

# Perceptron Mistakes:

Perceptron algorithm through the origin:

for  $i = 1, \dots, m$  do  
 if  $y^{(i)}(\theta \cdot x^{(i)}) \leq 0$  then  
 $\theta = \theta + y^{(i)} x^{(i)}$

return  $\theta$

$$\begin{aligned} * y^{(i)}(\theta \cdot x^{(i)}) &= \text{number} \\ \theta \cdot x^{(i)} &= [\theta_1, \theta_2] \cdot [x_1^{(i)}, x_2^{(i)}] \\ &= \theta_1 x_1^{(i)} + \theta_2 x_2^{(i)} \\ * y^{(i)} x^{(i)} &= y^{(i)} \cdot [x_1^{(i)}, x_2^{(i)}] = \text{vector} \\ &= [y^{(i)} x_1^{(i)}, y^{(i)} x_2^{(i)}] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(1)} &= [-1, -1] \longrightarrow y^{(1)} = 1 \\ x^{(2)} &= [1, 0] \longrightarrow y^{(2)} = -1 \\ x^{(3)} &= [-1, 1.5] \longrightarrow y^{(3)} = 1 \\ \theta^{(0)} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

1. number of mistakes of Perceptron alg. if it starts with  $x^{(1)}$

$$y^{(1)}(\theta \cdot x^{(1)}) = 1([0, 0] \cdot [-1, -1]) = 0 \quad \text{1st mistake}$$

$$\downarrow \\ \text{update } \theta \rightarrow \theta = \theta^{(0)} + y^{(1)} x^{(1)} = [0, 0] + 1[-1, -1] = \underline{[-1, -1]}$$

$$\downarrow \\ y^{(2)}(\theta \cdot x^{(2)}) = -1([-1, -1] \cdot [1, 0]) = -1(-1 \times 1 + -1 \times 0) = 1 \quad \text{no mistake}$$

$$\downarrow \\ y^{(3)}(\theta \cdot x^{(3)}) = 1([-1, -1] \cdot [-1, 1.5]) = 1(-1 \times -1 + -1 \times 1.5) = -0.5 \quad \text{2nd M.}$$

$$\downarrow \\ \text{update } \theta \rightarrow \theta = \theta + y^{(3)} x^{(3)} = [-1, -1] + 1[-1, 1.5] = \underline{[-2, 0.5]}$$

Progression of the separating hyperplane:  $[-1, -1], [-2, 0.5]$

2. In this part, what are the factors that affect the number of mistakes made by the algorithm?

Iteration order

## Linear Support vector Machines:

In this problem, we will investigate minimizing the training objective for a Support vector Machine (with margin loss).

The training objective for the Support vector machine (with margin loss) can be seen as optimizing a balance between the **average hinge loss** over the examples and a **Regularization** term that tries to keep the parameters small (increase the margin). This balance is set by the regularization term  $\lambda > 0$ . Here we only consider the case without the offset parameter  $b$ .

$$\text{Training Objective} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \text{loss}_h(y^{(i)}(\theta \cdot x^{(i)})) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\theta\|^2 \right]$$

$$\text{hinge loss} \Rightarrow \text{loss}_h(y(\theta \cdot x)) = \max\{0, 1 - y(\theta \cdot x)\}$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \text{Argmin}_{\theta} [\text{loss}_h(y(\theta \cdot x)) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\theta\|^2]$$

1. Suppose  $\text{loss}_h(y(\hat{\theta} \cdot x)) > 0$ . Express  $\hat{\theta}$  in terms of  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $\lambda$ .

$$\hat{\theta} = \text{Argmin}_{\theta} [\text{loss}_h(y(\theta \cdot x)) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\theta\|^2]$$

The above loss can be minimized by solving for the following equation:

$$0 = \nabla_{\theta} [\text{loss}_h(y(\theta \cdot x))] + \nabla_{\theta} \left[ \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\theta\|^2 \right]$$

$$\text{Given that } \text{loss}_h(y(\hat{\theta} \cdot x)) > 0 \Rightarrow \text{loss}_h(y(\hat{\theta} \cdot x)) = 1 - y(\hat{\theta} \cdot x)$$

↓

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \nabla_{\theta} [\text{loss}_h(y(\theta \cdot x))] = -y x \\ \text{and} \\ \nabla_{\theta} \left[ \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\theta\|^2 \right] = \lambda \hat{\theta} \end{array} \right| \Rightarrow 0 = \lambda \hat{\theta} - y x$$

$$\boxed{\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{\lambda} y x}$$

## Passive - Aggressive algorithm:

The Passive - Aggressive algorithm (without offset) responds to a labeled training example  $(x, y)$  by finding  $\theta$  that minimizes:

$$\frac{\lambda}{2} \|\theta - \theta^{(k)}\|^2 + \text{loss}_h(y\theta \cdot x)$$

where  $\theta^{(k)}$  is the current setting of the parameters prior to encountering  $(x, y)$

$$\text{Hinge loss} \Rightarrow \text{loss}_h(y\theta \cdot x) = \max\{0, 1 - y\theta \cdot x\}$$

We could replace the loss function with something else:

$$\theta^{(k+1)} = \theta^{(k)} + \eta y x$$

but the real-valued step-size parameter  $\eta$  is no longer equal to one. it now depends on both  $\theta^{(k)}$  and the training example  $(x, y)$ .

If  $\lambda$  is large, the step size of the algorithm ( $\eta$ ) would be small

1. Suppose  $\text{loss}_h(y\theta^{(k+1)} \cdot x) > 0$ . Express the value of  $\eta$  in terms of  $\lambda$  in this case:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\theta) &= \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\theta - \theta^{(k)}\|^2 + \text{loss}_h(y\theta \cdot x) \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\theta - \theta^{(k)}\|^2 + 1 - y\theta \cdot x \end{aligned}$$

We compute the minimum by setting gradient of  $f(\theta)$ :

$$\nabla_{\theta} f = \lambda(\theta - \theta^{(k)}) - yx = 0$$

$$\theta = \theta^{(k)} + \frac{1}{\lambda} y x$$

where  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$  is just the step size, thus  $\eta = \frac{1}{\lambda}$  when  $\text{loss}_h(y\theta^{(k+1)} \cdot x) > 0$