Advanced Industrial Organization II Problem Set 2

Eliot Abrams Hyunmin Park Alexandre Sollaci February 10, 2016

Question 1

Say the utility of consumer i when buying product j is given by

$$u_{i,j} = \alpha_i(w_i - p_j) + \mathbf{x}_i'\beta_i + \xi_j + \varepsilon_{i,j}$$

and let the utility of the outside good be normalized to zero. If we include a constant here, then the β_i on the constant can be interpreted as the base utility that consumer i gets from consuming an "inside good" as opposed to the outside good. So say $\mathbf{x}_j^{[1]} = 1$ and we are estimating demand for cars and the outside good is not purchasing a car at all. Then $\beta_i^{[1]}$ will capture the utility that a consumer gets when buying a car, regardless of its characteristics. Note that $\beta_i^{[1]}$ may vary between individuals, since the value of a car a person probably depends on their own demographic characteristics (this is the approach we follow in our specification of the BLP below).

Question 2

We estimate the simple logit model via minimization in the MPEC formulation. Our estimates for α and β are:

$$\hat{\alpha} = 1.8185$$
 and $\hat{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9638 \\ 1.4510 \\ 1.7228 \\ 0.3709 \end{bmatrix}$

 β is ordered such that the first element refers to the coefficient on the constant and the second through fourth refer to the coefficients on variables x_1, x_2 , and x_3 respectively.

Since we follow the MPEC approach, we also have estimates for the vector of structural errors, ξ . It is then straightforward to compute the optimal GMM weighting matrix as

$$\hat{W} = \frac{1}{J} \left[Z' \hat{D}_{\xi} Z \right]^{-1}$$

where J is the number of products \times markets and

$$\hat{D}_{\xi} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\xi}_{1}^{2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \hat{\xi}_{2}^{2} & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \hat{\xi}_{J}^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Question 3

Let K be the number of columns in \mathbf{x} (in this particular case, K = 4). There are then 1 + K + 3(K + 1) parameters, since

- α is a scalar
- β is a $K \times 1$ vector, the same dimension as **x**
- Π has two $(K+1)\times 1$ blocks: Π_{inc} and Π_{age} , referring to income and age of each consumer. In the way we set up our model, the first K entries of both Π_{inc} and Π_{age} will interact with product characteristics, \mathbf{x} , in the market-share equation, while the $K+1^{th}$ entry will interact with price, \mathbf{p}
- $\sqrt{\Sigma}$ has $K \times 1$ parameters, since we assume that Σ is a diagonal matrix. As before, in the way we set up our model, $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_K$ will interact with characteristics while σ_{K+1} will interact with prices in the market-share equation

We can define the market-share in the model by

$$s_{j} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} x_{jk} Y_{k,n}^{x} - Y_{n}^{p} p_{j} + \xi_{j}\right)}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^{J} \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} x_{jk} Y_{k,n}^{x} - Y_{n}^{p} p_{j} + \xi_{j}\right)}$$

where

$$Y_{k,n}^{x} = \beta^{(k)} + \Pi_{\text{inc}}^{(k)} \text{inc}_n + \Pi_{\text{age}}^{(k)} \text{age}_n + \sigma_k \nu_n^k$$

and

$$Y_n^p = \alpha + \prod_{\text{inc}}^{(K+1)} \text{inc}_n + \prod_{\text{age}}^{(K+1)} \text{age}_n + \sigma_{K+1} \nu_n^{K+1}.$$

Question 4

As described in the section below, the solver does not converge for our current BLP implementation. The estimates we return here are KNITRO's best guess for the parameters after running for 30 iterations. Note that KNITRO does not throw up any errors or warnings and there is limited improvement in teh objective after about 10 iterations. With that disclaimer, our estimates are:

$$\hat{\alpha} = -0.8699, \quad \hat{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9638 \\ 2.6917 \\ 1.0280 \\ 1.3045 \end{bmatrix},$$

 β is ordered such that the first element refers to the coefficient on the constant and the second through fourth refer to the coefficients on variables x_1, x_2 , and x_3 respectively. Further,

$$\hat{\Pi}_{inc} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.3283e^{-7} \\ 0.2348 \\ 0.7485 \\ -0.3961 \\ 0.3898 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\Pi}_{age} = \begin{bmatrix} 3.9302e^{-7} \\ 0.0352 \\ -0.0503 \\ 0.0090 \\ 0.1638 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} -4.0364e^{-12} \\ -0.9308 \\ -0.1276 \\ -1.7015 \\ -1.5043 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Where these estimates are ordered such that the first element contains the random coefficients that impact α , the second element contains the coefficients that impact the constant, and the third through fifth elements contain the the random coefficients that impact coefficients on variables x_1, x_2 , and x_3 respectively.

Comparing the full BLP estimates with the simple logit estimates, we can see that NEED TO COMPLETE

A brief note on the estimation procedure

The program we wrote to estimate the simple logit model was written in Julia and uses the JuMP (Julia for Mathematical Programming) package and the Ipopt solver.

We chose Julia to exploit its speed advantage as a compiled language and to gain experience programming in a new language. Further, JuMP facilitates auto-differentiation of the gradient and the constraints—theoretically alleviating the need for the user to painstakingly code the exact Jacobian and Hessians.

The combination of Julia, JuMP, and Ipopt worked well to solve the simple logit model—performing the auto-differentiation and optimization in under 4 seconds.

However, unsurprisingly, the full BLP problem proved to be much more demanding on the auto-differentiation routines. The latest release version of JuMP (v 0.11.3) proved unable to build the internal model for input into the solver. We wrote to the developers of JuMP, who informed us that the code for the package had recently been rewritten and that we should try the development version. To do so yourself, run the following commands from Julia:

```
Pkg.checkout("JuMP")
Pkg.update()
```

At the same time, we also switched to using the KNITRO solver and disabled the auto-differentiation of the Hessian (by deleting the text ":Hess" in line 341 in the source code at JuMP/src/nlp.jl). The results we present for question 3 are then based on this last approach (Julia + JuMP development version + KNITRO); the optimization does not converge yet, but, on the other hand, neither JuMP nor KNITRO is returning any errors or warnings and the parameters seem to evolve nicely with each iteration.

Separately, we also tried to directly submit the BLP model to the Ipopt solver (without using JuMP to build the internal model). This approach involved manually coding the exact Jacobian of the constraints. Unfortunately, this approach has not worked for us. Ipopt returns a segmentation error upon trying to call the Jacobian.

Coding Appendix

```
#######################
##
       Setup
using Ipopt
using KNITR0
using JuMP
using DataFrames
EnableNLPResolve()
#####################
       Data
# Load data
product = DataFrames.readtable("dataset_cleaned.csv", separator = ',',
header = true);
population = DataFrames.readtable("population_data.csv", separator = ',',
header = true);
# Define variables
x = product[:,3:6];
p = product[:,7];
z = product[:,8:13];
s0 = product[:,14];
s = product[:,2];
iv = [x z];
inc = population[:,1];
age = population[:,2];
v = population[:,3:7];
# Store dimensions
K = size(x,2);
L = K + size(z, 2);
J = size(x,1);
N = size(v,1);
M = size(v,2);
## Simple Logit Model ##
# Setup the simple logit model
logit = Model(solver = IpoptSolver(tol = 1e-8, max_iter = 1000,
output_file = "logit.txt"));
# Define variables
@defVar(logit, g[1:L]);
@defVar(logit, xi[1:J]);
@defVar(logit, alpha);
@defVar(logit, beta[1:K]);
# We minimize the gmm objective with the identity as the weighting matrix
# subject to the constraints g = sum_j xi_j iv_j and market share equations
@setObjective(logit, Min, sum{g[l]^2,l=1:L});
@addConstraint(
    logit,
    constr[l=1:L],
    g[l]==sum\{xi[j]*iv[j,l], j=1:J\}
@addNLConstraint(
    xi[j] = log(s[j]) - log(s0[j]) + alpha*p[j] - sum{beta[k]*x[j,k],k=1:K}
);
# Solve the model
status = solve(logit);
```

```
# Print the results
println("alpha = ", getValue(alpha))
println("beta = ", getValue(beta[1:K]))
# Save results to use in the setup of BLP Model
g_logit=getValue(g);
xi_logit=getValue(xi);
alpha_logit=getValue(alpha);
beta_logit=getValue(beta);
BLP Model
# Calculate the optimal weighting matrix
iv = convert(Array, iv)
W = inv((1/J)*iv'*Diagonal(diag(xi_logit*xi_logit'))*iv);
# Setup the BLP model
BLP = Model(solver = KnitroSolver(KTR_PARAM_HESSOPT=6,
KTR_PARAM_OUTMODE=2, KTR_PARAM_LINSOLVER=5, KTR_PARAM_MAXIT=100));
# Defining variables - set initial values to estimates from the logit model
@defVar(BLP, g[x=1:L], start=(g_logit[x]));
@defVar(BLP, xi[x=1:J], start=(y_togit[x]));
@defVar(BLP, xi[x=1:J], start=(xi_logit[x]));
@defVar(BLP, alpha, start=alpha_logit);
@defVar(BLP, beta[x=1:K], start=beta_logit[x]);
# Defining variables - heterogeneity parameters
@defVar(BLP, piInc[1:K+1]);
@defVar(BLP, piAge[1:K+1]);
@defVar(BLP, sigma[1:K+1]);
# We minimize the gmm objective - using the optimal weighting matrix!
# subject to g = sum_j xi_j iv_j and market share equations
@setObjective(BLP, Min,sum{sum{\( \vert \) [i] * g[i] * g[j], i=1:L}, j=1:L});
@addConstraint(
     BLP.
     constr[l=1:L],
     g[l]==sum\{xi[j]*iv[j,l],j=1:J\}
@defNLExpr(
     BLP,
     denom[n=1:N],
     sum{
                -(alpha+piInc[K+1]*inc[n]+piAqe[K+1]*aqe[n]+siqma[K+1]*v[n,K+1])*p[h]
               +sum{(beta[k]+piInc[k]*inc[n]+piAge[k]*age[n]+sigma[k]*v[n,k])*x[h,k],
                k=1:K +xi[h] ) , h=1:J}
@addNLConstraint(
     BLP.
     constr[j=1:J],
     s[j] == (1/N) *
          sum{
               exp(beta[1]
                     -(alpha+piInc[K+1]*inc[n]+piAqe[K+1]*aqe[n]+siqma[K+1]*v[n,K+1])*p[j]
                      +sum{(beta[k]+piInc[k]*inc[n]+piAge[k]*age[n]+sigma[k]*v[n,k])*x[j,k],
                     k=1:K} +xi[j])/denom[n] ,n=1:N}
);
# Solve the model
status = solve(BLP);
# Print the results
printl the results
println("alpha = ", getValue(alpha))
println("beta = ", getValue(beta[1:K]))
println("piInc = ", getValue(piInc[1:K+1]))
println("piAge = ", getValue(piAge[1:K+1]))
println("sigma = ", getValue(sigma[1:K+1]))
```