


(wrote Edsger W. Dijkstra in 2001)

«... we are all shaped by the tools we train ourselves to use, and in this respect programming languages have a devious influence: they shape our thinking habits.»

A Superficial Exploration of Haskell







functional and declarative

highly composable core

encourages the use of concise code to describe the problem, not the solution

your list is your iteration

Key **Features** of Haskell

lazy by default (separates equation from execution)

clean separation of concerns:

- pure functional core (no side-effects here)
- imperative shell for I/O (no algorithms here)

Key Features of Haskell

well-typed-ness and type inference

(a skilled Haskeller encodes problem domain invariants in the type system, so that entire classes of potential bugs will be compile-time errors instead of runtime exceptions that slipped through the cracks in your test suite)

Cultural shock in

3...

2...

1...

Here's a trivial snippet of code in Python:

$$>>> x = 1$$

$$>>> x = x + 1$$

2

Nothing could be simpler!

```
Naively
  port
    it
   to
Haskell
```

... expect sunshine and flying colors ...

 λ : let x = 1

 λ : let x = x + 1

λ: **x**

^CInterrupted.

λ:

Huh? What just happened?!?!

```
To
emulate
            \lambda: let x = 1
mutation \lambda: x < - return $ x + 1
               λ: x
     in
Haskell ... \frac{2}{\lambda}:
```

Laziness

Quick recap of the "map" function

```
\lambda: let list = [20..30]
λ: list
[20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,
 29,30]
\lambda: map (+1) list
[21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,
 30,31]
λ:
```


```
\lambda: let list1 = [20..70]
\lambda: let list2 = map (+1) list1
λ: :sprint list1
list1 =
λ: :sprint list2
list2 =
λ: head list2
21
λ: :sprint list1
list1 = 20 :
λ: :sprint list2
list2 = 21 :
```

```
λ: take 5 list2
[21,22,23,24,25]
λ: :sprint list1
list1 = 20 : 21 : 22 : 23 : 24 :
λ: :sprint list2
list2 = 21 : 22 : 23 : 24 : 25 : _
```

```
λ: list2 !! 17
38
λ: :sprint list1
list1 = 20 : 21 : 22 : 23 : 24 : 25 : 26 : 27 : 28 : 29 :
       30 : 31 : 32 : 33 : 34 : 35 : 36 : 37 :
λ: :sprint list2
list2 = 21 : 22 : 23 : 24 : 25 : _ : _ : _ : _ : _ :
       _ : _ : _ : _ : _ : 38 :
```

```
λ: length list2
λ: :sprint list1
list1 = [20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,
       30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,
       40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49,
       50,51,52,53,54,55,56,57,58,59,
       60,61,62,63,64,65,66,67,68,69,70]
λ: :sprint list2
list2 = [21,22,23,24,25,_,_,_,_,
       _,_,_,_,,_,,38,_,_,
```

```
λ: sum list2
2346
λ: :sprint list2
list2 = [21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,
          31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40,
          41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50,
          51,52,53,54,55,56,57,58,59,60,
          61,62,63,64,65,66,67,68,69,70,71]
```



Sequencing statement execution in Python

Sequencing expression evaluation in Haskell

```
Deciding between statement executions in Python
```

>>>

Haskell says:

What's wrong with this picture (from a separation-of-concerns perspective)?

Deciding between expression evaluations in Python

```
>>> x = 1
>>> y = 2
>>> z = 'equal' if x == y else 'not equal'
>>> Z
'not equal'
>>>
```

Deciding between expression evaluations in Haskell

```
\lambda: let x = 1
\lambda: let y = 2
\lambda: let z = if x == y then "equal" else "not equal"
λ: z
"not equal"
λ:
```

Flavors of iteration you'll encounter in Haskell

arranged according to what kind of input is available and what kind of output is desired

Available input:

- a list of scalar values
- a function of one argument

Desired output:

a list of scalar values

Use "map" (Also, your list is your iteration.)

Available input:

- a list of scalar values
- a predicate

Desired output:

a list of scalar values

Use "filter" (Also, your list is your iteration.)

Available input:

- exactly one scalar value
- a list of functions of one argument each

Desired output:

a list of scalar values

Use "<*>" (An example will follow shortly.) (Also, your list is your iteration.)

Available input:

- a list of scalar values
- a function of two arguments
- the initial value of an accumulator (a scalar value)

Desired output:

the final value
 of the accumulator
 (a scalar value that
 absorbs the entire
 contents of the input
 list)

Use "foldl"
(An example will follow shortly.)
(Also, your list is your iteration.)

Available input:

- a list of scalar values
- a function of two arguments
- the initial value
 of an accumulator
 (a scalar value)

Desired output:

 a list containing a "progress report" (what did the accumulator look like each step along the way?)

Use "scanl"
(An example will follow shortly.)
(Also, your list is your iteration.)

Available input:

- the initial value
 of an accumulator
 (a scalar value)
- a successor function
- a predicate

 (a.k.a. exit condition)

Desired output:

the final value
 of the accumulator
 after however many
 successive function
 applications

Use "until"
(An example will follow shortly.)
(OK, list ≠ iteration. Just this once.)

Available input:

- the initial value
 of an accumulator
 (a scalar value)
- a successor function
- a predicate

 (a.k.a. exit condition)

Desired output:

 a list containing a "progress report" (what did the accumulator look like after each successive function application?)

Use "unfoldr"
(An example will follow shortly.)
(Also, your list is your iteration.)

Flavors of iteration you'll encounter in Haskell

here come the promised examples

Using "<*>" (finite loop flavor #3)

```
\lambda: let functionList1 = [(+2),(*3),(^2)]
\lambda: let functionList2 = [(*5),(+7),(*4),(subtract 10)]
\lambda: let i = 12
λ: import Control.Applicative
λ: functionList1 <*> [i]
[14,36,144]
λ: functionList2 <*> [i]
[60, 19, 48, 2]
λ:
```

```
Using "foldl"
                              In Python we'd write:
(finite loop flavor #4)
                             >>> input list = range(50,71)
                             >>> accumulator = 5
λ: foldl (+) 5 [50..70]
                             >>> for i in input list:
1265
                                      accumulator += i
                             >>> accumulator
                             1265
```

Using "scanl" (finite loop flavor #5)

```
λ: scanl (+) 5 [50..70]
[5,55,106,158,211,265,
320,376,433,491,550,610,
671,733,796,860,925,991,
1058,1126,1195,1265]
```

In Python we'd write:

```
>>> input list = range(50,71)
>>> accumulator = 5
>>> ol = [accumulator]
>>> for i in input list:
       accumulator += i
       ol.append(accumulator)
>>> ol
[5, 55, 106, 158, 211, 265,
 320, 376, 433, 491, 550, 610,
 671, 733, 796, 860, 925, 991,
 1058, 1126, 1195, 1265]
```

```
Using "until"
                              In Python we'd write:
(endless loop flavor #1)
                              >>> def succf(x):
                                      return x * 2
λ: until (>100) (*2) 1
128
                              >>> acc = 1
                              >>> while True:
                              ... acc = succf(acc)
                                      if acc > 100:
                                          break
                              >>> acc
                              128
```

```
Using "unfoldr"
                             In Python we'd write:
                             >>> def succf(x):
(endless loop flavor #2)
                                     return x * 2
λ: :{
   let operate0n x =
                             >>> acc = 1
                             >>> ol = [accumulator]
       if x > 100
                             >>> while True:
       then
                              ... acc = succf(acc)
         Nothing
                                     if acc > 100:
       else
                                        break
         Just (x, x * 2)
                                 ol.append(acc)
λ: unfoldr operateOn 1
                             >>> ol
[1,2,4,8,16,32,64]
                             [1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64]
```

Flavors of iteration you'll encounter in Haskell

map, filter, <*>, foldl, scanl, until, unfoldr

Follow me on GitHub github.com/dserban

