Name: Elif Cemre Durgut

10:26493

Sign: Flig

- PROBLEM SET 1 -

c: cost

w: wage

p : probability

q · output

High effort
$$\rightarrow \omega^{H} = \omega_{0} + c(e^{H})$$

$$\frac{\omega^{H} = 0.1 + 0.1}{[\omega^{H} = 0.2]}$$

Low effort
$$\omega^{L} = \omega_{0} + c(e^{L})$$

$$\omega^{L} = 0.1 + 0$$

$$\omega^{L} = 0.1$$

Expected profits:

High effort:
$$H = p^{H} \cdot q^{H} + (1-p^{H})q^{L} - \omega^{H}$$

$$H = \frac{3}{4} \cdot 20 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot 1 - 0.2$$

$$H = 15.25 - 0.2 = 15.05$$

low effort:
$$L = p^{H}.q^{L} + (1-p^{H}).q^{H} + w^{L}$$

 $L = \frac{3}{4} \cdot 1 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot 20 - 0.1$
 $L = 5.75 - 0.1 = 5.65$

conclusion: 15.05 > 5.65. So, the principal will hire an agent with high effort

c) incentive compatibility constraint:

$$\rho^{H} \cdot \omega^{H} + (I-\rho^{H})\omega^{L} - c(e^{H}) > \rho^{H} \cdot \omega^{L} + (I-\rho^{H})\omega^{H} - c(e^{L})$$

$$\frac{3}{4} \cdot \omega^{H} + \frac{1}{4} \cdot \omega^{L} - 0.1 > \frac{3}{4} \cdot \omega^{L} + \frac{1}{4} \cdot \omega^{H} - 0$$

$$\frac{\omega^{H}}{2} > \frac{\omega^{L}}{2} + 0.1 \Rightarrow \overline{\omega^{H}} - \omega^{L} = 0.2$$

d) participation constraint:

$$P^{H} \cdot \omega^{H} + (I-P^{H}) \cdot \omega^{L} - c(e^{H}) \ge \omega_{0}$$

$$\frac{3}{4} \cdot \omega^{H} + \frac{1}{4} \cdot \omega^{L} - 0.1 \ge 0.1$$

$$\frac{3}{4} \omega^{H} + \frac{1}{4} \omega^{L} \ge 0.2 \implies \boxed{3\omega^{H} + \omega^{L} = 0.8}$$

$$+3w^{H} + w^{L} = 0.8$$

$$+w^{H} = 1$$

$$+w^{H} = 1$$

$$+w^{H} = 0.25/$$

Firm1:
$$\pi_1 = (200 - 2(q_1 + q_2))q_1 - 8q_1$$

$$\pi_1 = 192q_1 - 2q_1^2 - 2q_1 \cdot q_2$$

$$\max(\pi_1) = 192 - 4q_1 - 2q_2 = 0$$

$$\boxed{96 - 2q_1 - q_2 = 0},$$

Firm 2:
$$\pi_2 = (200 - 2(q_1 + q_2))q_2 - 10q_2$$

$$\pi_2 = 190q_2 - 2q_1 \cdot q_2 - 2q_2^2$$

$$\max(\pi_2) = 190 - 2q_1 - 4q_2 = 0$$

$$\boxed{95 - q_1 - 2q_2 = 0}$$

b)
$$96-2q_1-q_2=0$$
 substitute $95-q_1-2q_2=0 \Rightarrow q_1=95-2q_2$

$$96-2(95-2q_2)-q_2=0$$

 $96-190+4q_2-q_2=0$
 $3q_2=94$
 $q_2=31.\overline{3} \land q_1=32.\overline{3}$

In fact, they should be integers!

market price at the equilibrium => $P = 200 - 2(31.\overline{3} + 32.\overline{3}) = 72.\overline{6}$

c) Price will be lower and quantities will be higher because there will be more competition.

Name: Elif Cemre Durqut

10:26493

a) there is no dominated strategy for player 2. Because:

A is dominated strategy for player 1. Because:

b)
$$\frac{L \mid M \mid R}{A \mid 6 \mid 3 \mid -5,10 \mid 0,0} \quad \text{Pure NE} = \left\{ (B,M), (C,R) \right\}$$

C)
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 $\frac{1}{2}$ \frac

$$9p-3q-4(1-p-q)$$
 q $5(1-p-q)$
 $1=m$
 $9p-3q-4+4p+4q=q$ $q=5-5p-5q$
 $15p+q-4=q$ $6q=5-5p$
 $17p-4$ $17p-4$

$$\frac{L=R}{13p+q-4=5-5p-5q}$$

$$18p+bq=9$$

$$18.\frac{4}{13}+6.\frac{15}{26}=9$$
 it satisfied.

$$A = B$$

$$6x-5y=-3x+y$$

$$9x=6y$$

$$6x+6y=5$$

$$6x+9x=5$$

$$6x-5y=-9x-5y+5$$

$$15x=5$$

$$x=\frac{1}{3}$$

$$6x+3x=5$$

$$x=\frac{1}{3}$$

$$x=\frac{1}{3}$$

$$x=\frac{1}{3}$$

$$x=\frac{1}{3}$$

Conclusion: There is a mixed strategy

with
$$P = \frac{4}{12} \quad q = 1$$

$$x = \frac{1}{3}$$
 $y = \frac{1}{2}$