1. Find an upper bound for the condition number for eigenvector r_j of a non-symmetric matrix A assuming that all its eigenvalues are distinct. In what case will this condition number be large?

Solution: As with the case when A is symmetric, we start with the identity

$$\dot{A}r_i + A\dot{r}_i = \dot{\lambda}_i r_i + \lambda_i \dot{r}_i$$

and expand the perturbation \dot{r}_i in terms of the right eigenvectors $\{r_1,\ldots,r_n\}$ to obtain

$$\dot{A}r_j + A\sum_{i\neq j} m_{ji}r_i = \dot{\lambda}_j r_j + \lambda_j \sum_{i\neq j} m_{ji}r_i.$$

Further, multiplying on the left by ℓ_k yields

$$\ell_k \dot{A}r_j + \ell_k A \sum_{i \neq j} m_{ji} r_i = \dot{\lambda}_j \ell_k r_j + \lambda_j \ell_k \sum_{i \neq j} m_{ji} r_i$$

and as a left eigenvector, $\ell_k A = \lambda_k \ell_k$, so

$$\ell_k \dot{A}r_j + \lambda_k \ell_k \sum_{i \neq j} m_{ji} r_i = \dot{\lambda}_j \ell_k r_j + \lambda_j \ell_k \sum_{i \neq j} m_{ji} r_i$$

Then due to the fact that $L = R^{-1}$, so $\ell_i r_j = \delta_{ij}$ and by assuming $k \neq j$,

$$\ell_k \dot{A}r_j + \lambda_k m_{jk} = \lambda_j m_{jk}$$

and hence solving for m_{jk} yields

$$m_{jk} = \frac{\ell_k \dot{A} r_j}{\lambda_j - \lambda_k}.$$

We can then write

$$\dot{r}_j = \sum_{k \neq j} m_{jk} r_k = \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\ell_k \dot{A} r_j}{\lambda_j - \lambda_k} r_k$$

and so multiplying by Δt and applying the identities $\Delta r_j = \dot{r}_j \Delta t + O(\|\Delta A\|^2)$ and $\Delta A = \dot{A}\Delta t + O(\|\Delta A\|^2)$,

$$\Delta r_j = \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\ell_k \Delta A r_j}{\lambda_j - \lambda_k} r_k + O(\|\Delta A\|^2).$$

Now ignoring the $O(\|\Delta A\|^2)$ term and using the known bound for $|\ell_k \Delta A r_j|$,

$$\|\Delta r_{j}\| = \left\| \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\ell_{k} \Delta A r_{j}}{\lambda_{j} - \lambda_{k}} r_{k} \right\| \leq \sum_{k \neq j} \left\| \frac{\ell_{k} \Delta A r_{j}}{\lambda_{j} - \lambda_{k}} r_{k} \right\| = \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{|\ell_{k} \Delta A r_{j}|}{|\lambda_{j} - \lambda_{k}|} \|r_{k}\|$$

$$\leq \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\|\ell_{k}\| \|\Delta A\| \|r_{j}\|}{|\lambda_{j} - \lambda_{k}|} \|r_{k}\| = \|\Delta A\| \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\|\ell_{k}\| \|r_{k}\| \|r_{j}\|}{|\lambda_{j} - \lambda_{k}|}.$$

Hence,

$$\kappa(r_{j}; A) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \max_{\|\Delta A\| = \epsilon} \frac{\|\Delta r_{j}\| \|A\|}{\|r_{j}\| \|\Delta A\|} \le \frac{\|\Delta A\| \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\|\ell_{k}\| \|r_{k}\| \|r_{k}\|}{\|\lambda_{j} - \lambda_{k}\|} \|A\|}{\|r_{j}\| \|\Delta A\|}$$

$$= \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\|\ell_{k}\| \|r_{k}\|}{|\lambda_{j} - \lambda_{k}|} \|A\| = \|A\| \sum_{k \neq j} (\lambda_{j} - \lambda_{k})^{-1} \|\ell_{k}\| \|r_{k}\|$$

which we can further simplify via the bound $\|\ell_k\|\|r_k\| \leq \|R^{-1}\|\|R\|$ to see

$$\kappa(r_j; A) \le ||A|| ||R^{-1}|| ||R|| \sum_{k \ne j} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^{-1}$$

This bound shows that the condition number could be large if there is an eigenvalue close to λ_j , or if the matrix of eigenvectors is ill-conditioned, or if the largest singular value of A is very large (and hence ||A|| is large).

2. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. The Rayleigh quotient Q(x) is the following function defined on all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$Q(x) \coloneqq \frac{x^{\top} A x}{x^{\top} x}.$$

(a) Let A be symmetric. Prove that $\nabla Q(x) = 0$ if and only if x is an eigenvector of A.

Solution: By the quotient rule, we have that

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_i} = \frac{(x^\top x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}[x^\top Ax] - (x^\top Ax)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}[x^\top x]}{(x^\top x)^2} = \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}[x^\top Ax]}{x^\top x} - \frac{(x^\top Ax)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}[x^\top x]}{(x^\top x)^2}$$
$$= \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}[x^\top Ax]}{x^\top x} - \frac{Q(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}[x^\top x]}{x^\top x} = \frac{1}{x^\top x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}[x^\top Ax] - Q(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}[x^\top x]\right).$$

Then towards simplifying this expression further, note that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}[x^\top x] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_j^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_i^2 = 2x_i$$

and also that by the product rule,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} [x^\top A x] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j (A x)_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} [x_j (A x)_j] = \sum_{j=1}^n (A x)_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} [x_j] + x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} [(A x)_j]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n (A x)_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} [x_j] + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} [(A x)_j] = (A x)_i + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[\sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk} x_k \right]$$

$$= (A x)_i + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_k = (A x)_i + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ji} x_j = (A x)_i + (A^\top x)_i.$$

Hence, without yet assuming A is symmetric,

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_i} = \frac{1}{x^{\top} x} \left((Ax)_i + (A^{\top} x)_i - 2Q(x) x_i \right)$$

and so

$$\nabla Q(x) = \frac{1}{x^{\top} x} \left(Ax + A^{\top} x - 2Q(x)x \right) = \frac{1}{x^{\top} x} \left((A + A^{\top})x - 2Q(x)x \right). \tag{1}$$

Then if A is symmetric, meaning that $A + A^{\top} = 2A$,

$$\nabla Q(x) = \frac{1}{x^\top x} \left(2Ax - 2Q(x)x \right) = \frac{2}{x^\top x} \left(Ax - Q(x)x \right).$$

Now if $\nabla Q(x) = 0$ then it must be that Ax - Q(x)x = 0, and so

$$Ax = Q(x)x$$

meaning x is an eigenvector of A. Similarly, if x is an eigenvector of A corresponding to λ ,

$$Q(x) = \frac{x^\top A x}{x^\top x} = \frac{x^\top \lambda x}{x^\top x} = \lambda \frac{x^\top x}{x^\top x} = \lambda$$

and so $\nabla Q(x) = 0$. Hence, $\nabla Q(x) = 0$ if and only if x is an eigenvector of A.

(b) Let A be asymmetric. What are the vectors x at which $\nabla Q = 0$?

Solution: Recall from (1) that

$$\nabla Q(x) = \frac{1}{x^{\top} x} \left((A + A^{\top}) x - 2Q(x) x \right)$$

hence if $\nabla Q(x) = 0$ then $(A + A^{\top})x - 2Q(x)x = 0$ and so

$$(A + A^{\top})x = 2Q(x)x$$

meaning $\nabla Q(x) = 0$ when x is an eigenvector of $A + A^{\top}$.

3. Consider the Rayleigh Quotient Iteration, a very efficient algorithm for finding an eigenpair of a given matrix

Input: $x_0 \neq 0$ is the initial guess for an eigenvector $v = x_0/\|x_0\|$ for k = 0, 1, 2, ... $\mu_k = v^\top A v$ Solve $(A - \mu_k I) w = v$ for w $v = w/\|w\|$. end for

Here is a Matlab program implementing the Rayleigh Quotient Iteration for finding an eigenpair

of a random $n \times n$ symmetric matrix starting from a random initial guess:

```
function RayleighQuotient()
n = 100;
A = rand(n);
A = A' + A;
v = rand(n, 1);
v = v / norm(v);
k = 1;
mu(k) = v' * A * v;
tol = 1e-12;
I = eye(n);
res = abs(norm(A * v - mu(k) * v) / mu(k));
fprintf('k = %d: lam = %d\tres = %d\n', k, mu(k), res);
while res > tol
  w = (A - mu(k) * I) \setminus v;
  k = k + 1;
  v = w / norm(w);
  mu(k) = v' * A * v;
  res = abs(norm(A * v - mu(k) * v) / mu(k));
  fprintf('k = %d: lam = %d \ tres = %d \ n', k mu(k), res);
end
end
```

(a) Let A be a symmetric matrix with all distinct eigenvalues. Let μ be not an eigenvalue of A. Show that if (λ, v) is an eigenpair of A then $((\lambda - \mu)^{-1}, v)$ is an eigenpair of $(A - \mu I)^{-1}$.

Solution: If (λ, v) is an eigenpair of A, then $Av = \lambda v$. We want to show that

$$(A - \mu I)^{-1}v = (\lambda - \mu)^{-1}v,$$

which by left multiplying both sides by $(A - \mu I)$ is equivalent to

$$v = (\lambda - \mu)^{-1} (A - \mu I)v = (\lambda - \mu)^{-1} (Av - \mu Iv)$$
$$= (\lambda - \mu)^{-1} (\lambda v - \mu v) = (\lambda - \mu)^{-1} (\lambda - \mu)v = v$$

which is clearly true. Hence, $(A - \mu I)^{-1}v = (\lambda - \mu)^{-1}v$ is also true, so $((\lambda - \mu)^{-1}, v)$ is an eigenpair of $(A - \mu I)^{-1}$.

(b) The Rayleigh Quotient iteration involves solving the system $(A - \mu_k I)w = v$ for w. The matrix $(A - \mu_k I)$ is close to singular. Nevertheless, this problem is well-conditioned (in exact arithmetic). Explain this phenomenon. Proceed as follows. Without the loss of generality assume that v is an approximation for the eigenvector v_1 of A, and μ is an approximation to the corresponding eigenvalue λ_1 . Let ||v|| = 1. Write v as

$$v = \left(1 - \sum_{i=2}^{n} \delta_i^2\right)^{1/2} v_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \delta_i v_i,$$

where δ_i , i = 2, ..., n, are small. Show that the condition number $\kappa((A - \mu I)^{-1}, v)$ (see page 88 in Bindel and Goodman, Principles of scientific computing) is approximately $(1 - \sum_{i=2}^{n} \delta_i^2)^{-1/2}$ which is close to 1 provided that δ_i are small.

Solution: From page 88 of Bindel, we have that the condition number for solving the linear system Au = b is given by

$$\kappa(A^{-1}, b) = ||A^{-1}|| \frac{||b||}{||A^{-1}b||}$$

and so given the system $(A - \mu_k I)w = v$,

$$\kappa((A - \mu I)^{-1}, v) = \|(A - \mu I)^{-1}\| \frac{\|v\|}{\|(A - \mu I)^{-1}v\|}$$

and so assuming ||v|| = 1,

$$\kappa((A - \mu I)^{-1}, v) = \|(A - \mu I)^{-1}\| \frac{1}{\|(A - \mu I)^{-1}v\|}.$$
 (2)

By part (a), the eigenvalues of $(A-\mu I)^{-1}$ are $(\lambda_i - \mu)^{-1}$. Further, since A is symmetric then so $(A - \mu I)^{-1}$, and so by (1) of Theorem 3, its singular values are

$$|(\lambda_i - \mu)^{-1}| = \frac{1}{|\lambda_i - \mu|}$$

the largest of which will be attained by i = 1, since μ approximates λ_1 . Hence, by (5) of Theorem 3,

$$\|(A - \mu I)^{-1}\| = \frac{1}{|\lambda_1 - \mu|},$$

and so substituting into (2), we obtain

$$\kappa((A - \mu I)^{-1}, v) = \frac{1}{|\lambda_1 - \mu|} \frac{1}{\|(A - \mu I)^{-1}v\|}.$$

Then writing

$$v = \left(1 - \sum_{i=2}^{n} \delta_i^2\right)^{1/2} v_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \delta_i v_i$$

and noting from part (a) that $(A - \mu I)^{-1}v_i = (\lambda_i - \mu)^{-1}v_i$, we have

$$\|(A - \mu I)^{-1}v\| = \left\| \left(1 - \sum_{i=2}^{n} \delta_i^2 \right)^{1/2} (A - \mu I)^{-1} v_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \delta_i (A - \mu I)^{-1} v_i \right\|$$

$$= \left\| \left(1 - \sum_{i=2}^{n} \delta_i^2 \right)^{1/2} (\lambda_1 - \mu)^{-1} v_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \delta_i (\lambda_i - \mu)^{-1} v_i \right\|.$$

Hence, since the eigenvalues are distinct, the eigenvectors form an orthonormal basis,

$$\kappa((A - \mu I)^{-1}, v) = \frac{1}{|\lambda_1 - \mu|} \frac{1}{\|(A - \mu I)^{-1}v\|}$$

$$= \left\| \left(1 - \sum_{i=2}^n \delta_i^2 \right)^{1/2} v_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \delta_i \frac{\lambda_1 - \mu}{\lambda_i - \mu} v_i \right\|^{-1}$$

$$= \left(\left(1 - \sum_{i=2}^n \delta_i^2 \right) + \sum_{i=2}^n \left(\delta_i \frac{\lambda_1 - \mu}{\lambda_i - \mu} \right)^2 \right)^{-1/2}$$

$$= \left(1 - \sum_{i=2}^n \delta_i^2 \left(1 - \left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \mu}{\lambda_i - \mu} \right)^2 \right) \right)^{-1/2}$$

and so from the fact that $\mu \approx \lambda_1$, we find that

$$\kappa((A - \mu I)^{-1}, v) \approx \left(1 - \sum_{i=2}^{n} \delta_i^2\right)^{-1/2}.$$

(c) It is known that the Rayleigh Quotient iteration converges cubically, which means that the error $e_k := |\lambda - \mu_k|$ decays with k so that the limit

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{e_{k+1}}{e_k^3} = C \in (0, \infty).$$

This means that the number of correct digits in μ_k triples with each iteration. Try to check this fact experimentally and report your findings. Proceed as follows. Run the program. Treat the final μ_k as the exact eigenvalue. Define $e_j := |\mu_j - \mu_k|$ for $j = 1, \dots, k-1$. Etc. Pick several values of n and make several runs for each n. Note that you might not observe the cubic rate of convergence due to too few iterations and floating point arithmetic.

Solution: We observe cubic convergence for $n \in \{10, 50, 100, 500, 1000\}$ from the code here. In particular, in each case plotting the ratio

$$\frac{e_{j+1}}{e_j^3}$$

as a function of the iteration number j, the ratio appears to level out given the three available points for each value of n. We show the plot for n = 500 below.

