

## Project description: Regression

1. Find a new dataset you like to study for regression analysis from [here](#), [here](#), [here](#), or any other source. Something nobody has posted on blackboard.
2. Submit a proposal on the Discussion Board on Blackboard in which you:
  - (a) Describe the response variable and the predictors.
  - (b) When you remove the missing values, what is  $n$  and  $p$ ?
  - (c) How many categorical predictors and how many numerical predictors?
    - The number of features  $p$  is at least 40.
    - The sample size  $n$  should be at least ten times the number of features  $p$ .
3. For each  $n_{train} = 0.8n$ , repeat the following 100 times, [do the following for the different models mentioned below](#).

- (a) Randomly split the dataset into two mutually exclusive datasets  $D_{test}$  and  $D_{train}$  with size  $n_{test}$  and  $n_{train}$  such that  $n_{train} + n_{test} = n$ .
- (b) Use  $D_{train}$  to fit lasso, ridge, and random forest.
- (c) Tune the  $\lambda$ s using 10-fold CV.
- (d) For each estimated model calculate

$$R_{test}^2 = 1 - \frac{\frac{1}{n_{test}} \sum_{i \in D_{test}} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{\frac{1}{n_{test}} \sum_{i \in D_{test}} (y_i - \bar{y}_{test})^2},$$

and  $R_{train}^2$ .

4. Create a presentation with less than 8 slides. Your objective is to be clear and concise. Hence I recommend the following:
  - (a) a brief description of the nature of the data as discussed in part 2 above. (1 slide)
  - (b) Show the side-by-side boxplots of  $R_{test}^2, R_{train}^2$ . We want to see two panels. One for training, and the other for testing. (1 slide)
  - (c) For one on the 100 samples, create 10-fold CV curves for lasso and ridge. Record and present the time it takes to cross-validate ridge/lasso regression. Please do not more two digits to present the time. (1 slide).
5. [For all the data do the following](#):
  - Using 10-fold cross validation, fit ridge and lasso . Also fit random forest.
  - Also record the time it takes to fit a single ridge/lasso regression (including the time needed to perform cross-validation parameter tuning), and random forest. Create a table  $3 \times 2$  table, the 3 rows corresponding to the 3 methods, and the two columns for test  $R^2$  and time (using no more than two digits). Specifically,

the first column should show a 90% test  $R^2$  interval based on the 100 samples, and the second column the time it takes to fit the model on all the data (as described in the sentences above). Is there a trade-off between the time it takes to train a model and its predictive performance? (1 slide).