Assignment 1 - Eric Lindgren

January 30, 2020

Homework 1, TIF320 Computational Materials and Molecular Physics

CID: ericlin

```
[17]: # Imports
      import numpy as np
      import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
      import scipy.linalg as lin
      from tqdm import tqdm_notebook
                                       # controls default text sizes
      plt.rc('font', size=18)
                                      # fontsize of the axes title
      plt.rc('axes', titlesize=18)
      plt.rc('axes', labelsize=18)
                                      # fontsize of the x and y labels
                                       # fontsize of the tick labels
      plt.rc('xtick', labelsize=18)
      plt.rc('ytick', labelsize=18)
                                       # fontsize of the tick labels
      plt.rc('legend', fontsize=18)
                                       # legend fontsize
```

1 Problem 1: Hartree-Fock for Helium

We want to solve the problem in section 4.3.2. in Thijssen. I.e., we want to solve the following problem:

$$\sum_{pq} \left(h_{pq} + \sum_{rs} C_r C_s Q p r q s \right) C_q = E' \sum_{pq} S_{pq} C_q$$

or written on matrix form:

$$FC = E'SC$$

where

$$F_{pq} = h_{pq} + \sum_{rs} Q_{prqs} C_r C_s$$
$$h_{pq} = \left\langle \chi_p \left| -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 - \frac{2}{r} \right| \chi_q \right\rangle$$
$$S_{pq} = \left\langle \chi_p | \chi_q \right\rangle$$

$$Q_{prqs} = \frac{2\pi^{5/2}}{(\alpha_p + \alpha_q)(\alpha_r + \alpha_s)\sqrt{\alpha_p + \alpha_q + \alpha_r + \alpha_s}}$$

and

$$\phi(r) = \sum_{p=1}^{4} C_p \chi_p(r)$$

$$\chi_p(r) = e^{-\alpha_p r^2}$$

•

We will use:

$$\alpha_1 = 0.297104\alpha_2 = 1.236745\alpha_3 = 5.749982\alpha_4 = 38.216677$$

from the problem description.

Our procedure will be as follows:

- 1. Construct the matrices h_{pq} , S_{pq} and the 4x4x4 tensor Q_{prqs} .
- 2. Guess initial values for C_p .
- 3. Construct the F-matrix F_{pq} . Choose the initial values C_p so that it is normalized to unit with regards to the overlap matrix before being inserted in F:

$$\sum_{p,q=1}^{4} C_p S_{pq} C_q = 1 = \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{S} \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{T}}$$

Thus, if a guess \mathbf{C}' needs rescaling by some factor k to find \mathbf{C} it can be calculated as:

$$1 = \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{SC^T} = 1 = k\mathbf{C'} \cdot \mathbf{S}k\mathbf{C'} \to k = \sqrt{1/(\mathbf{C'} \cdot \mathbf{SC'^T})}$$

4. Solve the generalized eigenvalue problem $\mathbf{FC} = E'\mathbf{SC}$. Obtain a new vector \mathbf{C} . 5. Calculate the ground state energy as

$$E_G = 2\sum_{pq} C_p C_q h_p q + \sum_{pqrs} Q_{pqrs} C_p C_q C_r C_s$$

6. Redo steps 3-5 iteratively until E_G converges.

When constructing the matrices, we note that the wavefunction $\phi(r)$ is formulated as to exhibit spherical symmetry. We thus use a spherical coordinate system, in which the inner-product $\langle \chi_p | \chi_q \rangle$ is given as

$$\langle \chi_p | \chi_q \rangle = 4\pi \int_0^{r_{max}} r^2 \chi_p \chi_q dr$$

since the χ_p :s are real functions.

The Laplace operator ∇^2 acting on χ_p is then taking the form

$$\nabla^2 \chi_q = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} e^{-\alpha_q r^2} \right) = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(-2\alpha_q r^3 e^{-\alpha_q r^2} \right) = (-6\alpha_q + 4\alpha_q^2 r^2) \chi_q.$$

```
[2]: def chi_p(alpha_p, r):
         '''Constructs chi_p given alpha_p and r'''
         return np.exp(-alpha_p*r**2)
     def build_h(alpha, chi_vec, r):
         '''Builds the h-matrix h_pq'''
         1 = len(alpha)
         h = np.zeros((1,1))
         for p in range(1):
             for q in range(1):
                 h[p,q] = 4*np.pi * np.trapz( r**2 * chi_vec[p] * ( -0.5*(_
      \rightarrow-6*alpha[q] + 4*alpha[q]**2 * r**2 ) - 2/r) * chi_vec[q], r)
         return h
     def build_S(alpha, chi_vec, r):
         '''Builds the S_pq matrix'''
         1 = len(alpha)
         S = np.zeros((1,1))
         for p in range(1):
             for q in range(1):
                 S[p,q] = 4*np.pi * np.trapz( r**2 * chi_vec[p]*chi_vec[q], r )
         return S
     def build Q(alpha):
         '''Builds the Q_prqs 4x4x4x4 tensor'''
         1 = len(alpha)
         Q = np.zeros((1,1,1,1))
         for p in range(1):
             for r in range(1):
                 for q in range(1):
                     for s in range(1):
                          Q[p,r,q,s] = 2*np.pi**(5/2) / (__
      \rightarrow (alpha[p]+alpha[q])*(alpha[r]+alpha[s])*np.

¬sqrt(alpha[p]+alpha[q]+alpha[r]+alpha[s]) )
         return Q
     def build_F(1, C, Q, h):
         '''Build the 4x4 F-matrix'''
         partial_sum_Q = 0
         for r in range(1):
             for s in range(1):
                 partial_sum_Q += Q[:,r,:,s]*C[r]*C[s]
         F = h + partial_sum_Q
         return F
     def get_EG(1, C, Q, h):
         '''Calculate the ground state energy'''
```

```
[16]: tol = (1/27.72)*1e-5 \# 1e-5 \ eV -- 1 \ a.u. \ of \ energy = 27.72 \ eV => 1 \ eV = 1/27.
      EGs = [] # Vector to store ground-state energies in
      alphas = [0.297104, 1.236745, 5.749982, 38.216677]
      l = len(alphas)
      r = np.linspace(1e-10,20,2000)
      print(f'Lattice spacing: h={r[1]-r[0]:.4f} a.u.')
      chi_vec = np.zeros((1, len(r)))
      for p in range(1):
          chi_vec[p,:] = chi_p(alphas[p], r)
      # 1. Construct matrices
      h = build h(alphas, chi vec, r)
      S = build_S(alphas, chi_vec, r)
      Q = build_Q(alphas)
      # 2. Make an initial quess for C, and normalize it
      C_ini = np.ones(1) # Initial guess for C_p
      k = 1/np.sqrt( C_ini.dot(np.matmul(S, C_ini.T)) )
      C_{ini} *= k
      assert np.abs( C_ini.dot(np.matmul(S, C_ini.T)) - 1 ) < tol # Check properly_
      \rightarrownormalized
      # 3. Construct the F-matrix
      F = build_F(1, C_ini, Q, h)
      eig_F, _ = lin.eig(F)
      eig_S, _ = lin.eig(S)
      # 4. Solve the generalized eigenvalue equation - once to get a base energy
      ws, vecs = lin.eig( a=F, b=S)
```


```
g_idx = ws.argmin() # Ground-state corresponds to lowest eigenvalue
w_g = ws[g_idx]
C = vecs[g_idx]
print(f'Is C normalized from the eigenvalue problem? {np.abs(C.dot(np.
\rightarrowmatmul(S, C.T)) - 1 ) < 1e-5}.')
print('C thus needs to be normalized at the beginning of every step in the \Box
⇔self-consistent loop.')
print()
# 5. Get energy
EG = get_EG(1, C, Q, h)
EGs.append(EG)
# 6. Self-consistency loop
previous_EG = -999 # Initialize this for loop
while np.abs(EG-previous_EG) > tol:
    # Save EG as previous EG
    previous_EG = EG
    # 3. Construct the F-matrix
    F = build_F(1, C, Q, h)
    # 4. Solve the generalized eigenvalue equation - once to get a base energy
    # Use eigh since F and S are symmetric
    ws, vecs = lin.eig( a=F, b=S, right=True )
    g idx = ws.argmin() # Ground-state corresponds to lowest eigenvalue
    w_g = ws[g_idx]
    C = vecs[:,g_idx]
    # 5. Get energy
    # Normalize C first
    k = 1/np.sqrt( C.dot(np.matmul(S, C.T)) )
    C *= k
    assert np.abs( C.dot(np.matmul(S, C.T)) - 1 ) < tol # Check properly_
 \rightarrownormalized
    EG = get_EG(1, C, Q, h)
    EGs.append(EG)
print(f'Estimate for ground state energy using HF: EG ~= {EGs[-1]:.4f} a.u.')
print(f'Final eigenvalue: Eprim ~= {w_g:.4f} a.u.')
# Plot convergence
fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(8,6))
ax.plot(EGs, color='k', linestyle='--', linewidth=2)
ax.set_xlabel("Iteration")
ax.set_ylabel(r'$E_G$, a.u.')
```


Lattice spacing: h=0.0100 a.u.

Is C normalized from the eigenvalue problem? False.

C thus needs to be normalized at the beginning of every step in the self-consistent loop.

Estimate for ground state energy using HF: EG \sim = -2.8545 a.u. Final eigenvalue: Eprim \sim = -0.9141+0.0000j a.u.





The obtained value for th ground state energy of helium using the Hartree-Fock method is thus $E_G = -2.8545$ a.u. of energy, with the corresponding ground state eigenvalue being E' = -0.9141. We also note that the ground state wavefunction ϕ_0 is properly L^2 -normalized.

2 Problem 2: The Poisson Equation

The Hartree potential is generated by the charge distribution:

$$V_H(r) = \int dr' \frac{n(r')}{|r - r'|} \to \nabla^2 V_H(r) = -4\pi n(r)$$

The ground state density of the helium atom is $n(r) = 2n_s(r) = 2|\varphi(r)|^2$ where n_s is the density for one orbital (one electron). Introducing the electro-static potential $\nabla^2 V_{sH}(r) = -4\pi n_s(r)$ and using $U(r) = rV_{sH}(r)$ as well as $u(r) = \sqrt{4\pi n_s(r)} = \sqrt{4\pi}r\varphi(r)$ we can write the Poisson equation on the form

$$\frac{d^2}{dr^2}U(r) = -\frac{u^2(r)}{r}, \quad U(0) = 0, U(r_{max}) = 1$$

.

Finally, using $U_0(r) = U(r) - r/r_{max}$ we obtain

$$\frac{d^2}{dr^2}U_0(r) = -\frac{u^2(r)}{r}, \quad U_0(0) = 0, U_0(r_{max}) = 0$$

.

We wish to solve this for U(r). Rewriting using the finite difference method, we obtain the following system off equations (written on diagonal form utilizing the boundary conditions on U_0):

$$A'U'_0 = B$$

with

$$A' = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ \dots & & & & \end{bmatrix}$$
 (1)


,

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} b(r_1) = -4\pi h^2 |\varphi(r_1)|^2 r_1 - 0 \\ b(r_2) \\ b(r_3) \\ \dots \end{bmatrix}$$
 (2)

We use Hartree units, such that $m_e = 1, e = 1, \hbar = 1, 4\pi\epsilon_0 = 1, a_0 = 1$.

```
[4]: def hydrogen_ground_state(r):
         return 1/np.sqrt(np.pi) * np.exp(-r)
     def hart_pot(r):
         return 1/r - (1+1/r)*np.exp(-2*r)
     N = 100 # Number of points
     h = 0.1
     r = np.array([(i+1)*h for i in range(N)]) # N points between endpoints
     phi_dens = hydrogen_ground_state(r)**2
     B = -np.array([4*np.pi*h**2*phi_dens[i]*r[i] for i in range(N)])
     A_p = np.zeros((N,N)) + np.diagflat(-2*np.ones(N), k=0) + np.diagflat(np.
     \rightarrowones(N-1), k=1) + np.diagflat(np.ones(N-1), k=-1)
     U0_p = np.linalg.solve(A_p, B) # Solve the system of equations for U_0
     # TODO pad with zeros to get boundary?
     rmax = r[-1] + h # The endpoint distance
     U = U0_p + r/rmax # Extract U
     Vsh = U/r \# Extract the static potential
     # PLot
     fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(8,6))
```

[4]: Text(0, 0.5, '\$V(r)\$')



As we can see in the figure, the obtained electro-static potential V_{sH} for a single electron matches the Hartree potential as expected.

3 Problem 3: Kohn-Sham equation

The Kohn-Sham equation equation takes the form:

$$\[-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{2}{r} + V_H(r) + V_x(r) + V_c(r) \] u(r) = \epsilon u(r)$$

with boundary conditions $u(0) = u(r_{max}) = 0$. Rewriting this with the finite difference method, we obtain:

$$-\frac{1}{2h^2}\left[u(r_{i+1}) + u(r_{i-1})\right] + \left[\frac{1}{h^2} - \frac{2}{r_i} + V_H(r_i) + V_x(r_i) + V_c(r_i)\right]u(r_i) = \epsilon u(r_i)$$

If we rewrite this on matrix form, we obtain the matrix equation:

$$Cu = \epsilon \tilde{u}$$

where $\tilde{u} = [u(r_1), u(r_2), ..., u(r_{max} - h)]$ i.e. for \$ r \$ in the range $(h, r_{max} - h)$. This is due to the eigenvalue equation being undefined for the endpoints. Thus C is NxN+2, \$ u\$ is N+2 and \tilde{u} is N.

Using the same trick as in problem 2, we can handle these problematic points by subtracting $-\frac{1}{2h^2}u(r_0)$ and $-\frac{1}{2h^2}u(r_{max})$ from equations for rows 1 and N in C. Since they are $u(0) = u(r_{max}) = 0$ we obtain the equation

$$C'\tilde{u} = \epsilon \tilde{u}$$

which is a problem on diagonal form, with the boundary conditions baked into the matrix. They will thus automatically appear in our eigenvectors \tilde{u} . C' is on the form

$$C' = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{h^2} - \frac{2}{r_1} + V_H(r_1) + V_x(r_1) + V_c(r_1) & -\frac{1}{2h^2} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2h^2} & \frac{1}{h^2} - \frac{2}{r_2} + V_H(r_2) + V_x(r_2) + V_c(r_2) & -\frac{1}{2h^2} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2h^2} & \frac{1}{h^2} - \frac{2}{r_3} + V_H(r_3) + V_x(r_3) + V_c(r_4) \\ & \dots & (3) \end{bmatrix}$$

For our problem, we wish to solve the radial equation for the hydrogen atom. We thus set $V_H = V_x = V_c = 0$. We call the resulting eigenvalue equation

$$\Gamma \tilde{u} = E \tilde{u}$$

with

$$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{h^2} - \frac{1}{r_1} & -\frac{1}{2h^2} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ -\frac{1}{2h^2} & \frac{1}{h^2} - \frac{1}{r_2} & -\frac{1}{2h^2} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2h^2} & \frac{1}{h^2} - \frac{1}{r_3} & -\frac{1}{2h^2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
... (4)

```
eigs, eigv = np.linalg.eigh(Gamma) # Solve the eigenvalue problem for⊔

→eigenvectors and eigenfunctions

E0 = eigs[0] # The eigenvalues & vectors are returned in ascending order, thus⊔

→with the ground state first

u0 = eigv[:,0] # Normalized eigenvector
```


Here we obtain the normalized eigenvector for the ground state, i.e. $u_0(r)$ which is normalized. Since $u_0(r) \propto \varphi_0(r)$ this means that the wavefunction is also normalized. However, we want it to be L2-normalized so we have to renormalize the wave function. We require:

$$1 = k^{2} \int_{0}^{r_{max}} |\varphi(x)| dx = k^{2} 4\pi \int_{0}^{r_{max}} |\varphi(r)|^{2} r^{2} dr \to k = \left(4\pi \int_{0}^{r_{max}} |\varphi(r)|^{2} r^{2} dr\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \to \varphi_{L2}(r) = \varphi(r)/k.$$

```
[6]: phi0_wrong_norm = u0/(np.sqrt(4*np.pi)*r)
     k2 = 4*np.pi * np.trapz((phi0_wrong_norm*r)**2, r) # The 4pi comes from the
      →integration over all space of our symmetric wavefunction - not just radial
     \rightarrow wavefunction
     phi0 = phi0_wrong_norm / np.sqrt(k2) # L2-normalize the wave-function
     # print(np.trapz(4*np.pi*(phi0*r)**2, r))
     print(f'Ground state energy: E0 = {E0:.6f} a.u.')
     # Plot
     fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(8,6))
     ax.plot(r, np.abs(phi0), color='k', linestyle='--', linewidth=3,__
      \hookrightarrowlabel=r'$|\varphi_0(r)|$')
     ax.plot(r, hydrogen ground_state(r), color='C4', linestyle='-', linewidth=2,__
     →label=r'$\psi_0(r)$, Hydrogen ground state')
     ax.legend(loc='best')
     ax.grid()
     ax.set xlabel(r'$r$ $(a 0)$')
     ax.set_ylabel(r'H ground state')
```

Ground state energy: E0 = -0.499996 a.u.

[6]: Text(0, 0.5, 'H ground state')



Here we seem to get $\varphi(r) = -\psi(r)$. But this is no problem, and is probably only an artefact of the eigenvalue solving routine. If v is an eigenvector to $Av = \lambda v$, then -v is an eigenvector to A with the same eigenvalue. So we can take the absolute value.

Here we get the ground state energy to be $E_0 = -0.499996$ a.u., which matches the analytical value for hydrogen of $E_0 = -0.5$ a.u.. We also note that the obtained wavefunction matches the analytical wavefunction.

4 Problem 4 - Iterative solution

We need to iteratively improve our estimate of the ground state energy in the Hartree approximation. We thus use the solution of the Kohn-Sham equation, but omit the exchange-correlation term as well as the self-interaction from the Hartree potential, thus setting $V_H = V_{sH}$. Our procedure will thus look like

- 1. Guess the initial density $|\varphi_i|^2$.
- 2. Obtain the estimate for the Hartree potential using $A'U'_0 = B$ from Problem 2 to solve for $V_{sH} = U/r$.
- 3. Obtain the estimate of the ground state energy and the new density from the eigenvalue problem $\Lambda \tilde{u} = E \tilde{u}$ as in Problem 3.

We repeat this scheme until the ground state energy has converged. The matrix Λ has the following

form:

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{h^2} - \frac{2}{r_1} + V_{sH}(r_1) & -\frac{1}{2h^2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2h^2} & \frac{1}{h^2} - \frac{2}{r_2} + V_{sH}(r_2) & -\frac{1}{2h^2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2h^2} & \frac{1}{h^2} - \frac{2}{r_3} + V_{sH}(r_3) & -\frac{1}{2h^2} & 0
\end{bmatrix}$$
(5)

We use the ground state of hydrogen as our input density:

$$\varphi_i(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}e^{-2r}$$

To check for convergence with regards to r_{max} and h, three passes of the self-consistent loop will be completed. First, r_{max} and h are set what I deem probable values: $r_{max} = 10 \, a_0$, $h = 0.01 \, a_0$. For the second pass, r_{max} is doubled. For the third pass, in addition to doubling r_{max} I also halve h. Then, the results from all passes are compared from which it can be deemed if the computation is converged with regards to r_{max} and h.

Note that the code for problems 4, 5, 6 are in the same functions, but that what is executed is controlled by the variable "problem".

```
[7]: def eps_x(n):
         return -3/4 * (3*n/np.pi)**(1/3)
     def deriv_eps_x(n):
         return -3/(4*np.pi) * (3*n/np.pi)**(-2/3)
     def eps_c(n):
         rs = (3/(4*np.pi*n))**(1/3)
         eps_c_vec = np.zeros(len(rs))
         geq1_idx = np.where(np.abs(rs)>=1)[0][0]
         # Define constants
         A = 0.0311
         B = -0.048
         C = 0.0020
         D = -0.0116
         gamma = -0.1423
         b1 = 1.0529
         b2 = 0.3334
         for i, ri in enumerate(rs):
             if ri < geq1_idx:</pre>
                 eps_c_vec[i] = A*np.log(ri) + B + C*ri*np.log(ri)+D*ri
             else:
                 eps_c_vec[i] = gamma/( 1+ b1*np.sqrt(ri) + b2*ri )
         return eps_c_vec
```

```
def deriv_eps_c(n):
    '''Note that the derivative is w.r. using the chain rule!'''
    rs = (3/(4*np.pi*n))**(1/3)
    d_eps_c_vec = np.zeros(len(rs))
    geq1_idx = np.where(np.abs(rs)>=1)[0][0]
    # Define constants
   A = 0.0311
    B = -0.048
    C = 0.0020
    D = -0.0116
    gamma = -0.1423
    b1 = 1.0529
    b2 = 0.3334
   for i, ri in enumerate(rs):
        if ri < geq1_idx:</pre>
            d_{eps_c_vec[i]} = A/ri + C*np.log(ri) + C + D
        else:
            d_{eps_c_vec[i]} = -gamma*(b1 + 2*b2*np.sqrt(ri)) / (2*np.sqrt(ri)_u)
\rightarrow* (1 + b1*np.sqrt(ri) + b2*ri)**2)
    d_{eps_c_vec} *= - (1/rs)**(4/3) / (6**(2/3) * np.pi**(1/3)) # dn/drs
    return d_eps_c_vec
def iterative_step(phi0, rmax, h, problem):
    '''Perform a step of the iterative solution. Prepared for Problems 5 and 6 \sqcup
\hookrightarrow with V_x and V_c.''
     #***** Calculate new N, r and pad phi_density *******
    N = int(rmax/h-1)
    r = np.array([(i+1)*h for i in range(N)]) # N points between endpoints
    phi sq = phi0**2
    phi_sq = np.pad(phi_sq, (0,N-len(phi_sq)), 'edge') # Pad density with last_
→value to new maximum value
    A_p = np.zeros((N,N)) + np.diagflat(-2*np.ones(N), k=0) + np.diagflat(np.
\rightarrowones(N-1), k=1) + np.diagflat(np.ones(N-1), k=-1)
    #***** Estimate V sH ******
    B = -np.array([4*np.pi*h**2*phi_sq[i]*r[i] for i in range(N)])
    U0_p = np.linalg.solve(A_p, B) # Solve the system of equations for U_0
    U = U0_p + r/rmax # Extract U
    V_sH = U/r \# Extract the static potential
    if problem==4:
        V_H = V_sH
        V_x = np.zeros((N))
        V_c = np.zeros((N))
```

```
elif problem==5:
                V_H = 2 * V_sH
                n = 2 * phi_sq
                V_x = eps_x(n) + n*deriv_eps_x(n)
                V_c = np.zeros((N))
       elif problem==6:
               V_H = 2 * V_sH
               n = 2 * phi_sq
               V_x = eps_x(n) + n*deriv_eps_x(n)
                V_c = eps_c(n) + n*deriv_eps_c(n)
       Lambda = np.zeros((N,N)) + np.diagflat((1/h**2 - 2/r)*np.ones(N) + V_H + U_N + V_N + V_N
V_x + V_c, k=0) + np.diagflat(-1/(2*h**2)*np.ones(N-1), k=1) + np.
\rightarrowdiagflat(-1/(2*h**2)*np.ones(N-1), k=-1)
       eigs, eigv = np.linalg.eigh(Lambda) # Solve the eigenvalue problem for
\rightarrow eigenvectors and eigenfunctions
       eps = eigs[0] # The eigenvalues & vectors are returned in ascending order, □
→ thus with the ground state first
       u0 = eigv[:,0] # Normalized eigenvector
       phi0 wrong norm = u0/(np.sqrt(4*np.pi)*r)
       k2 = 4*np.pi * np.trapz((phi0_wrong_norm*r)**2, r) # The 4pi comes from
\rightarrowthe integration over all space of our symmetric wavefunction - not just \sqcup
\rightarrow radial wavefunction
       phi0 = phi0_wrong_norm / np.sqrt(k2) # L2-normalize the wave-function
       # Calculate the ground state energy
       u2 = 4 * np.pi * r**2 * phi0**2
       # Calculate these again - a bit wasteful but ensures correct wavefon etc.
       if problem==4:
                eps xc = 0
                Vxc = 0
       elif problem==5:
                n = 2 * phi0**2
                eps_xc = eps_x(n)
                V_x = eps_x(n) + n*deriv_eps_x(n) # Get the new exchange potential -
\rightarrow linearity
                V c = 0
                Vxc = V_x + V_c # Assume the potential can be split in two
       elif problem==6:
                n = 2 * phi0**2
                eps_xc = eps_x(n) + eps_c(n)
                V_x = eps_x(n) + n*deriv_eps_x(n) # Get the new exchange potential -_
\rightarrow linearity
```

```
V_c = eps_c(n) + n*deriv_eps_c(n)
Vxc = V_x + V_c

E0 = 2*eps - 2*np.trapz(u2 * ( 0.5*V_H + Vxc - eps_xc ), r)
print(f'Ground state energy: E0 = {E0:.6f} a.u.')

#******* Return E_0 and phi_0 ********
return E0, phi0, eps, r, N
```

```
[8]: def self_consistentV2(p=4, max_iters=500, tol=1e-5, ax_wave=None,_
      \rightarrowax_energy=None, rmax=10, h=0.02):
         Performs the self-consistent loop for a certain problem, and plots the \Box
      \hookrightarrow results
         max_iters: maximum iterations for convergence of each rmax and h.
         # Iteration parameters
         energies = [] # Ground-state energies
         iters = 0
         previous_E = -999 # No previous energy
         #***** Initial Step ******
         N = int(rmax/h-1) # Rmax = (N+1)*h for N+2 steps including 0 and rmax
         r = np.array([(i+1)*h for i in range(N)]) # N points between endpoints
         # Make an initial estimate of the energy and ground state density:
         E0, phi0, eps, r, N = iterative_step(hydrogen_ground_state(r), rmax, h,__
      →problem=p)
         energies.append(E0)
         #***** Iteratively calculate energy ******
         while np.abs(E0-previous_E) > tol and iters<max_iters:</pre>
             previous_E = E0 # Save previous energy
             E0, phi0, eps, r, N = iterative_step(phi0, rmax, h, problem=p)
             energies.append(E0)
             iters += 1
         print(f'Converged in {iters} iterations.')
         print(f'Ground state energy: {energies[-1]:.7f} a.u., eigenvalue: {eps:.
      →7f}')
         #***** Plot wavefunctions ******
         ax_wave.plot(r, np.abs(phi0), linestyle=':', alpha=0.8, linewidth=2,_
      \rightarrowlabel=rf'$\phi_0$, rmax={rmax:.2f}, h={h:.4f}')
         #***** Plot energies ******
         ax_energy.plot(energies, linestyle='--', linewidth=3, label=f'E0 = L
      \rightarrow {energies[-1]:.4f}, rmax={rmax:.2f}, h={h:.4f}')
```

```
def run_problem(problem=4):
   ^{\prime\prime\prime}Parameterized runner of each problem for problems 4,5,6 since they are_\sqcup
⇒basically the same code.'''
   max iters = 500
   tol = (1/27.72)*1e-5 # 1e-5 eV
   # Figures for plots
   fig, ax_wave = plt.subplots(figsize=(12,6))
   fig, ax_energy = plt.subplots(figsize=(12,6))
   # Run the self-consistent loop for various values of rmax and h
   print("-----")
   self_consistentV2(problem, max_iters, tol, ax_wave, ax_energy, rmax=10, h=0.
\rightarrow01) # max_iters is max for rmax opt. and h opt.
   print("----")
   print()
   print("----")
   self_consistentV2(problem, max_iters, tol, ax_wave, ax_energy, rmax=20, h=0.
→01)
   print("----")
   print("----")
   self_consistentV2(problem, max_iters, tol, ax_wave, ax_energy, rmax=20, h=0.
→005)
   # Various plot details
   ax_wave.legend(loc='best')
   ax_wave.grid()
   ax_wave.set_xlabel(r'$r$ $(a_0)$')
   ax_wave.set_ylabel(r'He ground state')
   ax_energy.axhline(-2.8545, color='C5', linewidth=3, label='Hartree-Fock_
→value, problem 1')
   ax_energy.axhline(-2.9033, color='C6', linewidth=3, label='Experimental_u
→value')
   ax_energy.legend(loc='best')
   ax_energy.grid()
   ax_energy.set_xlabel(r'Iteration')
   ax_energy.set_ylabel(r'$E_0$')
```

```
[9]: run_problem(problem=4)
```

----- Baseline calculation -----

Converged in 13 iterations.

Ground state energy: -2.8613298 a.u., eigenvalue: -0.9178198

----- Increase Rmax -----


Converged in 13 iterations.


Ground state energy: -2.8613293 a.u., eigenvalue: -0.9178193

----- Increase Rmax and h -----

Converged in 13 iterations.

Ground state energy: -2.8615944 a.u., eigenvalue: -0.9179226





Using the Hartree method, the ground state energy converges to $E_0 \approx -2.8615944$ a.u., which can be compared to the result from the Hartree-Fock method (problem 1) $E_G \approx -2.8454$ a.u. Comparing the wavefunctions, we note that they are very similar in shape. The energy in the Hartree method (problem 4) is lower than the energy as computed by the Hartree-Fock method (problem 1), i.e. closer to the experimental value. This makes sense, since using the Hartree-Fock method we automatically include exchange effects, due to the use of a Slater determinant basis. This raises the energy as compared to the Hartree method which does not take that into account.

Furthermore, we note that the algorithm seems to be somewhat converged with regards to r_{max} and h since their values does not seem to affect the result that much, neither the wavefunction nor the energy. Some variations in the fourth decimal point can be seen when decreasing h, but I've tried decreasing it further than h=0.05 which results in the code taking several hours to run. Hence it is in my opinion not realistic to lower it further.

5 Problem 5 - Adding exchange contributions


We now add exchange contributions to problem 4. We use the full Hartree potential, i.e. setting $V_H = 2V_{sH}$. Using the LDA approximation, we can write the exchange-correlation potential as:


$$\epsilon_{xc} = \epsilon_x + \epsilon_c = \epsilon_x + 0 = -\frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{3n}{\pi}\right)^{1/3}$$


$$V_{xc} = \epsilon_{xc} + n\frac{d}{dn}\epsilon_{xc} = -\frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{3n}{\pi}\right)^{1/3} - n\frac{3}{4\pi} \left(\frac{3n}{\pi}\right)^{-2/3}$$

I also interpret the potential to be additive, i.e.

$$V_{rc} = V_r + V_c$$
.







Adding exchange contributions to the Hartree method raises the estimate of the energy of the ground state by about 5%, from $E_{0,4} \approx -2.86$ a.u. to $E_{0,5} \approx -2.7235521$ a.u. This is reasonable due to us adding the exchange effects, which includes Pauli repulsion, effectively raising the energy of the system. But to obtain a better estimate of the ground state energy using this DFT method, we need to add the term arising from the electrons being correlated in our system.

6 Problem 6

Same as problem 5, but we add the correlation term. The added terms are

$$\epsilon_c(rs) = \frac{\gamma}{1 + \beta_1 \sqrt{r_s} + \beta_2 r_s}, \quad rs \ge 1$$

$$\epsilon_c(rs) = A \log r_s + B + C r_s \log r_s + D r_s, \quad rs \le 1$$

Using the chain rule, we can compute the derivative

$$\frac{d}{dn}\epsilon_c = \frac{dr_s}{dn}\frac{d\epsilon_c}{dr_s}.$$

$$\frac{d\epsilon_c}{dr_s} = -\frac{\gamma(\beta_1 + 2\beta_2\sqrt{r_s})}{2\sqrt{r_s}(1 + \beta_1\sqrt{r_s} + \beta_2r_s)^2}, \quad rs \ge 1$$

$$\frac{d\epsilon_c}{dr_s} = \frac{A}{r_s} + C\log r_s + C + D, \quad rs \le 1$$

$$\frac{dr_s}{dn} = -\frac{\left(\frac{1}{r_s}\right)^{4/3}}{6^{2/3}\pi^{1/3}}, \quad \forall r_s$$

[11]: run_problem(problem=6)

----- Baseline calculation -----

Converged in 19 iterations.

Ground state energy: -2.8373206 a.u., eigenvalue: -0.5709970

----- Increase Rmax -----


Converged in 19 iterations.


Ground state energy: -2.8373196 a.u., eigenvalue: -0.5709961

----- Increase Rmax and h -----

Converged in 19 iterations.

Ground state energy: -2.8376102 a.u., eigenvalue: -0.5711573





Finally, by adding correlation energy we arrive at a ground state energy which is $E_{0,6} \approx 2.8376102\,\mathrm{a.u.}$ This is closer to the ground state energy than what was obtained in problem 5, but it still further away from the experimental value of $E_{0,exp} \approx -2.90\,\mathrm{a.u.}$ than what we obtained with the Hartree-Fock method in problem 1 and with the Hartree method in problem 4. This is in line with the fact that the DFT method does not perform as well as the Hartree-based methods for smaller systems; for instance, Hartree-Fock handles exchange effects perfectly which can only be approximated in the Kohn-Sham-based DFT method. However, this relatively simple DFT-calculation resulted in a ground-state energy that is not too far off from the experimental value. In combination with the fact that DFT scales better for larger systems (not shown in this assign-

ment) we can draw the conclusion that DFT is a suitable tool for handling many-body quantum systems, when the size of the system makes it intractible for methods based around Hartree and Hartree-Fock.