## Assignment 2 TTK4215 Elias Olsen Almenningen Version: 1.0 Date: September 4, 2023

## $Contents \\ Problem 1 \dots \dots 2$

## Problem 1

We have the following definitions:

$$y(t) = \theta^* \phi(t)$$

$$\tilde{\theta}(t) = \theta(t) - \theta^*$$

$$\varepsilon(t) = y(t) - \theta(t)\phi(t) = -\tilde{\theta}(t)\phi(t)$$

$$\dot{\theta}(t) = \gamma \varepsilon(t)\phi(t)$$

where  $\theta(t)$  is an estimate of  $\theta^*$ , making  $\tilde{\theta}(t)$  the parameter estimation error, and  $\varepsilon(t)$  the estimation error.  $\dot{\theta}(t)$  is an adaption law.

The Lyapunov function is

$$V = \frac{1}{2\gamma}\tilde{\theta}^2(t) \tag{1}$$

Differentiating (1) gives:

$$\dot{V} = \frac{1}{\gamma}\tilde{\theta}(t)\dot{\tilde{\theta}}(t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\gamma}\tilde{\theta}(t)\left(\gamma\varepsilon(t)\phi(t)\right)$$

$$= \tilde{\theta}(t)\varepsilon(t)\phi(t)$$

$$= -\tilde{\theta}(t)\tilde{\theta}(t)\phi(t)\phi(t)$$

$$= -\tilde{\theta}^{2}(t)\phi^{2}(t)$$

$$= -\varepsilon^{2}(t)$$

which is negative semi-definite, since  $\dot{V}$  can be  $\leq 0$  even though  $\tilde{\theta}$  is not.

1.  $\theta \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}$ 

Since (1) is positive definite, its lower bounded by zero, and negative semi-definite, V is non-increasing. Therefore (1) is bounded and in  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}$ . If  $V \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}$ , then  $\tilde{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty} \Longrightarrow \theta \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}$ 

2.  $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}_2$ 

Since the Lyapunov function is negative semi-definite, Lemma A.4.5 states that y(t) converges to a limit as  $t \to \infty$ . Hence,  $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}_2$ 

- 3.  $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}$ , provided  $\phi \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}$ Since  $\varepsilon = -\tilde{\theta}(t)\phi(t)$ , and both  $\tilde{\theta}(t), \phi(t) \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}$ ,  $\varepsilon$  also has to be  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}$ .
- 4.  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , provided  $\phi, \dot{\phi} \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}$ Lemma A.4.7 states that if  $f, \dot{f} \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{L}_p, p \in [1, \infty)$ , then  $f(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ . To prove this is the case for  $\varepsilon$ , we have to check if  $\dot{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}$ .

 $\dot{\varepsilon} = -\dot{\tilde{\theta}}(t)\phi(t) - \tilde{\theta}\dot{\phi}(t)$ .  $\phi, \dot{\phi} \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}$ , so we need to check if  $-\dot{\tilde{\theta}}(t) \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}$ .  $\dot{\theta}(t) = \gamma \varepsilon(t)\phi(t)$ , and both  $\varepsilon(t), \phi(t) \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}$ . Therefore  $-\dot{\tilde{\theta}}(t) \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty} \implies \dot{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}$ .

Then we have that  $\varepsilon, \dot{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{L}_{\infty} \implies \varepsilon \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ .

Argue why you cannot conclude that  $\theta(t) \to \theta^*$ . This can be proven by a counterexample:

If  $\phi(t)=0$  and our guess for  $\theta(t=0)$  is bad/wrong, then  $\theta(t)$  not  $\to \theta^*$ , even though  $\varepsilon\to 0$ .