```
terms
                                                           T
                                                                  ::=
                                                                                                                   types
           true
                                     constant true
                                                                         Int
                                                                                                       type of integers
                                                                         Bool
                                                                                                      type of booleans
           false
                                     constant false
                                     numeric value
                                                                         T \to T
                                                                                                      type of functions
            n
                                            variable
            \boldsymbol{x}
            \lambda x:T.\ t
                                        abstraction
                                                                                                          multi-types
                                                                  ::=
                                                                         \{\overline{T}\}
                                                                                                           multi - type
            t_1 t_2
                                        application
           olet x:T=t in t
                                   overloading let
            t :: T
                                         ascription
                                                                                                        typing contexts
                                                                  ::=
                                                                         Ø
                                                                                                         empty context
    ::=
                                             values
                                                                         \Gamma, x : T
                                                                                                 term variable binding
v
                                         true value
           true
           false
                                        false value
                                                                                               multi - typing contexts
                                     numeric value
                                                                                                         empty context
            (\lambda x : T. \ t)[s]
                                            closure
                                                                         \phi, x: T^*
                                                                                                 term variable binding
                                                                                                  explicit substitutions
c
    ::=
                                    configurations
                                                                  ::=
                                                                                                    empty substitution
           v
                                                                         x\mapsto\{(\overline{v:T})\},s
           t[s]
                                                                                                  variable substitution
           c :: T
           olet x:T=c in c
```

Figure 1: Syntax of the simply typed lambda-calculus with overloading.

Lemma 1 (Inversion of term typing).

- 1. If Γ ; $\phi \vdash x : R$, then $x : R \in \Gamma$
- 2. If Γ ; $\phi \vdash \lambda x : T_1$. $t_2 : R$, then $R = T_1 \rightarrow R_2$ for some R_2 , with Γ , $x : T_1$; $\phi \vdash t_2 : R_2$.
- 3. If Γ ; $\phi \vdash t_1 t_2 : R$, then there is some type T_{11} such that Γ ; $\phi \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \to R$ and Γ ; $\phi \vdash t_2 : T_{11}$.

Proof. Immediate from the definition of the typing relation. \Box

Lemma 2 (Inversion of configuration typing).

- 1. If $\Gamma \vdash_c x[s] : R$, then $(x, v) \in s$, for some v, and $\Gamma \vdash_c v : R$.
- 2. If $\Gamma \vdash_c (\lambda x : T_1. \ t_2)[s] : R$, then $R = T_1 \rightarrow R_2$ for some R_2 , with $\Gamma, x : T_1; \phi(s) \vdash t_2 : R_2$.
- 3. If $\Gamma \vdash_c (t_1 \ t_2)[s] : R$, then $\Gamma \vdash_c t_1[s] \ t_2[s] : R$.
- 4. If $\Gamma \vdash_c c_1 c_2 : R$, then there is some type T_{11} such that $\Gamma \vdash_c c_1 : T_{11} \to R$ and $\Gamma \vdash_c c_2 : T_{11}$.

Figure 2: Type synthesis and checking.

Figure 3: Configuration synthesis and checking.

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \text{c} \longrightarrow c \\ \\ \text{false}[s] \longrightarrow \text{false} \\ \\ n[s] \longrightarrow n \\ \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(Num)} \\ \\ x[x], \{(v:T_1)\}: s] \longrightarrow v \\ \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(VarNext)} \\ \\ \hline \\ x[y \mapsto \{(\overline{v:T_1})\}, s] \longrightarrow x[s] \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ x[x \mapsto \{(\overline{v:T_1})\}, s] :: T_1 \longrightarrow v_i \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ x[y \mapsto \{(\overline{v:T_1})\}, s] :: T_1 \longrightarrow v_i \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} x \neq y \\ \hline \\ x[y \mapsto \{(\overline{v:T_1})\}, s] :: T_1 \longrightarrow v_i \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} x \neq y \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(VarAscOk)} \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} x \neq y \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(VarAscNext)} \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} x \neq y \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(VarAscNext)} \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} x \neq y \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(VarAscNext)} \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(VarAscNext)} \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} x \neq y \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(VarAscNext)} \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} x \neq y \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(VarAscNext)} \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(VarAscNext)} \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} x \neq y \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(AscSub)} \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} v : T \longrightarrow v \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(Asc1)} \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(Asc1)} \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(Olet } x:T_1 = t_1 \text{ in } t_2[s] \longrightarrow \text{olet } x:T_1 = t_1[s] \text{ in } t_2[s] \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(LetSub)} \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(Olet } x:T_1 = v \text{ in } t_2[s] \longrightarrow \text{olet } x:T_1 = c_1' \text{ in } t_2[s] \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(Let1)} \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(Let1)} \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c_1 \longrightarrow c_1' \\ \hline c_1 \ c_2 \longrightarrow c_1' \ c_2 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(App)} \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(App1)} \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \longrightarrow c' \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \longrightarrow c' \\ \hline v \ c \longrightarrow v \ c' \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(App2)} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(App2)} \\ \end{array} & \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(App2)} \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(App2)} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \longrightarrow c' \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{(App2)} \end{array} & \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \longrightarrow c' \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \longrightarrow c' \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \longrightarrow c' \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c \longrightarrow c' \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} c$$

Figure 4: Configuration reduction rules.

Proof. Immediate from the definition of the typing relation.

Lemma 3 (Canonical Forms).

1. If v is a value of type $T_1 \to T_2$, then $v = (\lambda x : T_1, t_2)[s]$.

Proof. Straightforward.

Definition 1 $(\Gamma(s))$. The typing context built from a substitution s, writing $\Gamma(s)$, it is defined as follows:

$$\Gamma(s) = \begin{cases} \varnothing & s = \bullet \\ \Gamma(s'), x : T & s = (x, v) : s' \land \Gamma \vdash_c v : T \end{cases}$$

Definition 2 (\oplus). Given a multi-type context ϕ and a pair (x : T), the operator \oplus is defined as follows:

$$\phi \oplus (x:T) = \begin{cases} x: \{T\} & \phi = \varnothing \\ \phi', x: (T^* \cup \{T\}) & \phi = \phi', x: T^* \\ \phi' \oplus (x:T), y: T^* & \phi = \phi', y: T^* \end{cases}$$

Definition 3 ($\|\cdot\|$). Given $T_1 \to T_2^*$, the operator $\|\cdot\|$ is defined as follows:

$$||T_1 \to T_2^*|| = \{T_1 \to T_2 \mid T_2 \in T_2^*\}$$

Theorem 4 (Progress). Suppose c is a well-typed configuration (that is, $\Gamma \vdash_c c$: T for some T). Then either c is a value or else there is some c' such that $c \longrightarrow c'$.

Proof. By induction on a derivation of $\Gamma \vdash_c c : T$.

Case (TCVar). Then c = x[s], with $(x, v) \in s$, for some v, and $\Gamma \vdash_c v : T$. Since $x \in dom(s)$, if the substitution $s = x \mapsto \{(\overline{v} : T)\}, s'$, then rule VarOk, applies, otherwise, rule VarNext applies.

Case (TCAbs). Then $c = (\lambda x : T_1. t_2)[s]$. This case is immediate, since closures are values.

Case (TCApp). Then $c = (t_1 \ t_2)[s]$, so rule AppSub applies to c.

Case (TCCApp). Then $c = c_1$ c_2 , with $\Gamma \vdash_c c_1 : T_{11} \to T$, for some T_{11} and $\Gamma \vdash_c c_2 : T_{11}$, by the Lemma 2. Then, by the induction hypothesis, either c_1 is a value or else it can take a step of evaluation, and likewise c_2 . If c_1 can take a step, then rule App1 applies to c. If c_1 is a value and c_2 can take a step, then rule App2 applies. Finally, if both c_1 and c_2 are values, then the Lemma 3 tells us that c_1 has the form $(\lambda x : T_{11}.t_{12})[s]$, and so rule App applies to c.

Definition 4 (Well typed substitution). A substitution s is said well typed with a typing context Γ , writing Γ ; $\phi \vdash s$, if $dom(s) = dom(\Gamma)$ and for every $(x, v) \in s$ and $\Gamma \vdash_c v : T$, where $x : T \in \Gamma$.

5

Lemma 5 (Permutation). If Γ ; $\phi \vdash t : T$ and Δ is a permutation of Γ , then $\Delta \vdash t : T$.

Proof. By induction on typing derivations.

Lemma 6. If Γ ; $\phi \vdash s$ then Γ is a permutation of $\Gamma(s)$.

Proof. By the definition of well typed substitution.

Lemma 7. If Γ ; $\phi \vdash s$ and $\Gamma \vdash_c v : T$, then $\Gamma, x : T \vdash x \mapsto \{(\overline{v : T_1})\}, s$.

Proof. By the definition of well typed substitution.

Lemma 8. If $\Gamma; \phi \vdash s$ then $\Gamma \vdash_c t[s] : T$ if and only if $\Gamma; \phi \vdash t : T$.

Proof. By induction on typing derivations, using Lemma 5 and Lemma 6. \Box

Theorem 9 (Preservation). If $\Gamma \vdash_c c : T$ and $c \longrightarrow c'$, then $\Gamma \vdash_c c' : T$.

Proof. By induction on a derivation of $\Gamma \vdash_c c : T$.

Case (TCVar). Then c = x[s], with $\Gamma \vdash_c (x, v) \in s$, for some v, and $\Gamma \vdash_c v : T$. We find that there are two rule by which $c \longrightarrow c'$ can be derived: VarOk and VarNext. We consider each case separately.

- Subcase (VarOk). Then $s = x \mapsto \{(\overline{v}:\overline{T})\}, s' \text{ and } c' = v$. Since $(x,v) \in s$ and $\Gamma \vdash_c v : T$, then $\Gamma \vdash_c c' : T$.
- Subcase (VarNext). Then $s = y \mapsto \{(\overline{v:T})\}, s', x \neq y \text{ and } c' = x[s'].$ Since $(x, v) \in s'$ too, and $\Gamma \vdash_c v : T$ then $\Gamma \vdash_c x[s'] : T$, that is $\Gamma \vdash_c c' : T$.

Case (TAbs). Then $c = (\lambda x : T_1. t_2)[s]$. It cannot be the case that $c \longrightarrow c'$, because c is a value, then the requirements of the theorem are vacuously satisfied.

Case (TCApp). Then $c = (t_1 \ t_2)[s]$ and $\Gamma \vdash_c t_1[s] \ t_2[s] : T$. We find that there are only one rule by which $c \longrightarrow c'$ can be derived: AppSub. With this rule $c' = t_1[s] \ t_2[s]$, then we can conclude that $\Gamma \vdash_c c' : T$.

Case (TCCApp). Then $c = c_1 \ c_2$, $\Gamma \vdash_c c_1 : T_2 \to T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_c c_2 : T_2$. We find that there are three rules by which $c \longrightarrow c'$ can be derived: App1, App2 and App. We consider each case separately.

- Subcase (App1). Then $c_1 \longrightarrow c_1'$, $c' = c_1' c_2$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_c c_1' : T_2 \to T$, then we can apply rule TCCApp, to conclude that $\Gamma \vdash_c c_1' c_2 : T$, that is $\Gamma \vdash_c c' : T$.
- Subcase (App2). Then $c_2 \longrightarrow c_2'$, $c' = c_1 \ c_2'$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_c c_2' : T_2$, then we can apply rule TCCApp, to conclude that $\Gamma \vdash_c c_1 c_2' : T$, that is $\Gamma \vdash_c c' : T$.
- Subcase (App): Then $c_1 = (\lambda x : T_1.t_{12})[s]$, $c_2 = v$, $c' = t_{12}[x \mapsto \{(\overline{v}:T_1)\}, s \text{ and } \Gamma, x : T_1; \phi(s) \vdash t_{12} : T \text{ by the Lemma 2. Since we know that } \Gamma, x : T_1; \phi(s) \vdash x \mapsto \{(\overline{v}:T_1)\}, s \text{ by the Lemma 7, the resulting configuration } \Gamma \vdash_c t_{12}[x \mapsto \{(\overline{v}:T_1)\}, s : T, \text{ by the Lemma 8, that is } \vdash c' : T.$

6