An Introduction to Haskell Typeclasses

Lucian Mogosanu

02.07.2014

Haskell Types: a recap (1)

Algebraic Data Types

► Sum types:

```
data SumType a b = C1 a | C2 b -- Either a b
```

Product types:

```
data ProductType a b = MkP a b -- (a, b)
```

Haskell Types: a recap (1)

Algebraic Data Types

► Sum types:

```
data SumType a b = C1 a | C2 b -- Either a b
```

Product types:

```
data ProductType a b = MkP a b -- (a, b)
```

Any combination between the two

```
data MyNonsensicalDataType =
   C1 String Int Bool
   | C2 Int Char (Double, Double) (Maybe Bool) String
```

Haskell Types: a recap (2)

Commonly used types:

```
data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a
data Either a b = Left a | Right b
-- syntactic sugar ahead
data [] a = [] | a : ([] a) -- [a]
data (,) a b = (,) a b -- (a, b)
```

Typeclasses: constraints

```
(+) :: Num a => a -> a -> a
(==) :: Eq a => a -> a -> Bool
show :: Show a => a -> String
(>) :: Ord a => a -> a -> Bool
```

Typeclasses: definition

- ▶ Interfaces for types sharing a common property
- Example: Float and Int are both Nums
- Example: Show

```
class Show a where
    show :: a -> String
```

Typeclasses: definition (2)

- ► Each type has its own implementation
- Ad-hoc polymorphism

```
instance Show MyType where ...
```

Ad-hoc Polymorphism: defining instances

- Want to convert type to String
- ▶ toString in Java

```
class Show a where
    show :: a -> String

data Color = Red | Green | Blue deriving Show

data Container a = Empty | Holding a

instance Show (Container Int) where
    show (Holding x) = "[ " ++ show x ++ " ]"
    show _ = "[ ]"
```

Common Typeclasses: Num

```
class Num a where
  (+), (-), (*) :: a -> a -> a
  negate :: a -> a
  abs :: a -> a
  signum :: a -> a
  fromInteger :: Integer -> a

x - y = x + negate y
  negate x = 0 - x
```

▶ Define all but one of (-) and negate

Common Typeclasses: Ord

sort :: Ord a => [a] -> [a]

```
class Eq a => Ord a where
    -- minimal complete definition:
    -- compare or <=
    compare :: a -> a -> Ordering
    (<), (<=), (>), (>=) :: a -> a -> Bool
    max, min :: a -> a -> a
data Ordering = LT | EQ | GT
 Example: sorting
```

Hands-on

```
data Person = P
  { pnc :: String -- Int?
  , name :: String
  , age :: Int
  , married :: Bool
  } deriving Show
```

► Eq instance for Person

```
instance Eq Person where
p1 == p2 = pnc p1 == pnc p2
```

Hands-on (2)

Parameterize pnc

```
data Person2 a = P2
  { pnc2 :: a
  , name2 :: String
  , age2 :: Int
  , married2 :: Bool
  } deriving Show
```

► Eq instance for Person2

```
instance Eq (Person2 a) where
p1 == p2 = pnc2 p1 == pnc2 p2
```

Hands-on (3)

```
instance Eq a => Eq (Person2 a) where
p1 == p2 = pnc2 p1 == pnc2 p2
```

Digression

Types have types!

kind: the type of a type

```
> :k Int
Int :: *
> :k Maybe
Maybe :: * -> *
> :k Maybe Int
Maybe Int :: *
```

- Useful for describing parametric types
- Haskell code should have a well-formed type
 - ▶ i.e. having the kind *

Typeclasses for parametric types

- Parametric types can be polymorphic too
- Example: What is the type of all "containers"?

Typeclasses for parametric types

- Parametric types can be polymorphic too
- Example: What is the type of all "containers"?

container :: c a

Typeclasses for parametric types (2)

- ▶ We want a map-like operation on trees
- ▶ We want a map-like operation on containers
- ▶ We want a function f :: (a -> b) -> c a -> c b where c is a container-type
 - ▶ Note: c has kind * -> *

Functors

```
class Functor f where
   fmap :: (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
instance Functor [] where
  fmap = map
```

Functors (2)

Functors are mathematical objects!

$$fmap :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (f a \rightarrow f b)$$

▶ fmap "lifts" a function to the (parametric) type f

Hands-on Functors

```
data Container a = Empty | Holding a
  deriving (Show, Eq)
```

- ▶ Define fmap for Container
- Definition is identical for Maybe

Custom typeclasses

► Source: learnyouahaskell.com

```
class YesNo a where
  yesno :: a -> Bool
```

- Define yesno for the following types:
 - ▶ Bool
 - ▶ Int
 - ▶ [a]
 - ▶ Maybe a

Monads (0)

"a monad is a monoid in the category of endofunctors, what's the problem?"

Monads (1)

- ► Functors map functions to containers
- Monads generalize computations over containers

Monads (2)

class Monad m where

```
(>>=) :: m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b return :: a -> m a
```

- (>>=) is also called bind
- return is called return (for some reason)

Maybes are Monads (1)

Getting some intuition:

```
return :: a -> Maybe a (>>=) :: Maybe a -> (a -> Maybe b) -> Maybe b
```

- Definition(s) for return
- ► Definition(s) for (>>=)

Maybes are Monads (2)

Getting some intuition:

```
return :: a -> Maybe a (>>=) :: Maybe a -> (a -> Maybe b) -> Maybe b
```

- return: put a value in the container
- **▶** (>>=):
 - extract value from container, Maybe a
 - perform computation, a -> Maybe b
 - return result, Maybe b

Maybes are Monads: hands-on (1)

- > let f x = Just \$ 2 + x
 > Just 3 >>= f
 > Nothing >>= f
 - ► Advantage: can easily chain computations
- > Just 3 >>= (Just . (2 +)) >>= (Just . (* 5))

Maybes are Monads: hands-on (2)

```
return = Just
Just x >>= f = f x
Nothing >>= _ = Nothing
```

► Can rewrite previous chain:

```
> return 3 >>= return . (2 +) >>= return . (* 5)
```

Maybes are Monads: hands-on (3)

- Binding looks similar to imperative programing
- Do-notation:

```
computation :: Num a => Maybe a -> Maybe a
computation m = do
   x <- m -- extract value, if it exists
   y <- return $ 2 + x
   z <- return $ y * 5
   return z</pre>
```

▶ Note: return doesn't "end" the function!

Maybes are Monads: hands-on (4)

► Cleaner style:

```
computation :: Num a => Maybe a -> Maybe a
computation m = do
    x <- m -- extract value, if it exists
    let y = 2 + x -- m is the only possible failure
    return $ y * 5</pre>
```

Other Monads

- Lists
- Functions
- Global state/"the outside world"
 - ▶ State
 - ▶ IO

Extra: Limitations of Haskell's Type System

- ▶ Type inference automatically finds/checks the right type for us
- because all computations on types terminate,
- ... so Haskell types are not first-class values.

Extra: Limitations of Haskell's Type System (2)

- Example 1: prove that map preserves list length
- Example 2: restrict a type to a subset of its values (remember Nat)
- Alternative: dependent types (Agda, Idris)
 - Types that depend on values
 - ► Trade-off: they make type checking more difficult

Extra: Type Systems vs. Real World

- Strongly-typed programs, sound type system
- Translated into weakly-typed machine code
- ► Type erasure: deleting types **preserves** program semantics
 - Assuming the compiler is correct