

## Finite difference schemes for time dependent problems, part II

As a second type of time-dependent PDE let us discuss the acoustic wave equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u - c^2 \partial_x^2 u = 0 & \text{with } u(a) = g_a, u(b) = g_b \\ \text{and initial values } u(0, x) = u_0(x) \text{ and } \partial_t u(0, x) = v_0(x) \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

With the same discretization that we introduced previously, we now approximate

$$\partial_t^2 u - c^2 \partial_x^2 u = 0$$
$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - 2u_i^n + u_i^{n-1}}{\tau^2} - c^2 \frac{u_{i+1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i-1}^n}{h^2} = 0$$

Combining this with boundary and initial conditions:

Task ("Centered time centered space")

Given  $\{u_i^n\}_{i=0}^{M+1}$  at time  $t_n$  and  $\{u_i^{n-1}\}_{i=0}^{M+1}$  at time  $t_{n-1}$

construct  $\{u_i^{n+1}\}_{i=0}^{M+1}$  at time  $t_{n+1}$  by setting  $u_0^{n+1} = g_a, u_{M+1}^{n+1} = g_b,$

$$u_i^{n+1} = 2u_i^n - u_i^{n-1} + c^2 \frac{\tau^2}{h^2} \{u_{i+1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i-1}^n\} \quad i=1, \dots, M$$

(\*)

Similarly to what we did for the parabolic problem in the previous lecture we can again perform a *von Neumann stability analysis*, that will reveal that (\*) is subject to a

$$\tau \text{ has to be chosen such that } c\tau < h$$

*"hyperbolic CFL condition"*

But there is another issue with this approach. We need to know values for  $u_i^n$  at time  $t$  and  $t + \tau$  in order to "start" the procedure.

Remark: This is typically avoided by removing the second time derivative: Introduce  $v(t, x) = \partial_t u(t, x)$  and approximate

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - v = 0, \\ \partial_t v - c^2 \partial_x^2 u = 0, \end{cases}$$

instead.

But we will now try something slightly different instead: *"The wave equation in conservative form"*

Let us introduce a function  $v(t, x)$  by setting

$$\partial_t v = -c^2 \partial_x u$$

and substituting into the wave equation:

$$0 = \partial_t^2 u - c^2 \partial_x^2 u$$

substituting  $\nearrow$

$$= \partial_t^2 u + \partial_x (\partial_t v)$$

$$\nearrow = \partial_t (\underbrace{\partial_t u + \partial_x v}_{=0})$$

rearranging  $\searrow$

... certainly sufficient, but  $v$  can be chosen such that we have 0 here ...

And we get the wave equation in conservative form:

Find  $u(t, x)$  and  $v(t, x)$  solving

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x v = 0,$$

$$\partial_t v + c^2 \partial_x u = 0,$$

... boundary cond. ...

with initial condition  $u(t_0, \cdot) = u_0(\cdot)$  and  $v(t_0, \cdot) = v_0(\cdot)$ .

Remark: Why is this a "conservation equation"?

Suppose  $u(t, x)$  and  $v(t, x)$  are given on the entire real number line,  $x \in \mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}$ . But far away from the origin

$u$  and  $v$  are identically 0. Then first integrate from  $-a$  to  $a$ :

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x v = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_t \int_{-a}^a u(t, x) dx + \int_{-a}^a \partial_x v(t, x) dx = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_t \int_{-a}^a u(t, x) dx + [v(t, x)]_{-a}^a = 0$$

fundamental theorem  
of calculus

and now let  $a \rightarrow \infty$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(t, x) dx = 0$$

This means that the total integrals  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(t, x) dx$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(t, x) dx$  are constant in time, they are **conserved**.

Let us try to translate this property to our discretization:

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x v = 0$$

forward Euler

central difference

$$\frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\tau} + \frac{v_{i+1}^n - v_{i-1}^n}{2h} = 0$$

and similarly:

$$\frac{v_i^{n+1} - v_i^n}{\tau} + c^2 \frac{u_{i+1}^n - u_{i-1}^n}{2h} = 0$$

## Task: ("Inviscid approximation")

Given  $\{u_i^n\}$  and  $\{v_i^n\}$  at time  $t_n$  compute an update

$\{u_i^{n+1}\}$  and  $\{v_i^{n+1}\}$  by setting

$$u_i^{n+1} = u_i^n - \frac{\tau}{2h} (v_{i+1}^n - v_{i-1}^n) \text{ for } i=0, \dots, \pi,$$

$$v_i^{n+1} = v_i^n - c\tau/2h (u_{i+1}^n - u_{i-1}^n) \text{ for } i=0, \dots, \pi.$$

for simplicity with periodic boundary conditions

• for the equation with  $i=0$  set  $u_{-1}^n = u_{\pi}^n$ ,  $v_{-1}^n = v_{\pi}^n$ ,  
• and set  $u_{\pi+1}^{n+1} = u_0^{n+1}$  and  $v_{\pi+1}^{n+1} = v_0^{n+1}$ .

Technicality: How to integrate point values? Idea:

$$\int_a^b u(t_n, x) dx \approx \sum_{i=0}^M h u_i^n =: I(u^n)$$

trapezoidal rule

periodic,  $u_0^n = u_{\pi+1}^n$

Observation: The scheme is conservative, meaning

$$I(u^{n+1}) = I(u^n) \text{ and } I(v^{n+1}) = I(v^n)$$

Proof:

$$I(u^{n+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^M h u_i^{n+1}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^M h \left( u_i^n - \frac{\tau}{2h} (V_{i+1}^n - V_{i-1}^n) \right)$$

method ↑

$$= \sum_{i=0}^M h u_i^n - \frac{\tau}{2h} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^M V_{i+1}^n - \sum_{i=0}^M V_{i-1}^n \right\}$$

and using  $V_{-1}^n = V_M^n$  we  
have  $\sum_{i=0}^M V_{i-1}^n = \sum_{i=0}^M V_i^n$

$$= I(u_i^n) - \frac{\tau}{2h} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^M V_{i+1}^n - \sum_{i=0}^M V_i^n \right\}$$

telescopic sum!

$$= I(u_i^n) - \frac{\tau}{2h} \underbrace{\{ V_{M+1}^n - V_0^n \}}_{=0 \text{ due to periodicity!}}$$

