Proof of the stability theorem det us start with the definition of the error: $e^{2n+1} = x(4^{n+1}) - x^{n+1}$ $=\frac{\lambda^{2}(A_{n})+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}{z^{2}(A_{n})+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}$ $=\frac{\lambda^{2}(A_{n})+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}{z^{2}(A_{n})+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}{z^{2}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}$ $=\frac{\lambda^{2}(A_{n})+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}{z^{2}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}{z^{2}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}{z^{2}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}$ $=\frac{\lambda^{2}(A_{n})+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}{z^{2}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}{z^{2}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}$ $=\frac{\lambda^{2}(A_{n})+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}{z^{2}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}{z^{2}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}$ $=\frac{\lambda^{2}(A_{n})+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}{z^{2}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}{z^{2}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}$ $=\frac{\lambda^{2}(A_{n})+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}{z^{2}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}{z^{2}(A_{n})}+\overline{\tau^{2}}(A_{n})}+$ Taking the norm on both sides and using triende inequality: $\|\vec{e}^{n+}\| \leq \|\vec{e}^{n}\| + \tau \|\vec{f}(A_{n}, \vec{x}(A_{n})) - \vec{f}(A_{n}, \vec{y}^{n})\| + \tau \|\vec{c}^{n}\|$ and we arrive at $||\bar{e}^{n+1}|| \le ||\bar{e}^{n}|| + \tau ||\bar{e}^{n}|| + \tau ||\bar{e}^{n}||$ Substitule recursively: $\|\bar{e}^{n+1}\| \le \|\bar{e}^{n}\| + \tau \| \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} \|\bar{e}^{\nu}\| + \tau \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} \|\bar{e}^{\nu}\| + \tau \|\bar{e}^{\nu}\|$

Suppose we have nonnegative sequences \{2003\ and \{b_n\}\},

Where a are increasing, and a constant \(a > 0\) such that

\(\partial_0 \leq b_0\) and \(\partial_{n+1} \leq a \geq \partial_{n+1}\) for \(n \geq 0\).

Then, \(\partial_{n+1} \leq exp\left(n+1)a\right) \(b_{n+1}\) for \(n \geq 0\) (and \(\partial_0 \leq b_0\right).

Applying the lemma to out inequality (*) with $\alpha = TL, \quad b_{n+1} = \frac{n}{2} \|\vec{z}\| \text{ and } w_n = \|\vec{e}^n\|$ gives $\|\vec{e}^{n+1}\| \le e^{(n+1)LT} \le T \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} \|\vec{z}^{\nu}\|^2$

Proof of the Grouwall Lemma:

Let us set $S_{n+1} := a \ge w_p + b_{n+1}$. We now show $S_{n+1} \in b_{n+1}$.

That of all, we have that $S_0 \le b_0$. Now ever the statement holds the for v_1 , meaning

Sn & e na brand by assumption wn & Sn.

We show have
$$S_{n+1} - S_{n} = a w_{n} + b_{n+1} - b_{n}$$
.

as $S_{n+1} \leq (1+a) S_{n} + b_{n+1} - b_{n}$

$$\leq (1+a) e^{na} b_{n} + b_{n+1} - b_{n}$$

$$\leq e^{a} e^{ha} b_{n} + e^{(n+0)a} (b_{n+1} - b_{n})$$

$$\leq e^{(n+1)a} b_{n+1}$$
This implies that $w_{n+1} \leq S_{n+1} \leq e^{(n+1)a} b_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$