Formatting Instructions for the 25th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems

Anonymous Author(s)
Submission Id: «submission id»

ABSTRACT

This document outlines the formatting instructions for submissions to AAMAS-2026. You can use its source file as a template when writing your own paper. It is based on the file 'sample-sigconf.tex' distributed with the ACM article template for LaTeX.

KEYWORDS

Algorithms, Coalition Formation

1 INTRODUCTION

This document explains the main features of the 'aamas' document class, which is essentially identical to the 'acmart' document class provided by the ACM. The only difference is a minor modification to allow for the correct copyright attribution to IFAAMAS. For detailed documentation of the original document class, please refer to the relevant website maintained by the ACM:

https://www.acm.org/publications/proceedings-template

The first command in your source file should be either one of these:

```
\documentclass[sigconf,anonymous]{aamas}
\documentclass[sigconf]{aamas}
```

The first variant should be used when you submit your paper for blind review; it will replace the names of the authors with the submission number. The second variant should be used for final papers.

Make sure your paper includes the correct copyright information and the correct specification of the *ACM Reference Format*. Both of these will be generated automatically if you include the correct *copyright block* as shown in the source file of this document.

Modifying the template—e.g., by changing margins, typeface sizes, line spacing, paragraph or list definitions—or making excessive use of the '\vspace' command to manually adjust the vertical spacing between elements of your work is not allowed. You risk getting your submission rejected (or your final paper excluded from the proceedings) in case such modifications are discovered. The 'aamas' document class requires the use of the *Libertine* typeface family, which should be included with your LaTeX installation. Please do not use other typefaces instead.

Please consult the *Call for Papers* for information on matters such as the page limit or anonymity requirements. It is available from the conference website:

https://cyprusconferences.org/aamas2026/

To balance the columns on the final page of your paper, use the 'balance' package and issue the '\balance' command somewhere in the text of what would be the first column of the last page without balanced columns. This will be required for final papers.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

You will be assigned a submission number when you register the abstract of your paper on *OpenReview*. Include this number in your document using the '\acmSubmissionID' command.

Then use the familiar commands to specify the title and authors of your paper in the preamble of the document. The title should be appropriately capitalised (meaning that every 'important' word in the title should start with a capital letter). For the final version of your paper, make sure to specify the affiliation and email address of each author using the appropriate commands. Specify an affiliation and email address separately for each author, even if two authors share the same affiliation. You can specify more than one affiliation for an author by using a separate '\affiliation' command for each affiliation.

Provide a short abstract using the 'abstract' environment.

Finally, specify a small number of keywords characterising your work, using the '\keywords' command.

3 NOTATIONS

3.1 Coalition Structure (CS)

Formal definition of coalition structure, see Michalak. A coalition structure (CS) is the partition of the players into one or more coalitions. For example, when $n = 8$, some examples of CS are $\{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}, \{6, 7, 8\}\}, \{\{1, 7\}, \{2\}, \{3, 5, 8\}, \{4, 6\}\}$, and $\{\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}\}$. The last CS where all players form one single coalition is called a grand coalition.

In the symmetric game, all players are treated equally. As a result, two CSs that are equivalent up to a relabeling of players yield the same reward distribution. Also, within a given CS, all players belonging to coalitions of the same size receive the same rewards. For example, consider the two CSs $\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \{7, 8\}$ and $\{1, 3, 7\}, \{2, 5, 8\}, \{4, 6\}$ which are equivalent up to a relabeling of players. The rewards received by players 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 in the first CS are the same as those received by players 1, 3, 7, 2, 5, 8 in the second CS.

This model differs from the standard cooperative game model underpinning Shapley and core values.

4 DYNAMIC BETRAYAL MODEL

In general, coalition formation dynamics can involve both splitting and merging of coalitions, making the analysis complex. We focus on a simpler model in which only splitting is allowed. Initially, all players belong to a single grand coalition, which may undergo a sequence of splits. This process continues until no player has an incentive to leave her current coalition and form a smaller one; we will define incentive formally below. In each coalition of size $i \geq 2$, there is a player who may initiate a betrayal by pulling at most i players (including herself) away from the rest of the coalition.

4.1 Nash Equilibrium

The definition of Nash Equilbrium is the set of strategies such that no player can unilaterally deviate from their strategy to get a higher payoff, given the strategies of all other players. Formally, we can let A to be the set of players, S_i to be the set of strategies available to players, S as the strategy profiles, u_i as the payoff function of each player i. Then $s^* \in S$ is a Nash Equilibrium of a strategic gaame if and only if $\forall i \in A$ and $\forall s_i \in S_i$,

$$u_i(s_i^*, s_{-i}^*) \quad \geq \quad u_i(s_i, s_{-i}^*) \tag{1}$$

In this research, as the players are symmetric and all players have a linear demand function $p = A - bx$ with a constant cost c, the Nash Equilibrium can be found as follows:

$$\frac{(A-c)^2}{bn_C(m+1)^2} = \frac{D}{n_C(m+1)^2} \tag{2}$$

where $D = \frac{(A-c)^2}{b}$, n_C is the number of players in coalition C, and m is the number of coalitions in the game. In general, Nash Equilibrium of Cournot competition does not necessarily admit a closed-form solution. We can use Bravo's bandit learning algorithm in this case.

4.2 Integer Partition (IP)

This research has referenced to the Integer Partition (IP) algorithm developed by Rahwan et al. The algorithm first creates a multiset of positive integer whose sum is n. For example, $n = 8$, the multiset includes $[4, 3, 1]$, $[2, 2, 2, 2]$ and $[3, 3, 2]$. Then it searches the space by coalition size, and eliminates the search spaces where their maximum utility is smaller than the lower bound.

Our version of IP will enumerate through all the multisets and compute a certain value for them which the computation will be explained in section 4.3 and 4.4.

A huge advantage of enumerating throught the multisets is to avoid enumerating the set of coalition structure's n players, whose size is larger than $(\frac{n}{\log n})^n$, while the multisets of n has a much smaller size of at most $e^{\Theta(\sqrt{n})}$.

In the following sections, we will define two value functions V^D and V^P, which will be named as the default value function and the pessimistically value function respectively. These values are responsible for defining the stable CS.

4.3 Default value function

The default value function $V^D(S, i)$ is the reward received by any player in a size-i coalition when the CS is fixed. For example, $V^D([3], 3) = D/6$, $V^D([2, 1], 2) = D/18$, and $V^D([2, 1], 1) = D/9$.

4.4 Pessimistically value function

The pessimistically anticipated value function $V^P(S, i)$ is the pessimistically anticipated reward (PAR) a player in a size-i coalition might eventually obtain, after any sequence of incentivized betrays by any players.

By incentivized betrayals, we refer to a betrayal initiated by a player such that their PAR with the new CS is strictly better than her default value with the current CS.

Since coalitions can only be split but not merge in the dynamic betrayal model, V^P can be defined recursively. Let U be the IP $[1, 1, \ldots, 1]$, where 1 occurs n times. This corresponds to the CS where each player forms a coalition by itself. Since no further betrayal can occur from U, $V^P(U, 1) = V^D(U, 1)$. Then $V^P(S, i)$ for any IP $S \neq U$ and $i \in S$ will be defined recursively, going from IPs with the most number of coalitions to the least (the grand coalition).

Before we move forward, we will define a few notation to simplify the presentation.

4.4.1 Removal. Given a multiset S and $i \in S$, let $S - i$ denote the multiset formed by removing S from one occurences of i. For example, when $S = [2, 2, 2, 2, 1]$, $S - 2 = [2, 2, 2, 1]$ and $S - 1 = [2, 2, 2, 2]$.

4.4.2 Splitting. Given a multiset S, $i \in S$ and $1 \leq j < i$, let $B(S, i, j)$

4.5 Example

4.6 Sequential Blocking

4.7 Tables and Figures

Use the 'table' environment (or its variant 'table*') in combination with the 'tabular' environment to typeset tables as floating objects. The 'aamas' document class includes the 'booktabs' package for preparing high-quality tables. Tables are often placed at the top of a page near their initial cite, as done here for Table 1.

The caption of a table should be placed *above* the table. Always use the '\midrule' command to separate header rows from data rows, and use it only for this purpose. This enables assistive technologies to recognise table headers and support their users in navigating tables more easily.

Use the 'figure' environment for figures. If your figure contains third-party material, make sure to clearly identify it as such. Every figure should include a caption, and this caption should be placed *below* the figure itself, as shown here for Figure 1.

Table 1: Locations of the first five editions of AAMAS

Year	City	Country
2002	Bologna	Italy
2003	Melbourne	Australia
2004	New York City	USA
2005	Utrecht	The Netherlands
2006	Hakodate	Japan

Figure 1: The logo of AAMAS 2026

In addition, every figure should also have a figure description, unless it is purely decorative. Use the '\Description' command for this purpose. These descriptions will not be printed but can be used to convey what's in an image to someone who cannot see it. They are also used by search engine crawlers for indexing images, and when images cannot be loaded. A figure description must consist of unformatted plain text of up to 2000 characters. For example, the definition of Figure 1 in the source file of this document includes the following description: "Logo of AAMAS 2026 – The 25th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems." For more information on how best to write figure descriptions and why doing so is important, consult the information available here:

https://www.acm.org/publications/taps/describing-figures/

The use of colour in figures and graphs is permitted, provided they remain readable when printed in greyscale and provided they are intelligible also for people with a colour vision deficiency.

5 CITATIONS AND REFERENCES

The use of the BibTeX to prepare your list of references is highly recommended. To include the references at the end of your document, put the following two commands just before the '\end{document}' command in your source file:

    \bibliographystyle{ACM-Reference-Format}
    \bibliography{sample}

Here we assume that 'sample.bib' is the name of your BibTeX file. Use the '\cite' command to produce citations to your references. Here are a few examples for citations of journal articles [4, 11], books [7], articles in conference proceedings [5], technical reports [6], Master's and PhD theses [1, 3], online videos [9], datasets [2], and patents [10]. Both citations and references are numbered by default.

Make sure you provide complete and correct bibliographic information for all your references, and list authors with their full names ("Donald E. Knuth") rather than just initials ("D. E. Knuth").

REFERENCES

[1] David A. Anisi. 2003. *Optimal Motion Control of a Ground Vehicle.* Master's thesis. Royal Institute of Technology (KTH), Stockholm, Sweden.

[2] Sam Anzaroot and Andrew McCallum. 2013. *UMass Citation Field Extraction Dataset.* University of Massachusetts. Retrieved May 27, 2019 from http://www.iesl.cs.umass.edu/data/data-umasscitationfield

[3] Kenneth L. Clarkson. 1985. *Algorithms for Closest-Point Problems (Computational Geometry).* Ph.D. Dissertation. Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA. UMI Order Number: AAT 8506171.

[4] Barbara J. Grosz and Sarit Kraus. 1996. Collaborative Plans for Complex Group Action. *Artificial Intelligence* 86, 2 (1996), 269–357.

[5] Torben Hagerup, Kurt Mehlhorn, and J. Ian Munro. 1993. Maintaining Discrete Probability Distributions Optimally. In *Proceedings of the 20th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming (Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 700).* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 253–264.

[6] David Harel. 1978. *Logics of programs: axiomatics and descriptive power.* MIT Research Lab Technical Report TR-200. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA.

[7] Donald E. Knuth. 1997. *The Art of Computer Programming, Vol. 1: Fundamental Algorithms* (3rd ed.). Addison Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts.

[8] Leslie Lamport. 1994. *LaTeX: A Document Preparation System* (2nd ed.). Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA.

[9] Barack Obama. 2008. A More Perfect Union. Video. Retrieved March 21, 2008 from http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=6528042696351994555

[10] Joseph Scientist. 2009. The fountain of youth. Patent No. 12345, Filed July 1st., 2008, Issued Aug. 9th., 2009.

[11] Michael J. Wooldridge and Nicholas R. Jennings. 1995. Intelligent Agents: Theory and Practice. *The Knowledge Engineering Review* 10, 2 (1995), 115–152.