1. a) E : expression T: term means P: poverihesis · : decimal I : integers E -> E+T | E-T | T TT TAPITIPIP P → (E) | I I - I.I \I

b) (0.23/(5+3·1)-20)*2

- 1. Priority order:
 - 1) Parantheses

E > ENT | EVT /T T-> TP | P

2) NOT

3) AND, OR

P -> (E) | V

E: expression

V -> x |y|z|T|F

51.2

b) Leftmost:

5 - A1B - 1B - 10B - 100B - 1001B - 1001

Rightmost:

5- A18 -> A108- A1008- A10018- A 1001 -> 1001

c) Leftmost:

5-A1B-001B-000A1B-0001B-0001B-0001

Rightmost:

5- A18- A116- A11- OAII- OOAII- OOOAII- OOOII

5.1.7

a) Proposition:

P(n): no string in L(G) of length n has ba as a substring.

Base case: P(i) L(G) of length 1 could be either b or a which, clearly do not contain ba.

Assuming that L(G) of length n has no ba, we need to prove it for string w of length n+1

The first derivation should be either as or bs. 1.

for as : .

s is replaced by some string w' in the next derivations.

w=as |w|= n+1

w= aw' - so lw'l = n - we know that there is no bo in a string of length n.

There cannot be string which contains ba.

b) It is defined as some number of a's followed by some number of b's. =) a 1 b m where n, m >0

5.1.3 In regular languages, the production forms are:

A - t wheet is a terminal

A - tb whee tis " and B is nonterminal

A - & where & is empty string.

A CFG accepts all these three types of production rules.

a) To do so, I'll show an E-NFA that simulates rightmost derivations. Suppose that we are now at here:

ab...cD =) ab...cdE which uses D=) dE.

The corresponding DFA can imitate this step by going from state D to state E with the symbol d.

b) In regular languages, the automata starts to process string by starting from the leftmost bit and traverses it towards right.

A-aB-abC-abcD-abcdE----