ECON 251

Discussion Section

Week 2 Solutions

- 1. Review some important theoretical concepts
 - Law of iterated expectations (LIE)

$$E(Y) = E_X(E[Y|X])$$

Populations, parameters, samples, statistics... and their distributions!

$$Y \sim f(y; \theta)$$
 $\theta = (\mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$ $\{Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_N\}$ $T(Y_1, \dots, Y_N)$

$$\{Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_N\}$$

$$T(Y_1,\ldots,Y_N)$$

Estimators (aka statistics) vs estimates

$$T(Y_1, \dots, Y_N)$$

$$T(Y_1, \dots, Y_N)$$
 $T(y_1, \dots, y_N)$

Properties: finite sample (bias, variance, efficiency) vs "large" sample or asymptotic (consistency, asymptotic variance)

$$T(Y_1, ..., Y_N)$$
 is unbiased for θ whenever $E[T(Y_1, ..., Y_N)] = \theta$

$$T(Y_1,\ldots,Y_N)$$
 is consistent for θ whenever $\lim_{N\to\infty}T(Y_1,\ldots,Y_N)=\theta$

Note that plim is equivalent to the regular limits: $\lim_{N\to\infty} Bias[T(Y_1,...,Y_N)]=0$

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} Var[T(Y_1,\ldots,Y_N)] = 0$$

Law of large numbers (LLN)

If $\{Y_1,\dots,Y_N\}$ is a random sample from population $Y\sim f(y;\mu_Y,\sigma_Y^2)$, then

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \overline{Y} = \mu_Y$$

In other words, the mean of a random sample $\overline{Y} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_i$ is always consistent for the population mean $\mu_Y := E[Y]$ of any random variable Y!

• Central limit theorem (CLT) If $\{Y_1, ..., Y_N\}$ is a random sample from population $Y \sim f(y; \mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$ with finite variance $\sigma_Y^2 < \infty$, then the "standardized" random variable

$$Z \coloneqq \frac{\overline{Y} - \mu_Y}{\sigma_Y}$$

has an asymptotic distribution that is standard normal: $Z \sim N(0,1)$ as $N \to \infty$.

- · Regression as conditional expectation
- 2. Prove that the method of moments estimator $\hat{\sigma}_{MOM}^2 \coloneqq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i \overline{X})^2$ is consistent for population variance parameter $\sigma_X^2 \coloneqq E[(X \mu_X)^2]$ of random variable $X \sim f_X(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{N \to \infty} \widehat{\sigma}_{MOM}^2 &= \min_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \overline{X})^2 = \min_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i^2 - 2X_i \overline{X} + \overline{X}^2) \\ &= \min_{N \to \infty} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i^2 - \overline{X}^2 \right] = \min_{N \to \infty} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i^2 \right] - \min_{N \to \infty} \overline{X}^2 \\ &= E[X^2] - \left[\min_{N \to \infty} \overline{X} \right]^2 = E[X^2] - E[X]^2 \\ &= E[(X - \mu_X)^2] = \sigma_X^2 \end{aligned}$$

3. Let $\{X_1, ..., X_N\}$ denote a random sample of size N from $X \sim f_X(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$. Consider the following candidate estimators of the population mean:

$$\hat{\mu}_1 \coloneqq \overline{X} + \frac{1}{N}$$
 $\hat{\mu}_2 \coloneqq 0.9 \cdot \overline{X}$ $\hat{\mu}_3 \coloneqq \frac{X_1 + X_N}{2}$

Which estimators are unbiased for μ_X ? Which are consistent for μ_X ?

$$\begin{split} E[\hat{\mu}_1] &\coloneqq E\left[\overline{X} + \frac{1}{N}\right] = E\left[\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N X_i\right] + E\left[\frac{1}{N}\right] = \frac{1}{N}E\left[\sum_{i=1}^N X_i\right] + \frac{1}{N} \\ &= \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N E[X_i] + \frac{1}{N} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \mu_X + \frac{1}{N} = \frac{1}{N}N\mu_X + \frac{1}{N} = \mu_X + \frac{1}{N} \neq \mu_X \text{ -> biased!} \\ E[\hat{\mu}_2] &\coloneqq E\left[0.9 \cdot \overline{X}\right] = 0.9 \cdot E\left[\overline{X}\right] = 0.9 \cdot \mu_X \neq \mu_X \qquad \qquad \text{-> biased!} \\ E[\hat{\mu}_3] &\coloneqq E\left[\frac{X_1 + X_N}{2}\right] = \frac{1}{2} \cdot E[X_1 + X_N] = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_X + \mu_X) = \mu_X \qquad \qquad \text{-> unbiased!} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{N \to \infty}{\text{plim}} \, \hat{\mu}_1 = \underset{N \to \infty}{\text{plim}} \left[\overline{X} + \frac{1}{N} \right] = \underset{N \to \infty}{\text{plim}} \left[\overline{X} \right] + \underset{N \to \infty}{\text{plim}} \left[\frac{1}{N} \right] = \mu_X & -> \text{consistent!} \\ & \underset{N \to \infty}{\text{plim}} \, \hat{\mu}_2 = \underset{N \to \infty}{\text{plim}} \left[0.9 \cdot \overline{X} \right] = 0.9 \cdot \underset{N \to \infty}{\text{plim}} \left[\overline{X} \right] = 0.9 \cdot \mu_X \neq \mu_X & -> \text{not consistent!} \\ & \text{Since } Var(\hat{\mu}_3) = \frac{1}{2} \, \sigma_X^2 \text{, we have } \underset{N \to \infty}{\text{lim}} \, \frac{1}{2} \, \sigma_X^2 \neq 0 & -> \text{not consistent!} \end{aligned}$$

4. Let $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + e$ denote a linear population regression function. Prove that whenever Cov(X,e) = 0 we can write the values of $\{\beta_0,\beta_1\}$ in terms of E(X), E(Y), Var(Y), and Cov(X,Y). What is the economic meaning behind the assertation that the value of the parameter Cov(X,e) must be = 0 in the population? (Bonus: if it fails, why would an infinite sample, or the whole population, be useless for establishing causality?)

$$Cov(X, Y) = 0$$

$$Cov(X, Y) - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X) = 0$$

$$Cov(X, Y) - Cov(X, \beta_0) - Cov(X, \beta_1 X) = 0$$

$$Cov(X, Y) - 0 - \beta_1 Cov(X, X) = 0$$

$$Cov(X, Y) - \beta_1 Var(X) = 0$$

$$\beta_1 = \frac{Cov(X, Y)}{Var(X)} = \frac{E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]}{E[X^2] - E[X]^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_1^{MOM} := \frac{Cov(X, Y)}{Var(X)} = \hat{\beta}_1^{OLS}$$

$$E[Y] = E[\beta_0 + \beta_1 X + e]$$

$$E[Y] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 E[X] + E[e]$$

$$E[Y] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 E[X] + 0$$

$$\beta_0 = E[Y] - \beta_1 E[X]$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_0^{MOM} := \widehat{E[Y]} - \hat{\beta}_1^{MOM} \cdot \widehat{E[X]} = \overline{Y} - \hat{\beta}_1^{MOM} \overline{X} = \hat{\beta}_1^{OLS}$$