

# Chapter 1

## Overview

Sherri Shulman

Math-linux.com

Oct 1, 2013

# Very brief Intro C++ – part 2

- ① So there are three special functions (actually 4 if you include constructors).
- ② Destructor
- ③ Copy Constructor
- ④ operator=
- ⑤ There are default definitions that C++ provides, and sometimes those are adequate; sometimes not.

- ① The destructor determines how memory is released when an object goes out of scope
- ② it is implicitly called when you do delete.
- ③ The default just calls delete on each data member.
- ④ Consider that in some data structures with links (pointers) to the next item in some traversal ... the default destructor will not know how to follow those links.

- 1 Suppose that I have `IntCell` variables `B` and `C`.
- 2 If I say `IntCel B = C`; I intend that `B` will be a copy of `C`. How is that copy made? With the copy constructor.
- 3 This also implies if you initialize `B` by saying `IntCell B(C)`;
- 4 But not `B = C`; which is using the operator=
- 5 If you pass an `IntCell` by value, than a copy needs to be placed on the stack.
- 6 If you return a value, again it needs to be copied into the return location.
- 7 (in a small item like `IntCell`, call by value is fine, but in a large collection call by value is rarely done.)
- 8 Like the destructor, we want to call the copy constructor on each of the data members (essentially giving us a deep copy).

- ① `operator=` is the assignment operator.
- ② it typically does a copy. I.e. `B = C` will copy C into B.
- ③ again it applies `operator=` to each data member.

- ① As mentioned above, defaults don't work if we have pointers (links) since a pointer is a value, and what would that mean?
- ② If we copied the pointer, then we are pointing to the same thing and it isn't really a deep copy (that it typically called a shallow copy).
- ③ – Draw a picture on the board –
- ④ Sometimes we may want a shallow copy.

```

IntCell::~IntCell( )
{
    // Does nothing, since IntCell contains only an int data
    // member. If IntCell contained any class objects, their
    // destructors would be called.
}

IntCell::IntCell( const IntCell & rhs ) : storedValue( rhs.storedValue )
{
}

const IntCell & IntCell::operator=( const IntCell & rhs )
{
    if( this != &rhs )    // Standard alias test
        storedValue = rhs.storedValue;
    return *this;
}

```

- 1 The prototypes (types or signatures ) of the big 3 ...
- 2 notice that constructors and destructors don't have return types. In a sense they are not true functions but are part of the connection between the system (memory allocation and deallocation) and the program.
- 3 The type of the copy assignment operator is `int& const IntCell & operator=(const IntCell & rhs);`
- 4 The `operator=` has an implicit argument (the receiver). Eg `B=C`, `B` is the implicit argument. `C` is the explicit argument. The return type is a `const IntCell &`. You may think it odd that this is a `const` when in fact it changes. `operator=` actually associates to the right. So in `a = b = c`, it really is `a = (b = c)`. So `c` is the arg, it is `const` and is not changed. `b` actually changes (the type notwithstanding) but the result of `b = c` needs to be a `const ref`, so that's what `operator=` returns.
- 5 Next an example of a pointer, where the default would not work:



```
class IntCell
{
public:
    explicit IntCell( int initialValue = 0 )
        { storedValue = new int( initialValue ); }

    int read( ) const
        { return *storedValue; }
    void write( int x )
        { *storedValue = x; }
private:
    int *storedValue;
};
```

- 1 So pointers present a problem for the default. A pointer is a value and so what is copied is the value of the pointer.
- 2 Let's look at the pointer version of IntCell (Fig1.14) and how it presents a problem (Fig 1.15):

```
int f( )  
{  
    IntCell a( 2 );  
    IntCell b = a;  
    IntCell c;  
  
    c = b;  
    a.write( 4 );  
    cout << a.read( ) << endl << b.read( ) << endl << c.read( ) << endl;  
    return 0;  
}
```

- ① In this we set a to 2.
- ② Then we assign a to b.
- ③ Then we assign b to c.
- ④ Since this was a shallow copy, really they only copied the same pointer value, so a,b,c all refer to the same stored value.
- ⑤ The solution is to implement the big 3. See Fig 1.16

```

class IntCell
{
public:
    explicit IntCell( int initialValue = 0 );

    IntCell( const IntCell & rhs );
    ~IntCell( );
    const IntCell & operator=( const IntCell & rhs );

    int read( ) const;
    void write( int x );
private:
    int *storedValue;
};

IntCell::IntCell( int initialValue )
{
    storedValue = new int( initialValue );
}

IntCell::IntCell( const IntCell & rhs )
{
    storedValue = new int( *rhs.storedValue );
}

IntCell::~IntCell( )
{
    delete storedValue;
}

const IntCell & IntCell::operator=( const IntCell & rhs )
{
    if( this != &rhs )
        *storedValue = *rhs.storedValue;
    return *this;
}

```

- ① C arrays and strings
- ② If we say `int arr1[10]` then `arr1` is a constant pointer to a contiguous memory space large enough to hold 10 ints.
- ③ This is the same as we spoke of in the paper for the `regexpr`.
- ④ So `arr1` acts like a pointer in many ways, but we can't assign to it, since it is a constant pointer to this preallocated space.
- ⑤ We can also make an array using `new`: `int *arr2 = new int[10]`.
- ⑥ But then remember to delete!

