

Convexity properties of stochastic programming models

Paolo Brandimarte
Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche
Politecnico di Torino

e-mail: paolo.brandimarte@polito.it
URL: <http://staff.polito.it/paolo.brandimarte>

March 5, 2025

REFERENCES

- P. Kall, S.W. Wallace. *Stochastic Programming*. Wiley, 1994. The book pdf can be downloaded from
<http://stoprog.org/index.html?resources.html>
- J.R. Birge, F. Louveaux. *Introduction to Stochastic Programming*, (2nd ed.). Springer, 2011.
- J.L. Higle, S. Sen. *Stochastic Decomposition: A Statistical Method for Large Scale Stochastic Linear Programming*. Kluwer, 1996.

CHANCE CONSTRAINED PROGRAMMING

Consider the general, joint chance constraint

$$\mathbb{P}(\{\omega \mid \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}, \xi(\omega)) \leq \mathbf{0}\}) \geq 1 - \alpha$$

→ Variabile casuale

where \mathbf{g} is a vector-valued function.

A point $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ is feasible if the set

$$S(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = \{\omega \mid \mathbf{g}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \xi(\omega)) \leq \mathbf{0}\} \quad (1)$$

has probability measure of at least $1 - \alpha$.

Let \mathcal{F} be the field of all events and $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ the collection of events with probability measure at least $1 - \alpha$. *→ c' uno spazio di probabilità*
→ Famiglia degli eventi con prob. almeno $1 - \alpha$

Then $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ is feasible if there exists at least one event $G \in \mathcal{G}$ such that

$\mathbf{g}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \xi(\omega)) \leq \mathbf{0}, \forall \omega \in G$:

→ è un insieme della famiglia \mathcal{G}

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} \in \bigcap_{\omega \in G} \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}, \xi(\omega)) \leq \mathbf{0}\}.$$

↓ Intersezione nello spazio delle \mathbf{x}

CHANCE CONSTRAINED PROGRAMMING

Then, the feasible set \mathcal{X} is the union of all such vectors:

$$\mathcal{X} = \bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \bigcap_{\omega \in G} \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}, \xi(\omega)) \leq \mathbf{0}\}$$

Even if each set $\{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}, \xi(\omega)) \leq \mathbf{0}\}$ is convex for all ξ , we should not expect convexity in general, since the union of convex sets is not guaranteed to be convex.

Convexity of the overall problem depends on the type of distribution (continuous vs. discrete) and its CDF, the kind of constraints (joint or not), and the functions in \mathbf{g} (linear, convex/concave, or arbitrary).

CCP: AN EASY (NONTRIVIAL) CASE

Let us consider a random linear constraint $\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x} \leq b$, where $\mathbf{a} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$, and require $\mathbb{P}\{\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x} \leq b\} \geq \eta$.

*Io assumiamo nota
Vettore di variabili casuali multivariate
y gioco il ruolo di \mathbf{a}*

Matrice di covarianza

For a given vector \mathbf{x} , we have $\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x} \sim N(\nu, \sigma^2)$, where $\nu = \mu^T \mathbf{x}$ and $\sigma^2 = \mathbf{x}^T \Sigma \mathbf{x}$.

*forma quadratica
convessa*

Using the standard normal CDF $\Phi(z)$, we rewrite the constraint as:

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x} - \nu}{\sigma} \leq \frac{b - \nu}{\sigma}\right\} = \Phi\left(\frac{b - \nu}{\sigma}\right) \geq \eta \iff \frac{b - \nu}{\sigma} \geq \Phi^{-1}(\eta)$$

c'è il quantile al η

Cerchiamo di togliere la radice

Assuming $\eta > 0.5$, so that $\Phi^{-1}(\eta) > 0$, this is a second-order cone constraint:

Radice della matrice $\Sigma = \Sigma^{1/2} \Sigma^{1/2}$

$$\mu^T \mathbf{x} + \Phi^{-1}(\eta) \|\Sigma^{1/2} \mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq b$$

*Non è un vincolo di programmazione
lineare, ma è un vincolo conico
e sostituito connesso*

where $\Sigma^{1/2}$ is the square root of the covariance matrix.

Hence, an LP with *disjoint* chance constraints of this kind is a convex SOCP.

CONVEXITY OF THE REOURSE FUNCTION

Let us consider the recourse function $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \mathbb{E}[Q(\mathbf{x}, \xi)]$ in the case of deterministic recourse cost:

$$Q(\mathbf{x}, \xi) \equiv \min_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{W}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{h}(\xi) - \mathbf{T}(\xi)\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0} \right\}$$

Il. Questo valore atteso è definito.
 → Valore atteso della minimizzazione

The effective domain of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{x})$ consists of vectors \mathbf{x} that are feasible for the first-stage constraints and such that $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{x}) < +\infty$, i.e., the second stage problem is (almost surely) feasible.

→ Vogliamo vedere soluzioni ammissibili 1.

Note: we assume that, barring second-stage infeasibility, the above expectation is always defined, i.e., random variables are not heavy-tailed.

By LP duality, we have $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{x}, \xi) = \max_{\pi} \{ [\mathbf{h}(\xi) - \mathbf{T}(\xi)\mathbf{x}]^T \pi \mid \mathbf{W}^T \pi \leq \mathbf{q} \}$.

Let us denote the feasible set of the dual by $\Pi = \{ \pi \mid \mathbf{W}^T \pi \leq \mathbf{q} \}$. Note that, since \mathbf{q} is deterministic, that this set is nonrandom.

Siamo sicuri che
sia ammissibile?

Condizione del simplexio
principale

Questa regione non dipende dalle ξ , quindi se hai già la Π hai sempre

Usa
dualità
forte

CONVEXITY OF THE REOURSE FUNCTION

Let us consider $\mathbf{x}^1, \mathbf{x}^2 \in \mathcal{D}$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, and $\mathbf{x}_\lambda = \lambda \mathbf{x}^1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}^2$.

combinazione convessa

Vogliamo dimostrare che $Q(\mathbf{x}_\lambda)$ è convessa

$$\max_{\pi \in \Pi} (f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{x})) \leq \max_{\pi \in \Pi} f(\mathbf{x}) + \max_{\pi \in \Pi} g(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\mathbf{x}_\lambda) &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \int_{\Xi} Q(\mathbf{x}_\lambda, \xi) dP \\ &= \int_{\Xi} \max_{\pi \in \Pi} \left\{ [\mathbf{h}(\xi) - \mathbf{T}(\xi)\mathbf{x}_\lambda]^T \pi \right\} dP \\ &= \int_{\Xi} \max_{\pi \in \Pi} \left\{ \lambda \left[\mathbf{h}(\xi) - \mathbf{T}(\xi)\mathbf{x}^1 \right]^T \pi + (1 - \lambda) \left[\mathbf{h}(\xi) - \mathbf{T}(\xi)\mathbf{x}^2 \right]^T \pi \right\} dP \\ &\leq \lambda \int_{\Xi} \max_{\pi \in \Pi} \left\{ [\mathbf{h}(\xi) - \mathbf{T}(\xi)\mathbf{x}^1]^T \pi \right\} dP \\ &\quad + (1 - \lambda) \int_{\Xi} \max_{\pi \in \Pi} \left\{ [\mathbf{h}(\xi) - \mathbf{T}(\xi)\mathbf{x}^2]^T \pi \right\} dP \\ &= \lambda Q(\mathbf{x}^1) + (1 - \lambda) Q(\mathbf{x}^2) \end{aligned}$$

↑
max della somma
≤
Somma dei max

f obiettivo del problema duale

CONVEXITY OF THE REOURSE FUNCTION

The previous proof is rather simple, because the dual feasible region is nonrandom. Actually, convexity of the recourse function can be shown in a more general setting.

To cut a long story short (and cutting a few technical corners along the way...):

- The recourse function is typically continuous for continuous probability distributions.
- The recourse function is polyhedral for discrete probability distributions.

In both cases, we may rely on Kelley's cutting planes to solve the problem.

The bonus, given problem structure, is that we may find cutting planes by a decomposition strategy.

