

6.4

④  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 6 \\ 6 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$ . Find e-vals:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A - \lambda I) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} -2-\lambda & 6 \\ 6 & 7-\lambda \end{bmatrix} = (-2-\lambda)(7-\lambda) - 36 \\ &= \lambda^2 - 5\lambda - 14 - 36 \\ &= \lambda^2 - 5\lambda - 50 \\ &= (\lambda - 10)(\lambda + 5) \end{aligned}$$

so  $\lambda_1 = 10$ ,  $\lambda_2 = -5$ .

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 0 \\ 0 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

e-vect corr to  $\lambda_1$ : nullspace of  $\begin{bmatrix} -12 & 6 \\ 6 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{x}_1$

$\lambda_2$ : " "  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 6 \\ 6 & 12 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{x}_2$

normalizing,  $\|\vec{x}_1\| = \sqrt{5} = \|\vec{x}_2\|$  so

$$Q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

⑪  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$  e-vals:  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 3-\lambda & 1 \\ 1 & 3-\lambda \end{bmatrix} = (3-\lambda)^2 - 1$   
 $= \lambda^2 - 6\lambda + 8$   
 $= (\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 4)$

$\lambda_1 = 2$   $\lambda_2 = 4$

e-vects: nullspace of  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ , normalized  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$   
" "  $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , normalized  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

so  $Q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$   $\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$   $Q^T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\lambda_1 \vec{x}_1 \vec{x}_1^T + \lambda_2 \vec{x}_2 \vec{x}_2^T$$

$$\vec{x}_1 \vec{x}_1^T = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{x}_2 \vec{x}_2^T = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{hence } A = 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & -1/2 \\ -1/2 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} + 4 \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Same idea for matrix B.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 9 & 12 \\ 12 & 16 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \begin{bmatrix} .64 & -.48 \\ -.48 & .36 \end{bmatrix} + 25 \begin{bmatrix} -.36 & .48 \\ .48 & .64 \end{bmatrix}$$

(14) orthogonal.

$$\text{trace } M = 0$$

We know  $\det(M) = 1$ , since  $M$  orthogonal. Hence  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 = 1$   
and  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 = 0$ .

The only possibility is  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = i$   
 $\lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = -i$

$$\text{then trace} = 0 = 2i + 2(-i)$$

$$\text{and } \det = 1 = (i^2)(-i)^2 = (-1)(-1) = 1.$$

(16) a)  ~~$\lambda \vec{x} = -\lambda \begin{bmatrix} y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$~~   $B \begin{bmatrix} y \\ -z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ A^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{y} \\ -\vec{z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -A\vec{z} \\ A^T\vec{y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda\vec{y} \\ \lambda\vec{z} \end{bmatrix} = -\lambda \begin{bmatrix} \vec{y} \\ -\vec{z} \end{bmatrix}$

$$b) A^T A \vec{z} = A^T \lambda \vec{y} = \lambda A^T \vec{y} = \lambda^2 \vec{z}.$$

$\Rightarrow \lambda^2$  is an e-val of  $A^T A$ .

$$c) B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{when } A = I, A = A^T).$$

$$\det(B - \lambda I) = (\lambda^2 - 1)(\lambda^2 - 1)$$

$\lambda = \pm 1$ , each w multiplicity 2.

we have  $I\vec{z} = \vec{y}$  and  $I\vec{y} = -\vec{z}$

so combinations:  $(1, 0, 1, 0)$   
 $(0, 1, 0, 1)$   
 $(1, 0, -1, 0)$   
 $(0, 1, 0, -1)$

(21) a) False  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  has real e-values & evecs

b) True. Every such matrix can be written

$$A = Q\Lambda Q^T \text{ which is symmetric.}$$

$$(\text{since } A^T = (Q\Lambda Q^T)^T = Q\Lambda Q^T.)$$

c)

True.

$$A^{-1} = (Q\Lambda Q^T)^{-1} = (Q^T)^{-1} \Lambda^{-1} Q^{-1}$$

$$= Q\Lambda^{-1}Q^T$$

(recall  $Q^T = Q^{-1}$   
and  $\Lambda$  has an  
inverse)

d) False.  $Q$  does not always equal  $Q^T$ .

(23)  $A =$  invertible, orthogonal, permutation, diagonalizable, markov.

$B =$  projection (symmetric &  $B^2 = B$ ), diagonalizable, markov

$A$  allows:  $S\Lambda S^{-1}$  and  $Q\Lambda Q^T$

$B$  allows:  $S\Lambda S^{-1}$  and  $Q\Lambda Q^T$

8-3

(4)  $A^T$  always has evec  $(1, 1, 1, 1)$ .

Since columns of  $A$  sum to 1.

- ⑤ we need to find e-vec of  $A$  corresponding to eval  $\lambda = 1$ .

$$\text{nullspace of } \begin{bmatrix} -0.02 & .00 & 0 \\ .02 & -.03 & 0 \\ .00 & .03 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} -0.02 & .00 & 0 \\ .00 & .03 & 0 \\ .00 & .00 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

So e-vec is  $(0, 0, 1)$

(EVERYONE IS DEAD!).

- ⑨ Let  $M$  be markov.

certainly the entries of  $M^2$  are still positive.

Note  $(1, 1, \dots, 1)M = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ . b/c col sums are 1.

multiplying on the right by  $M$  gives

$$(1, 1, \dots, 1)M^2 = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$$

hence col sums of  $M^2$  are 1 too.

b.b. ①  $B = GCG^{-1}$   
 $= GF^{-1}AFG^{-1}$

hence  $M = GF^{-1}$  (and then  $M^{-1} = FG^{-1}$ )

- ④ Since  $A$  has distinct e-vals it can be diagonalized.  
 hence it is similar to  $\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Since all  $2 \times 2$ 's with these e-vals are similar to  $\Lambda$ , they are all similar to each other by ①

⑫  $JM = \begin{bmatrix} m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} & m_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ m_{41} & m_{42} & m_{43} & m_{44} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad MK = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m_{12} & m_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & m_{22} & m_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & m_{32} & m_{33} & 0 \\ 0 & m_{42} & m_{43} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

If  $JM = MK$  then  ~~$m_{22} = m_{23} = m_{24} = m_{42} = m_{43} = m_{44} = 0$~~

$m_{21} = m_{22} = m_{23} = m_{24} = 0 \leadsto$  a row of zeros  $\rightarrow$

hence  $\det M = 0$ , not invertible.

(13) J and K from prob 12 plus

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and zero matrix.

(17) a) FALSE :

If  $A$  is a nonsymmetric diagonalizable matrix, it can be written as  $S\Lambda S^{-1}$ , hence is similar to symmetric  $\Lambda$ .

b) True: A singular matrix has  $\lambda = 0$

c) False:  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  have e-vals  $\pm i$  and are similar.

d) TRUE: The e-vals of  $A+I$  are the e-vals of  $A$  plus 1. ( $\lambda_i + 1$ ).

so they are similar to different diagonal matrices.

(18)  $AB = (B^{-1}B)AB = B^{-1}(BA)B$  so  $BA$  is similar to  $AB$ .

(another way: if  $AB\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$  then  $B(AB\vec{x}) = B(\lambda\vec{x}) = B\lambda\vec{x} = \lambda(B\vec{x})$

$$\text{or } (BA)(B\vec{x}) = \lambda(B\vec{x})$$

so  $\lambda$  is an e-val of  $BA$  with e-vect  $B\vec{x}$ .

(24) Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$  then  $A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

both have e-vals 2 and  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

# ADDITIONAL PROBLEMS

① Claim:  $M$  is column stochastic

$$M = (1-p) \cdot A + pB$$

note  $A$  &  $B$  are column stochastic.

hence all the entries of  $M$  are positive ( $p > 0, 1-p > 0$ ).

The column sum of  $M$ , for column  $j$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n m_{ij} &= \sum_{i=1}^n [(1-p)A_{ij} + pB_{ij}] \\ &= (1-p) \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ij} + p \sum_{i=1}^n B_{ij} \\ &= (1-p) \cdot 1 + p \cdot 1 \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

② Dangling Nodes.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 \\ 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 \\ 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} p/3 & p/3 & p/3 \\ p/3 & p/3 & p/3 \\ (1-p)+p/3 & (1-p)+p/3 & p/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

use  $p = 0.15$

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} .05 & .05 & .05 \\ .05 & .05 & .05 \\ .9 & .9 & .05 \end{bmatrix}$$

The eigenvector of  $M$  corresponding to the nullspace of

to the eigenvalue 1

~~$M - I$~~   $M - I$ .

BUT actually,  $A$  wasn't markov, so  $M$  isn't either  $\Rightarrow$  not necessarily eval 1.

and  $M^n \rightarrow 0$  matrix as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

so the problem of dangling nodes persists.