



Influencers on Instagram: Antecedents and consequences of opinion leadership

Luis V. Casaló^a, Carlos Flavián^{b,*}, Sergio Ibáñez-Sánchez^b

^a Universidad de Zaragoza, Faculty of Business and Public Management, Plaza Constitución s/n, 22.001 Huesca, Spain

^b Universidad de Zaragoza, Faculty of Economy and Business, Gran Vía 2, 50.005 Zaragoza, Spain



ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Instagram
Opinion leadership
Fashion industry
Originality
Uniqueness
Consumer behavioral intentions

ABSTRACT

Opinion leaders are important sources of advice for other consumers. Instagram is the most used platform by opinion leaders in the fashion industry, and this trend is expected to continue in the near future. This study aims to identify some key antecedents and consequences of opinion leadership in this context. Our results, based on data collected from 808 followers of a fashion focused Instagram account, suggest that originality and uniqueness are crucial factors if a user is to be perceived as an opinion leader on Instagram. In addition, opinion leadership influences consumer behavioral intentions toward both the influencer (intention to interact in the account and recommend it) and the fashion industry (intention to follow fashion advice posted). Finally, the perceived fit of the account with the consumer's personality strengthens the influence of opinion leadership on the intention to follow published advice. These results have interesting implications for the fashion industry.

1. Introduction

Consumers are increasingly using social media to gather information on which to base their decisions. A number of opinion leaders have emerged as influential members of online communities and have been shown to be a source of advice for other consumers (Casaló, Cisneros, Flavián, & Guinalú, 2009; Thakur, Angriawan, & Summey, 2016). In the fashion industry, fashion blogs have received the greatest attention since their emergence at the beginning of the century (Delisle & Parmentier, 2016). In addition, among the several social networking sites (SNS) currently available, Instagram is experiencing a steady increase in number of active users related to the fashion industry (Yesmail, 2015) and fashion brands have significantly more followers and interactions than other types of brands (Socialbakers, 2016). Furthermore, Instagram is the platform that is most used by opinion leaders (influencers), due to the sense of immediacy that is generated and because of its creation of communities; and it seems that this trend will continue in the near future (#Hashoff, 2017a). It has also been shown that engagement rate is higher on Instagram in comparison with other SNS (Locowise, 2017) and this percentage is even greater in the case of influencers (Influence.co, 2017).

Fashion is regarded as one of the most important industries since it accounts for a significant part of the worldwide economy (McKinsey & Company, 2016). Everyone needs to dress and, in order to meet that

need, clothes are required. Wearing fashionable and stylish clothes is a way in which people gain and show their status (Kim, Lloyd, & Cervellon, 2016). As the industry changes rapidly, because people like wearing new clothes, companies promote their products to position their brands in the mind of customers (Rahman, Saleem, Akhtar, Ali, & Khan, 2014). Consumers use the content published on SNS as sources of inspiration for clothing, so that these technologies can affect their shopping behavior (Aragoncillo & Orús, 2018). Additionally, social influencers have an important role in the fashion industry (Wiedman, Hennings, & Langner, 2010). Consumers talk to each other about new trends and styles, exchange information and put forward suggestions, which highlights the role that social communication plays in diffusing fashion trends (Goldsmith & Clark, 2008).

Because of the fact that fashion ("style, outfits, fashions, clothing and shop") is one of the incentives for using image-sharing SNS (Mull & Lee, 2014), such as Instagram, and taking into account that the site has recently reached the figure of 800 million users (Instagram, 2017), it seems reasonable to think that Instagram plays a crucial role in this context. Unlike other SNS, Instagram offers brands the opportunity to post aesthetically pleasing, creative and charming content (photos, videos, stories, life stories, etc.), focusing exclusively on visuals, and to showcase their products in an appealing way (Lyfe Marketing, 2018). Consumers seem to react and behave differently on Instagram compared to other SNS, since they seem to take more actions (follow the

* Corresponding author at: Department of Marketing Management and Market Research, University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain.

E-mail addresses: lcasalo@unizar.es (L.V. Casaló), cflavian@unizar.es (C. Flavián), sergiois@unizar.es (S. Ibáñez-Sánchez).

brands, visit their websites, etc.) and purchase more often after looking at brands' posts (Globalwebindex, 2015) and their rate of engagement is higher (Locowise, 2017). However, the academic research into this social networking site is still limited (Sheldon & Bryant, 2016). In addition, most studies have focused on the content published on Instagram (Highfield, 2015), the motives for using Instagram (Järvinen, Ohtonen, & Karjalainen, 2016; Lee, Kim, & Kwahk, 2016; Sheldon & Bryant, 2016), the antecedents of consumer interaction in a brand's official Instagram account (Casaló, Flavián, & Ibáñez, 2016) or the effects of the characteristics of the brand generated content on the actual consumer behavior (Casaló, Flavián, & Ibáñez-Sánchez, 2017a).

Previous research about opinion leadership, both offline and online, has followed two main streams: (1) identifying the characteristics and motivations of opinion leaders, focusing, among others things, on the role of personal traits (Chan & Misra, 1990; Flynn, Goldsmith, & Eastman, 1996; Gentina, Butori, & Heath, 2014; Goldsmith & Clark, 2008), social processes (Goldsmith & Clark, 2008) and trust related aspects (Chan & Misra, 1990; Kim & Tran, 2013); and (2) outlining opinion leaders' influence on areas such as decision making (Belch, Krentler, & Willis-Flurry, 2005) and the diffusion of new products and innovations (Valente & Davis, 1999; Zhang, Fam, Goh, & Dai, 2018). The advent of the Internet and related technologies has even increased the role of opinion leaders (Turcotte, York, Irving, Scholl, & Pingree, 2015) and, in turn, the research related to this field. Several studies have been undertaken in relation to online opinion leaders (Lyons & Henderson, 2005; Zhao, Kou, Peng, & Chen, 2018), particularly in more textual platforms such as virtual communities (Li, Ma, Zhang, & Huang, 2013), blogs (Li & Du, 2011) and SNS, such as Twitter (Park, 2013; Park & Kaye, 2017). Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, studies into opinion leadership on a more visual SNS, such as Instagram (e.g. De Veirman, Cauberghe, & Hudders, 2017; Djafarova & Rushworth, 2017; Evans, Phua, Lim, & Jun, 2017), are scarcer, in spite of this being the most used platform (92%) by influencers, due to the fact they are provided with better creative tools, its visual appeal and overall popularity (#Hashoff, 2017b). In addition, influencer marketing on Instagram is considered an effective advertising channel in which advertisers invested \$1.07 billion in 2017, and are projected to increase their investment to \$1.60 and \$2.38 billion respectively in 2018 and 2019 (Mediakix, 2017). First, regarding the antecedents of opinion leadership on Instagram, it has been stated that the number of followers has an impact on a user's popularity and, in some cases, it leads them to be considered as an opinion leader (De Veirman et al., 2017). Turning to its consequences, Djafarova and Rushworth (2017) note that Instagram celebrities have an impact on the purchasing behavior of young female users, non-traditional celebrities' profiles being seen as more influential since they are considered to have more credibility. In addition, it has been noted that when influencers admit they are being paid for publishing a brand-based post their followers recognize it as advertising and this may have negative impact on their attitude and intention to share that content (Evans et al., 2017), probably because the credibility of the influencer is diminished. However, a deeper understanding of both the antecedents and consequences of opinion leadership on Instagram is still needed.

Taking all this into account, there is both a managerial and an academic need to better understand the role played by opinion leadership in the fashion industry. In particular, we focus on those leaders who use SNS, specifically Instagram, to build their leadership profiles, because Instagram, due to its inherently visual nature, provides a great opportunity to spread new fashion trends.

This research extends previous research on opinion leadership on Instagram and is designed with a twofold objective. First, what type of

content published on a fashion Instagram account can cause a user to be perceived as an opinion leader? The characteristics of the content published on Instagram accounts (perceived originality, uniqueness, quality and quantity), an under researched topic, are considered first as the antecedents of being perceived as an opinion leader. Specifically, creativity and being unique seem crucial, in this visual context, in making content appealing (Lyfe Marketing, 2018). Second, how does the public perception of being an opinion leader affect the behavior of followers? The intentions to interact, to recommend and to follow the advice obtained on an Instagram account are taken into consideration as consequences of opinion leadership. This way we consider the consequences of opinion leadership in relation to both the leader (intention to interact and recommend the account) and the consumer's behavior (intention to follow the advice). We also include two moderators, online interaction propensity and perceived fit with the consumer's personality, which could reinforce the influence of opinion leadership on its consequences.

This article contributes to the literature by being – to our knowledge – one of the first studies that jointly analyzes the antecedents and consequences of being an opinion leader on a fashion orientated Instagram account. Firstly, this research offers interesting results that highlight the features that content posted on an Instagram account should have in order for the author to be perceived as an opinion leader by other users. Secondly, our study emphasizes the various ways in which opinion leadership influences consumer behavioral intentions, including two moderators which could strengthen these relationships.

Our findings also represent a guideline for effective influential marketing development. Based on the results, we provide recommendations for both companies and opinion leaders as to how they might collaborate to generate better outcomes. Companies may benefit from a deeper understanding of the process by which a user becomes to be perceived as an opinion leader on a fashion orientated Instagram account and the outcomes generated as a result of this perception.

This work is structured as follows: first, we propose hypotheses regarding the antecedents and consequences of opinion leadership. Second, we explain the data collection and measurement validation processes. Finally, we present the results and conclusions and outline some possibilities for future research to better understand the role of opinion leadership in the fashion industry.

2. Theoretical framework

2.1. Opinion leadership

Opinion leadership plays a key role in new product adoption and diffusion of related information (Chan & Misra, 1990; Wang, Ting, & Wu, 2013), so it is an essential element in marketing communications (Tsang & Zhou, 2005). Opinion leaders are seen to have public recognition (McCracken, 1989) and, according to Leal, Hor-Meyll, and de Paula Pessôa (2014), they should have at least one of these characteristics: be considered as an expert on a product or service; be an active member of an online community; to participate with high frequency and make substantial contributions; or to be regarded by other users as having good taste in relation to purchasing decisions.

Opinion leaders can be defined as individuals who have a great amount of influence on the decision making of other people (Rogers & Cartano, 1962) and on their attitudes and behaviors (Godey et al., 2016). Opinion leadership is related to what extent an individual is perceived to be a model for others, the degree to which the information provided by him/her is considered interesting and their persuasiveness. The root of this concept is the study of Lazarsfeld, Berelson, and Gaudet

(1948), who stated that the influence of mass communications on people's behaviors may well not be as direct as had been previously supposed. They argued that opinion leaders picked up information from the mass media which they, in turn, disseminated directly to other members of the general public via word of mouth. This process was called the “two-step flow model”. This was further developed by Katz and Lazarsfeld (1955), who asserted that people's reaction to messages in the media were mediated by communication with members of their social environment and, thus, their final purchase decision was a combination of these influences.

However, today, interactions can be carried out both online and offline, so the role of opinion leaders might be even greater (Turcotte et al., 2015). Goldenberg, Lehmann, Shidlovski, and Barak (2006) stated that opinion leaders could either be people with a wide knowledge of a particular topic (experts) or who have many connections with others (social connectors). People who are regarded as opinion leaders by their peers probably truly influence them (Iyengar, Van den Bulte, & Valente, 2011), so a celebrity might serve as a high-arousal stimulus which, in turn, may lead to greater effectiveness in the case of skippable video ads (Belanche, Flavián, & Pérez-Rueda, 2017). On the other hand, we might find opinion seekers, that is, people who are looking for information or advice from others who are considered opinion leaders (Engel, Blackwell, & Miniard, 1990).

Focusing on fashion opinion leadership, fashion clothing has been regarded as a consumer good open for all to see which may indicate to other consumers aspects of the personality and status of the wearer (Dodd, Clarke, Baron, & Houston, 2000; Kim et al., 2016). Leading figures have thus been widely recognized as crucial in transmitting new clothing habits to other customers because they are supposed to exert a powerful influence on their buying behavior (Goldsmith & Clark, 2008). On the other hand, fashion opinion seekers, to avoid risk in the purchase process, look for information from opinion leaders because they are regarded as having more knowledge of the topic (Flynn et al., 1996). In addition, opinion seekers help to spread this information to other customers (Goldsmith & Clark, 2008). In conclusion, fashion opinion leaders have been regarded as key to the diffusion of new fashion trends, as they have great influence on their followers because of their knowledge, expertise and are considered a reliable sources of information (Mowen, Park, & Zablah, 2007; Thakur et al., 2016).

2.2. Formulation of hypotheses

First, we focus on the perceived characteristics of the account as the main antecedent factors of opinion leadership. According to previous literature (e.g. Casaló, Flavián, Guinalíu, & Ekinci, 2015), characteristics of the content generated in social media may affect the consumer's perceptions. Specifically, originality could be defined as the degree of newness and differentiation that some individuals achieve by performing certain actions. Originality is the extent to which these actions are perceived as unusual, innovative and sophisticated. Original new products have been considered as more interesting and surprising (Derbaix & Vanhamme, 2003). In addition, individuals are more willing to share their comments or anecdotes if the degree of surprise or interest aroused is greater (Peters, Kashima, & Clark, 2009). It has been also shown that the higher the degree of originality of a product, the higher is the amount of WOM generated (Moldovan, Goldenberg, & Chattopadhyay, 2011).

Opinion leaders seem to score higher in innovativeness, defined as being on the lookout for what is new and unconventional (Thakur et al., 2016), and it has been stated that people tend to follow these avant-garde trends (Kucukemiroglu & Kara, 2015; Thakur et al., 2016). In addition, sharing posts with original content could help a fashion blog to become successful (Mendola, 2014), which could generate a greater

number of followers, turning the blogger into an opinion leader. Creating original and authentic content has been regarded as a way for influencers to resonate with their audience (#Hashoff, 2017a). In summary, the originality of the content posted on an Instagram account can have a direct impact on the user's perception that the author is an opinion leader. Therefore, we propose that:

H1a. Perceived originality has a positive effect on perceived opinion leadership.

Uniqueness has been considered as a state in which a person feels differentiated from other people around him or her (Maslach, Stapp, & Santee, 1985) and involves using behaviors that others will pay attention to. It is connected to the extent to which these behaviors are perceived as being specific, really special and different. As a result of being perceived as unique, a personal image might be created that is admired by others (Gentina, Shrum, & Lowrey, 2016). It has been used as a way to distinguish between opinion leaders and non-leaders (Chan & Misra, 1990; Tsang & Zhou, 2005). The desire to be perceived as unique has been noted as a motivation in the case of fashion opinion leadership (Bertrandias & Goldsmith, 2006; Goldsmith & Clark, 2008); so these individuals look to be radically different to others, with the aim of enhancing their own self-image and social image (Tian, Bearden, & Hunter, 2001).

It is supposed that fashion opinion leaders innovate to feel unique and seekers look for their opinions and recommendations because they pay attention to these social cues (Bertrandias & Goldsmith, 2006). In addition, it has been proved that uniqueness is an attribute that male adolescents take into account when endorsing opinion leader roles (Gentina et al., 2014). Therefore, the degree of uniqueness of posted content on an Instagram account can be related to the perception of being an opinion leader. Thus, we propose that:

H1b. Perceived uniqueness has a positive effect on perceived opinion leadership.

The quality of contributions has been regarded as being important in constructing a reputation in a community which, in turn, may lead the user to be considered as an opinion leader (Leal et al., 2014). Sharing high quality posts (photography, writing, consistent use of logos, etc.) is a means by which a professional and successful fashion blog can be created (Mendola, 2014); in this way, the blogger can become to be considered as an opinion leader in this field. Some characteristics of the shared content, such as the attractiveness, quality and the composition of the images, are crucial for users to make the decision to follow a specific profile (Djafarova & Rushworth, 2017). Other aspects related to quality, such as the comprehensiveness of the content (Lu, Jerath, & Singh, 2013), the level of talkativeness, linguistic diversity, assertiveness and affect (Huffaker, 2010), have also been shown to be drivers of opinion leadership. In sum, online influencers state that the quality of the content they share with their followers is crucial in increasing the success of an account (#Hashoff, 2017a). Bearing all these in mind, it is proposed that the quality of the content published on Instagram will influence the perception of opinion leadership:

H1c. Perceived quality has a positive effect on perceived opinion leadership.

Previous studies also show the existence of a relationship between the volume of the communications made by group members and their perception as opinion leaders. According to Tsang and Zhou (2005), self-reported opinion leaders posted a greater number of online messages in comparison to their followers. In addition, in the context of Twitter, it has been noted that self-reported opinion leaders tend to be more motivated to broadcast their opinions on this SNS, by posting

more frequently (Park, 2013).

More specifically, a high level of communication activity (number of posts and replies) is related to the capacity to influence others in the online context (Huffaker, 2010). As Leal et al. (2014) state, active participation is essential in order to be identified as an opinion leader. Due to the fact that these leaders are considered to have more knowledge and expertise (Rahman et al., 2014), they need to post messages more frequently to construct their reputation in the community (Leal et al., 2014). Thus, the amount of publications can have an impact on the perception of being an opinion leader. Therefore, it is proposed that:

H1d. Perceived quantity has a positive effect on perceived opinion leadership.

Second, we propose that opinion leadership may influence consumer behavioral intentions (Park, 2013). Due to the fact that opinion leaders are thought to have a great understanding of a specific product category (Thakur et al., 2016), people may be more motivated to interact with them and recommend others to follow them. Intention to interact, in this case, reflects the strength of a person's willingness to interact in the future with the account. In the context of Facebook, Turcotte et al. (2015) noted that receiving recommendations from a friend who is regarded as an opinion leader is positively related to the act of looking for additional information. This means that users are more prone to carry out actions, such as interacting in the account and to look for new information. In addition, opinion leaders are thought to have more knowledge and expertise in relation to a specific topic (Rahman et al., 2014). Therefore, as a consequence of being regarded as an expert on that issue, followers could recommend the account to others as they share the same needs and interests (Casaló, Flavián, & Ibáñez-Sánchez, 2017b). New technologies have facilitated and increased the importance of these processes (Serra-Cantalops, Ramon-Cardona, & Salvi, 2018). Intention to recommend is connected to the extent to which individuals will recommend an account to others. By following this account, peer consumers will be able to get up-to-date and important information from an opinion leader who is considered to have a great degree of credibility (Gentina et al., 2014) and involvement (Rahman et al., 2014) with that topic.

In addition, previous studies suggest that opinion leaders exert an unequal amount of influence on the decisions of those (Thakur et al., 2016) who might follow their advice. Intention to follow advice is related to the extent that individuals will follow, take into account and put into practice the suggestions of the opinion leader. The impact that opinion leadership has on the customer's intention to buy new fashion clothes (Rahman et al., 2014) has been noted. Opinion leaders tend to buy new fashion clothes, so they are able to advise other customers about new fashion trends that they might follow. It also seems that consumers' self-esteem is increased when they buy products which have been previously recommended by a celebrity on Instagram (Djafarova & Rushworth, 2017). As a result, focusing on the Instagram context, we propose:

H2a. Opinion leadership has a positive effect on user intention to interact in the Instagram account.

H2b. Opinion leadership has a positive effect on user intention to recommend the Instagram account online.

H2c. Opinion leadership has a positive effect on user intention to follow the advice obtained in the Instagram account.

Interaction also plays a key role in developing online communities where communications take place by means of computers or devices (Blazevic, Wiertz, Cotte, de Ruyter, & Keeling, 2014). Online

interaction propensity is defined as the general tendency of a member to interact in an online environment with people they have not previously personally met (Wiertz & de Ruyter, 2007). Therefore, it is connected to the extent to which individuals like to get involved in online discussions. Blazevic et al. (2014) noted that it is an individual trait that differentiates individuals with regard to their predisposition to interact with others on online communities. Therefore, members of these communities can be classified according to their presence (posters) or lack of willingness (lurkers) to engage in online interactions with others on these platforms (Schlosser, 2005).

Wiertz and de Ruyter (2007) showed that if customers are online interaction prone, they tend to make more and more knowledgeable contributions, so this variable is a crucial attribute in relation to online community members' participative behavior. In addition, Blazevic et al. (2014) noted the existence of a relationship between online interaction propensity and providing information and giving advice to other members. Similarly, Dessart (2017) showed that there is a positive relationship between online interaction propensity and carrying out some behaviors, such as communicating with others, sharing ideas and endorsing official pages of brand communities on Facebook. Therefore, online interaction propensity may strengthen the influence of opinion leadership on those variables that involve online communication (Casaló, Flavián, & Guinalíu, 2011); in our case, the intention to interact in the Instagram account and the intention to recommend it. Thus, it is proposed that:

H3a. The consumer's online interaction propensity strengthens the influence of opinion leadership on his/her interaction intention.

H3b. The consumer's online interaction propensity strengthens the influence of opinion leadership on his/her recommendation intention.

Finally, the higher the congruence between the contents of the account and the consumer's thoughts and personality, the greater will be the psychological closeness between the consumer and the opinion leader, which may result in a higher influence of the latter on the former. Perceived fit with personal interests is related to the extent that content is regarded as relevant to an individual's values, congruent with his/her interests and matches his/her personality. This is similar to the literature on advertising that suggests that when a consumer perceives that there is a great match-up between his/her self-image and that of the endorser (for example, a celebrity), the assessment of the advertisement and the intention to purchase a product is higher (Choi & Rifon, 2012). In the online context, Hahn and Lee (2014), undertaking a study in a female clothing blog, showed that a consumer's perception of being psychologically close to a fashion blogger has a positive relationship to their attitude toward the blog and, finally, the products displayed there by the fashion blogger. Thus, a consumer may feel more comfortable following advice on an Instagram account whose opinion leader publishes content that matches his/her personality and interests and, thereby, they might achieve an aspirational self-image as portrayed by the opinion leader (Pradhan, Duraipandian, & Sethi, 2014). To sum up, if the contents posted by an opinion leader on Instagram are congruent with the consumer's personality, he or she will tend to follow to a greater extent the ideas and behaviors suggested by the opinion leader. Based on these points, we propose in our last hypothesis the following interaction effect:

H3c. The perceived fit of the account with the consumer's personality strengthens the influence of opinion leadership on his/her intention to follow the advice offered by the account.

The proposed model is summarized in Fig. 1.




Fig. 1. Research model.

3. Methodology

The data to test these hypotheses was collected from a fashion focused Instagram account in which a potential influencer posts pictures related to new trends in the fashion industry that can be imitated by the account's followers. The selection of this specific account was not random (Eisenhardt, 1989); the selection was based on some important characteristics (it has a growing number of followers, is focused on the fashion industry, is increasing in popularity in the media [magazines and newspapers have published several news items about the account]) and its accessibility (the influencer agreed to collaborate with the research project, distributing a questionnaire among the account followers). From the limited number of cases that might have been accessible to the authors, we selected this Instagram account since it can be categorized as an influencer in the fashion industry. Specifically, this account belongs to a non-traditional celebrity, with more than 76,000 followers, who were invited to participate in the research. In addition, the selection of a specific case is appropriate when analyzing a contemporary phenomenon (Yin, 1994), it may be used to test theory (Eisenhardt, 1989) and can be combined with quantitative evidence (Yin, 1981) and a questionnaire (Eisenhardt, 1989), as in our case.

808 participants answered the questionnaire (Appendix A), which included multiple-item measurement scales adapted from previous literature that help to ensure the content validity of the measures. The questions asked about their perceptions of the opinion leadership (Gentina et al., 2014; Park, 2013; Thakur et al., 2016), originality (Moldovan et al., 2011) and uniqueness of the account (Franke & Schreier, 2008), their online recommendations (Algesheimer, Dholakia, & Herrmann, 2005; Belanche, Casaló, & Flavián, 2014), their interaction intentions (Algesheimer et al., 2005), their intention to follow the account's advice, their online interaction propensity (Casaló et al., 2011) and the perceived fit of the account with their personalities (Lee, Park, Rapert, & Newman, 2012). The scales used seven-point Likert-type response formats, which respondents rated from 1 ("strongly disagree") to 7 ("strongly agree"). One item was used to measure the perceived quantity and quality of the posts, which were rated from 1 ("not at all") to 7 ("very much").

Table 1
Construct reliability and convergent validity.

Variable	Composite reliability	AVE
Opinion leadership	0.874	0.698
Intention to interact	0.905	0.827
Intention to recommend ^a	N.A.	N.A.
Intention to follow the advice	0.940	0.796
Originality	0.929	0.687
Uniqueness	0.945	0.851
OIP ^a	N.A.	N.A.
Perceived fit	0.914	0.781
Quality ^a	N.A.	N.A.
Quantity ^a	N.A.	N.A.

Notes: N.A.: non-applicable. OIP = online interaction propensity.

^a Variables were measured with just one item.

Partial Least Square (PLS) was used as the estimation procedure because it is especially useful in situations with limited theoretical information, or when the phenomenon under research is relatively new (Roldán & Sánchez-Franco, 2012), as is the case with the antecedents and consequences of opinion leadership in the Instagram context. Data analyses were carried out using SmartPLS software version 3.0 (Ringle, Wende, & Becker, 2015). In accordance with Davcik (2014), our sample (808 participants) meets the sample size requirements of PLS; that is, 10 observations multiplied by the maximum between the construct that has the highest number of indicators (in our case, originality and opinion leadership have 6 indicators each) or the endogenous construct with the largest number of exogenous constructs (in our case, opinion leadership, which is explained by four exogenous variables). First, we analyzed the data to check the validity of the measures. A confirmatory factor analysis corroborated the initial factor structure, with all item loadings scores above the recommended benchmark of 0.7 (Henseler, Ringle, & Sinkovics, 2009) on their respective construct. In addition, the composite reliabilities of all the reflective constructs, which should be higher than 0.65 (Steenkamp & Geyskens, 2006), are higher than 0.87 (see Table 1), proving their internal consistency. As an additional indicator of convergent validity, average variance extracted (AVE)

Table 2
Discriminant validity.

Variable	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
OL (1)	0.835									
Quantity ^a (2)	0.193	N.A.								
II (3)	0.559	0.157	0.910							
IR ^a (4)	0.486	0.179	0.568	N.A.						
IFA (5)	0.455	0.121	0.389	0.392	0.892					
Originality (6)	0.633	0.204	0.565	0.526	0.393	0.829				
Uniqueness (7)	0.615	0.184	0.530	0.459	0.379	0.801	0.923			
OIP ^a (8)	0.222	0.082	0.141	0.139	0.390	0.163	0.166	N.A.		
Perceived fit (9)	0.611	0.168	0.549	0.449	0.529	0.513	0.463	0.251	0.884	
Quality ^a (10)	0.218	0.556	0.210	0.210	0.119	0.282	0.227	−0.025	0.175	N.A.

Notes: Diagonal elements (bold figures) are the square root of the AVE (the variance shared between the constructs and their measures). Below-diagonal elements are the correlations among variables. N.A.: non-applicable. OL = opinion leadership, II = intention to interact, IR = intention to recommend, IFA = intention to follow the advice, OIP = online interaction propensity.

^a Variables were measured with just one item.

values are also above the benchmark of 0.5 (Fornell & Larcker, 1981) for all reflective constructs (see Table 1). Finally, to confirm the discriminant validity of the reflective constructs, we checked that the value of the square root of the AVE is greater than the shared variance among constructs (correlations) (Fornell & Larcker, 1981). All pairs of constructs satisfy this criterion (see Table 2), supporting the discriminant validity of the measures. Once the measures were validated, we estimated the effects proposed in the model and their significance using PLS with the recommended bootstrap of 500 iterations (Chin, 1998).

4. Results

The results of the proposed model (Table 3) reveal that opinion leadership is positively affected by perceived originality ($\beta = 0.380$, $p < .01$) and uniqueness ($\beta = 0.298$, $p < .01$), supporting our hypotheses H1a and H1b. However, the influence of both perceived quality ($\beta = 0.014$, $p > .05$) and quantity ($\beta = 0.053$, $p > .05$) is non-significant; therefore, H1c and H1d are not supported. In turn, opinion leadership has a significant influence on the intention to continue interacting in the account ($\beta = 0.558$, $p < .01$), the intention to recommend the account online ($\beta = 0.482$, $p < .01$) and the consumer's intention to follow the advice offered by the Instagram account ($\beta = 0.232$, $p < .01$). As a result, H2a, H2b and H2c are supported. Lastly, while the interaction effects of online interaction propensity and opinion leadership on the intention to continue interacting in the account ($\beta = 0.038$, $p > .05$), and the intention to recommend the account online ($\beta = 0.040$, $p > .05$), are not significant, the interaction effect of perceived fit with personal interests and opinion leadership on

Table 4
Indirect effects.

Indirect effect path	Estimated indirect effect	t	p-Value
Quantity → OL → II	0.029	1.541	.124
Quantity → OL → IR	0.025	1.512	.131
Quantity → OL → IFA	0.012	1.475	.141
Originality → OL → II	0.212	6.626	.000
Originality → OL → IR	0.183	6.693	.000
Originality → OL → IFA	0.088	4.704	.000
Uniqueness → OL → II	0.166	6.341	.000
Uniqueness → OL → IR	0.144	5.800	.000
Uniqueness → OL → IFA	0.069	4.270	.000
Quality → OL → II	0.008	0.387	.699
Quality → OL → IR	0.007	0.387	.699
Quality → OL → IFA	0.003	0.388	.698

Notes: OL = opinion leadership, II = intention to interact, IR = intention to recommend, IFA = intention to follow the advice.

the consumer intention to follow the advice received ($\beta = 0.078$, $p < .01$) is significant. Therefore, H3c is supported, but H3a and H3b are not. In terms of explained variance, the proposed model presents substantial levels of R^2 for most of the dependent variables (R^2 should be 0.26 or above; Cohen, 1988), opinion leadership ($R^2 = 0.437$), intention to follow the advice received ($R^2 = 0.317$), intention to recommend the account online ($R^2 = 0.239$) and intention to continue interacting in the account ($R^2 = 0.314$).

Looking at these results, perceived account characteristics (quantity, quality, originality and uniqueness) may have indirect effects on consumer behavioral interactions, mediated by opinion leadership. In this

Table 3
Summary of results.

Antecedent factors	Dependent variables							
	Opinion leadership		Intention to interact		Intention to recommend online		Intention to follow the advice	
	β	t	β	t	β	t	β	t
Originality	0.380 ^a	8.196						
Uniqueness	0.298 ^a	6.895						
Quality	0.014	0.390						
Quantity	0.053	1.542						
Opinion leadership			0.558 ^a	16.057	0.482 ^a	13.779	0.232 ^a	5.743
OIP			0.009	0.293	0.024	0.638		
Perceived fit							0.416 ^a	10.742
OL × OIP			0.038	1.287	0.040	1.186		
OL × perceived fit							0.078 ^a	3.496
R ²	0.437		0.314		0.239		0.317	

Notes: OL = opinion leadership, OIP = online interaction propensity.

^a Coefficients are significant at the 0.01 level.

case, since there is no direct link between perceived account characteristics and consumer behavioral interactions, indirect effects will be equal to total effects. Therefore, these potentially mediated relationships were analyzed, again using SmartPLS software version 3.0 (Ringle et al., 2015). As can be seen in Table 4, opinion leadership mediates the influence of both originality and uniqueness on the three dependent variables considered (intention to interact, intention to recommend the account, and intention to follow the advice provided in the account). However, the indirect effects of perceived quantity and quality are not significant.

All in all, the results suggest that perceived originality and uniqueness play a key role in developing opinion leadership on Instagram which, in turn, influences consumer behavioral intentions related to both the account (intention to interact and recommend the account) and the fashion industry (intention to follow the advice).

5. Conclusion

Despite the increasing number of opinion leaders who have emerged in the fashion industry due to the development of SNS, this is – to our knowledge – one of the first studies analyzing the antecedents and consequences of opinion leadership on Instagram. First, this work confirms that, instead of perceived quality or quantity, perceived originality and uniqueness of the posts on an Instagram account are the key factors that lead a poster to be perceived as an opinion leader in this SNS. Therefore, aspects such as creativity, or being one of a kind (Gentina et al., 2014; Thakur et al., 2016), seem to be crucial to becoming an online influencer in the fashion industry. This result is consistent with previous literature suggesting the essential role that an authentic, creative and personal public image plays in achieving this aim (Hearn & Schoenhoff, 2016). Second, our findings suggest that opinion leadership influences consumer behavioral intentions in several ways. On the one hand, it serves to increase the consumer's intention to interact in the Instagram account and recommend it to others, benefiting the opinion leader. In other words, followers are involved in the value-creation process – they can contribute with their knowledge if they interact with the account, and the number of followers may increase if they recommend the account to others, increasing the value of the opinion leader, which is a key aspect of the new dominant logic for marketing (Vargo & Lusch, 2008a). In this new service-centered logic, the consumer is viewed as a co-producer (Vargo & Lusch, 2004) and, consequently, the influencer not only creates value for customers, but the customers also create value for the influencer (Auh, Bell, McLeod, & Shih, 2007). That is, both parties –the influencers and their followers– reciprocally co-create value (Vargo & Lusch, 2008b). On the other hand, opinion leadership increases consumer intention to follow the fashion advice posted on the account, which may have an impact on companies' sales, due to the fact that consumers may trust the opinion leader's posts because of their product experience and perceived knowledge (Bao & Chang, 2014). This influence is even greater when the consumer perceives that the content posted on the account matches his or her personality and interests (Choi & Rifon, 2012). These results confirm the fact that influencers should be taken into account in the fashion industry as many consumers follow them and imitate their fashion sense (Kapitan & Silvera, 2016). However, an unexpected result is that online interaction propensity does not strengthen the influence of opinion leadership. This may be explained by the fact that the use of the Internet is general nowadays, and most people may have routinized online interaction in their daily lives (e.g. Roldán, Sánchez-Franco, & Real, 2017).

As for managerial implications, this study identifies opinion leaders based on the content they publish. Opinion leaders must upload original content to be perceived as unique by their actual and potential followers. This content can lead their followers to reach a state of flow

while surfing these opinion leaders' accounts which, in turn, can create optimal experiences resulting in more positive behaviors (Herrando, Jimenez-Martinez, & Martin de Hoyos, 2018). In this way, an attractive image will be created that can both capture their followers' attention and foster future cooperation with companies. In addition, the subsequent behaviors of followers are connected with their perception of a user being regarded as an opinion leader. This evaluation should lead to a rise in their intention to interact and to recommend that online account, as well as intention to follow the advice given by the opinion leader. That is, consumers who follow an opinion leader who publishes original and unique content may develop subsequent behaviors that may benefit both the opinion leader and companies. Therefore, companies must take into account the match between the lifestyle and the kind of content usually published by opinion leaders and their own image when they want to involve them in an advertising campaign (De Veirman et al., 2017; #Hashoff, 2017b), as well as the fit between the influencers' audience and their own target audience. If this is not done, the effect can be counter-productive; if users perceive that the opinion leader is sponsoring that specific product/service only due to the compensation he/she receives, this can negatively affect the consumer's perception of both the opinion leader and the company (Evans et al., 2017; “Chriselle Lim and Volvo case”: Curalate, 2016). In addition, opinion leaders know what resonates best with their audiences, so company managers should provide them with the specific aims and guidelines of their campaigns and then allow them to develop original and authentic content (depending on the objectives companies want to accomplish, influencers may use visual content, such as Instagram stories, or they may promote participation by holding contests, etc.), which should then best fit and engage their audiences (#Hashoff, 2017b). Therefore, influencers should not directly endorse, but they might weave a particular brand product into a personal story in an original and authentic way. There should be an ongoing relationship between companies and influencers, where the influencers are incorporated earlier into the collaboration process. They should also be consulted to generate better creative outcomes (#Hashoff, 2017b).

This research has several limitations that offer possibilities for further research. First, the respondents are followers of just one opinion leader's official Instagram account. Therefore, following Eisenhardt (1989), to generalize and confirm the results obtained in this study, it should be replicated with a wider sample of influencers' fashion centered Instagram accounts. In addition, to extend the research, accounts using traditional celebrities (we have focused on a non-traditional one), other industries and other SNS (for example, a more textual SNS such as Twitter) could be analyzed. In addition, although intentions are the main antecedents of behaviors (e.g. Ajzen, 1991), future research could analyze the influence of opinion leadership on actual behaviors. Furthermore, the number of antecedents of opinion leadership could be larger. For example, the kindness, knowledge contribution, reciprocity (e.g. Xiong, Cheng, Liang & Wu, 2018), trust, reputation, expertise or credibility (e.g. Thakur et al., 2016) of the account may influence consumer perceptions about it. Finally, it might be useful to research whether the antecedents and the consequences of “micro-influencers” are the same as for opinion leaders, due to their distinctive characteristics (normally less than 10,000 followers [Norris, 2017], focused on a really specific topic of interest and a major rate of engagement [Markerly, 2016]).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (ECO2016-76768-R); the FEDER and FSE operational programmes and the Government of Aragon (group “METODO” S20_17R and pre-doctoral grant 2016-2020 BOA IIU/1/2017).

Appendix A

Rate from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree) the following statements in relation to the selected Instagram account:

	Strongly disagree			↔	Strongly agree		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Opinion leadership (adapted from Gentina et al., 2014 ; Park, 2013 ; Thakur et al., 2016)							
1. This Instagram account serves as a model for others.							
2. This Instagram account is one step ahead of others.							
3. This Instagram account offers interesting pictures that suggest new ideas about fashion.							
4. This Instagram account persuades people to dress like the account pictures suggest.							
5. This Instagram account influences people's opinions about fashion.							
6. I used this Instagram account as a source of information.							

Antecedents

	Strongly disagree			↔	Strongly agree		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Perceived uniqueness (adapted from Franke & Schreier, 2008)							
1. This Instagram account is highly unique							
2. This Instagram account is one of a kind							
3. This Instagram account is really special and different to others							
Perceived originality (adapted from Moldovan et al., 2011)							
1. Publications on this Instagram account are original							
2. Publications on this Instagram account are novel							
3. Publications on this Instagram account are unusual							
4. Publications on this Instagram account are innovative							
5. Publications on this Instagram account are sophisticated							
6. Publications on this Instagram account are creative							
Account characteristics							
1. Quantity of publications on this Instagram account							
2. Quality of publications on this Instagram account							

Consequences

	Strongly disagree			↔	Strongly agree		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Intention to interact (adapted from Algesheimer et al., 2005 ; Belanche et al., 2014)							
1. I have the intention to interact with this Instagram account in the near future.							
2. I predict that I will interact with this Instagram account.							
Intention to recommend the account (adapted from Algesheimer et al., 2005)							
1. I would likely recommend this Instagram account to friends and relatives interested in fashion.							
Intention to follow the advice (adapted from Casaló et al., 2011)							
1. I would feel comfortable dressing as shown in the pictures published on this Instagram account.							
2. I would not hesitate to take into account the suggestions about clothing I can find in the pictures published on this Instagram account.							
3. I would feel secure in following the suggestions about clothing made by this Instagram account.							
4. I would rely on the recommendations about clothing made by this Instagram account.							

Moderators

	Strongly disagree			↔	Strongly agree		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Perceived fit with personal interests (adapted from Lee et al., 2012)							
1. Publications on this Instagram account are relevant to my values							

2. Publications on this Instagram account are congruent with my interests
3. Publications on this Instagram account match my personality

Online interaction propensity (adapted from Casaló et al., 2011)

1. In general, I like to get involved in online discussions

Strongly disagree 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Strongly agree

References

- #Hashoff (2017a). Influencer marketer. A #Hashoff state of the union report. Retrieved from goo.gl/IOHQZs, Accessed date: 15 March 2018.
- #Hashoff (2017b). Influencer marketer. A #Hashoff state of the union report (Fall 2017). Retrieved from goo.gl/tSBDSs, Accessed date: 15 March 2018.
- Ajzen, I. (1991). From intentions to actions: A theory of planned behavior. In J. K. J. Beckmann (Ed.), *Action-control: From cognition to behavior* (pp. 11–39). Heidelberg: Springer.
- Algesheimer, R., Dholakia, U. M., & Herrmann, A. (2005). The social influence of brand communities: Evidence from European car clubs. *Journal of Marketing*, 59(3), 19–34.
- Aragoncillo, L., & Orús, C. (2018). Impulse buying behaviour: An online-offline comparative and the impact of social media. *Spanish Journal of Marketing-ESIC*, 22(1), 42–62.
- Auh, S., Bell, S. J., McLeod, C. S., & Shih, E. (2007). Co-production and customer loyalty in financial services. *Journal of Retailing*, 83(3), 359–370.
- Bao, T., & Chang, T. L. S. (2014). Finding disseminators via electronic word of mouth message for effective marketing communications. *Decision Support Systems*, 67, 21–29.
- Belanche, D., Casaló, L. V., & Flavián, C. (2014). The role of place identity in smart card adoption. *Public Management Review*, 16(8), 1205–1228.
- Belanche, D., Flavián, C., & Pérez-Rueda, A. (2017). Understanding interactive online advertising: Congruence and product involvement in highly and lowly arousing, skippable video ads. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 37, 75–88.
- Belch, M. A., Krentler, K. A., & Willis-Flurry, L. A. (2005). Teen internet mavens: Influence in family decision making. *Journal of Business Research*, 58(5), 569–575.
- Bertrandias, L., & Goldsmith, R. E. (2006). Some psychological motivations for fashion opinion leadership and fashion opinion seeking. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, 10(1), 25–40.
- Blazevic, V., Wiertz, C., Cotte, J., de Ruyter, K., & Keeling, D. I. (2014). GOSIP in cyberspace: Conceptualization and scale development for general online social interaction propensity. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 28(2), 87–100.
- Casaló, L. V., Cisneros, J., Flavián, C., & Guinalíu, M. (2009). Determinants of success in open source software networks. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 109(4), 532–549.
- Casaló, L. V., Flavián, C., & Guinalíu, M. (2011). Antecedents and consequences of consumer participation in online communities: The case of the travel sector. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 15(2), 137–167.
- Casaló, L. V., Flavián, C., Guinalíu, M., & Ekinci, Y. (2015). Avoiding the dark side of positive online consumer reviews: Enhancing reviews' usefulness for high risk-averse travelers. *Journal of Business Research*, 68(9), 1829–1835.
- Casaló, L. V., Flavián, C., & Ibáñez, S. (2016). Consumer interaction in Instagram: The role of perceived hedonism and satisfaction. *Proceedings of the 2016 Global Marketing Conference at Hong Kong, Global Alliance of Marketing & Management Associations* (pp. 1233–1238).
- Casaló, L. V., Flavián, C., & Ibáñez-Sánchez, S. (2017a). Understanding consumer interaction on Instagram: The role of satisfaction, hedonism, and content characteristics. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior and Social Networking*, 20(6), 369–375.
- Casaló, L. V., Flavián, C., & Ibáñez-Sánchez, S. (2017b). Antecedents of consumer intention to follow and recommend an Instagram account. *Online Information Review*, 41(7), 1046–1063.
- Chan, K. K., & Misra, S. (1990). Characteristics of the opinion leader: A new dimension. *Journal of Advertising*, 19(3), 53–60.
- Chin, W. W. (1998). The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling. In G. A. Marcoulides (Ed.), *Modern methods for business research* (pp. 295–336). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Choi, S. M., & Rifon, N. J. (2012). It is a match: The impact of congruence between celebrity image and consumer ideal self on endorsement effectiveness. *Psychology and Marketing*, 29(9), 639–650.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). New Jersey, US: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Curalate (2016). Influencer marketing: The good, the bad and the downright ugly. Retrieved from goo.gl/w6ph2A, Accessed date: 15 March 2018.
- Davcik, N. (2014). The use and misuse of structural equation modeling (SEM) in management research: A review and critique. *Journal of Advances in Management Research*, 11(1), 47–81.
- De Veirman, M., Cauberghe, V., & Hudders, L. (2017). Marketing through Instagram influencers: The impact of number of followers and product divergence on brand attitude. *International Journal of Advertising*, 36(5), 798–828.
- Delisle, M. P., & Parmentier, M. A. (2016). Navigating person-branding in the fashion blogosphere. *Journal of Global Fashion Marketing*, 7(3), 211–224.
- Derbaix, C., & Vanhamme, J. (2003). Inducing word-of-mouth by eliciting surprise—A pilot investigation. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 24(1), 99–116.
- Dessart, L. (2017). Social media engagement: A model of antecedents and relational outcomes. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 33(5–6), 1–25.
- Djafarova, E., & Rushworth, C. (2017). Exploring the credibility of online celebrities' Instagram profiles in influencing the purchase decisions of young female users. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 68, 1–7.
- Dodd, C. A., Clarke, I., Baron, S., & Houston, V. (2000). Practitioner papers: 'Looking the part': Identity, meaning and culture in clothing purchasing—Theoretical considerations. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, 4(1), 41–48.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *The Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 532–550.
- Engel, J. F., Blackwell, R. D., & Miniard, P. W. (1990). *Consumer behavior* (6th ed.). Chicago, US: Dryden Press.
- Evans, N. J., Phua, J., Lim, J., & Jun, H. (2017). Disclosing Instagram influencer advertising: The effects of disclosure language on advertising recognition, attitudes, and Behavioral Intent. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 17(2), 1–12.
- Flynn, L. R., Goldsmith, R. E., & Eastman, J. K. (1996). Opinion leaders and opinion seekers: Two new measurement scales. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 24(2), 137–147.
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(3), 39–50.
- Franke, N., & Schreier, M. (2008). Product uniqueness as a driver of customer utility in mass customization. *Marketing Letters*, 19(2), 93–107.
- Gentina, E., Butori, R., & Heath, T. B. (2014). Unique but integrated: The role of individuation and assimilation processes in teen opinion leadership. *Journal of Business Research*, 67(2), 83–91.
- Gentina, E., Shrum, L. J., & Lowrey, T. M. (2016). Teen attitudes toward luxury fashion brands from a social identity perspective: A cross-cultural study of French and US teenagers. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(12), 5785–5792.
- Globalwebindex (2015). Profiling Instagram's future on its fifth birthday. Retrieved from goo.gl/RX8dDs, Accessed date: 15 March 2018.
- Godey, B., Manthiou, A., Pederzoli, D., Rokka, J., Aiello, G., Donvito, R., & Singh, R. (2016). Social media marketing efforts of luxury brands: Influence on brand equity and consumer behavior. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(12), 5833–5841.
- Goldenberg, J., Lehmann, D. R., Shidlovski, D., & Barak, M. M. (2006). The role of expert versus social opinion leaders in new product adoption. *Marketing Science Institute Report*, 06–124.
- Goldsmith, R. E., & Clark, R. A. (2008). An analysis of factors affecting fashion opinion leadership and fashion opinion seeking. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, 12(3), 308–322.
- Hahn, K. H., & Lee, E. J. (2014). Effect of psychological closeness on consumer attitudes toward fashion blogs: The moderating effect of fashion leadership and interpersonal LOV. *Journal of Global Fashion Marketing*, 5(2), 103–121.
- Hearn, A., & Schoenhoff, S. (2016). From celebrity to influencer: Tracing the diffusion of celebrity value across the data stream. In P. David Marshall, & S. Redmon (Eds.), *A companion to Celebrity* (pp. 194–212). John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sinkovics, R. R. (2009). The use of partial least squares path modeling in international marketing. *Advances in International Marketing*, 20(1), 277–319.
- Herrando, C., Jimenez-Martinez, J., & Martin De Hoyos, M. J. (2018). Surfing or flowing? How to retain e-customers on the internet. *Spanish Journal of Marketing-ESIC*, 22(1), 2–21.
- Highfield, T. (2015). Depicting social television on Instagram: Visual social media, participation, and audience experiences of #sbseurovision. *Paper presented at the International Communication Association Conference 2015. San Juan, Puerto Rico, 23 May 2015*.
- Huffaker, D. (2010). Dimensions of leadership and social influence in online communities. *Human Communication Research*, 36(4), 593–617.
- Influence.co (2017). Influencer rate and engagement report. Retrieved from goo.gl/UN4m2h, Accessed date: 15 March 2018.
- Instagram (2017). Strengthening our commitment to safety and kindness for 800 million. Retrieved from goo.gl/u81w7N, Accessed date: 15 March 2018.
- Iyengar, R., Van den Bulte, C., & Valente, T. W. (2011). Opinion leadership and social contagion in new product diffusion. *Marketing Science*, 30(2), 195–212.
- Järvinen, J., Ohtonen, R., & Karjalainen, H. (2016). Consumer acceptance and use of Instagram. *Proceedings of the System Sciences (HICSS) 2016 49th Hawaii International Conference, IEEE* (pp. 2227–2236).
- Kapitan, S., & Silvera, D. H. (2016). From digital media influencers to celebrity endorsers: Attributions drive endorser effectiveness. *Marketing Letters*, 27(3), 553–567.
- Katz, E., & Lazarsfeld, P. (1955). *Personal influence: The part played by people in the flow of mass communications*. New York, US: The Free Press.
- Kim, J. E., Lloyd, S., & Cervellon, M. C. (2016). Narrative-transportation storylines in luxury brand advertising: Motivating consumer engagement. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(1), 304–313.
- Kim, Y. O., & Tran, V. L. (2013). Assessing the ripple effects of online opinion leaders with trust and distrust metrics. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 40, 3500–3511.
- Kucukemiroglu, S., & Kara, A. (2015). Online word-of-mouth communication on social networking sites: An empirical study of Facebook users. *International Journal of*

- Commerce and Management, 25(1), 2–20.
- Lazarsfeld, P. F., Berelson, B., & Gaudet, H. (1948). *The people's choice: How the voter makes up his mind in a presidential campaign*. New York, US: Columbia University Press.
- Leal, G. P. A., Hor-Meyll, L. F., & de Paula Pessôa, L. A. G. (2014). Influence of virtual communities in purchasing decisions: The participants' perspective. *Journal of Business Research*, 67(5), 882–890.
- Lee, E. L., Park, S. Y., Rapert, M. I., & Newman, C. L. (2012). Does perceived consumer fit matter in corporate social responsibility issues? *Journal of Business Research*, 65(11), 1558–1564.
- Lee, S., Kim, C., & Kwahk, K. (2016). Examining the determinants of the intention of continued Instagram usage: Focused on the moderating effect of the gender. *Journal of the Korea Society of Digital Industry and Information Management*, 12(2), 61–72.
- Li, F., & Du, T. C. (2011). Who is talking? An ontology-based opinion leader identification framework for word-of-mouth marketing in online social blogs. *Decision Support Systems*, 51(1), 190–197.
- Li, Y., Ma, S., Zhang, Y., & Huang, R. (2013). An improved mix framework for opinion leader identification in online learning communities. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 43, 43–51.
- Locowise (2017). Instagram follower growth is now lagging behind facebook page likes growth. Retrieved from goo.gl/m0sVm2, Accessed date: 15 March 2018.
- Lu, Y., Jerath, K., & Singh, P. V. (2013). The emergence of opinion leaders in a networked online community: A dyadic model with time dynamics and a heuristic for fast estimation. *Management Science*, 59(8), 1783–1799.
- Lyfe Marketing (2018). The best social media platforms for social media marketing in 2018. Retrieved from goo.gl/xkuFNN, Accessed date: 15 March 2018.
- Lyons, B., & Henderson, K. (2005). Opinion leadership in a computer-mediated environment. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 4(5), 319–329.
- Markerly (2016). Instagram marketing: Does influencer size matter? Retrieved from goo.gl/n7lILF, Accessed date: 15 March 2018.
- Maslach, C., Stapp, J., & Santee, R. T. (1985). Individuation: Conceptual analysis and assessment. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 49(3), 729–738.
- McCracken, G. (1989). Who is the celebrity endorser? Cultural foundations of the endorsement process. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 16(3), 310–321.
- McKinsey&Company (2016). The state of fashion 2017. Retrieved from goo.gl/22DhcR, Accessed date: 15 March 2017.
- Mediakix (2017). Instagram influencer marketing is a 1 billion dollar industry. Retrieved from goo.gl/Xk4KXb, Accessed date: 15 March 2018.
- Mendola, M. A. (2014). *Blogging in the fashion industry: A descriptive study of the use of the two-step flow communications theory by professional and citizen bloggers to become opinion leaders*. Senior project. San Luis Obispo: The Faculty of the Journalism Department, California Polytechnic State University.
- Moldovan, S., Goldenberg, J., & Chattopadhyay, A. (2011). The different roles of product originality and usefulness in generating word-of-mouth. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 28(2), 109–119.
- Mowen, J. C., Park, S., & Zablah, A. (2007). Toward a theory of motivation and personality with application to word-of-mouth communications. *Journal of Business Research*, 60(6), 590–596.
- Mull, I. R., & Lee, S. E. (2014). “PIN” pointing the motivational dimensions behind Pinterest. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 33, 192–200.
- Norris, S. A. (2017). Shifting advertising agency structure and evolving technology. University Honors Theses, Portland State University (USA). Retrieved from <http://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1458&context=honorstheses>, Accessed date: 15 March 2018.
- Park, C. S. (2013). Does Twitter motivate involvement in politics? Tweeting, opinion leadership, and political engagement. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 29, 1641–1648.
- Park, C. S., & Kaye, B. K. (2017). The tweet goes on: Interconnection of Twitter opinion leadership, network size, and civic engagement. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 69, 174–180.
- Peters, K., Kashima, Y., & Clark, A. (2009). Talking about others: Emotionality and the dissemination of social information. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 39(2), 207–222.
- Pradhan, D., Duraipandian, I., & Sethi, D. (2014). Celebrity endorsement: How celebrity–brand–user personality congruence affects brand attitude and purchase intention. *Journal of Marketing Communications*, 22(5), 456–473.
- Rahman, S. U., Saleem, S., Akhtar, S., Ali, T., & Khan, M. A. (2014). Consumers' adoption of apparel fashion: The role of innovativeness, involvement, and social values. *International Journal of Marketing Studies*, 6(3), 49–64.
- Ringle, C. M., Wende, S., & Becker, J. M. (2015). *SmartPLS 3*. Boenningstedt: SmartPLS GmbH. Retrieved from <http://www.smartpls.com>, Accessed date: 15 March 2018.
- Rogers, E. M., & Cartano, D. G. (1962). Methods of measuring opinion leadership. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 26(3), 435–441.
- Roldán, J. L., & Sánchez-Franco, M. J. (2012). Variance-based structural equation modeling: Guidelines for using partial least squares in information systems research. In M. Mora, O. Gelman, A. L. Steenkamp, & M. Raisinghani (Eds.), *Research methodologies, innovations and philosophies in software systems engineering and information systems* (pp. 193–221). Hershey, PA: IGI Global.
- Roldán, J. L., Sánchez-Franco, M. J., & Real, J. C. (2017). From frequency of use to social integration: The mediation of routinization and infusion in Tuenti community. *European Research on Management and Business Economics*, 23(2), 63–69.
- Schlosser, A. E. (2005). Posting versus lurking: Communicating in a multiple audience context. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 32(2), 260–265.
- Serra-Cantalops, A., Ramon-Cardona, J., & Salvi, F. (2018). The impact of positive emotional experiences on eWOM generation and loyalty. *Spanish Journal of Marketing-ESIC* (in press).
- Sheldon, P., & Bryant, K. (2016). Instagram: Motives for its use and relationship to narcissism and contextual age. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 58, 89–97.
- Socialbakers (2016). Why fashion brands are thriving on Instagram. Retrieved from goo.gl/ckQxBw, Accessed date: 15 March 2018.
- Steenkamp, J., & Geyskens, I. (2006). How country characteristics affect the perceived value of web sites. *Journal of Marketing*, 70(3), 136–150.
- Thakur, R., Angriawan, A., & Summey, J. H. (2016). Technological opinion leadership: The role of personal innovativeness, gadget love, and technological innovativeness. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(8), 2764–2773.
- Tian, K. T., Bearden, W. O., & Hunter, G. L. (2001). Consumers' need for uniqueness: Scale development and validation. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 28(1), 50–66.
- Tsang, A. S., & Zhou, N. (2005). Newsgroup participants as opinion leaders and seekers in online and offline communication environments. *Journal of Business Research*, 58(9), 1186–1193.
- Turcotte, J., York, C., Irving, J., Scholl, R. M., & Pingree, R. J. (2015). News recommendations from social media opinion leaders: Effects on media trust and information seeking. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 20(5), 520–535.
- Valente, T. W., & Davis, R. L. (1999). Accelerating the diffusion of innovations using opinion leaders. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 566(1), 55–67.
- Vargo, S. L., & Lusch, R. F. (2004). Evolving to a new dominant logic for marketing. *Journal of Marketing*, 68(1), 1–17.
- Vargo, S. L., & Lusch, R. F. (2008a). Service-dominant logic: Continuing the evolution. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 36(1), 1–10.
- Vargo, S. L., & Lusch, R. F. (2008b). From goods to service(s): Divergences and convergences of logics. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 37(3), 254–259.
- Wang, K. Y., Ting, I. H., & Wu, H. J. (2013). Discovering interest groups for marketing in virtual communities: An integrated approach. *Journal of Business Research*, 66(9), 1360–1366.
- Wiedman, K. P., Hennings, N., & Langner, S. (2010). Spreading the word of fashion: Identifying social influencers in fashion marketing. *Journal of Global Fashion Marketing*, 1(3), 142–153.
- Wiertz, C., & de Ruyter, K. (2007). Beyond the call of duty: Why customers contribute to firm-hosted commercial online communities. *Organization Studies*, 28(3), 347–376.
- Xiong, Y., Cheng, Z., Liang, E., & Wu, Y. (2018). Accumulation mechanism of opinion leaders' social interaction ties in virtual communities: Empirical evidence from China. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 82, 81–93.
- Yesmail (2015). Lessons learned: How retailers utilized social media in 2014, trends and takeaways for 2015. Retrieved from goo.gl/p1bgCx, Accessed date: 15 March 2018.
- Yin, R. (1981). The case study crisis: Some answers. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 26(1), 58–65.
- Yin, R. (1994). *Case study research: Design and methods* (2nd ed.). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publishing.
- Zhang, H., Fam, K. S., Goh, T. T., & Dai, X. (2018). When are influencers equally influenceable? The strength of strong ties in new product adoption. *Journal of Business Research*, 82, 160–170.
- Zhao, Y., Kou, G., Peng, Y., & Chen, Y. (2018). Understanding influence power of opinion leaders in e-commerce networks: An opinion dynamics theory perspective. *Information Sciences*, 426, 131–147.

Luis V. Casaló holds a PhD in Business Administration and is an Associate Professor of Marketing at the University of Zaragoza (Spain). His main research lines are focused on the analysis of online consumer behavior and social networks. His research has been published in several academic journals such as *Psychology & Marketing*, *Journal of Business Research*, *Information & Management*, *Online Information Review*, *Computers in Human Behavior*, *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, *Internet Research*, etc. He is an Associate Editor of the *Spanish Journal of Marketing ESIC*.

Carlos Flavián holds a PhD in Business Administration and is a Professor of Marketing at the University of Zaragoza (Spain). His research has been published in several academic journals, specialized in marketing (*European Journal of Marketing*, *Psychology & Marketing*, *Journal of Business Research*, *International Journal of Market Research*, *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, etc.) and new technologies (*Information & Management*, *Computers in Human Behavior*, *Online Information Review*, *Internet Research*, etc.). He is the editor of the *Spanish Journal of Marketing ESIC*. Carlos Flavián is the corresponding author and can be contacted at: cflavian@unizar.es.

Sergio Ibáñez-Sánchez is a PhD student at the University of Zaragoza (Spain). His main research line focuses on analyzing the impact of new technologies and social networking sites on the customer experience and behavior. The main results of his research have been published in academic journals such as *Cyberpsychology*, *Behavior*, and *Social Networking and Online Information Review*. His research has also been presented in national and international conferences such as the *International Conference on Corporate and Marketing Communications* where he received the Best Working Paper Award.