

# 1 Exercise 1

## 1.1 a

To show:  $2n^2 + 10n - 5 = \Theta(n^2)$

Without abuse of notation, we want to show that  $2n^2 + 10n - 5 \in \Theta(n^2)$

By definition,  $f(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$  if  $f(n) \in O(g(n))$  and  $f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$

So, let's show that  $2n^2 + 10n - 5 \in O(n^2)$  first:

Definition of  $f(n) \in O(g(n))$  is  $\exists c > 0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\forall n > n_0, f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$

Let  $c = 3$ , then  $2n^2 + 10n - 5 \leq 3n^2$  for all  $n \geq 1$  (our  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ )

Now, let's show that  $2n^2 + 10n - 5 \in \Omega(n^2)$ :

Definition of  $f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$  is  $\exists c > 0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\forall n > n_0, f(n) \geq c \cdot g(n)$

Let  $c = 1$ , then  $2n^2 + 10n - 5 \geq n^2$  for all  $n \geq 1$  (our  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ )

We have shown that  $2n^2 + 10n - 5 \in O(n^2)$  and  $2n^2 + 10n - 5 \in \Omega(n^2)$ , so  $2n^2 + 10n - 5 \in \Theta(n^2)$ .

## 1.2 b

To show:  $2^{2n} = \omega(2^n)$

Without abuse of notation, we want to show that  $2^{2n} \in \omega(2^n)$

By definition,  $f(n) \in \omega(g(n))$  if  $\forall c > 0, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\forall n > n_0, f(n) > c \cdot g(n)$ .

Let  $c = 1$ , then  $2^{2n} > 2^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$  (our  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ )

We have shown that  $2^{2n} = \omega(2^n)$ .

## 1.3 c

To show:  $o(n) \subset O(n^2)$

Let  $f(n) \in o(n)$ , we show that  $f(n) \in O(n^2)$

By definition,  $f(n) \in o(g(n))$  if  $\forall c > 0, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\forall n > n_0, f(n) < c \cdot g(n)$

We need to show that  $f(n) \in O(n^2)$ , which means  $\exists c > 0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\forall n >$

$$n_0, f(n) \leq c \cdot n^2$$

Let  $c = 1$ , then by definition of  $f(n) \in o(n)$ ,  $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\forall n > n_0, f(n) < n$

Now,  $f(n) < n < n^2$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ , so  $f(n) \in O(n^2)$

## 1.4 d

We want to show that for arbitrary functions  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , the following holds:

$$f(n) \in o(g(n)) \Leftrightarrow g(n) \in \omega(f(n))$$

Direction 1:  $f(n) \in o(g(n)) \Rightarrow g(n) \in \omega(f(n))$ :

By definition of  $f(n) \in o(g(n))$ ,  $\forall c > 0, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\forall n > n_0, f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$

Now, let  $c > 0$  be arbitrary. Then, given  $n_0$  from the definition of  $f(n) \in o(g(n))$ , we have that  $\forall n > n_0, f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$ .

This means that  $g(n) \geq \frac{1}{c} \cdot f(n)$  for all  $n > n_0$ , so  $g(n) \in \omega(f(n))$ .

Direction 2:  $g(n) \in \omega(f(n)) \Rightarrow f(n) \in o(g(n))$ :

By definition of  $g(n) \in \omega(f(n))$ ,  $\forall c > 0, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\forall n > n_0, g(n) \geq c \cdot f(n)$

Now, let  $c > 0$  be arbitrary. Then, given  $n_0$  from the definition of  $g(n) \in \omega(f(n))$ , we have that  $\forall n > n_0, g(n) \geq c \cdot f(n)$ .

This means that  $f(n) \leq \frac{1}{c} \cdot g(n)$  for all  $n > n_0$ , so  $f(n) \in o(g(n))$ .

We have shown both directions, so  $f(n) \in o(g(n)) \Leftrightarrow g(n) \in \omega(f(n))$ .

## 2 Exercise 2

### 2.1 a

To show:  $\forall c \in \mathbb{N} : c \cdot f(n) \in O(f(n))$

Let  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  be arbitrary. We want to show that  $c \cdot f(n) \in O(f(n))$ .

By definition of  $f(n) \in O(g(n))$ ,  $\exists c' > 0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\forall n > n_0, f(n) \leq c' \cdot g(n)$

Let  $c' = c$ , then  $c \cdot f(n) \leq c \cdot f(n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$  (our  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ )

We have shown that  $\forall c \in \mathbb{N} : c \cdot f(n) \in O(f(n))$ .

## 2.2 b

To show:  $f(n) + g(n) \in \Omega(f(n))$

By definition of  $f(n) + g(n) \in \Omega(f(n))$ ,  $\exists c > 0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\forall n > n_0, f(n) + g(n) \geq c \cdot f(n)$

Let  $c = 1$ , then  $f(n) + g(n) \geq f(n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$  (our  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ). The important thing to note is that  $g(n) \geq 0$ .

We have shown that  $f(n) + g(n) \in \Omega(f(n))$ .

## 2.3 c

To show:  $g(n) \in O(f(n)) \Rightarrow f(n) + g(n) \in O(f(n))$

By definition of  $g(n) \in O(f(n))$ ,  $\exists c > 0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\forall n > n_0, g(n) \leq c \cdot f(n)$

We want to show that  $f(n) + g(n) \in O(f(n))$ , which means  $\exists c' > 0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\forall n > n_0, f(n) + g(n) \leq c' \cdot f(n)$

Let  $c' = 2c$ , then  $f(n) + g(n) \leq 2c \cdot f(n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$  (our  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ )

We have shown that  $g(n) \in O(f(n)) \Rightarrow f(n) + g(n) \in O(f(n))$ .

## 2.4 d

To show:  $O(f(n)) \cdot O(g(n)) = O(f(n) \cdot g(n))$

By definition of  $O(f(n)) \cdot O(g(n)) = O(f(n) \cdot g(n))$ ,  $\exists c_1, c_2 > 0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\forall n > n_0, f(n) \leq c_1 \cdot f(n)$  and  $g(n) \leq c_2 \cdot g(n)$

We want to show that  $f(n) \cdot g(n) \leq c \cdot f(n) \cdot g(n)$  for all  $n > n_0$ .

Let  $c = c_1 \cdot c_2$ , then  $f(n) \cdot g(n) \leq c_1 \cdot f(n) \cdot c_2 \cdot g(n)$  for all  $n > n_0$

We have shown that  $O(f(n)) \cdot O(g(n)) = O(f(n) \cdot g(n))$ .

### 3 Exercise 3

Let  $c_i$  be the cost of the  $i$ th operation. We want to come up with a constant amortized cost of the operations.

Let  $D_i$  be the data structure after the  $i$ th operation, and let  $\Phi(D_i)$  be the potential of the data structure after the  $i$ th operation.

Let  $\Phi(D_i) = i - 2^{\lfloor \log_2 i \rfloor}$  be the potential function.

Notice, for  $i, i+1$ , if neither  $i$  nor  $i+1$  are powers of 2, then  $\lfloor \log_2 i \rfloor = \lfloor \log_2(i+1) \rfloor$ , so  $\Phi(D_{i+1}) = \Phi(D_i) + 1$ .

If  $i+1$  is a power of 2, then  $\lfloor \log_2(i+1) \rfloor = \lfloor \log_2 i \rfloor + 1$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(D_{i+1}) &= (i+1) - 2^{\lfloor \log_2(i+1) \rfloor} \\ &= i+1 - 2^{\lfloor \log_2 i \rfloor + 1} \\ &= i+1 - 2 \cdot 2^{\lfloor \log_2 i \rfloor} \\ &= i - 2^{\lfloor \log_2 i \rfloor} + (1 - 2^{\lfloor \log_2 i \rfloor}) \\ &= \Phi(D_i) + 1 - 2^{\lfloor \log_2 i \rfloor} \end{aligned}$$

If  $i$  is a power of 2, then the same case applies as when neither  $i$  nor  $i+1$  are powers of 2.

So

$$\Phi(D_{i+1}) - \Phi(D_i) = \begin{cases} 1 - 2^{\lfloor \log_2 i \rfloor} = 1 - i & \text{if } i \text{ is a power of 2} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now, we want to show that the amortized cost of the operations is constant.

Let  $c_i$  be the cost of the  $i$ th operation, and let  $a_i$  be the amortized cost of the  $i$ th operation.

Then,  $a_i = c_i + \Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1})$ .

We know that

$$c_i = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } i \text{ is a power of 2} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now

$$a_i = \begin{cases} i+1 - i = 1 & \text{if } i \text{ is a power of 2} \\ 1+1 = 2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Since  $a_i$  is bound by constant 2, the amortized cost of the operations is constant.