

# Math 137 Section Notes

## Noga Alon's Combinatorial Nullstellensatz (Ref: "Comb. Nsts." by Noga Alon)

Warmup:

Prove the Cauchy-Davenport Thm:

Thm 3.3 <sup>top</sup> let  $p$  be a prime number and let  $\emptyset \neq A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}/p$ .  
Then the set  $A+B$  of sums  $a+b$  ( $a \in A, b \in B$ )  
has size  
 $\#(A+B) \geq \min(|A|+|B|-1, p)$

Pf (from first principles)

We induct on  $|A|$ .

Base case: clear. So assume  $|A| \geq 2$ . Fix distinct  $a, a' \in A$ .

For  $0 \neq b \in B$ , let  $V_{ab} = (A+b) \cap (a+B)$

$$\text{let } W_{ab} = (A+b) \cup (a+B)$$

Case 1:  $V_{ab} = A+b \ \forall b \in B \Rightarrow A+b \subset a+B \ \forall b$   
 $\Rightarrow A+B \subset a+B$

$$\text{let } x = a - a' \neq 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow x+B \subset B \Leftrightarrow B = \mathbb{Z}/p$$

$$\Rightarrow |A+B| = p$$

Case 2:  $V_{ab} \subsetneq A+b$  for some  $b \in B$ .

Note:  $a+b \in V_{ab} \cap W_{ab}$

$$\Rightarrow \#(A+B) \geq \#(V_{ab} + W_{ab}) \geq \min(|V_{ab}| + |W_{ab}| - 1, p)$$

$\downarrow$

$\vee$  inductive hypothesis

$$V_{ab} + W_{ab} \subset (A+b) + A+B$$

By PIE,

$$|W_{ab}| = |A+b| + |a+B| - |V_{ab}| = |A| + |B| - |V_{ab}|$$

□

# The Combinatorial Nullstellensatz

Recall: Hilbert's Nullstellensatz (ask someone to do this)

Thm 1.1 Let  $F$  be an arbitrary field. Let  $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a poly. in  $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Let  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  be nonempty subsets of  $F$ . Define  $g_i(x_i) = \prod_{s \in S_i} (x_i - s)$ . If  $f$  vanishes over all the common zeroes of  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  (i.e., if  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) = 0 \forall s_i \in S_i$ ), then  $\exists h_1, \dots, h_n \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  s.t.  $\deg(g_i) + \deg(h_i) \leq \deg(f)$  and

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i g_i$$

Thm 1.2 Let  $F$  and  $f$  be as above. Suppose  $\deg(f) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n t_i$ , where each  $t_i$  is a nonneg. int. Suppose coeff. of  $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{t_i}$  is nonzero. Then, if  $S_1, \dots, S_n \subset F$  s.t.  $|S_i| > t_i$ , there are  $s_1 \in S_1, \dots, s_n \in S_n$  s.t.

$$f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \neq 0.$$

Lemma 2.1 Let  $P \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Suppose  $\deg_{x_i}(P) \leq t_i \forall i$ . Let  $S_i \subset F$  be a set of  $t_i + 1$  distinct elts of  $F$ . If

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_n,$$

then  $P \equiv 0$ .

Pf (leave as exercise if no time)

Induction. Base case: clear.

Suppose holds for  $n-1$ . Consider  $P$  as a polynomial in  $x_n$

$$P = \sum_{i=0}^{t_n} P_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) x_n^i.$$

~~Each  $P_i$  has~~  $\deg_{x_j}(P_i) \leq t_j \forall (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_{n-1}$ , the poly in

$$x \quad P(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in S_n \Rightarrow P(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow P_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = 0 \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_{n-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_i \equiv 0 \quad \forall i$$

$$\Rightarrow P \equiv 0. \quad \square$$

# Proof of Thm 1.1

Define  $t_i = |S_i| - 1 \quad \forall i$ :

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S, x_1, \dots, x_n \in S_n.$$

For all  $i$ , let

$$g_i(x_i) = \prod_{s \in S_i} (x_i - s) = x_i^{t_i+1} - \sum_{j=0}^{t_i} g_{ij} x_i^j$$

Note:  $\forall x_i \in S_i, g_i(x_i) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow x_i^{t_i+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{t_i} g_{ij} x_i^j$$

Let  $\bar{f}$  be the poly. obtained by writing  $f$  as a lin. comb. of monomials and replacing each occurrence of  $x_i^{f_i}$  for  $f_i > t_i$ .

$\deg_{x_i}(\bar{f}) \leq t_i \quad \forall i$ , and obtained from  $f$  by subtracting  $h_i g_i$  for

$$\deg(h_i) + \deg(g_i) \leq \deg(f).$$

Also,

$$\bar{f}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S, x_1, \dots, x_n \in S_n$$
$$= 0$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$(\text{Lemma 2.1}) \quad \bar{f} \equiv 0 \quad \square$$

Pf of Thm 1.2 ~~Wlog~~ assume  $|S_i| = t_i + 1$  for all  $i$ . Suppose the result is false. Define

$$g_i(x_i) = \prod_{s \in S_i} (x_i - s).$$

By Thm 1.1 there are polynomials  $h_1, \dots, h_n \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  satisfying  ~~$\deg(h_i) \leq t_i$~~   
 $\deg(g_i) + \deg(h_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n t_i$  so that  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i g_i$ . By hypothesis, coeff of  $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{t_i}$  is  $\neq 0$  in  $f$ ; therefore so is the coeff. on the RHS.

The deg of  $h_i g_i$  is at most  $\deg(f)$ . If  $\exists$  monomials of  $\deg(f)$  in  $h_i g_i$  they are divisible by  $x_i^{t_i+1}$ .

$\Rightarrow$  coefficient of  $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{t_i}$  in  $\sum_i h_i g_i$  is 0, contradiction!  $\square$

# Applications to Combinatorics

Thm 3.1 (cong. by Artin, 1934; Chencalkey, 1935) Let  $p$  be a prime; let  $P_1, \dots, P_m \in \mathbb{Z}/p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . If  $n > \sum_{i=1}^m \deg(P_i)$  and the  $P_i$  have a common zero  $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ , then they have another common zero.

Pf Suppose otherwise. Let

$$f = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - P_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{p-1}) - \delta \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{\substack{c \in \mathbb{Z}/p \\ c \neq c_j}} (x_j - c);$$

choose  $\delta$  s.t.  $f(c_1, \dots, c_n) = 0$ .

This determines  $\delta$ ; note  $\delta \neq 0$ . Moreover,

$$f(s_1, \dots, s_n) = 0$$

$\forall (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{Z}/p^n$ . By assumption,  $\exists P_j$  s.t.  $P_j(s_1, \dots, s_n) \neq 0$ , so  $1 - P_j(s_1, \dots, s_n)^{p-1} = 0$ . Since  $s_i \neq c_i$  for some  $i$ ,

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{\substack{c \in \mathbb{Z}/p \\ c \neq c_j}} (x_j - c) \neq 0 \text{ at } s_1, \dots, s_n.$$

$$\prod_{\substack{c \in \mathbb{Z}/p \\ c \neq c_i}} (s_i - c) = 0 \Rightarrow f(s_1, \dots, s_n) = 0$$

Let  $t_i = p-1$  for all  $i$ ; the coeff of  $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{t_i}$  in  $f$  is  $-\delta$ , since the total degree of

$$\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - P_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{p-1})$$

$$\text{is } (p-1) \sum_{i=1}^m \deg(P_i) < (p-1)n.$$

Apply Thm 1.2 w/  $S_i = \mathbb{Z}/p \ \forall i$ ; thus there are

$s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathbb{Z}/p$  s.t.  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \neq 0$ . Contradiction.  $\square$

Thm 3.2 (Cauchy-Davenport, revisited) If  $p$  is prime and  $A$  and  $B$  are nonempty subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ , then

$$|A+B| \geq \min(p, |A|+|B|-1)$$

Pf If  $|A|+|B| > p$ , the result is trivial

$$(\forall g \in \mathbb{Z}/p, A \cap (g-B) \neq \emptyset, \text{ so } A+B = \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

Thus, assume that  $|A|+|B| \leq p$  and that the result is false so that  $|A+B| \leq |A|+|B|-2$ .

Let  $C \subset \mathbb{Z}/p$  be s.t.  $A+B \subset C$ ,  $|C| = |A|+|B|-2$ .

Define  $f(x,y) = \prod_{c \in C} (x+y-c)$ . By def.,

$$f(a,b) = 0 \quad \forall a \in A, b \in B$$

Let  $t_1 = |A|-1$ ,  $t_2 = |B|-1$ . ~~Not~~

The coeff. of  $x^{t_1} y^{t_2}$  in  $f$  is  $\binom{|A|+|B|-2}{|A|-1}$ , which is nonzero in  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  since  $|A|+|B|-2 < p$ .

Apply Theorem 1.2 ( $n=2$ ,  $S_1=A$ ,  $S_2=B$ )

$\Rightarrow \exists a \in A, b \in B$  s.t.  $f(a,b) \neq 0$ . Contradiction  $\square$



# Applications to Graph Theory

Recall: A graph  $G$  is a set of vertices  $V$  and edges  $E$  connecting the vertices. If an edge connects 2 vertices, then they are said to be adjacent.



The degree of a vertex  $v \in V$  is the # of vertices adjacent to it.

A loop in a graph is an edge connecting a vertex to itself.

A graph is called p-regular if all its vertices have degree  $p$ .

Theorem 6.1 For any prime  $p$ , any loopless graph  $G=(V,E)$  w/ average degree  $> 2p-2$  and maximum degree  $\leq 2p-1$  contains a ~~p-regular~~  $p$ -regular subgraph.

Pf Let  $(a_{v,e})_{v \in V, e \in E}$  denote the incidence ~~matrix~~ matrix of  $G$ , defined by

$$a_{v,e} = 1 \text{ if } v \in e;$$

$$a_{v,e} = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

~~For~~ For each edge  $e \in E$ , consider the ~~new~~ ~~at~~ ~~the~~ variable  $x_e$ , and ~~also~~ consider the polynomial

$$F = \prod_{v \in V} \left( 1 - \left( \sum_{e \in E} a_{v,e} x_e \right)^{p-1} \right) - \prod_{e \in E} (1 - x_e)$$

over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . ~~Then~~

The deg of  $F$  term:  $(p-1)|V| < |E|$  (b/c avg. deg  $> 2p-2$ )  
 (recall avg deg =  $\frac{|E|}{|V|} = \frac{\# \text{ edges}}{\text{nodes}}$ )  
 $\Rightarrow \deg(F) = |E|$ .

The coeff. of ~~the~~  $\prod_{e \in E} x_e$  in  $F$  is  $(-1)^{|E|+1} \neq 0$ .

By Theorem 1.2,  $\exists$  values  $x_e \in \{0,1\}$  s.t.

$$F(x_e)_{e \in E} \neq 0.$$

$(x_e)_{e \in E} \neq 0$ , since  $F(0) = 0$ .  $\rightarrow = \deg(v)$

Additionally,  $\sum_{e \in E} a_{v,e} x_e = 0 \pmod p \forall v$  and  $(x_e)_{e \in E}$ , o.w.  $F(x_e)_{e \in E} = 0$ .

$\Rightarrow$  subgraph w/ all edges  $e \in E$  is ~~p-regular~~ has deg's div by  $p$ ;  
 since the max deg is  $2p-1$  we are done  $\square$

Theorem 6.1 can be proved for prime powers too, but open for integers (at least 2 think...) for arbitrary

Def A coloring of a graph  $G$  is a way of coloring the vertices of a graph s.t. no two adjacent vertices are of the same color.

A  $k$ -coloring is a coloring that ~~uses~~ uses  $k$  colors.

~~\*\*\*~~ DEFINE GRAPH POLY'S

~~Thm 9.2 (Kleitman and Lovász). A graph  $G$  is not  $k$ -colorable iff the graph polynomial  $f_G$  lies in the ideal gen. by all graph polynomials of complete graphs on  $k+1$  vertices.~~

Thm 9.3 (Alon and Tarzi) A graph on  $n$  vertices is not  $k$ -colorable iff the graph polynomial  $f_G$  lies in the ideal gen. by the polynomials  $x_i^k - 1$ , ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ).

Pf If  $f_G$  lies in the ideal gen. by  $x_i^k - 1$ , then  $f_G$  vanishes when each  $x_i$  ~~is~~ is a  $k$ th root of unity.

$\Rightarrow$  Any coloring of  $G$  by the  $k$ th roots of unity,  $\exists (i, j)$  s.t.  $(i, j) \in E$  and  $c(v_i) = c(v_j)$

Suppose  $G$  not  $k$ -colorable. Then  $f_G$  ~~is~~ vanishes whenever each  $g_i(x_i) = x_i^k - 1$  vanishes.

Apply Thm 1.1.  $\square$

Def The graph polynomial of  $G=(V,E)$ ,  $V=\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$

$$f_G = f_G(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{\substack{i < j \\ (v_i, v_j) \in E}} (x_i - x_j)$$