1 Languages

1.1 Flexible Language

Flexible Language:

- Non deterministic semantic, with branches that reduce to a value and other ending in error.
- Type error means stuck.
- Without any type information in the syntax of the language.
- Uses the explicit substitution in mlet, and the implicit substitution in lambdas. In the case of the mlet is used the explicit substitution because the implicit substitution of a variable by a value would eliminate the overloading.

Characterization of the errors for Flexible Language:

- Free variable error is detected if a variable is evaluated in the empty environment.
- Type error is detected if the operators not or add1 are applied with parameters that are not boolean or numeric value, respectively. Also, if the left side of the function application is not a lambda.

t	::=		terms	op	::=		operators
		b	boolean value			add1	sum
		n	numeric value			not	negation
		op	operator				
		x	variable	c	::=		configurations
		$\lambda x.\ t$	abstraction			v	
		$t\ t$	application			$t[s]$	
		mlet $x = t$ in t	overloading let			$c\ c$	
						mlet $x = c$ in c	
v	::=		values			e	
		b	boolean value				
		n	numeric value	e	::=		errors
		op	operator			NameError	name error
		$(\lambda x.\ t)[s]$	closure			TagError	type error
b	::=		boolean value	s	::=		explicit substitutions
		true	true value			$\bullet$	empty substitution
		false	false value			$x \mapsto \{\overline{v}\}, s$	variable substitution

Figure 1: Syntax of the Flexible Language.

$$\boxed{c \longrightarrow c}$$

$$b[s] \longrightarrow b \qquad \textsf{(False)}$$

$$n[s] \longrightarrow n \qquad \textsf{(Num)}$$

$$op[s] \longrightarrow op \qquad \textsf{(Op)}$$

$$x[x \mapsto \{\overline{v}\}, s] \longrightarrow v_i \qquad \textsf{(VarOk)}$$

$$\frac{x \neq y}{x[y \mapsto \{\overline{v}\}, s] \longrightarrow x[s]} \qquad \textsf{(VarNext)}$$

$$\textsf{mlet } x = v \textsf{ in } t_2[s] \longrightarrow t_2[x \mapsto v \oplus s] \qquad \textsf{(Let)}$$

$$(\lambda x.\ t_2)[s]\ v \longrightarrow ([x \mapsto v]t_2)[s] \qquad \textsf{(App)}$$

$$\textsf{add1 } n \longrightarrow n + 1 \qquad \textsf{(Sum)}$$

$$\textsf{not } b \longrightarrow \neg\ b \qquad \textsf{(Negation)}$$

Figure 2: Reduction rules for Flexible Language.

$$(\textsf{mlet } x = t_1 \textsf{ in } t_2)[s] \longrightarrow \textsf{mlet } x = t_1[s] \textsf{ in } t_2[s] \qquad \textsf{(LetSub)}$$

$$(t_1\ t_2)[s] \longrightarrow t_1[s]\ t_2[s] \qquad \textsf{(AppSub)}$$

Figure 3: Substitution rules for Flexible Language.

Definition 1 ($\oplus$)**.** *Given an environment s and a variable binding $x \mapsto v_1$, the operator $\oplus$ is defined as follows:*

$$s \oplus x \mapsto v_1 = \begin{cases} x \mapsto \{v_1\} & s = \varnothing \\ x \mapsto \{\overline{v}\} \cup \{v_1\}, s' & s = x \mapsto \{\overline{v}\}, s' \\ y \mapsto \{\overline{v}\}, s' \oplus x \mapsto v_1 & s = y \mapsto \{\overline{v}\}, s' \end{cases}$$

1.2 Tag Driven Language

Tag Driven Language:

- Non deterministic semantic, with branches that reduce to a value and other ending in error.
- Type error means stuck.
- Dispatch error means stuck.
- Without any type information in the syntax of the language.
- Semantic "tag driven", introducing flat tag.

Characterization of the errors for Tag Driven Language:

$$\frac{c_1 \longrightarrow c_1'}{\mathsf{mlet}\ x = c_1\ \mathsf{in}\ c_2 \longrightarrow \mathsf{mlet}\ x = c_1'\ \mathsf{in}\ c_2} \quad (\mathsf{Let1})$$

$$\frac{c_1 \longrightarrow c_1'}{c_1\ c_2 \longrightarrow c_1'\ c_2} \quad (\mathsf{App1})$$

$$\frac{c \longrightarrow c'}{v\ c \longrightarrow v\ c'} \quad (\mathsf{App2})$$

Figure 4: Congruence rules for Flexible Language.

$$\mathsf{mlet}\ x = e\ \mathsf{in}\ c_2 \longrightarrow e \quad (\mathsf{LetErr})$$

$$e\ c_2 \longrightarrow e \quad (\mathsf{AppErr1})$$

$$v\ e \longrightarrow e \quad (\mathsf{AppErr2})$$

Figure 5: Propagation error rules for Flexible Language.

- Free variable and type error are detected in the same cases that the Flexible Language.
- Dispatch error is detected if the operators not or add1 are applied with overloaded parameters that do not have instance with tag Bool or Int in the environment, respectively. Also, if the left side of the function application is an overloaded variable, but does not exist an instance with tag Fun in the environment.

Definition 2 (lookup)**.** *The relation* lookup *is defined as follows:*

$$\mathsf{lookup} = \{(x, s, S, v) \mid x \mapsto v \in \mathsf{flat}(s) \wedge \mathsf{tag}(v) = S\}$$

Definition 3 (flat)**.** *The function* flat *is defined as follows:*

$$\mathsf{flat}(s) = \begin{cases} \varnothing & s = \varnothing \\ x \mapsto v_1 \cdots, x \mapsto v_n, \mathsf{flat}(s') & s = x \mapsto \{\overline{v}\}, s' \end{cases}$$

Definition 4 (tag)**.** *The function* tag *is defined as follows:*

$$\mathsf{tag}(v) = \begin{cases} \mathsf{Int} & v = n \\ \mathsf{Bool} & v = b \\ \mathsf{Fun} & v = \lambda x.\ t \end{cases}$$

1.3 Tag Driven Language with ascription

1.4 Strict Language

Strict Language:

- Deterministic semantic. With the use of multi-values a program can reduce to a set of value.

$$x[\,] \longrightarrow \mathsf{NameError} \qquad (\mathsf{NameError})$$

$$\frac{v_1 \neq \lambda x.\ t}{v_1\ v_2 \longrightarrow \mathsf{TagError}} \qquad (\mathsf{TypeErrApp})$$

$$\frac{v \neq n}{\mathsf{add1}\ v \longrightarrow \mathsf{TagError}} \qquad (\mathsf{TypeErrSum})$$

$$\frac{v \neq b}{\mathsf{not}\ v \longrightarrow} \qquad (\mathsf{TypeErrNegation})$$

Figure 6: Error rules for Flexible Language.

S	::=		tags
		Int	integer tag
		Bool	boolean tag
		Fun	function tag
e	::=		errors
		NameError	name error
		TagError	type error
		DispatchError	dispatch error
...			

Figure 7: Syntax of the Tag Driven Language.

- Type error means stuck.
- Dispatch error means stuck.
- Ambiguity error means stuck.

Characterization of the errors for Strict Language:

- Free variable and type error are detected in the same cases that the Tag Driven Language with ascription.
- Ambiguity error is detected if the left side of the function application have more than one instance with Fun tag or in the right side have more than one value for the application. Strict detection of ambiguity.

The only rule that change is: $\dfrac{c \longrightarrow c'}{w\ c \longrightarrow w\ c'}$ (App2)

Definition 5 ($\oplus$)**.** *Given an environment s and a variable binding $x \mapsto v_1$, the operator $\oplus$ is defined as follows:*

$$s \oplus x \mapsto w = \begin{cases} x \mapsto w & s = \varnothing \\ x \mapsto w' \cup w, s' & s = x \mapsto w', s' \\ y \mapsto w', s' \oplus x \mapsto w & s = y \mapsto w', s' \end{cases}$$

Definition 6 ($\mathsf{filter}(\cdot,\cdot)$)**.** *The function* filter *is defined as follows:*

$$\mathsf{filter}(w, S) = \{v \mid v \in w \wedge \mathsf{tag}(v) = S\}$$

$$\frac{\cdots}{\mathsf{lookup}(x_1, [s_1], \mathsf{Fun}, v_1)} \quad (\mathsf{AppVar})$$
$$x_1[s_1]\ v_2 \longrightarrow v_1\ v_2$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{lookup}(x, [s], \mathsf{Int}, n)}{\mathsf{add1}\ x[s] \longrightarrow \mathsf{add1}\ n} \quad (\mathsf{SumVar})$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{lookup}(x, [s], \mathsf{Bool}, b)}{\mathsf{not}\ x[s] \longrightarrow \mathsf{not}\ \ b} \quad (\mathsf{NegationVar})$$

Figure 8: Reduction rules for Tag Driven Language.

$$\frac{c_1 \longrightarrow c_1' \qquad \mathsf{notVal}(c_1)}{\mathsf{mlet}\ x = c_1\ \mathsf{in}\ c_2 \longrightarrow \mathsf{mlet}\ x = c_1'\ \mathsf{in}\ c_2} \quad (\mathsf{Let1})$$

$$\frac{c_1 \longrightarrow c_1' \qquad \mathsf{notVal\_Var}(c_1)}{c_1\ c_2 \longrightarrow c_1'\ c_2} \quad (\mathsf{App1})$$

$$\frac{c \longrightarrow c' \qquad \mathsf{notVal}(c)}{(\lambda x.\ t_2)[s]\ c \longrightarrow (\lambda x.\ t_2)[s]\ c'} \quad (\mathsf{App2})$$

$$\frac{c_2 \longrightarrow c_2' \qquad \mathsf{notVal}(c_2)}{x[s]\ c_2 \longrightarrow x[s]\ c_2'} \quad (\mathsf{App3})$$

$$\frac{c \longrightarrow c' \qquad \mathsf{notVal\_Var}(c)}{op\ c \longrightarrow op\ c'} \quad (\mathsf{App4})$$

Figure 9: Congruence rules for Tag Driven Language.

1.5 Overloading Language

- Deterministic semantic. With the use of multi-values a program can reduce to a set of value.
- Type error detection.
- Dispatch error detection.
- Ambiguity error detection.
- Type annotation in lambda functions, mlet and ascription.
- More expressive than Strict Language, with the use structural tags.
- Do not support context-dependent overloading.
- Como no esta verificacda la informacion de tipo, no se puede decir nada acerca de la semantica.

Definition 7 (tag)**.** *The function* tag *is defined as follows:*

$$\mathsf{tag}(v) = \begin{cases} \mathsf{Int} & v = n \\ \mathsf{Bool} & v = b \\ T_1 \to T_2 & v = ((\lambda x.\ t_2)^{T_1 \to T_2})[s] \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\cdots \quad \neg\mathsf{lookup}(x_1, [s_1], \mathsf{Fun}, v_1)}{x_1[s_1]\ v_2 \longrightarrow \mathsf{DispatchError}} \text{(DisErrApp)}$$

$$\frac{\neg\mathsf{lookup}(x, [s], \mathsf{Int}, n)}{\mathsf{add1}\ x[s] \longrightarrow \mathsf{DispatchError}} \text{(DisErrSum)}$$

$$\frac{\neg\mathsf{lookup}(x, [s], \mathsf{Bool}, b)}{\mathsf{not}\ x[s] \longrightarrow \mathsf{DispatchError}} \text{(DisErrNegation)}$$

Figure 10: Error rules for Tag Driven Language.

$$\begin{array}{llll} \cdots & & & \\ t & ::= & & \mathsf{terms} \\ & & \cdots & \\ & & t :: S & \mathsf{ascription} \\ & & & \\ c & ::= & & \mathsf{configurations} \\ & & \cdots & \\ & & c :: S & \end{array}$$

Figure 11: Syntax of the Tag Driven Language with ascriptions.

Definition 8 ($\mathsf{filter}(\cdot,\cdot)$)**.** *The function* filter *is defined as follows:*

$$\mathsf{filter}(w, T) = \{v \mid v \in w \mid \mathsf{tag}(v) = T\}$$

Definition 9 (lookup)**.** *The function* lookup *is defined as follows:*

$$\mathsf{lookup}(w_1, w_2) = \{(v_1, v_2) \mid v_1 \in w_1 \wedge v_2 \in w_2 \wedge \mathsf{tag}(v_1) = T_1 \to T_2 \wedge \mathsf{tag}(v_2) = T_1\}$$

1.6 Static semantic for Overloading Language

Definition 10 ($\oplus$)**.** *Given a multi-type context ϕ and a pair $(x : T)$, the operator $\oplus$ is defined as follows:*

$$\phi \oplus (x : T) = \begin{cases} x : \{T\} & \phi = \varnothing \\ \phi', x : (T^* \cup \{T\}) & \phi = \phi', x : T^* \\ \phi' \oplus (x : T), y : T^* & \phi = \phi', y : T^* \end{cases}$$

Definition 11 ($\Gamma(s)$)**.** *The typing context built from a substitution s, writing $\Gamma(s)$, it is defined as follows:*

$$\Gamma(s) = \begin{cases} \varnothing & s = \bullet \\ \Gamma(s'), x : T & s = (x, v) : s' \ \wedge\ \Gamma \vdash_c v : T \end{cases}$$



Figure 12: Rules for Tag Driven Language with ascriptions.



Figure 13: Syntax of the Strict Language.



Figure 14: Reduction rules for Strict Language.



Figure 15: Reduction rules for Strict Language.

$$\cdots$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{filter}(w, S) = \{\ \}}{w :: S \longrightarrow \mathsf{DispatchError}} \quad \text{(DisErrAsc)}$$

$$\mathsf{mlet}\ x = w\ \mathsf{in}\ t_2[s] \longrightarrow t_2[x \mapsto w \oplus s] \quad \text{(DisErrLet)}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{filter}(w_1, \mathsf{Fun}) = \{\ \}}{w_1\ w_2 \longrightarrow \mathsf{DispatchError}} \quad \text{(DisErrApp)}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{filter}(w, \mathsf{Int}) = \{\ \}}{\mathsf{add1}\ w \longrightarrow \mathsf{DispatchError}} \quad \text{(DisErrSum)}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{filter}(w, \mathsf{Bool}) = \{\ \}}{\mathsf{not}\ w \longrightarrow \mathsf{DispatchError}} \quad \text{(DisErrNegation)}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{filter}(w, S) = \{\ \}}{w :: S \longrightarrow \mathsf{AmbiguityError}} \quad \text{(AmbErrAsc)}$$

$$\mathsf{mlet}\ x = w\ \mathsf{in}\ t_2[s] \longrightarrow t_2[x \mapsto w \oplus s] \quad \text{(AmbErrLet)}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{filter}(w_1, \mathsf{Fun}) = \{\ \}}{w_1\ w_2 \longrightarrow \mathsf{AmbiguityError}} \quad \text{(AmbErrApp)}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{filter}(w, \mathsf{Int}) = \{\ \}}{\mathsf{add1}\ w \longrightarrow \mathsf{AmbiguityError}} \quad \text{(AmbErrSum)}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{filter}(w, \mathsf{Bool}) = \{\ \}}{\mathsf{not}\ w \longrightarrow \mathsf{AmbiguityError}} \quad \text{(AmbErrNegation)}$$

Figure 16: Error rules for Strict Language.

t	::=		terms	v	::=	values
		b	boolean value		b	boolean value
		n	numeric value		n	numeric value
		op	operator		op	operator
		$(\lambda x.\ t)^{T \to T}$	abstraction		$((\lambda x.\ t)^{T \to T})[s]$	closure
		x	variable			
		$t\ t$	application	c	::=	configurations
		$\mathsf{mlet}\ x = t\ \mathsf{in}\ t$	overloading let		w	
		$t :: T$	ascription		$t[s]$	
T	::=		types		$c\ c$	
		Int	type of integers		$\mathsf{mlet}\ x : T = c\ \mathsf{in}\ c$	
		Bool	type of booleans		$c :: T$	
		$T \to T$	type of functions			

Figure 17: Syntax of the Overloading Language.



Figure 18: Reduction rules for Overloading Language.

	$\ldots$		
T^*	$::=$		multi − types
		$\{\overline{T}\}$	multi − type
Γ	$::=$		typing contexts
		$\varnothing$	empty context
		$\Gamma, x : T$	term variable binding
ϕ	$::=$		multi − typing contexts
		$\varnothing$	empty context
		$\phi, x : T^*$	term variable binding

Figure 19: Syntax for Overloading Language with static semantic.

$$\boxed{\Gamma; \phi \vdash t : T}$$

$$\Gamma; \phi \vdash b : \{\mathsf{Bool}\} \qquad (\mathsf{TBool})$$

$$\Gamma; \phi \vdash n : \{\mathsf{Int}\} \qquad (\mathsf{TInt})$$

$$\Gamma; \phi \vdash \mathsf{not} \ : \{\mathsf{Bool} \to \mathsf{Bool}\} \qquad (\mathsf{TNegation})$$

$$\Gamma; \phi \vdash \mathsf{add1} \ : \{\mathsf{Int} \to \mathsf{Int}\} \qquad (\mathsf{TSum})$$

$$\frac{x : T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma; \phi \vdash x : \{T\}} \qquad (\mathsf{TVar}\Gamma)$$

$$\frac{x : T^* \in \phi}{\Gamma; \phi \vdash x : T^*} \qquad (\mathsf{TVar}\phi)$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} x \notin dom(\Gamma \cup \phi) \\ \Gamma, x : T_1; \phi \vdash t_2 : T_2^* \qquad T_2 \in T_2^* \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash_c (\lambda x.\ t_2)^{T_1 \to T_2} : \{T_1 \to T_2\}} \qquad (\mathsf{TAbs})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \phi \vdash t : T^* \qquad T \in T^*}{\Gamma; \phi \vdash t :: T : \{T\}} \qquad (\mathsf{TAsc})$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} x \notin dom(\Gamma) \qquad \Gamma; \phi \vdash t_1 : T_1^* \\ T_1 \in T_1^* \qquad \Gamma; \phi \oplus (x : T_1) \vdash t_2 : T_2^* \end{array}}{\Gamma; \phi \vdash \mathsf{mlet}\ x : T_1 = t_1\ \mathsf{in}\ t_2 : T_2^*} \qquad (\mathsf{TLet})$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma; \phi \vdash t_1 : T_1^* \qquad \Gamma; \phi \vdash t_2 : T_2^* \\ !\exists (T_1 \to T_2) \in T_1^* \ |!\exists (T_1) \in T_2^* \end{array}}{\Gamma; \phi \vdash t_1\ t_2 : \{T_2\}} \qquad (\mathsf{TApp})$$

Figure 20: Term typing rules.

$\boxed{\Gamma; \phi \vdash t : T}$

$$\Gamma \vdash_c b : \{\mathsf{Bool}\} \qquad (\mathsf{CTBool})$$

$$\Gamma \vdash_c b[s] : \{\mathsf{Bool}\} \qquad (\mathsf{CSTBool})$$

$$\Gamma \vdash_c n : \{\mathsf{Int}\} \qquad (\mathsf{CTInt})$$

$$\Gamma \vdash_c n[s] : \{\mathsf{Int}\} \qquad (\mathsf{CSTInt})$$

$$\Gamma \vdash_c \mathsf{not} \ : \{\mathsf{Bool} \to \mathsf{Bool}\} \qquad (\mathsf{CTNegation})$$

$$\Gamma \vdash_c \mathsf{not}\ [s] : \{\mathsf{Bool} \to \mathsf{Bool}\} \qquad (\mathsf{CSTNegation})$$

$$\Gamma \vdash_c \mathsf{add1} \ : \{\mathsf{Int} \to \mathsf{Int}\} \qquad (\mathsf{CTSum})$$

$$\Gamma \vdash_c \mathsf{add1}\ [s] : \{\mathsf{Int} \to \mathsf{Int}\} \qquad (\mathsf{CSTSum})$$

$$\frac{x : T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash_c x[s] : \{T\}} \qquad (\mathsf{CTVar}\Gamma)$$

$$\frac{x : T^* \in \phi(s)}{\Gamma \vdash_c x[s] : T^*} \qquad (\mathsf{CTVar}\phi)$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} x \notin dom(\Gamma \cup \phi(s)) \\ \Gamma, x : T_1 \vdash_c t_2[s] : T_2^* \qquad T_2 \in T_2^* \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash_c ((\lambda x.\ t_2)^{T_1 \to T_2})[s] : \{T_1 \to T_2\}} \qquad (\mathsf{CTAbs})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_c t[s] :: T : T^*}{\Gamma \vdash_c (t :: T)[s] : T^*} \qquad (\mathsf{CTAsc})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_c c : T^* \qquad T \in T^*}{\Gamma \vdash_c c :: T : \{T\}} \qquad (\mathsf{CSTAsc})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_c \mathsf{mlet}\ x : T_1 = t_1[s]\ \mathsf{in}\ t_2[s] : T^*}{\Gamma \vdash_c (\mathsf{mlet}\ x : T_1 = t_1\ \mathsf{in}\ t_2)[s] : T^*} \qquad (\mathsf{CTLet})$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} x \notin dom(\Gamma) \qquad \Gamma \vdash_c c_1 : T_1^* \\ T_1 \in T_1^* \qquad \Gamma; \phi(s) \oplus (x : T_1) \vdash t_2 : T_2^* \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash_c \mathsf{mlet}\ x : T_1 = c_1\ \mathsf{in}\ t_2[s] : T_2^*} \qquad (\mathsf{CSTLet})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_c t_1[s]\ t_2[s] : T^*}{\Gamma \vdash_c (t_1\ t_2)[s] : T^*} \qquad (\mathsf{CTCApp})$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash_c c_1 : T_1^* \qquad \Gamma \vdash_c c_2 : T_2^* \\ !\exists (T_1 \to T_2) \in T_1^* \ |!\exists (T_1) \in T_2^* \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash_c c_1\ c_2 : \{T_2\}} \qquad (\mathsf{CSTApp})$$

Figure 21: Configuration typing rules.