

EECS 769 Homework 3

Elise McElhiney

Oct. 4, 2016

0.1 Data processing

Let $X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_3 \dots \rightarrow X_n$ form a Markov chain in this order; i.e., let

$$p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = p(x_1)p(x_2|x_1)\dots p(x_n|x_{n-1})$$

Reduce $I(X_1; X_2, \dots, X_n)$ to it's simplest form

We can use the chain rule

$$I(X_1; X_2, \dots, X_n) = I(X_1; X_2) + I(X_1; X_3|X_2) + \dots + I(X_1; X_n|X_2, \dots, X_{n-2})$$

By the we know that, for a Markov chain, X_i and X_{i+2} are conditionally independent given X_{i+1} we know that everything in the above equation goes to zero except $I(X_1, X_2)$. This can also be said as $I(X_1; X_i) \subseteq I(X_1; X_2)$ for $i \geq 2$ Hence,

$$I(X_1; X_2, \dots, X_n) = I(X_1, X_2)$$

0.2 Markov's inequality for probabilities

Let $p(x)$ be a probability mass function. Prove, for all $d \geq 0$.

$$Pr\{p(X) \geq d\} \log\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) \geq H(X)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(p(X) < d) \log\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) &= \sum_x p(x) \log\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) \\ &\text{where } p(x) < d \\ &\leq \sum_x p(x) \log\left(\frac{1}{p(x)}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_x p(x) \log\left(\frac{1}{p(x)}\right) \\ &= H(X) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

0.3 Fano

We are given the following joint distribution on (X, Y)

X	Y		
	a	b	c
1	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{12}$
2	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{12}$
3	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$

Let $\hat{X}(Y)$ be an estimator for X (based on Y) and let $P_e = Pr\{\hat{X}(Y) \neq X\}$

0.3.1 Find the minimum probability of error estimator $\hat{X}(Y)$ and the associated P_e

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{X}(a) &= 1 \\
 \hat{X}(b) &= 2 \\
 \hat{X}(c) &= 3 \\
 \therefore P_e &= Pr(1, b) + Pr(1, c) + Pr(2, a) + Pr(2, c) + Pr(3, a) + Pr(3, b) \\
 &= \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

0.3.2 Evaluate Fano's inequality for this problem and compare

$$H(P_e) + P_e \log(|\mathcal{X}| - 1) \geq H(X|Y)$$

$$H\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right) = -\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{4} \log \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2} + 1 = 1.5 \text{bits}$$

$$H(P_e) = -\frac{6}{12} \log \frac{1}{12} = 1.79 \text{bits}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(X|Y) &= H(X|Y=a)Pr(y=a) + H(X|Y=b)Pr(y=b) + H(X|Y=c)Pr(y=c) \\
 &= H\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right)Pr(y=a) + H\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right)Pr(y=b) + H\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right)Pr(y=c) \\
 &= H\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right)(Pr(y=a) + Pr(y=b) + Pr(y=c)) \\
 &= H\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right) \\
 &= 1.5 \text{bits}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

$$H(P_e) + P_e \log(|\mathcal{X}| - 1) \geq H(X|Y)$$

$$1.79 \text{bits} + \frac{1}{2} \log(3-1) \geq 1.5$$

$$1.79 \text{bits} + \frac{1}{2} \log(3-1) \geq 1.5$$

$$1.79 + 0.5 \geq 1.5$$

$$2.29 \geq 1.5$$

We can see that Fano's inequality holds true

0.4 Fano's inequality

Let $Pr(X = i) = p_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and let $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq p_3 \geq \dots \geq p_m$. The minimal probability of error predictor of X is $\hat{X} = 1$, with resulting probability or error $P_e = 1 - p_1$. Maximize $H(\mathbf{p})$ subject to the constraint $1 - p_1 = P_e$ to find a bound on P_e in terms of H . This is Fano's inequality in the absence of conditioning

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(\mathbf{p}) &= -p_1 \log p_1 - \sum_{i=2}^m p_i \log p_i \\
 &= -p_1 \log p_1 - \sum_{i=2}^m P_e \frac{p_i}{P_e} \log \frac{p_i}{P_e} - P_e \log P_e \\
 &= H(P_e) + P_e H\left(\frac{p_2}{P_e}, \frac{p_3}{P_e}, \dots, \frac{p_m}{P_e}\right) \\
 &\leq H(P_e) + P_e \log(m-1)
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

We know that maximum entropy is achieved through a uniform distribution. Hence,

$$H(X) \leq H(P_e) + P_e \log(m-1)$$

Since $H(P_e)$ is ≥ 1

$$H(X) \leq 1 + P_e \log(m-1)$$

$$H(X) - 1 \leq P_e \log(m-1)$$

$$\frac{H(X) - 1}{\log(m-1)} \leq P_e$$

0.5 Maximum entropy

Find the probability mass function $p(x)$ that maximizes the entropy $H(X)$ of a non-negative inter-valued random variable X subject to the constraint

$$EX = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} np(n) = A$$

for a fixed value $A > 0$. Evaluate this maximum $H(X)$.

If we let $q_i = \alpha(\beta)^i$

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n) \log p(n) &\leq -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n) \log q(n) \\
 &= -\log(\alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n) - \log(\beta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} np(n) \\
 &= -\log(\alpha) - A \log(\beta)
 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

This is true $\forall \alpha$ and β such that

$$1 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha \beta^i = \alpha \left(\frac{1}{1-\beta} \right)$$

Also, from the question, we know that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i\alpha\beta^i = \alpha \frac{\beta}{(1-\beta)^2} \\
 A &= \alpha \frac{\beta}{(1-\beta)^2} \\
 &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\beta}\right) \left(\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\right) \\
 &= (1) \left(\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\right) \\
 &= \left(\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

$$\Rightarrow A = \left(\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\right)$$

$$A(1-\beta) = \beta$$

$$A - A\beta = \beta$$

$$A = A\beta + \beta$$

$$A = \beta(A+1)$$

$$\beta = \frac{A}{A+1}$$

$$1 = \alpha \left(\frac{1}{1-\beta}\right) = \alpha \left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{A}{A+1}}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow \alpha &= 1 - \frac{A}{A+1} = \frac{A+1}{A+1} - \frac{A}{A+1} \\
 \alpha &= \frac{1}{A+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$H(X) = -\log \alpha - A \log \beta = (A+1) \log(A+1) - A \log A$$

0.6 Uniquely decodable and instantaneous codes

Let $L = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i l_i^{70}$ be the expected value of the 70th power of the word lengths associated with an encoding of the random variable X . Let $L_1 = \min L$ over all instantaneous codes; and let $L_2 = \min L$, over all uniquely decodable codes. What inequality relationship exists between L_1 and L_2 ?

Since all instantaneous code is by definition also uniquely decodable, we know:

$$L_1 \geq L_2$$

By the Kraft-McMillan Inequality we know that any uniquely decodable code must satisfy the Kraft Inequality.

$$\sum_i 2^{-l_i} \leq 1$$

We also know that given a set of codeword lengths that satisfy the Kraft inequality, it is possible to construct an instantaneous code with these codeword lengths. Hence:

$$L_1 = L_2$$

0.7 Axiomatic definition of entropy

If we assume certain axioms for our measure of information, then we will be forced to use a logarithmic measure like entropy. Shannon used this to justify his initial definition of entropy.

If a sequence of symmetric functions $H_m(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)$ satisfies the following properties,

- **Normalization:** $H_2(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) = 1$,
- **Continuity:** $H_2(p, 1-p)$ is a continuous function of p ,
- **Grouping:** $H_m(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) = H_{m-1}(p_1 + p_2, p_3, \dots, p_m) + (p_1 + p_2)H_2(\frac{p_1}{p_1+p_2}, \frac{p_2}{p_1+p_2})$,

prove that H_m must be of the form

$$H_m(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) = - \sum_{i=1}^m p_i \log p_i, m = 2, 3, \dots$$

There are various other axiomatic formulation which also result in the same definition of entropy. See, for example, the book by Csiszar and Korner.

I found various papers on this proof online and was able to attempt to figure out some of the early steps that way, but I was unable to decipher the middle section, so there's a big gap where I don't understand how to put together the second half of the proof.

$$H_m(p_1, \dots, p_m) = H_{m-k}(p_1+p_2+\dots+p_k, p_{k+1}, \dots, p_m) + (p_1+p_2+\dots+p_k)H_k(\frac{p_1}{p_1+p_2+\dots+p_k}, \dots, \frac{p_k}{p_1+p_2+\dots+p_k})$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_m(p_1, \dots, p_m) &= H_{m-1}(\sum_{i=1}^2 p_i, p_3, \dots, p_m) + \sum_{i=1}^2 p_i H(\frac{p_2}{\sum_{i=1}^2 p_i}, 1 - \frac{p_2}{\sum_{i=1}^2 p_i}) \\ &= H_{m-2}(\sum_{i=1}^3 p_i, p_4, \dots, p_m) + \sum_{i=1}^3 p_i H(\frac{p_3}{\sum_{i=1}^3 p_i}, 1 - \frac{p_3}{\sum_{i=1}^3 p_i}) + \sum_{i=1}^2 p_i H(\frac{p_2}{\sum_{i=1}^2 p_i}, 1 - \frac{p_2}{\sum_{i=1}^2 p_i}) \\ &\dots \\ &= H_{m-k-1}(\sum_{i=1}^k p_i, p_{k+1}, \dots, p_m) + \sum_{i=2}^k \sum_{j=1}^i p_j H(\frac{p_i}{\sum_{j=1}^i p_j}) \end{aligned}$$

(7)

$$H_k\left(\frac{p_1}{\sum_{i=1}^k p_i}, \dots, \frac{p_m}{\sum_{i=1}^k p_i}\right) = H_2\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p_i}{\sum_{i=1}^k p_i}, \frac{p_k}{\sum_{i=1}^k p_i}\right) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^k p_i} \sum_{i=2}^k \sum_{j=1}^i p_j$$

$$H_m(p_1, \dots, p_m) = H_{m-k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^k p_i, p_{k+1}, \dots, p_m\right) + \sum_{i=1}^k p_i H_k\left(\frac{p_1}{\sum_{i=1}^k p_i}, \dots, \frac{p_k}{\sum_{i=1}^k p_i}\right)$$

Let $f(m) = H_m(\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}, \dots, \frac{1}{m})$

$$\begin{aligned} f(mn) &= H_{mn}\left(\frac{1}{mn}, \dots, \frac{1}{mn}\right) \\ &= H_{mn-n}\left(\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{mn}, \dots, \frac{1}{mn}\right) + \frac{1}{m} H_n\left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &\dots \\ &= H_m\left(\frac{1}{m}, \dots, \frac{1}{m}\right) + H_n\left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= f(m) + f(n) \\ &\Rightarrow f(m^k) = kf(m) \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(m+1) &= H_{m+1}\left(\frac{1}{m+1}, \dots, \frac{1}{m+1}\right) \\ &= H\left(\frac{1}{m+1}, 1 - \frac{1}{m+1}\right) + \frac{m}{m+1} H_m\left(\frac{1}{m}, \dots, \frac{1}{m}\right) \\ &= H\left(\frac{1}{m+1}, 1 - \frac{1}{m+1}\right) + \frac{1}{m+1} f(m) \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

$$H\left(\frac{1}{m+1}, 1 - \frac{1}{m+1}\right) = f(m+1) - \frac{m}{m+1} f(m)$$

...I can't figure out this section at all...

$$H_m(p_1, \dots, p_m) = - \sum_{i=1}^m p_i \log p_i$$