminbi may have a material adverse effect on your investment.

The value of the renminbi against the U.S. dollar and other currencies may fluctuate and is affected by, among other things, changes in political and economic conditions. On July 21, 2005, the PRC government changed its decade-old policy of pegging the value of the renminbi to the U.S. dollar, and the renminbi appreciated more than 20% against the U.S. dollar over the following three years. Between July 2008 and June 2010, this appreciation halted, and the exchange rate between the renminbi and U.S. dollar remained within a narrow band. In June 2010, China's People's Bank of China, or PBOC, announced that the PRC government would increase the flexibility of the exchange rate, and thereafter allowed the renminbi to appreciate slowly against the U.S. dollar within the narrow band fixed by the PBOC. However, more recently, on August 11, 12 and 13, 2015, the PBOC significantly devalued the renminbi by fixing its price against the U.S. dollar 1.9%, 1.6%, and 1.1% lower than the previous day's value, respectively. From January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016, the renminbi further depreciated against the U.S. dollar by 6.7%.

Significant revaluation of the renminbi may have a material adverse effect on your investment. For example, to the extent that we need to convert U.S. dollars into renminbi for our operations, appreciation of the renminbi against the U.S. dollar would have an adverse effect on the renminbi amount we would receive from the conversion. Conversely, if we decide to convert our renminbi into U.S. dollars for the purpose of making payments for dividends on our ordinary shares or ADSs or for other business purposes, appreciation of the U.S. dollar against the renminbi would have a negative effect on the U.S. dollar amount available to us. In addition, appreciation or depreciation in the value of the renminbi relative to U.S. dollars would affect our financial results reported in U.S. dollar terms regardless of any underlying change in our business or results of operations.

Very limited hedging options are available in China to reduce our exposure to exchange rate fluctuations. To date, we have not entered into any hedging transactions in an effort to reduce our exposure to foreign currency exchange risk. While we may decide to enter into hedging transactions in the future, the availability and effectiveness of these hedges may be limited and we may not be able to adequately hedge our exposure or at all. In addition, our currency exchange losses may be magnified by PRC exchange control regulations that restrict our ability to convert renminbi into foreign currency.

Securities traded on the AIM market of the London Stock Exchange may carry a higher risk than shares traded on other exchanges and may impact the value of your investment.

Our ordinary shares are currently traded on the AIM market of the London Stock Exchange. Investment in equities traded on AIM is perceived by some to carry a higher risk than an investment in equities quoted on exchanges with more stringent listing requirements, such as the New York Stock Exchange or the Nasdaq Stock Market. This is because the AIM market imposes less stringent ongoing reporting requirements than those other exchanges. You should be aware that the value of our ordinary shares may be influenced by many factors, some of which may be specific to us and some of which may affect AIM-listed companies generally, including the depth and liquidity of the market, our performance, a large or small volume of trading in our ordinary shares, legislative changes and general economic, political or regulatory conditions, and that the prices may be volatile and subject to extensive fluctuations. Therefore, the market price of our ordinary shares underlying the ADSs may not reflect the underlying value of our company.

The depositary for our ADSs gives us a discretionary proxy to vote our ordinary shares underlying your ADSs if you do not vote at shareholders' meetings, except in limited circumstances, which could adversely affect your interests.

Under the deposit agreement for the ADSs, the depositary gives us a discretionary proxy to vote our ordinary shares underlying your ADSs at shareholders' meetings if you do not vote, unless:

- we do not wish a discretionary proxy to be given;
- we are aware or should reasonably be aware that there is substantial opposition as to a matter to be voted on at the meeting; or
- a matter to be voted on at the meeting would materially and adversely affect the rights of shareholders.

The effect of this discretionary proxy is that you cannot prevent our ordinary shares underlying your ADSs from being voted, absent the situations described above, and it may make it more difficult for shareholders to influence the management of our company. Holders of our ordinary shares are not subject to this discretionary proxy.

Holders of ADSs have fewer rights than shareholders and must act through the depositary to exercise their rights.

Holders of our ADSs do not have the same rights as our shareholders and may only exercise the voting rights with respect to the underlying ordinary shares in accordance with the provisions of the deposit agreement. Under our memorandum and articles of association, an annual general meeting and any extraordinary general meeting at which the passing of a special resolution is to be considered may be called with not less than 21 clear days' notice, and all other extraordinary general meetings may be called with not less than 14 clear days' notice. When a general meeting is convened, you may not receive sufficient notice of a shareholders' meeting to permit you to withdraw the ordinary shares underlying your ADSs to allow you to vote with respect to any specific matter. If we ask for your instructions, we will give the depositary notice of any such meeting and details concerning the matters to be voted upon at least 30 days in advance of the meeting date and the depositary will send a notice to you about the upcoming vote and will arrange to deliver our voting materials to you. The depositary and its agents, however, may not be able to send voting instructions to you or carry out your voting instructions in a timely manner. We will make all reasonable efforts to cause the depositary to extend voting rights to you in a timely manner, but we cannot assure you that you will receive the voting materials in time to ensure that you can instruct the depositary to vote the ordinary shares underlying your ADSs. Furthermore, the depositary will not be liable for any failure to carry out any instructions to vote, for the manner in which any vote is cast or for the effect of any such vote. As a result, you may not be able to exercise your right to vote and you may lack recourse if your ADSs are not voted as you request. In addition, in your capacity as an ADS holder, you will not be able to call a shareholders' meeting.

You may not receive distributions on our ADSs or any value for them if such distribution is illegal or if any required government approval cannot be obtained in order to make such distribution available to you.

Although we do not have any present plan to pay any dividends, the depositary of our ADSs has agreed to pay to you the cash dividends or other distributions it or the custodian receives on ordinary shares or other deposited securities underlying our ADSs, after deducting its fees and expenses and any applicable taxes and governmental charges. You will receive these distributions in proportion to the number of ordinary shares your ADSs represent. However, the depositary is not responsible if it decides that it is unlawful or impractical to make a distribution available to any holders of ADSs. For example, it would be unlawful to make a distribution to a holder of ADSs if it consists of securities whose offering would require registration under the Securities Act but is not so properly registered or distributed under an applicable exemption from registration. The depositary may also determine that it is not reasonably practicable to distribute certain property. In these cases, the depositary may determine not to distribute such property. We have no obligation to register under the U.S. securities laws any offering of ADSs, ordinary shares, rights or other securities received through such distributions. We also have no obligation to take any other action to permit the distribution of ADSs, ordinary shares, rights or anything else to holders of ADSs. This means that you may not receive distributions we make on our ordinary shares or any value for them if it is illegal or impractical for us to make them available to you. These restrictions may cause a material decline in the value of our ADSs.

Your right to participate in any future rights offerings may be limited, which may cause dilution to your holdings.

We may from time to time distribute rights to our shareholders, including rights to acquire our securities. However, we cannot make rights available to you in the United States unless we register the rights and the securities to which the rights relate under the Securities Act or an exemption from the registration requirements is available. Also, under the deposit agreement, the depositary bank will not make rights available to you unless either both the rights and any related securities are registered under the Securities Act, or the distribution of them to ADS holders is exempted from registration under the Securities Act. We are under no obligation to file a registration statement with respect to any such rights or securities or to endeavor to cause such a registration statement to be declared effective. Moreover, we may not be able to establish an exemption from registration under the Securities Act. If the depositary does not distribute the rights, it may, under the deposit agreement, either sell them, if possible, or allow them to lapse. Accordingly, you may be unable to participate in our rights offerings and may experience dilution in your holdings.

If we are classified as a passive foreign investment company, U.S. investors could be subject to adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences.

The rules governing passive foreign investment companies, or PFICs, can have adverse effects for U.S. investors for U.S. federal income tax purposes. The tests for determining PFIC status for a taxable year depend upon the relative values of certain categories of assets and the relative amounts of certain kinds of income. As discussed in "Taxation—Material United States Federal Income Tax Considerations," we do not believe that we are currently a PFIC. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the determination of whether we are a PFIC depends on particular facts and circumstances (such as the valuation of our assets, including goodwill and other intangible assets) and may also be affected by the application of the PFIC rules, which are subject to differing interpretations. The fair market value of our assets is expected to depend, in part, upon (1) the market price of the ADSs and (2) the composition of our income and assets, which will be affected by how, and how quickly, we spend any cash that is raised in any financing transaction. In light of the foregoing, no assurance can be provided that we are not currently a PFIC or that we will not become a PFIC in any future taxable year.

If we are or become a PFIC, U.S. holders of our ordinary shares and ADSs would be subject to adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences, such as ineligibility for any preferential tax rates on capital gains or on actual or deemed dividends, interest charges on certain taxes treated as deferred, and additional reporting requirements under U.S. federal income tax laws and regulations. Whether U.S. holders of our ordinary shares or ADSs make (or are eligible to make) a timely qualified electing fund, or QEF, election or a mark-to-market election may affect the U.S. federal income tax consequences to U.S. holders with respect to the acquisition, ownership and disposition of our ordinary shares and ADSs and any distributions such U.S. holders may receive. We do not, however, expect to provide the information regarding our income that would be necessary in order for a U.S. holder to make a QEF election if we are classified as a PFIC. Investors should consult their own tax advisors regarding all aspects of the application of the PFIC rules to our ordinary shares and ADSs.