Risk Factors

If the conditions precedent to the Final Funding Agreement are met, our wholly owned Australian subsidiary, James Hardie 117 Pty Ltd (formerly known as LGTDD Pty Ltd), will be required to start making payments to a special purpose fund. Even if the conditions precedent to the Final Funding Agreement are not met and the Final Funding Agreement is terminated, we may negotiate an alternative settlement requiring cash settlement. Such payments will reduce funds available for capital expenditures on existing and new business opportunities, repayments of debt, payments of dividends or other distributions; may restrict our ability to access equity or debt capital markets; and will adversely affect our financial position, liquidity, results of operations and cash flows.

On December 1, 2005, we, the Government of the State of New South Wales, Australia, which we refer to as the NSW Government, and our wholly owned Australian subsidiary, James Hardie 117 Pty Ltd, which we refer to as the Performing Subsidiary, entered into a Final Funding Agreement to provide long-term funding to a special purpose fund, or SPF, that will provide compensation for Australian asbestos-related personal injury claims against certain former James Hardie companies, including ABN 60, Amaca Pty Ltd (which we refer to as Amaca) and Amaba Pty Ltd (which we refer to as Amaba), which we collectively refer to as the Former James Hardie Companies.

Our obligations to provide funding to the SPF pursuant to the Final Funding Agreement are subject to certain conditions precedent, including obtaining tax exempt status for the SPF and the approval of our lenders and shareholders. If these conditions are met, under the Final Funding Agreement as executed on December 1, 2005 we will be required to make an initial payment to the SPF on the commencement date of approximately A\$154 million and to make annual payments to the SPF, the first payment of which will also be required on the commencement date. In addition, we have also agreed that for a limited period (which currently remains subject to negotiation as a result of delays in the conditions precedent to the Final Funding Agreement being satisfied), we will provide or arrange for the provision of interim funding to the Foundation if its existing funding is exhausted prior to satisfaction of the conditions precedent to the implementation of the Final Funding Agreement. However, the amount and terms of such interim funding, and the manner in which it will be provided remain to be agreed with the Foundation. Moreover, even if the conditions precedent to the Final Funding Agreement are not met and the Final Funding Agreement is terminated, the Company has determined that it is nevertheless likely that it will make payments in respect of certain claimants who were injured by asbestos products manufactured by the Former James Hardie Companies. If we are required to make these payments or if we make payments pursuant to any alternative settlement, the funds available for capital expenditure (either with respect to our existing business or new business opportunities), repayments of debt principal, or distributions to our shareholders and for other corporate purposes will be reduced by the funding paid to the SPF or the amount of such other settlement payments, as applicable, and as a result, our financial position, liquidity, results of operations, and cash flows will be reduced or materially adversely affected.

Our obligation to make these payments could also affect or restrict our ability to access equity or debt capital markets. For example, had we not prepaid in full the outstanding amount of our US\$ non-collateralized notes prior to making the decision to record the asbestos provision, we would not have been in compliance with certain restrictive covenants pertaining to those notes. If our financial position deteriorates, we may not be able to access the capital markets to replace those notes on terms as advantageous as those that were applicable to those notes and as a result our financial position and liquidity will be materially adversely affected. See Item 4, "Information on the Company — Legal Proceedings" for additional information concerning the Final Funding Agreement.

In addition, since unexpected developments late in a fiscal year could result in material increases in the amount of the next annual payment due, we will need to be prepared to fund any such increased obligations with little or no advance notice. As a result, our cash management processes will need to take into account such contingencies and therefore may adversely affect our ability to manage our cash resources as efficiently as would be the case if the funding obligations were able to be known in advance or ascertained further in advance than is the case under the Final Funding Agreement.

Even if the Final Funding Agreement is implemented, we may be subject to potential additional liabilities (including claims for compensation or property remediation outside the arrangements reflected in the Final Funding Agreement) because certain current and former James Hardie subsidiaries previously manufactured products that contained asbestos.

Up to 1987, two former subsidiaries of ABN 60, Amaca and Amaba, which are now owned and controlled by the Medical Research and Compensation Foundation, which we refer to as the Foundation, manufactured products in Australia that contained asbestos. In addition, prior to 1937, ABN 60, which is now owned by the ABN 60 Foundation Pty Ltd, which we refer to as the ABN 60 Foundation, manufactured products in Australia that contained asbestos. ABN 60 also held shares in companies that manufactured asbestos-containing products in Indonesia and Malaysia, and held minority shareholdings in companies that conducted asbestos-mining operations based in Canada and Southern Africa. Former ABN 60 subsidiaries also exported asbestos-containing products to various countries around the world. The SPF is designed to provide compensation only for certain claims and to meet certain related expenses and liabilities, and the legislation introduced in New South Wales in connection with the Final Funding Agreement seeks to defer all other claims against the former James Hardie subsidiaries. The funds contributed to the SPF will not be available to meet any asbestos-related claims made outside Australia, or claims made arising from exposure to asbestos occurring outside Australia, or any claim for pure property loss or pure economic loss or remediation of property. In these circumstances, it is possible that persons with such excluded claims may seek to pursue those claims directly against us. Defending any such litigation could be costly and time consuming.

Prior to 1988, a New Zealand subsidiary in the James Hardie Group manufactured products in New Zealand that contained asbestos. In New Zealand, asbestos-related disease compensation claims are managed by the state-run Accident Compensation Commission or ACC. Our New Zealand subsidiary that manufactured products that contained asbestos contributed financially to the ACC fund as required by law via payment of an annual levy. All decisions relating to the amount and allocation of payments to claimants in New Zealand are made by the ACC in accordance with New Zealand law. The Injury Prevention, Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 2001 (NZ) bars compensatory damages for claims that are covered by the legislation which may be made against the ACC Fund. However, we may be subject to potential liability if any of these claims are found not to be covered by the legislation and are later brought against us.

Apart from the funding obligations arising out of the Final Funding Agreement, it is possible that we could become subject to suits for damages for personal injury or death in connection with the former manufacture or sale of asbestos products that have been or may be filed against the Former James Hardie Companies. Although the ability of any claimants to initiate or pursue such suits is restricted by the legislation enacted by the NSW Government under the terms of the Final Funding Agreement (see Item 4, "Information on the Company — Legal Proceedings"), we cannot predict with any certainty the outcome of any future claims or allegations that may be made, how the laws of various jurisdictions may be applied to the facts or how the laws may change in the future. If a court of competent jurisdiction relying on applicable law at the time were to find JHI NV, our New Zealand subsidiary or another James Hardie Group subsidiary liable for damages connected with existing or former subsidiaries or their past manufacture of asbestos-containing products, we may incur significant liabilities in connection with any damages that may be awarded in the legal proceedings, in addition to the costs associated with defending against such claims.

We have agreed to indemnify the buyer of our former Gypsum manufacturing facilities for certain asbestos-related claims.

When we sold our former United States gypsum wallboard manufacturing facilities in April 2002, we agreed to indemnify the buyer from certain future liabilities, for a period of 30 years, arising from asbestos-related claims related to injuries to persons or property arising from our former gypsum business. See Item 10, "Additional Information — Material Contracts."

<u>Table of Contents</u>

The Final Funding Agreement imposes certain non-monetary obligations which could materially adversely affect our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and outlook.

If the Final Funding Agreement is implemented, we will become subject to certain non-monetary obligations that could prove to be onerous or to otherwise materially adversely affect our ability to undertake proposed transactions or to pay dividends. For example, the Final Funding Agreement contains certain restrictions that would generally prohibit us from undertaking transactions that would materially adversely affect the relative priority of the SPF as a creditor, or that would materially impair our legal or financial capacity and that of the Performing Subsidiary, in each case such that we and the Performing Subsidiary would cease to be likely to be able to meet the funding obligations that would have arisen under the Final Funding Agreement had the relevant transaction not occurred. Those restrictions apply to dividends and other distributions, reorganizations of or dealings in share capital which create or vest rights in such capital in third parties, or non-arm's length transactions. While the Final Funding Agreement contains certain exemptions from such restrictions (including, for example, exemptions for arm's length dealings; transactions in the ordinary course of business; certain issuances of equity securities or bonds; and certain transactions provided certain financial ratios are met and certain amounts of dividends), implementing such restrictions could materially adversely affect our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and outlook.

The Final Funding Agreement does not eliminate the risk of adverse action being taken against us.

Even if our shareholders approve the implementation of the Final Funding Agreement and the other conditions precedent are satisfied and the Final Funding Agreement is implemented, there is a possibility that, despite certain covenants agreed to by the NSW Government in that agreement, adverse action could be directed against us by one or more of the NSW Government, the government of the Commonwealth of Australia, governments of the states or territories of Australia or any other governments, unions or union representative groups, or asbestos disease groups with respect to the asbestos liabilities of Amaba, Amaca and ABN 60. Any such adverse action could materially adversely affect our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and outlook.

We have incurred substantial costs in connection with the events leading up to, and the negotiation and settlement of, the Final Funding Agreement and may in the future continue to incur substantial costs or be subject to further legal proceedings.

In February 2004, the NSW Government established a Special Commission of Inquiry, which we refer to as the SCI, to investigate, among other matters, the circumstances in which the Foundation was established. The SCI issued its report on September 21, 2004. The SCI found that there was a significant funding shortfall. In part, this was based on actuarial work commissioned by us. As of March 31, 2006, an updated actuarial study completed by KPMG Actuaries Pty Ltd, or KPMG Actuaries, estimated that the undiscounted value of the central estimate of the asbestos-related liabilities of Amaba and Amaca was approximately A\$3.08 billion (\$2.3 billion). See Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements in Item 18 for additional information. The SCI found that the net assets of the Foundation, Amaba, Amaca and the ABN 60 Foundation were not sufficient to meet these prospective liabilities and were likely to be exhausted in the first half of 2007. The SCI's findings are not binding and if the same issues were presented to a court, the court might come to different conclusions on one or more of the issues.

If the conditions precedent to the full implementation of the Final Funding Agreement are not met or the Final Funding Agreement is terminated, it is not possible to predict what actions the NSW Government may take. In addition, in fiscal years 2006 and 2005 we incurred \$17.4 million and \$28.1 million, respectively, of expenses related to the events and negotiations leading to the signing of the Final Funding Agreement, including the SCI. We expect to continue to incur material costs associated with the Final Funding Agreement and other related matters, including costs related to: discussions with the Commonwealth Treasury and Australian Taxation Office, or ATO, on the tax exempt status of the SPF; cooperating with an ongoing investigation by the Australian Securities and Investments Commission, or ASIC, into the circumstances surrounding and leading up to the establishment of the Foundation, the corporate reorganizations in 2001 and 2003, and associated matters; providing an updated actuarial assessment of the total asbestos liabilities of the Former James Hardie Companies; and associated legal and advisory costs.

If the conditions precedent to the full implementation of the Final Funding Agreement are not satisfied or if the Final Funding Agreement is terminated, the NSW Government may pass legislation that would seek to impose liability on us for asbestos claims.

The full implementation of the Final Funding Agreement is subject to a number of conditions precedent, including obtaining tax exempt status for the SPF and approval of our lenders and shareholders. We are currently engaged in consultation and negotiations with the NSW Government to determine whether the outstanding conditions precedent, and in particular the condition that the SPF is exempt from tax, can be satisfied, amended or resolved in a manner satisfactory to the parties to the Final Funding Agreement. If the Final Funding Agreement is not implemented or if the Final Funding Agreement is terminated, the NSW Government has indicated that it may pass or attempt to pass legislation to impose liability on us for certain asbestos claims of the former James Hardie subsidiaries. The Australian Commonwealth Government and governments of other states and territories in Australia could also seek to introduce legislation seeking to have a similar effect. However, the Company has no detailed information as to the content of any such legislation. See Item 4, "Information on the Company — Legal Proceedings." Any such legislation could materially adversely affect our financial position, results of operations, cash flows and outlook.

In addition, if the Final Funding Agreement is not implemented or if the Final Funding Agreement is terminated without a suitable alternative having been reached, our share price and access to capital markets may be adversely affected due to uncertainties surrounding our potential exposure to the asbestos-related liabilities of the Former James Hardie Companies, and any related liability which may arise by legislation which may be introduced by one or more of the Australian Commonwealth Governments, the NSW Government and other state and territory governments.

Since our revenues are primarily derived from sales in U.S. dollars and payments pursuant to the Final Funding Agreement are to be made in Australian dollars, unfavorable fluctuations in the U.S. dollar (and other currencies from which we derive our sales) compared to the Australian dollar, will require us to pay more of our revenues to discharge our obligations under the Final Funding Agreement. In addition, since our results of operations are reported in U.S. dollars, unfavorable fluctuations in the U.S. dollar compared to the Australian dollar will require us to expense the difference in the reported period in order to increase the amount of our asbestos provision on our balance sheet.

Approximately 11% and 13% of our net sales in fiscal years 2006 and 2005, respectively, were derived from sales in Australia. If we are required to make payments pursuant to the Final Funding Agreement, any such payments would be required to be made to the SPF in Australian dollars. In addition, annual payments to the SPF will be calculated based on various estimates that will be denominated in Australian dollars. To the extent that our future obligations exceed our Australian dollar cash flows, and we do not hedge this foreign exchange exposure, we will need to convert U.S. dollars or other foreign currency into Australian dollars in order to meet our obligations pursuant to the Final Funding Agreement. As a result, any unfavorable fluctuations in the U.S. dollar (the majority of our revenues is derived from sales in U.S. dollars) and other currencies from which we derive our sales compared to the Australian dollar will require us to convert more U.S. dollars and other currencies from which we derive our sales to pay the same amount of Australian denominated annual payments to the SPF.

In addition, since our results of operations are reported in U.S. dollars and the asbestos provision is based on estimated payments denominated in Australian dollars, unfavorable fluctuations in the U.S. dollar compared to the Australia dollar will significantly affect our reported results of operations since we will be required to expense any such fluctuations in the reported period in order to increase the reported value of the asbestos provision on our balance sheet. For example, due to the strengthening of the Australian dollar compared to the U.S. dollar, in the quarter ended June 30, 2006, we had to increase our asbestos provision from \$715.6 million, as of March 31, 2006, to \$742.8 million, which resulted in an expense of \$27.2 million that was recorded in that quarter.

As of March 31, 2006, we had not entered into any forward exchange contracts to mitigate this risk. Accordingly, due to the size of the asbestos provision recorded on our balance sheet, fluctuations in the exchange rate will cause unpredictable volatility in our reported results for the foreseeable future and any

unfavorable fluctuation in U.S. dollar and the other currencies from which we derive our sales compared to the Australian dollar would have a significant negative impact on our business, earnings, results of operations and financial condition.

Continued scrutiny resulting from ongoing investigations may have an adverse effect on our business.

We are currently subject to an investigation by ASIC into the circumstances surrounding the establishment of the Foundation and associated matters. We cannot predict when this investigation will be completed or what the results of this investigation will be. It is possible that we or our current or former directors and officers will be required to pay material fines, suffer other penalties or become liable to provide indemnification payments, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business. The results of this or other investigations could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Our board of directors and senior management continue to devote significant attention to seeking to implement the Final Funding Agreement and associated issues.

Our board of directors, senior management and others within our organization have devoted a significant amount of time and resources to investigating the allegations raised in the report of the SCI, producing documents to and complying with requests from governmental and regulatory authorities and others, preparing and negotiating the Final Funding Agreement, and making submissions relating to the NSW Government's review of legal and administrative costs. To the extent our board of directors and management are required to devote time and resources to dealing with such issues rather than solely focusing on conducting the business of the Company, this could adversely affect our results of operations.

Negative publicity may continue to adversely affect our business.

As a result of the events that were considered by the SCI, we have been the subject of negative publicity, both in Australia and elsewhere in the world which we believe has contributed to declines in the price of our publicly traded securities in recent years. While such negative publicity has been significantly less frequent following our entry into the Final Funding Agreement, the potential for such negative publicity to increase in the future cannot be eliminated. Any uncertainty created by future negative publicity or by the events underlying such negative publicity could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, staff morale and the market price of our publicly traded securities and create difficulties in attracting or retaining high caliber staff.

We may have insufficient Australian taxable income to utilize tax deductions.

We may not have sufficient Australian taxable income in future years to utilize the tax deductions resulting from payments made to the SPF. Further, if as a result of making such funding payments we incur tax losses, we may not be able to fully utilize such tax losses in future years of income. Any inability to utilize such deductions or losses could adversely affect our financial position or results of operations.

Potential escalation in proven claims made against, and associated costs of, the SPF could increase our annual funding payments required to be made under the Final Funding Agreement, which may cause us to have to increase our asbestos provision in the future.

In fiscal year 2006, we recorded a provision for estimated future asbestos-related compensation payments of \$715.6 million. The amount of this asbestos provision was based, in part, on actuarially determined, anticipated (estimated), future annual funding payments to be made to the SPF on an undiscounted and uninflated basis. Future annual payments to the SPF will be based on updated actuarial assessments that are to be performed as of March 31 of each year to determine expected asbestos-related personal injury liabilities to be funded under the Final Funding Agreement for the financial year in which the payment is made and the next two financial years. Estimates of actuarial liabilities are based on many assumptions, which may not prove to be correct, and which are subject to considerable uncertainty, since the ultimate number and cost of claims are subject to the outcome of events that have not yet occurred, including social, legal and medical developments as well as future economic conditions. For instance, it is possible that the categories of payable claims could be extended to include claims that are not presently compensable or legally recognized. Further,

estimating the future extent and pattern of asbestos-related diseases that will arise from past exposure to asbestos and the proportion of those claims that will be successful is inherently difficult and therefore could materially differ from actual results. If future proven claims are more numerous or the liabilities arising from them are larger than that currently estimated by KPMG Actuaries, it is possible that pursuant to the terms of the Final Funding Agreement, we will be required to pay higher annual funding payments to the SPF than currently anticipated and on which our asbestos provision is based. If this occurs, we may be required to increase our asbestos provision which would be reflected as a charge in our consolidated statements of operations at that date. Any such changes to actuarial estimates which require us to increase our asbestos provision could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We have experienced product bans and boycotts and have been subject to other measures taken in response to the events investigated by the SCI and could continue to experience product bans and boycotts in the future.

Following the release of the SCI report, the Australian Council of Trade Unions (which we refer to as the ACTU), UnionsNSW (formerly known as the Labour Council of New South Wales), and a representative of the asbestos claimants, which we collectively refer to as the Representatives, and others indicated that they would encourage or continue to encourage consumers and union members in Australia and elsewhere to ban or boycott the Company's products, to demonstrate or otherwise create negative publicity toward the Company in order to influence the Company's approach to the discussions with the NSW Government or to encourage governmental action if the discussions are unsuccessful. As previously disclosed, our financial position, results of operations and cash flows were affected by such bans and boycotts.

Pursuant to the Final Funding Agreement, the Representatives agreed to use their best endeavors to achieve forthwith the lifting of all bans or boycotts on any products manufactured, produced or sold by the Company, and the Company and the Representatives signed a deed of release in December 2005 under which the Company agreed to release the Representatives and the members of the ACTU and UnionsNSW from civil liability arising in relation to bans or boycotts instituted as a result of the events described above. However, some bans and boycotts have remained in effect. Such releases did not extend to any new bans or boycotts, if applicable, implemented after the date of the signing of the Final Funding Agreement, or to any bans or boycotts which persisted beyond January 1, 2006. If the conditions precedent to the Final Funding Agreement are not satisfied or if for any reason that agreement is not implemented, new bans or boycotts could be implemented against the Company's products. Additionally, any remaining bans or boycotts that have remained in effect may not be lifted. Any such measures, and the influences resulting from them, could have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

The complexity and long-term nature of the Final Funding Agreement and related legislation and agreements may result in litigation as to their interpretation or one or more of the parties to the agreements may seek to renegotiate their terms.

Certain legislation, the Final Funding Agreement and related agreements which govern the implementation and performance of the Final Funding Agreement are complex and have been negotiated over the course of extended negotiation periods between various parties. There is a risk that, over the term of the Final Funding Agreement, some or all parties may become involved in disputes as to the interpretation of such legislation, the Final Funding Agreement or related agreements. We cannot guarantee that no party will commence litigation seeking remedies with respect to such a dispute, nor can we guarantee that a court will not order other remedies which may adversely affect the Company.

Due to the long-term nature of the Final Funding Agreement, unforeseen events may result in one or more of the parties to the Final Funding Agreement (including the Company) wishing to renegotiate the terms and conditions of the Final Funding Agreement or any of the related agreements. In addition, we are currently engaged in consultation and negotiation with the NSW Government to determine whether the outstanding conditions precedent (and in particular the condition that the SPF is exempt from tax) can be satisfied, amended or resolved in a manner satisfactory to the parties to the Final Funding Agreement. Any amendments to the Final Funding Agreement or related agreements in the future would require the consent of

<u>Table of Contents</u>

the Company, the NSW Government and the Asbestos Injuries Compensation Fund, or AICF, and therefore may not be achieved.

In the future, we may be unable to renew our credit facilities on their current terms or terms that are customary for other companies in our industry or who have similar credit ratings, or be able to obtain any credit facilities at all.

Our credit facilities currently consist of 364-day facilities in the amount of \$110.0 million, which mature in June 2007 and term facilities in the amount of \$245.0 million, which mature in December 2006. Upon satisfaction of the conditions precedent to the full implementation of the Final Funding Agreement, including lender approval, the maturity date of the \$245.0 million term facilities will be automatically extended until June 2010. However, if the conditions precedent to the full implementation of the Final Funding Agreement are not satisfied, we may not be able to renew our credit facilities on substantially similar terms, or at all; we may have to pay additional fees and expenses that we might not have to pay under normal circumstances; and we may have to agree to terms that could increase the cost of our debt structure. If we are unable to extend our credit facilities, or are unable to renew our credit facilities on terms that are substantially similar to the ones we presently have, we may experience liquidity issues and will have to reduce our levels of planned capital expenditures and/or take other measures to conserve cash in order to meet our future cash flow requirements.

We may be liable for costs, penalties, fees or expenses incurred by current or former directors, officers or employees of the James Hardie Group to the extent that those costs are covered by indemnity arrangements granted by the James Hardie Group to those persons.

We may be liable for costs, penalties, fees or expenses incurred by current or former directors, officers or employees of the James Hardie Group to the extent that those costs are covered by indemnity arrangements granted by the James Hardie Group to those persons. To date, with respect to the application of our indemnity obligations to proceedings of the SCI and other regulatory bodies, we have paid all legal fees and costs incurred on behalf of any current or past employee, officer or director who has been involved in any such proceeding. In addition, our indemnification obligations would generally cover costs incurred by a director or officer in responding to an ASIC investigation or any other investigation conducted by a governmental agency or a liquidator. We or a relevant subsidiary may be reimbursed under directors' and officers' insurance policies taken out by us or a relevant subsidiary. However, there is no guarantee that such insurance will cover the nature of such claims or will completely insure any claims that are covered. If such costs are not insured or substantially exceed the amount of the insurance that we maintain, our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity could be adversely affected.

Our subsidiary, RCI Pty Ltd, has been required to post a substantial cash deposit and may incur substantial expenses in order to pursue an appeal of an assessment by the Australian Taxation Office and, if it is unsuccessful in its appeal, our financial position, liquidity, and cash flow will be materially and adversely affected.

In March 2006, RCI Pty Ltd, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company which we refer to as RCI, received an amended assessment from the ATO. The amended assessment is based on the ATO's calculation of RCI's net capital gains arising as a result of an internal corporate restructuring carried out in 1998. The amended assessment originally was for A\$412.0 million (\$310.0 million). After remission of general interest charges by the ATO, the total assessment was changed to A\$378.0 million (\$284.6 million), which includes: A\$172.0 million (\$129.5 million) as the primary tax after allowable credits; A\$43.0 million (\$32.4 million) in penalties (representing 25% of the primary tax); and A\$163.0 million (\$122.7 million) in general interest charges.

RCI is appealing the amended assessment and may incur substantial legal and other expenses in pursuing this appeal. On July 5, 2006, pursuant to an agreement negotiated with the ATO and in accordance with the ATO Receivable Policy, the Company made a payment of A\$189.0 million (\$140.4 million — converted using the assets and liabilities rate at June 30, 2006) being 50% of the amended assessment, and guaranteed the remaining unpaid 50% of the amended assessment, pending the outcome of the appeal of the amended assessment. The Company also agreed to pay general interest charges accruing on the unpaid balance of the

amended assessment in arrears on a quarterly basis. The first payment of accrued general interest charges will be due October 15, 2006 in respect of the quarter ending September 30, 2006.

Even if RCI is successful in appealing the amended assessment and the amount paid to the ATO is ultimately refunded to it the requirement to initially pay 50% of the amended assessment and ongoing payments of accruing general interest charges pending the outcome of the appeal could materially and adversely affect our financial position and liquidity as the cash required to make these payments is not available during the appeals process for ordinary corporate purposes. If RCI is unsuccessful in appealing the amended assessment, RCI will be required to pay the remaining 50% of the unpaid amended assessment in which case our financial position, liquidity and cash flow will be materially and adversely affected. As of March 31, 2006 we had not recorded any liability for the amended assessment. See Item 4, "Information on the Company — Legal Proceedings" and Notes 13 and 20 to the notes to our consolidated financial statements included in Item 18 for more

Under the U.S.-Netherlands income tax treaty and Dutch tax law, we derive tax benefits from the group finance operations of our Netherlands-based finance subsidiary, and changes in either the treaty or laws applicable to the finance subsidiary, including the recent changes to the tax treaty, could increase our effective tax rate and, as a result, reduce our future profits and cash flows.

On December 28, 2004, the United States and The Netherlands amended the U.S.-Netherlands Income Tax Treaty (prior to amendment, the "Original U.S.-NL Treaty;" post amendment, the "New U.S.-NL Treaty"). We believe that, based on the transitional rules set forth in the New U.S.-NL Treaty, the Original U.S.-NL Treaty applied to us and to our Dutch and U.S. subsidiaries until January 31, 2006. We believe that, under the Original U.S.-NL Treaty, a 5% U.S. withholding tax applied to dividends, and no U.S. withholding tax applied to interest or royalties, that our U.S. subsidiaries paid to JHI NV or our Dutch finance subsidiary. The Original U.S.-NL Treaty had various conditions of eligibility for reduced U.S. withholding tax rates (and other treaty benefits), all of which we satisfied. If, however, we do not qualify for the benefits under the New U.S.-NL Treaty, such dividend, interest and royalty payments would be subject to a 30% U.S. withholding tax.

Companies eligible for benefits under the New U.S.-NL Treaty qualify for a zero percent U.S. withholding tax rate on dividends. However, the New U.S.-NL Treaty has a number of new, more restrictive eligibility requirements for reduced U.S. withholding tax rates and other treaty benefits. We have changed our organizational and operational structure as of January 1, 2006 to satisfy the requirements of the New U.S.-NL Treaty and believe we are eligible for benefits under the New U.S.-NL Treaty commencing on February 1, 2006. We have requested a formal ruling from the U.S. tax authorities regarding whether our recent organizational and operational changes meet the requirements of the New U.S.-NL Treaty provisions. We cannot assure you that we will receive a favorable ruling from them. Furthermore, we may not receive a formal ruling at all. As a result, we cannot guarantee that we will continue to receive the treaty benefits. If, during a tax audit or related process for a period beginning after the effective date of the amended treaty provisions, the IRS determines that these changes do not meet the new requirements, we may not qualify for treaty benefits, and our effective income tax rate could significantly increase beginning in the fiscal year that such determination is made, and we could be liable for taxes owed from the effective date of the amended treaty provisions.

We have previously concentrated our finance and treasury activities in our Dutch finance subsidiary located in The Netherlands. In addition to providing financing to our various subsidiaries, the finance subsidiary owns and develops intellectual property that it licenses to our operating subsidiaries. Under the Netherlands International Group Finance Company rules, we have obtained a ruling from the Dutch Revenue authority that allows the finance subsidiary to set aside, in a Financial Risk Reserve, or FRR, a portion of its taxable profits from financing and from licensing its intellectual property. The amounts set aside in the FRR are free of current Dutch income tax. Consequently, the finance subsidiary will generally incur a tax rate of approximately 15% to 18% on its qualifying financing and licensing income and a 29.6% statutory rate on all other income (29.6% is the Dutch statutory rate for calendar year 2006), including any amounts involuntarily released from the FRR to cover any risks (including currency, bad debt and foreign branch losses) for which

the FRR was established. The tax rate on qualifying income may be reduced to as low as approximately 7% to 10% depending on the extent to which amounts from the FRR pay for capital expenditures of our operating companies. The Dutch revenue ruling became effective on July 1, 2001 and, when issued, was to apply for 10 years so long as we satisfied the requirements of the International Group Finance Company provisions under Dutch tax law. As discussed below, the Dutch revenue ruling is set to expire on December 31, 2010.

Under the European Union Code of Conduct on Direct Business Taxation, member states of the European Union, or EU, have agreed to eliminate harmful tax competition within the EU. Accordingly, the EU Council of Economic and Finance Ministers, a working group of EU member countries, reviewed the tax regimes of all its member countries and identified certain tax concessions the Council considered as harmfully competitive and therefore in violation of the Code of Conduct. Among the identified tax concessions is the Netherlands International Group Finance Company regime. In December 2002, The Netherlands agreed to end its International Group Finance Company regime for new entrants.

In a separate but related development, the European Commission, the executive arm of the EU, also reviewed the tax regimes of its member countries to identify tax concessions that the European Commission considered to be a form of "prohibited state aid" and, therefore, contrary to the provisions of the European Community Treaty. In February 2003, the Commission concluded that the existence of special tax concessions in certain countries, including the Netherlands International Group Finance Company regime, cannot be reconciled with EU rules regarding state aid. Accordingly, the European Commission banned certain concessionary tax regimes, including the Netherlands International Group Finance Company regime, but allowed companies then operating under that regime, including our Dutch finance subsidiary, to continue to operate under the regime until December 31, 2010. Some uncertainty exists whether, during this extended period of the International Group Finance Company regime, qualifying companies can continue to set aside profits in their FRR and defer any taxable recovery of profits from their FRR until the expiration date. Until December 31, 2010, and absent further legal developments, we intend to maintain and continue to add to the FRR of our Dutch finance subsidiary all allowable profits the subsidiary earns, and to fund capital expenditures of our operating companies with amounts from the FRR.

Although our Dutch finance subsidiary can continue to derive benefits under the Netherlands International Group Finance Company rules until December 31, 2010, we cannot guarantee that either the EU, or another relevant authority or legislative body, would not attempt to repeal the law earlier or that a court of competent jurisdiction would not invalidate it, possibly with retrospective effect.

Substantial and increasing competition in the building products industry could adversely affect our business.

Competition in the building products industry is based largely on price and, to a lesser extent, quality, performance and service. Our fiber cement products compete with products manufactured from natural and engineered wood, vinyl, stucco, masonry, gypsum and other materials as well as fiber cement products offered by other manufacturers. Some of our competitors may have greater product diversity and greater financial and other resources than we do and, among other factors, may be less affected by reductions in margins resulting from price competition.

Some of our competitors have lowered prices of their products to compete for sales. In addition, we expect our competitors to continue to expand their manufacturing capacities, to improve the design and performance of their products and to introduce new products with competitive price and performance characteristics. Increased competition by existing or future competitors could adversely impact fiber cement prices and could require us to increase our investment in product development, productivity improvements and customer service and support to compete in our markets.

Fiber cement product prices in the United States, Australia and New Zealand have fluctuated for a number of years due to the entry into the market of new producers and competition from alternative products, among other reasons, and these prices could continue to fluctuate in the future. Because of the maturity of the Australian and New Zealand markets, we believe that prices in those markets may decline and that sales volumes may not increase significantly or may decline in the future.

Historically, increased sales volumes of our U.S. fiber cement products, the addition of proprietary products to our product mix and improved operating efficiencies have more than offset the decrease in pricing for such products in the United States. However, there may be future price decreases that we may not be able to offset with increased volume, new products or improved operating efficiencies. For instance, unanticipated technical problems could impair our efforts to commission new equipment aimed at improving operating efficiencies. Any of these factors could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If damages resulting from product defects exceed our insurance coverage, paying these damages could result in a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The actual or alleged existence of defects in any of our products could subject us to significant product liability claims. Although we do not have replacement insurance coverage for damages to, or defects in, our products, we do have product liability insurance coverage for consequential damages that may arise from the use of our products. Although we believe this coverage is adequate and currently intend to maintain this coverage in the future, we cannot assure you that this coverage will be sufficient to cover all future product liability claims or that this coverage will be available at reasonable rates in the future. The successful assertion of one or more claims against us that exceed our insurance coverage could require us to incur significant expenses to pay these damages. These additional expenses could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If one or more of our fiber cement products fail to perform as expected or contain a design defect, such failure or defect, and any resulting negative publicity, could result in lower sales and may subject us to claims from purchasers or users of our fiber cement products.

Because our fiber cement products have been used only since the early-1980s, we cannot assure you that these products will perform in accordance with our expectations over an extended period of time or that there are no serious design defects in such products. If our fiber cement technology fails to perform as expected or a product is discovered to have design defects, such failure or defects, and any resulting negative publicity, could result in lower sales of our products and may subject us to claims from purchasers or users of defective products, either of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Warranty claims resulting from unforeseen defects in our products and exceeding our warranty reserves could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We have offered, and continue to offer, various warranties on our products, including a 50-year limited warranty on certain of our fiber cement siding products in the United States. Although we maintain reserves for warranty-related claims and legal proceedings that we believe are adequate, we cannot assure you that warranty expense levels or the results of any warranty-related legal proceedings will not exceed our reserves. If our warranty reserves are significantly exceeded, the costs associated with such warranties could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We may incur significant costs in the future in complying with applicable environmental and health and safety laws and regulations. A failure to comply with or a change in these laws and regulations could subject us to significant liabilities, including, but not limited to, damages and penalties.

We are subject to U.S. federal, state, local and foreign environmental, health and safety laws and regulations governing, among other matters, our operations, including the air and water quality of our plants, and the use, handling, disposal and remediation of hazardous substances currently or formerly used by us or any of our affiliates. Under these laws and regulations, we may be held jointly and severally responsible for the remediation of any hazardous substance contamination at our or our predecessors' past or present facilities and at third-party waste disposal sites. We may also be held liable for any claims arising out of human exposure to hazardous substances or other environmental damage and our failure to comply with air, water, waste, and other environmental regulations. We will continue to be liable for any environmental problems that occurred while we owned or operated any of the three gypsum facilities that we sold in April 2002. See Item 10, "Additional Information — Material Contracts."

In addition, many of our products contain crystalline silica, which can be released in a respirable form in connection with manufacturing practices and handling or use. The inhalation of respirable crystalline silica at certain exposure levels is known or suspected to be associated with silicosis, potentially causing lung cancer and other adverse human health effects. We may face future costs of engineering and compliance to meet new standards relating to crystalline silica if standards are made more stringent. In addition, there is a risk that claims for silica-related health effects could be made against us. We cannot assure you that we will have adequate resources, including adequate insurance coverage, to satisfy any future silica-related health effect claims. In addition, our sales could decrease if silica-related health effect claims are made against us and as a result potential users of our products decide not to use our products. Any such claims may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition. See also Risk Factor above captioned "If damages resulting from product defects exceed our insurance coverage, paying these damages could result in a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition."

The costs of complying with environmental and health and safety laws relating to our operations or the liabilities arising from past or future releases of, or exposure to, hazardous substances or product liability matters, or our failure to comply with air, water, waste, and other existing environmental regulations may result in us making future expenditures that could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition. In addition, we cannot make any assurances that the laws currently in place will not change. Also, if applicable laws or judicial interpretations related to successor liability or "piercing the corporate veil" were to change, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. See Item 4, "Information on the Company — Legal Proceedings."

Our business is dependent on the residential and commercial construction markets and we expect a slow down in housing construction in the markets we serve, including the U.S., Australia and New Zealand, over the short to medium term.

Demand for our products depends in large part on residential construction markets and, to a lesser extent, on commercial construction markets. The level of activity in residential construction markets depends on new housing starts and residential remodeling projects, which are a function of many factors not within our control, including general economic conditions, mortgage and other interest rates, inflation, unemployment, demographic trends, gross domestic product growth and consumer confidence in each of the countries and regions in which we operate. While residential construction in the U.S. remained relatively strong in fiscal year 2006, the National Association of Home Builders, or NAHB, and other market analysts expect the new construction single-family residential segment to slow during the remainder of calendar year 2006. We also expect the Australian and New Zealand housing markets to slow over the short to medium term. Any slow down in the markets we serve could result in decreased demand for our products and cause us to experience decreased sales and operating income. In addition, the level of activity in construction markets also depends on our ability to grow primary demand for fiber cement and convert sales of alternative materials to sales of fiber cement. Historically, in periods of economic decline, both new housing starts and residential remodeling also decline. The level of activity in the commercial construction market depends largely on vacancy rates and general economic conditions. Because residential and commercial construction markets are sensitive to cyclical changes in the economy, downturns in the economy or a lack of substantial improvement in the economy of any of our geographic markets could negatively affect operating results. Because of these and other factors, our results of operations may be subject to substantial fluctuations and the results for any prior period may not be indicative of results for any future period.

Because demand for our products in our major markets is seasonal, our quarterly results of operations may vary throughout the year.

In the United States, a large proportion of our fiber cement products are sold in the Southeastern, Southcentral and Pacific Northwest regions of the country. Demand for building products in these regions is seasonal because construction activity diminishes during the winter season. In addition, the September 2005 hurricanes that caused considerable damage along the Gulf Coast in the United States had some impact on carrier availability and transportation costs through the initial phases of the hurricane relief efforts. In Australia, New Zealand and the Philippines, demand for building products is also seasonal because, in

Australia and New Zealand, construction activity diminishes during the summer period of December to February, and in the Philippines, construction activity diminishes during the wet season from June to September and the last half of December due to the slowdown in business activity over the holiday period. Because of these and other factors, our quarterly results of operations may vary throughout the year and the results for any quarterly period may not be indicative of results for any future period.

We may experience adverse fluctuations in the supply and cost of raw materials necessary to our business. A significant reduction or cessation of shipments from an important supplier could adversely affect our business if we are unable to secure alternative supplies within a short time or on reasonable terms.

Our fiber cement business periodically experiences fluctuations in the supply and costs of raw materials, and some of our supply markets are concentrated. For example, during fiscal year 2006 in the United States, natural gas costs increased significantly, and to a lesser extent, we also experienced increases in costs associated with electric power and some of our major material components including cement. Cellulose fiber, silica, cement and water are the principal raw materials used in the production of fiber cement. Cellulose fiber and cement have been subject to significant price fluctuations in the past. Price fluctuations or material delays may occur in the future due to lack of raw materials or suppliers. The loss or deterioration of our relationship with a major supplier, an increase in demand by third parties for a particular supplier's products or materials or delays in obtaining materials could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If our research and development efforts fail to generate new, innovative products or processes, our overall profit margins may decrease and demand for our products may fall, which would have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. In addition we may incur substantial expenses and write-off charges related to unsuccessful research and development efforts.

We invest significantly in research and development because we believe that such efforts are key to sustaining and growing our existing market leadership position in fiber cement. Because profit margins for fiber cement products and building products generally erode the longer a product has been on the market, innovation is particularly important. We rely on our research and development efforts to generate new products and processes to increase demand and to protect profit margins. If our research and development efforts fail to generate new, innovative products or processes, our overall profit margins may decrease and demand for our products may fall, which would have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. In addition, we may incur substantial expenses and write-offs related to unsuccessful research and development efforts.

Demand for our products is subject to changes in consumer preference.

The continued development of builder and consumer preference for our fiber cement products over competitive products is critical to sustaining and expanding demand for our products. Therefore, the failure to maintain and increase builder and consumer acceptance of our fiber cement products could have a material adverse effect on our growth strategy as well as our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We rely on only a few distributors to distribute our fiber cement products and the loss of any distributor could adversely affect our business.

Our top two distributors in the United States represented approximately 46% of our total USA Fiber Cement gross sales in fiscal year 2006. In addition, a large home center retailer accounted for approximately 12% of our total USA Fiber Cement gross sales in fiscal year 2006. Our top two distributors in Australia and our top three distributors in New Zealand accounted for approximately 28% and 65% of our total gross sales of fiber cement in Australia and New Zealand, respectively, in fiscal year 2006. We generally do not have long-term contracts with our large distributors. Accordingly, if we were to lose one or more of these distributors because our competitors were able to offer distributors more favorable pricing terms or for any other reasons, we may not be able to replace distributors in a timely manner or on reasonable terms. The loss of one or more distributors could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Changes in, or failure to comply with, the laws, regulations, policies or conditions of any jurisdiction in which we conduct our business could result in, among other consequences, the loss of our assets in such jurisdiction, the elimination of certain rights that are critical to the operation of our business in such jurisdiction, a decrease in revenues or the imposition of additional taxes or other costs.

Because we own assets, manufacture and sell our products internationally, our activities are subject to political, economic, legal and other uncertainties, including:

- · changing political and economic conditions;
- · changing laws and policies;
- the general hazards associated with the assertion of sovereign rights over certain areas in which we conduct our business; and
- laws limiting or conditioning the right and ability of subsidiaries and joint ventures to pay dividends or remit earnings to affiliated companies.

Although we seek to take applicable laws, regulations and conditions into account in structuring our business on a global basis, changes in, or our failure to comply with, the laws, regulations, policies or conditions of any jurisdiction in which we conduct our business could result in, among other consequences, the loss of our assets in such jurisdiction, the elimination of certain rights that are critical to the operation of our business in such jurisdiction, a decrease in revenues or the imposition of additional taxes. Therefore, any change in laws, regulations, policies or conditions of a jurisdiction could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our reliance on publicly available intellectual property and other proprietary information subjects us to the risk that competitors could copy our products or processes.

Our success depends, in part, on the proprietary nature of our technology, including non-patentable intellectual property such as our process technology. To the extent that a competitor is able to reproduce or otherwise capitalize on our technology, it may be difficult, expensive or impossible for us to obtain adequate legal or equitable relief. Also, the laws of some foreign countries may not protect our intellectual property to the same extent as do the laws of the United States. In addition to patent protection of intellectual property rights, we consider elements of our product designs and processes to be proprietary and confidential. To safeguard our confidential information, we rely on employee, consultant and vendor non-disclosure agreements and contractual provisions and a system of internal safeguards to protect our proprietary information. However, any of our registered or unregistered intellectual property rights may be challenged or exploited by others in the industry, which could harm our results of operations and competitive position.

We rely on a continuous power supply and availability of utilities to conduct our operations, and any shortages or interruptions could disrupt our operations and increase our expenses.

In the manufacture of our products, we rely on a continuous and uninterrupted supply of electric power, water and natural gas as well as the availability of water, waste and emissions discharge facilities. Any future shortages or discharge curtailments could significantly disrupt our operations and increase our expenses. We currently do not have backup generators to maintain power and do not have alternate sources of power in the event of a blackout. In addition, our current insurance does not provide coverage for any damages that we or our customers may suffer as a result of any interruption in our power supply. If blackouts interrupt our power supply, we would be temporarily unable to continue operations at the affected facilities. Any future interruption in our ability to continue operations at our facilities could damage our reputation, harm our ability to retain existing customers or obtain new customers and could result in lost revenue, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Because we have significant operations outside of the United States and report our earnings in U.S. dollars, unfavorable fluctuations in currency values and exchange rates could have a significant negative impact on our earnings.

Because our reporting currency is the U.S. dollar, our non-U.S. operations face the additional risk of fluctuating currency values and exchange rates. Such operations may also face hard currency shortages and controls on currency exchange. Approximately 17% and 21% of our net sales in fiscal years 2006 and 2005, respectively, were derived from sales outside the United States. Consequently, changes in the value of foreign currencies (principally Australian dollars, New Zealand dollars, Philippine pesos, Euros, U.K. pounds and Canadian dollars) could significantly affect our business, results of operations and financial condition. We generally attempt to mitigate foreign exchange risk by entering, where possible, into contracts that require payment in local currency, hedging transactional risk, where appropriate, and having non-U.S. operations borrow in local currencies, particularly that of the Philippines. Although we did not have any material interest rate swaps or forward exchange contracts outstanding as of March 31, 2006, we may enter into such financial instruments from time to time to manage our market risks. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in these mitigation strategies, or that fluctuations in foreign currencies and other foreign exchange risks will not have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Information technology systems integration issues could disrupt our internal operations, which could have significant adverse effects on our profitability.

In fiscal year 2006, we commenced our implementation of a new enterprise resource planning, or ERP, software system. Our ongoing systems integration work could cause portions of our information technology infrastructure to experience interruptions, delays or cessations of service and produce system errors. We may not be successful in timely implementing these new systems, and transitioning data and other aspects of the process could be expensive, time consuming and disruptive. Any disruptions that may occur in the implementation of this new system could adversely affect our ability to accurately and timely report the financial results of our operations and otherwise efficiently operate our business, which could have a significant adverse effect on our profitability.

Our Articles of Association and Dutch law contain provisions that could delay or prevent a change of control that may otherwise be beneficial to you.

Our Articles of Association contain several provisions that could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change of control of our ownership. Our Articles of Association generally prohibit the holding of shares of our common stock if, because of an acquisition of a relevant interest (including interests held in the form of shares of our common stock, CUFS or ADRs) in such shares, the number of shares in which a person holds relevant interests increases from 20% or below to over 20% or from a starting point that is above 20% and below 90%. However, this prohibition is subject to exceptions, including acquisitions that result from acceptance under a takeover bid as described in our Articles of Association. Although these provisions in our Articles of Association may help to ensure that no person acquires voting control of us without making an offer to all shareholders, these provisions may also have the effect of delaying or preventing a change of control that may otherwise be beneficial to you. See Item 10, "Additional Information — Key Provisions of our Articles of Association — Limitations on Right to Hold Common Stock."

Because we are incorporated under Dutch laws, you may not be able to effectively seek legal recourse against us or our management and you may have difficulty enforcing any U.S. judgments or rulings in a foreign jurisdiction.

We are incorporated under the laws of The Netherlands. In addition, many of our directors and executive officers are residents of jurisdictions outside the United States and a substantial portion of our assets are located outside the United States. As a result, it may be difficult to effect service of process within the United States upon such persons, or to enforce outside the United States judgments obtained against such persons in U.S. courts, or to enforce in U.S. courts any judgments obtained against such persons in courts located in jurisdictions outside the United States, including actions predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the

U.S. securities laws. In addition, it may be difficult for you to enforce, in original actions brought in courts located in jurisdictions outside the United States, rights predicated upon the U.S. securities laws.

The rights of shareholders and the responsibilities of directors under the laws of The Netherlands may not be as clearly established as under statutes or judicial precedent in existence in certain U.S. jurisdictions, and such rights under the laws of The Netherlands may differ substantially from what those rights would be under the laws of various jurisdictions in the United States. Therefore, our shareholders may have more difficulty in challenging the actions by our directors than they would otherwise as shareholders of a corporation incorporated in the United States.

The issuance of shares of common stock or the grant of options to acquire shares of common stock could dilute the value of your shares and adversely affect the price of our common stock.

Because the authority to issue shares, and to grant rights to subscribe for shares, such as options, up to the amount of our authorized share capital, has been delegated to our Supervisory Board, the issuance of such shares or rights could dilute the value of your shares and adversely affect the price of our common stock.

In addition, if we issue a large number of our equity securities, the trading price of our equity securities could decrease. We may pursue acquisitions of businesses and may issue equity securities in connection with these acquisitions, although we do not currently have specific acquisitions planned. We may also issue equity securities to satisfy other liabilities of the Company. We cannot predict the effect, if any, that future sales or issuances of our equity securities or the availability of such securities for future sale will have on our securities market price from time to time.

If we experience labor disputes or interruptions, as we have from time to time in the past, our operations may be disrupted and our business, financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected.

As of August 31, 2006, approximately 41%, or 185, of our employees in Australia and approximately 46%, or 81, of our employees in New Zealand were represented by labor unions. Our unionized employees are covered by a range of federal and state-based agreements in Australia and other agreements in New Zealand. Two Australian labor agreements applying to our NSW operation expired in June 2006. Of these, one has been renewed for two years, expiring in June 2008. The other is still in negotiation. Negotiations over labor agreements to cover each of our two Queensland plants are continuing. Our New Zealand labor agreement expires in September 2007. We cannot assure you that any of these agreements will be renewed on reasonable terms, or at all. During the past three years, we experienced occasional strikes and work interruptions lasting up to 5 days in Australia. In the event we experience a prolonged labor dispute at any of our facilities, any strikes or work interruptions associated with such dispute could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our effective income tax rate could increase and adversely affect our operating results.

We operate in multiple jurisdictions and pay tax on our income according to the tax laws of these jurisdictions. Various factors, some of which are beyond our control, determine our effective tax rate, including changes in or interpretations of tax laws in any given jurisdiction, our ability to use net operating losses and tax credit carry forwards and other tax attributes, changes in geographical allocation of income and expense, and our judgment about the realizability of deferred tax assets.

If we are classified as a "controlled foreign corporation" or a "passive foreign investment company," our shareholders could be subject to increased tax liability as a consequence of their investment in our securities.

Our U.S. citizen and resident shareholders could incur adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences if, for federal income tax purposes, we are classified as a "controlled foreign corporation" or a "passive foreign investment company." For information regarding these consequences, see Item 10, "Additional Information — Taxation — United States Taxation." In addition, shareholders could be adversely affected by changes in the current tax laws, regulations and interpretations thereof in the United States and The Netherlands, including changes that could have retroactive effect.

We may acquire or divest businesses from time to time, and this may adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition and may significantly change the nature of the company in which you have invested.

In the past, we have divested business segments. In the future, we may acquire other businesses or sell some or all of our assets or business segments. Any significant acquisition or sale may adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition and could change the overall profile of our business. As a result, the value of our shares may decrease in response to any such acquisition or sale and, upon any such acquisition or sale, our shares may represent an investment in a company with significantly different assets and prospects from the Company when you made your initial investment in us.

We expect to incur substantial accounting and legal costs in order to comply with the internal control over financial reporting requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as codified by Item 308 of Regulation S-K, and we may experience a loss in investor confidence, and a decrease in the market price of our ADRs, if we are unable to so comply.

Commencing with our annual report for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2007, we will be required to comply with the internal control over financial reporting requirements of Item 308 of Regulation S-K. As part of these new rules, we will be required to include in our annual report on Form 20-F, a report containing management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. In addition, our independent registered public accounting firm will be required to attest to and report on management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting.

We expect to incur substantial legal and accounting costs in order to initially comply and continue to comply with the internal control over financial reporting requirements of Item 308 of Regulation S-K. In addition, if we fail to achieve and maintain the adequacy of our internal controls over financial reporting, or otherwise fail to comply with the requirements of Item 308 of Regulation S-K, we could experience a loss in investor confidence in the reliability of our financial statements, which could lead to a decrease in the market price for our ADRs and harm our business.

Forward-Looking Statements

This annual report contains forward-looking statements. We may from time to time make forward-looking statements in our periodic reports filed with or furnished to the United States Securities and Exchange Commission on Forms 20-F and 6-K, in our annual reports to shareholders, in offering circulars and prospectuses, in media releases and other written materials and in oral statements made by our officers, directors or employees to analysts, institutional investors, representatives of the media and others. Examples of forward-looking statements include:

- expectations that the conditions precedent to the Final Funding Agreement will be satisfied;
- expectations about payments to a special purpose fund for the compensation of proven asbestos-related personal injury and death claims;
- expectations concerning the Australian Tax Office amended assessment;
- expectations that our credit facilities will be extended or renewed;
- projections of our results of operations or financial condition;
- statements regarding our plans, objectives or goals, including those relating to competition, acquisitions, dispositions and our products;
- statements about our future performance; and
- statements about product or environmental liabilities.

Words such as "believe," "anticipate," "plan," "expect," "intend," "target," "estimate," "project," "predict," "forecast," "guideline," "should," "aim" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements but are not the exclusive means of identifying such statements.