```
(25321 Hw3
Elitour
           Kabalci
C192001081
1) def max = discount (stores, current _ set = [], max _ set = [], max = discount = 0);
        if not stores:
           content - discount = cale - discount (current - set)
           if current_discount > max_discount;
               BEULA CALLETT - 26+ CALLET - Agont
              return max -set, max -discount
       for i in range ( Ien (Stores)):
                                            # recursive part
           store = Stores [:]
           remaining - Stores = Stores [ ?+ 1 ;]
           VEM- 26 + CALIENT - # + (24016)
           max_set, max_discount = max_discount (remaining_stores, new-set, max_set, max_discount)
          max_set, max_discount = max_discount (remaing_stores, current_set, max_set, max_discount)
        leturn max-set, max discount
=) for each store, we two recursive calls (one where we visit the store, and one where we don't),
  and the calc-discount function has a time complexity of 011).
=) The recurrence relation for this algorithm is:
   Tm = 2. Tm-1) + d1)
=) The average-case time complexity of the derived recurrence relation is O(2^n), as we are generally all possible subsets of the set of Stores, which is a power set, and the size of a power set is
2) def min-cost (users, processes, processors, current-olloc = [], min-alloc = [], min-cost = float(int)):
           current - cost = calc - cost (current - alloc)
           if current - cost < min - cust:
               reform contest-offse contest-cost
           else:
               return min-allac, min-cost
        for i'm range (len (uses));
                                                                       T(n) = n^3 \cdot T(n-1) + O(1)
           for I is range (ler (processes)):
                for & in large (len (blocespore)):
                     (1) 2021 = 1020
                     bioass = biocssss [2]
n3 Th-1)
                     Processor = processors [x]
                     remarking -uses - uses [:1] + uses [:11;]
```

(enaining - bixesses = biocosses[:]) + bixosses[]+1! longying- brocessors = brocessors [18] + Brocessors [++1!]

return win-alloc, win-cost

Dem-office = context - alloc + [com biacess biacesson)

min-alloc, min-cust = min-cust (renaining-users renaining-processes, renaining-processes

new-alloc-min-alloc, min-cost)

```
=> Each user-process pair, we make no recursive calls (one for each possible
assignment to a processor), and the calc-cat function has a time complexity of di).
The worst-case, best-cose and average-cose time complexity of the delived recurrence
relation are all and, as we are generating all possible assignments of user-process
pairs to processors, which is a permutation, and the see of a permutation is n'.
3) def min_energy (pouts, current_seq=[], min_seq=[], min_energy=float(inf)):
     If not ports:
        consert-energy = care-evergy (consert- 200)
        It coulse - energy < will - energy:
            LEFTIL CRILLAT 26d 'CRILL' EVERTA
            leturn min-seq - min-energy
      for ? in range ( ver (bonts));
          for = bosts [:7
      vem - zed = caller - zed + [bant]
          min-seq, min-energy = min-energy (revaining-ports, new-seq, min-seq, min-energy)
      cerniu msurzed i mgu-everda
=) we make a recursive calls (one for each possible assembly sequence) and the calc-enggy
function has a time complexity of O(1).
  Tran= n. Tra-1) + O(1)
=) The worst-case, best-case and average-asse time comprexity of the derived recoverce relation
are all ((n!), as we are generating all possible sequences of assembling parts, which is
a permutation, and the size of a permutation is ni.
4) def min_cuins (cans, touget, cullent-count =0, min-count = float (inf));
       if target == 0:
          LEFRIU WAU (CRILENT-CORULT 'WLU-conut)
       of not come or torget co:
           leturn win-count
       for i in large (len (cuins)):
         [13 amos = nsos
        new-count = current-count +1
        new_target = target_coin
          min - count = min - coins (remaining - cuins, ne w- target, new- count, min - count)
          min - count = min - coins (revaining - coins, target, current - count, min - count)
      letnin win-conut
=> Each cuin, we make two recursive calls lone where we use the coin, and one where we dont)
and the compaisson operation has a time complexity of U(1).
=) wast cose is O(27), as we are generating all possible combinations of wring or not using
each coin. The best core time compexity is offer, which occurs when the target amount is
exactly divisible by the largest coin denomination. The average case time complexity is also 0(9)
as an average, we still need to explore all possible combinations of using or not using each and
```

- 5) find_min_max i Divide and conquer algorithm that finds the minimum and maximum values in an array. The function divides the array into two haves and recursively finds the minimum and maximum values in each half.
- The base case is when the along has one of two elements, in which case the function directly retains the minimum and maximum values.
- =) Function divides the array into two halves (leading to the 2 Tin/2) tem) and performs a constant amount of werk to find the minimum and maximum values in each half (leading to the O(1) term).

T(n)= 2 T(n/2) + (1)

=> The average case time complexity of the function can be found by solving the relation using the <u>moster</u> teorem.

01=5 ' p=5 ' two = 0(1) ' 20 (=0 -> c < 10dg => 0 (upgg) = 0(u)