



UiT The Arctic University of Norway

*Department of Computer science*

## Lecture 10:

A closer look at the requirements for the exam report

Professor Eirik Årsand, Responsible for the course, and co-lecturer  
Professor Øystein Nytrø, Co-lecturer

07. April 2025



## Project: Research or Development ?

We received some insightful questions about the project, and we think it is fair to share our answer with everyone:

Slightly rephrased, the questions went: ***"Can you clarify where our focus in the project should be? Should it be on creating a functional or semi functional solution, or do you want us to focus more on innovation and research and try to outline a project which is more innovative by for example using AI?"***

We understand that this might be confusing and refer you to the required outline of the exam-report (given as part of Lecture 2) for how we want you to focus and document the work in your group. In addition, we will set up a 1-hour lecture the coming Monday to explain this to all of you in the course.

But until then, some answers to your questions are given below: You are right in pointing out that INF-3780 and INF-2900 Software engineering have overlapping learning objectives about skills in developing a system or software, as a team and documenting the process. However, at this point in your study we do already expect you to be able to do basic software engineering as a team (without customers and users), so making yet another prototype system and documenting the process, - is not the focus in the course. In INF-3780 we want you to integrate the development of software as part of a project in the health domain with required research to prepare for an intervention or experiment that produces new knowledge. We want you to do and document and validate the process, such as the research, security considerations, user-involvement, design, implementation, testing and disseminating. E.g. that you have to compare your problem and solution with similar problems and related research (that is why you did a literature review). Prove that you are able to 1) answer a well-defined research question or fulfil an objective by 2) designing a repeatable and valid experiment and 3) explain how the results of the experiment(s) with your software as intervention, actually produced the results or answer.

Yes, your focus should be on answering your research objectives and argue that your answer is sound, novel and correct. Being innovative is a related problem, but much harder, because it addresses relevance and utility, ie that your novel solution is actually improving current practice. (Research can give positive or negative outcomes, equally valid and new knowledge, but not necessarily having utility or effect for anything.) Stick to doing sound (small) research.

Applying AI (reasoning, image processing, generative text models, or black box alchemy) is not particularly innovative, and notoriously hard to validate. You should rather aim for a Minimal Valid Research Contribution, something that is repeatable, understandable, explainable and provides new knowledge.

W.r.t. testing. Do not think about this as “testing functionality”, but rather a part of your experiment design. E.g. an iterated A/B test with some of your fellow students as subjects could let you answer questions about “more effective, easier, more educating, earlier, with higher confidence” if you let them use your software/pilot to solve a (set of) specific problem(s).

Alternatively, after you have tried to recruit participants to test your solution, it is also possible to design and test experiments without users, in which you compare different versions or implementations or algorithms wrt. correctness/precision of outcome, etc.

Again, think of yourself as a research team, with developers, researchers, project managers, information officers, etc. We want you to gain the understanding of professional, ethical, legal, security and social issues and responsibilities, as well as being able to function effectively in research teams to answer a common objective or research question, and be able to communicate the work done and the results effectively.

# About the Report



- Min 50 pages, max 100 pages + References + Code + other attachments (e.g. letter to DPO/PVO)
  - If you deliver 50 pages → need to be really concise and relevant content
  - Min 12 pages per person (e.g. for 5 persons → 60 pages)
- Format:  
A4- pages; Font size 11 (Arial); Line space: 1.0; Margins: 2,0 cm
- Deadline: June 3<sup>rd</sup> 14:00 (noon)

# All projects must include these main elements

Patient/health  
consumer use case

Technology:  
hardware and/or  
software

Health care actors and/or  
system

# The report must address these elements

## 1: A Project plan

Including problem statement, literature review and references (5-10 pages)

## 2: Security, privacy, and ethics

Consideration and acknowledgement

(DPO, Sikt, REK?, GDPR, MDR, Normen, etc.)

## 3: User-requirement

Survey or study (need acknowledgement)

## 4: Design

Argumentation for selected design and presentation

## 5: End-user interface

Both for patients and health care personnel (HCP)

## 6: Technical- and user tests

Both patient and HCP

## 7: Solution for data transfer and storage

And/or integration with EHR

## 8: Dissemination

of the work and its results

# Required Content of the report (1/2)

- Project title and first page with authors names, date
- Abstract (can be part of the Dissemination Assignment)
- Table of content
- Background
- Project personnel and their competence
- Problem statement
- Aims
- Methods
- Time plan

# Required Content (2/2)

- Security and Ethics
- System specification
- Implementation
- Tests
- Results
- Dissemination
- Discussion and Conclusion
- References
- Attachment



# Examples of Table of contents from previous reports, example 1

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
	Methods . . . . .	2
	Background . . . . .	3
	Literature review . . . . .	4
	Interview . . . . .	7
<b>2</b>	<b>Overall and Specific Aims</b>	<b>14</b>
	Overall Aim . . . . .	14
	Specific Aims . . . . .	14
<b>3</b>	<b>System Requirements</b>	<b>15</b>
	General Requirements . . . . .	15
	Functional Requirements . . . . .	15
	Non-functional Requirements . . . . .	15
	Security Requirements . . . . .	16
	Specific Requirements . . . . .	16
	The Dashboard . . . . .	18
	Meal Logging . . . . .	18
	Adding recipes, ingredients and allergens . . . . .	18
	Reaction Logging . . . . .	19
	The Ranking Page . . . . .	19
	The History Page . . . . .	19
	Beating paper . . . . .	20
	Use Case Scenario . . . . .	20
<b>4</b>	<b>Personnel and our competence</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Work packages and Timeplan</b>	<b>22</b>
	Work Packages . . . . .	22

Timeplan . . . . .	23
<b>6 Ethics</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>7 Data Security and privacy</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>8 Design and Implementation</b>	<b>29</b>
Architecture . . . . .	29
Models . . . . .	29
User . . . . .	31
Meal . . . . .	31
Reaction . . . . .	31
Food . . . . .	31
The algorithm . . . . .	32
APIs . . . . .	34
The application . . . . .	35
Account pages . . . . .	35
Dashboard . . . . .	35
Food Diary . . . . .	35
Reaction Diary . . . . .	35
Recipe Management . . . . .	36
Ingredient and Allergen Management . . . . .	36
Viewing the results of our analysis . . . . .	36
System Setup . . . . .	37
Overview . . . . .	37
<b>9 Testing</b>	<b>40</b>
Unit-testing . . . . .	40
User testing results . . . . .	40
Interview . . . . .	40
Review . . . . .	41
Survey . . . . .	41
<b>10 Discussion</b>	<b>42</b>
Architecture . . . . .	42
Multiple intolerance . . . . .	42
The algorithm . . . . .	43
Under-reporting . . . . .	43
Over-reporting . . . . .	43
Eviction handling . . . . .	43

# Example 1,

(3 project members/  
students)

APIs . . . . .	44
The UI . . . . .	44
Performance . . . . .	44
Experience . . . . .	44
<b>11 Expected Results</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>12 Dissemination</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>13 Future Work</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>14 Conclusion</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>15 Screenshots</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>Appendices</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>A Mail to the DPO</b>	<b>80</b>

# Example 2

<b>1</b>	<b>Background</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>Project plan</b>	<b>24</b>
1.1	Introduction	1	5.1	Project plan overview	24
1.2	Why is air pollution a problem	1	5.2	Work packages	24
1.3	Literature Review	1	5.2.1	Report	24
1.3.1	Review of air quality management systems	1	5.2.2	Project planning	25
1.3.2	Proactive location-based services	2	5.2.3	Product definition	25
1.3.3	Conclusions for our project	3	5.2.4	Design	25
1.4	Mobile Application Research	4	5.2.5	Implementation	26
1.4.1	Data Sources	4	5.2.6	Testing	26
1.4.2	Existing Solutions	4	5.3	Team members	26
1.4.3	Summary	7	5.3.1	A-Young Jang	26
1.4.4	Research Conclusion	7	5.3.2	Dominik Thamm	27
1.5	User Engagement	8	5.3.3	Doyoung Oh	27
1.5.1	Findings from recent research	8	5.3.4	Dorothea Roth	27
1.5.2	How can mobile interfaces utilize motivational incentives?	8	5.3.5	Responsibilities	27
1.5.3	How can mobile interfaces utilize principles regarding user experience?	8	5.4	Updated project plan	27
1.5.4	What features are valuable for users in mobile health apps?	10			
1.6	Stakeholders	10	<b>6</b>	<b>Security and Ethics</b>	<b>29</b>
1.6.1	Patients and users	10	6.1	Privacy	29
1.6.2	Data use for clinician and health officials	11	6.1.1	Lawfulness, fairness and transparency	29
			6.1.2	Purpose limitation	29
<b>2</b>	<b>Problem Statement and Solution</b>	<b>13</b>	6.1.3	Data minimization	29
2.1	First ideas	13	6.1.4	Accuracy	29
2.2	Our problem statement	13	6.1.5	Storage limitation	29
2.3	Solution detail	14	6.1.6	Integrity and confidentiality	29
2.4	First visualisations	14	6.1.7	Accountability	29
2.5	Our user engagement approach	16	6.2	Data security	30
			6.3	Collected data	30
<b>3</b>	<b>Aims</b>	<b>19</b>	6.4	Recommendations by the data protection officer	30
3.1	Overall Aim	19	6.5	Risk assessment	30
3.2	Specific Aims	19			
3.2.1	Display correct air quality level	19	<b>7</b>	<b>System specification</b>	<b>31</b>
3.2.2	Recommend the right health measures	19	7.1	Requirement specifications	31
3.2.3	Send relevant notifications	19			
3.2.4	Easy access to air quality index through widget	19	<b>8</b>	<b>Implementation</b>	<b>32</b>
3.2.5	Display air pollution exposure data	20	8.1	System architecture overview	32
3.2.6	Option to handle notifications	20	8.1.1	Privacy	32
3.2.7	Provide FAQ	20	8.1.2	Stable interface	32
			8.1.3	Mobile app considerations	33
<b>4</b>	<b>Methods</b>	<b>21</b>	8.2	Backend	33
4.1	Literature review and mobile application research approach	21	8.2.1	FastAPI	33
4.2	Design methods	21	8.2.2	MongoDB	33
4.3	Test methods	22	8.2.3	Data collection	34
4.4	Development method	22	8.2.4	Data enrichment	34
			8.2.5	Polluted area generation	35
			8.2.6	API	37
			8.2.7	OpenAPI	38
			8.2.8	Security	38
			8.2.9	Deployment	38

# Example 2,

(4 project members/  
students)

8.3	Frontend . . . . .	39
8.3.1	React Native . . . . .	39
8.3.2	Frontend architecture . . . . .	39
8.3.3	Frontend components . . . . .	40
8.4	Integration with the health care sector . . . . .	42
8.4.1	Electronic health records . . . . .	42
8.4.2	Clinical studies . . . . .	42
8.5	Source code . . . . .	43
9	Tests	44
9.1	Testing Stages . . . . .	44
9.2	User Testing . . . . .	44
9.3	Measurability . . . . .	46
10	Results	48
11	Dissemination	52
12	Conclusion	53
12.1	Future Work . . . . .	53
12.1.1	Data source evaluation . . . . .	53
12.1.2	Backend implementation . . . . .	53
12.1.3	Mobile app improvements . . . . .	53
12.1.4	Testing and validation . . . . .	53
12.1.5	Integration for healthcare sector . . . . .	54
12.1.6	Distribution . . . . .	54
12.2	Conclusion . . . . .	54
13	Attachments	57

# Example 3

Abstract.....	ii	5 Plan.....	23
List of Figures .....	vi	5.1 Work packages .....	23
List of Tables .....	viii	5.1.1 Documentation .....	23
1 Background.....	1	5.1.2 Planning .....	23
1.1 Introduction .....	1	5.1.3 Definition .....	24
1.2 Motivation.....	1	5.1.4 Design.....	24
1.3 Literature Review .....	2	5.1.5 Implementation.....	24
1.3.1 Traditional Light Therapy Method .....	2	5.1.6 Testing .....	24
1.3.2 Effectiveness of Bright Light Therapy .....	4	5.1.7 Deployment and Maintenance .....	24
1.3.3 Blue Light Therapy .....	5	5.2 Team members .....	25
1.3.4 Chromotherapy rooms in Virtual Reality .....	6	5.2.1 Pragatheeswaran .....	25
1.4 Stakeholders .....	7	5.2.2 Zulfiqar Ali .....	26
1.5 Research.....	7	5.2.3 Muhammad Nauman Ali .....	26
1.5.1 SAD and Circadian Rhythm.....	7	6 Data security and Ethics.....	27
1.5.2 Human Eyes and Color Spectrum .....	8	6.1 Ethics: .....	27
1.5.3 Virtual Reality Technology Feasibility with Light therapy .....	9	6.2 Data Security:.....	27
2 Problem Statement.....	12	6.3 Privacy: .....	28
2.1 The Focus of the study.....	12	6.4 Application to UiT's Data protection officer: .....	28
2.2 The need of the study.....	12	6.5 Risk assessment: .....	29
2.3 Approach to address the problem.....	12	7 System specification.....	31
3 Aims .....	13	7.1 System overview .....	31
3.1 Overall Aim .....	13	7.2 Requirement specification .....	32
3.2 Specific Aim .....	13	7.2.1 Functional .....	32
4 Methods .....	14	7.2.2 Non-Functional.....	33
4.1 Design methods .....	14	7.2.3 External interface .....	34
4.1.1 Methods for system design.....	14	7.2.4 Design Constraints .....	34
4.1.2 Choice of Framework .....	15	7.3 Final layout of system.....	34
4.1.3 Requirement gathering.....	16	7.3.1 Initial design ideas.....	35
4.2 System testing.....	17	7.3.2 Abstract final design .....	36
4.2.1 Functional Tests (Completed) .....	17	8 Implementation.....	37
4.2.2 Performance Tests (Planned).....	18	8.1 Architecture .....	38
4.3 User Testing (Planned) .....	19	8.2 Use-cases .....	39
4.3.1 User testing.....	19	8.3 System setup .....	40
4.3.2 Surveys and questionnaires .....	20	8.4 GitHub setup .....	44
4.3.3 Data analysis.....	21	9 Results .....	45
4.4 Tools used .....	22	9.1 End-user tests .....	45
		9.2 System's results .....	45
		9.3 The final "product" .....	46
		10 Dissemination.....	48



# Example 3 (3 project members/students)

11	Discussion.....	49
12	Future work .....	51
13	Conclusion .....	52
14	Appendix .....	53
	14.1 List of Acronyms.....	53
15	Reference List.....	54

# Example 4

Abstract.....	2	6.3.5 Performance requirements.....	25
Table of content.....	3	6.3.6 Security requirements.....	25
List of Figures.....	5	7 Implementation.....	25
List of Tables.....	5	7.1 Architecture.....	25
1 Background.....	6	7.2 Implementation Detail of Application (Front-end).....	26
1.1 Introduction.....	6	7.2.1 Register Component.....	27
1.2 Empatica E4 .....	6	7.2.2 Login Component .....	28
1.3 State of the art - literature review .....	7	7.2.3 Home Component.....	29
1.4 Stakeholders and Users .....	10	7.2.4 Connection between the app and the <i>Empatica E4</i> device.....	31
2 Aims.....	11	7.2.5 Dashboard Component.....	31
2.1 Overall aims.....	11	7.2.6 Record your migraine Component.....	35
2.2 Specific aims.....	11	7.2.7 Migraine Log Component.....	36
3 Methods.....	12	7.2.8 Notification Component.....	37
3.1 Design methods.....	12	7.3 Back-end .....	39
3.2 Test methods .....	13	7.3.1 Security.....	41
3.2.1 System testing.....	13	7.3.2 Docker.....	42
3.2.2 Usability testing .....	13	7.4 Description of system setup / Git frontpage.....	42
3.2.3 Analysis methods.....	13	8 Tests.....	45
4 Project plan.....	14	8.1 System Testing.....	45
4.1 Work packages .....	14	8.2 Usability Testing.....	46
4.2 Gantt chart.....	15	8.2.1 Users available for testing.....	46
4.3 Project personnel and competence.....	16	8.2.2 Methods of users' testing .....	47
5 Security and Ethics.....	17	9 Results.....	51
5.1 Data security and Privacy.....	17	9.1 End-user tests.....	51
5.2 Application to UiT's Data Protection Officer.....	18	9.2 Final product.....	53
6 System specification.....	18	10 Dissemination.....	53
6.1 System overview.....	19	11 Future Work .....	53
6.2 Use Case Diagram.....	19	12 Discussion and lessons learned .....	54
6.3 Requirements specification.....	20	12.1 Concerning our Gantt charts and work packages .....	55
6.3.1 Functional requirements.....	20	12.2 Architecture and Design .....	55
6.3.2 Non-functional requirements .....	23	12.3 What we were not able to do .....	55
6.3.3 Look-and-feel requirements .....	24	13 Conclusions.....	56
6.3.4 Usability requirements.....	24	14 References.....	57
		15 Attachments.....	59
		15.1 Code.....	59

# Example 4

(4 project  
members/students)

15.2 Application to UIT's Data Protection Officer .....	59
15.3 Response of UIT's Data Protection Officer to our Application.....	60

## List of Figures

Figure 1: Prisma Diagram showing the screening process of the literature review .....	8
Figure 2: Gantt chart including the proposed project schedule .....	15
Figure 3: Gantt chart of the actual project schedule.....	16
Figure 4: Use case diagram.....	19
Figure 5: Architecture of the system.....	26
Figure 6: Screenshot of the register page.....	27
Figure 7: Screenshot of the log-in page.....	29
Figure 8: Screenshot of the home page.....	30
Figure 9: Screenshot of the dashboard page - Turn on your device .....	32
Figure 10: Screenshot of the dashboard page - Device connected.....	33
Figure 11: Screenshot of the dashboard page - Past data charts .....	34
Figure 12: Screenshots of the Record your migraine page.....	36
Figure 13: Screenshots of the Migraine log page - Examples of records .....	36
Figure 14: Screenshots of the Migraine log page - Detailed records.....	37
Figure 15: Screenshots of the Notification Component - Time setting.....	38
Figure 16: Screenshots of the Notification Component - Actual push notification.....	39
Figure 17: Database models and relations .....	41
Figure 18: Paper prototype of the landing page of our application .....	48
Figure 19: Example 1 of questions of our questionnaire for the patients .....	49
Figure 20: Example 2 of questions of our questionnaire for the patients .....	50
Figure 21: Example 3 of a question of our questionnaire for the patients.....	51

## List of Tables

Table 1: Overview of the proposed work packages for this project .....	15
Table 2: Project personnel, competence, and role in the project .....	17
Table 3: Requirement 1 - Fetch health data from the Empatica E4 device.....	20
Table 4: Requirement 2 - Show the previously collected health data to the user.....	21
Table 5: Requirement 3 - Record patients' Migraine data through the patient's text input.....	21
Table 6: Requirement 4 - Show the previously collected Migraine data to the user.....	22
Table 7: Requirement 5 - Random tips as push notifications.....	22
Table 8: Requirement 6 - Show reminders as push notifications .....	23
Table 9: Requirement 7 - Display instructions to use the application .....	23
Table 10: Requirement 8 - Aesthetically inviting interfaces .....	24
Table 11: Requirement 9 - Supported devices.....	24
Table 12: Requirement 10 - Easy to learn and use .....	24
Table 13: Requirement 11 - Responsiveness.....	25
Table 14: Requirement 12 - Use of secure communication channels .....	25



# Example 5

Table of Contents.....	3	6.3 Project Phases.....	24
1 Introduction.....	6	6.4 Gantt Chart.....	25
2 Background.....	7	7 Security and Ethics.....	25
2.1 Diabetes Type 2 and Prediabetes.....	7	7.1 Data.....	26
2.2 Google Fit.....	7	7.2 User Privacy.....	26
2.3 T2DM and Prediabetes Lifestyle Intervention.....	8	7.2.1 General Principles.....	26
2.3.1 Diet and Exercise.....	8	7.2.2 Medical Software Regulations.....	27
2.3.2 Sleep.....	11	7.2.3 Data Storage.....	27
2.3.3 Possible Sleep Tracking Approaches.....	11	7.3 Security.....	27
2.3.4 Nudging.....	14	7.4 Preliminary Risk Assessment.....	28
2.4 Similar Systems.....	16	7.4.1 Threat Analysis.....	28
3 Users and User Involvement.....	17	7.4.2 Levels of Likelihood.....	29
3.1 Stakeholders.....	17	7.4.3 Levels of Consequence.....	30
3.2 User Involvement Plan.....	17	7.4.4 Risk Matrix.....	30
3.2.1 Project Phases.....	17	7.4.5 Final Risk Chart.....	31
3.2.2 Method Application.....	18	7.4.6 Conclusion on Risks.....	32
3.2.3 Selecting Users.....	18	8 System Specification.....	32
3.2.4 User Recruitment.....	18	8.1 System Overview.....	32
3.3 Results of User Involvement During Design Phase.....	19	8.2 Requirement Specification.....	33
3.3.1 Results of End-User Involvement.....	19	8.2.1 Requirement Specification for the Prototype Project.....	33
3.3.2 Results of Clinician or Medical Professional Involvement.....	19	8.2.2 Overview of Complete Banana System.....	36
3.3.3 Results of Researcher Involvement.....	19	9 Design and Implementation.....	38
4 Aims and Goals.....	20	9.1 System Architecture.....	38
4.1 Goals of the Complete Banana System.....	20	9.1.1 Main System Components.....	38
4.2 Aims of the Prototype Project.....	20	9.1.2 Researching the Best Frontend Operating Environment.....	40
4.3 Expected Results.....	20	9.1.3 Prototype Frontend Operating Environment.....	43
5 Methods.....	21	9.1.4 Prototype Backend Operating Environment.....	43
5.1 Idea Development.....	21	9.1.5 Software Interface Policies.....	44
5.2 Design Methods.....	21	9.2 System Usage.....	45
5.3 Testing Methods.....	22	9.2.1 Intended Usage of Complete Banana System.....	45
5.3.1 Function Testing.....	22	9.2.2 Prototype System Usage.....	46
5.3.2 Final User Testing.....	22	9.3 Physical Activity Monitoring.....	47
6 Project Plan.....	23	9.4 Diet Monitoring.....	47
6.1 Personnel and Their Competence.....	23	9.4.1 Implementation.....	48
6.2 Timeline.....	23	9.4.2 Development.....	48
		9.5 Data Flow.....	48
		9.6 End-user Interface Design.....	49

# Example 5

(4 project  
members/students)

10	Testing .....	51
10.1	Functional Testing .....	51
10.2	User Testing .....	51
11	Results .....	52
11.1	Prototyping Results .....	52
11.2	Research and Planning Results .....	52
11.2.1	Results of Literature reviews .....	52
11.2.2	Results of User Involvement .....	53
11.2.3	Results of Risk Assessment .....	54
12	Discussion and Evaluation .....	54
12.1	Prototype .....	54
12.2	Research and Planning .....	55
12.2.1	Research and Planning in General .....	55
12.2.2	User Involvement .....	55
12.2.3	Personnel Contributions .....	56
12.2.4	Ethics and Requirements .....	57
12.2.5	More Research .....	57
12.3	General Project Evaluation .....	57
13	Conclusion .....	58
14	Dissemination .....	58
14.1	Possible Distribution Strategy .....	59
15	Future Work .....	59
15.1	Sleep Tracking .....	59
15.2	Exercise Tracking .....	59
15.3	Backend .....	59
15.4	Diet Tracking .....	60
15.5	Nudging and Software Imitated Interaction .....	61
15.6	Food Item Database .....	61
15.7	Frontend and Gamification .....	62
15.8	Continued User Involvement .....	62
16	Acknowledgments .....	62
	References .....	63
	Appendix 1: Letter to with UiT DPO .....	69
	Appendix 2: Conversation with UiT DPO .....	71

# Content, more specific

- Abstract (part of the Dissemination Assignment)
- Table of content
  - Mandatory for all reports
- Background;
  - About your use case (PCOS/Nutrition)
  - State of the art (literature review, research and technologies/solutions)
  - Stakeholders, and their needs and view (Lecture by Abbot + meeting with clinicians + patients/users)

# Content, more specific

- Problem statement
  - What, Why and How (will be covered in lecture on Thursday)
- Aims
  - Overall aim;
  - Specific aims;
- Methods
  - Design methods (paper prototyping?, mock-ups?, programming language, Volere/requirements, etc.)
  - Test methods (incl. recruitment, and systems used)
  - Analysis methods (of results)

# Content, more specific

- Plans
  - Work packages (typically 3-5, Work package leaders, tasks)
  - Gantt chart (time)
  - Project personnel and your competence (how your team is able to perform the project, and the division of the work between you)
- Security and Ethics
  - Data Security
  - Privacy;
  - Application to UiT's Data Protection Officer / Personvernombud
- System specification
  - Early draft / system overview
  - Requirement specification
  - Final layout of system

# Content, more specific

- Implementation
  - Architecture, illustrated with figures,
  - Use of the system, e.g. use case diagram
  - Data structures, databases, flow of data
  - Print screen of all system components
  - Description of system setup / Git frontpage. (Example from Pietro's juice machine: <https://github.com/pr008/house-of-carbs> )
- Tests
  - System testing:
    - Function tests and/or Test of Performance
  - User testing, e.g.:
    - Questionnaires
    - Interviews
    - Meetings user representatives
    - Analysis of Online patient user groups



# Content, more specific

- Results
  - End-user tests (preferably both patients and clinicians)
  - Systems' results, and
  - The final “product”
- Dissemination
  - Popular science article, abstract, poster, other
- Discussion and Conclusion
  - Reflect on your results, how it differed from other systems, what you learned, should have done differently, etc.
- References
- Attachment (Code, questionnaires, abstracts, Informed consent, print screen of the front page(s) of your project in Git, etc.)

Questions or comments?

