Projects

Tkinter

Search site

Public instead of Private Attributes

About

On this page

Properties

Go

Applications

Python Tutorial

Home

OOP

Advanced

Numerical Programming

Machine Learning

Python Training Courses 3. Properties vs. Getters and Setters Live Python classes by highly experienced instructors: By Bernd Klein. Last modified: 01 Feb 2022. **Properties** Getters(also known as 'accessors') and setters (aka. 'mutators') are used in many object oriented programming languages to ensure the principle of data encapsulation. Data encapsulation - as we have learnt in our introduction on Object Oriented Programming of our Instructor-led training courses by tutorial - is seen as the bundling of data with the methods that operate on them. These methods **Bernd Klein** are of course the *getter* for retrieving the data and the *setter* for changing the data. According to this principle, the attributes of a class are made private to hide and protect them. In this **Object Oriented** Unfortunately, it is widespread belief that a proper Python class should encapsulate private **Programming** chapter attributes by using getters and setters. As soon as one of these programmers introduces a new attribute, he or she will make it a private variable and creates "automatically" a getter and a Intro to Object Oriented setter for this attribute. Such programmers may even use an editor or an IDE, which **Programming** automatically creates getters and setters for all private attributes. These tools even warn the 1. Object Oriented Programming programmer if she or he uses a public attribute! Java programmers will wrinkle their brows, 2. Class vs. Instance Attributes screw up their noses, or even scream with horror when they read the following: The Pythonic way to introduce attributes is to make them public. 3. Properties vs. Getters and Setters 4. Implementing a Custom **Property Class** 5. Introduction to Descriptors 6. Inheritance 7. Multiple Inheritance 8. Multiple Inheritance: Example 9. Magic Methods 10. Callable Instances of Classes 11. Inheritance Example 12. Slots: Avoiding Dynamically **Created Attributes** 13. Polynomial Class 14. Dynamically Creating Classes with type 15. Road to Metaclasses 16. Metaclasses 17. Count Function calls with the help of a Metaclass We will explain this later. First, we demonstrate in the following example, how we can design a 18. The 'ABC' of Abstract Base Classes class in a Javaesque way with getters and setters to encapsulate the private attribute self. x: class P: def __init__(self, x): $self._x = x$ def get_x(self): return self.__x **def** set_x(self, \overline{x}): **Classroom Training Courses** $self._x = x$ This website contains a free and extensive online tutorial by Bernd We can see in the following demo session how to work with this class and the methods: Klein, using material from his classroom Python training courses. from mutators import P p1 = P(42)If you are interested in an instructorp2 = P(4711)led classroom training course, have p1.get_x() a look at these Python classes: **OUTPUT:** 42 p1.set_x(47) p1.set_x(p1.get_x()+p2.get_x()) Instructor-led training course by pl.get x() Bernd Klein at Bodenseo Image ©kabliczech - Fotolia.com **OUTPUT:** 4758 Page author What do you think about the expression "p1.set_x(p1.get_x()+p2.get_x())"? It's ugly, isn't it? It's a This page was written by **Bernd** lot easier to write an expression like the following, if we had a public attribute x: Klein. Bernd is an experienced computer p1.x = p1.x + p2.xscientist with a history of working in the education management industry Such an assignment is easier to write and above all easier to read than the Javaesque and is skilled in Python, Perl, Computer Science, and C++. He has expression. a Dipl.-Informatiker / Master Degree focused in Computer Science from Let's rewrite the class P in a Pythonic way. No getter, no setter and instead of the private Saarland University. attribute self. x we use a public one: Bernd Klein on Facebook class P: Bernd Klein on LinkedIn def __init__(self,x): python-course on Facebook self.x = xBeautiful, isn't it? Just three lines of code, if we don't count the blank line! PDF version from p import P p1 = P(42)p2 = P(4711)p1.x **OUTPUT:** 42 p1.x = 47p1.x = p1.x + p2.xp1.x PDF version of this site **OUTPUT:** 4758 Help Needed "But, but, but, but, but ... ", we can hear them howling and screaming, "But there is NO data This website is free of annoying ads. ENCAPSULATION!" Yes, in this case there is no data encapsulation. We don't need it in this We want to keep it like this. You can help with your donation: case. The only thing get x and set x in our starting example did was "getting the data through" without doing anything additionally. Donate But what happens if we want to change the implementation in the future? This is a serious The need for donations argument. Let's assume we want to change the implementation like this: The attribute x can have values between 0 and 1000. If a value larger than 1000 is assigned, x should be set to In this **Object Oriented** 1000. Correspondingly, x should be set to 0, if the value is less than 0. **Programming** chapter It is easy to change our first P class to cover this problem. We change the set x method Intro to Object Oriented accordingly: **Programming** 1. Object Oriented Programming class P: def __init__(self, x): 2. Class vs. Instance Attributes self.set x(x)3. Properties vs. Getters and def get_x(self): Setters return self. x 4. Implementing a Custom def set_x(self, x): **Property Class** if x < 0: 5. Introduction to Descriptors self. x = 0**elif** x > 1000: 6. Inheritance self. x = 10007. Multiple Inheritance else: 8. Multiple Inheritance: Example $self_x x = x$ 9. Magic Methods The following Python session shows that it works the way we want it to work: 10. Callable Instances of Classes 11. Inheritance Example from mutators1 import P 12. Slots: Avoiding Dynamically p1 = P(1001)**Created Attributes** pl.get_x() 13. Polynomial Class 14. Dynamically Creating Classes **OUTPUT:** with type 15. Road to Metaclasses 1000 16. Metaclasses p2 = P(15)17. Count Function calls with the p2.get x() help of a Metaclass 18. The 'ABC' of Abstract Base **OUTPUT:** Classes 15 p3 = P(-1)p3.get_x() **OUTPUT:** 0 But there is a catch: Let's assume we designed our class with the public attribute and no methods: class P2: def init (self, x): self.x = xPeople have already used it a lot and they have written code like this: p1 = P2(42)p1.x = 1001p1.x **OUTPUT:** 1001 If we would change P2 now in the way of the class P, our new class would break the interface, because the attribute x will not be available anymore. That's why in Java e.g. people are recommended to use only private attributes with getters and setters, so that they can change the implementation without having to change the interface. But Python offers a solution to this problem. The solution is called *properties*! The class with a property looks like this: class P: def __init__(self, x): self.x = x@property def x(self): **return** self.__x @x.setter **def** x(self, x): if x < 0: self. x = 0**elif** x > 1000: $self._x = 1000$ else: $self_x = x$ A method which is used for getting a value is decorated with "@property", i.e. we put this line directly in front of the header. The method which has to function as the setter is decorated with "@x.setter". If the function had been called "f", we would have to decorate it with "@f.setter". Two things are noteworthy: We just put the code line "self.x = x" in the init method and the property method x is used to check the limits of the values. The second interesting thing is that we wrote "two" methods with the same name and a different number of parameters "def x(self)" and "def x(self,x)". We have learned in a previous chapter of our course that this is not possible. It works here due to the decorating: from p2 import P p1 = P(1001)p1.x **OUTPUT:** 1000 p1.x = -12p1.x **OUTPUT:** 0 Alternatively, we could have used a different syntax without decorators to define the property. As you can see, the code is definitely less elegant and we have to make sure that we use the getter function in the init method again: class P: def __init__(self, x): self.set x(x)def get_x(self): return self. x **def** set x(self, x): if x < 0: self. x = 0**elif** x > 1000: self. x = 1000else: $self._x = x$ x = property(get_x, set_x) There is still another problem in the most recent version. We have now two ways to access or change the value of x: Either by using "p1.x = 42" or by "p1.set x(42)". This way we are violating one of the fundamentals of Python: "There should be one-- and preferably only one --obvious way to do it." (see Zen of Python) We can easily fix this problem by turning the getter and the setter methods into private methods, which can't be accessed anymore by the users of our class P: class P: def __init__(self, x): self.__set_x(x) def __get_x(self): return self. x **def** set x(self, x): if x < 0: self. x = 0**elif** x > 1000: self. x = 1000

> Even though we fixed this problem by using a private getter and setter, the version with the decorator "@property" is the Pythonic way to do it! From what we have written so far, and what can be seen in other books and tutorials as well, we could easily get the impression that there is a one-to-one connection between properties (or mutator methods) and the attributes, i.e. that each attribute has or should have its own property (or getter-setter-pair) and the other way around. Even in other object oriented languages than Python, it's usually not a good idea to implement a class like that. The main reason is that many attributes are only internally needed and creating interfaces for the user of the class increases unnecessarily the usability of the class. The possible user of a class shouldn't be "drowned" with umpteen - of mainly unnecessary - methods or properties! The following example shows a class, which has internal attributes, which can't be accessed from outside. These are the private attributes self.__potential _physical and self.__potential_psychic. Furthermore we show that a property can be deduced from the values of more than one attribute. The property "condition" of our example returns the condition of the robot in a descriptive string. The condition depends on the sum of the values of the psychic and the physical conditions of the robot.

def init (self, name, build year, lk = 0.5, lp = 0.5):

s = self.__potential_physical + self.__potential_psychic

else:

class Robot:

@property

self.name = name

def condition(self):

if s <= -1:

elif s <= 0:

elif s <= 1:

else:

to deal with it!

class OurClass:

x = 0urClass(10)print(x.OurAtt)

@property

OUTPUT:

other users for quite a while.

def init (self, a): self.OurAtt = a

self.OurAtt = a

Intensive Advanced Course

return self. OurAtt

def OurAtt(self):

@OurAtt.setter

elif s <= 0.5:

self.build year = build year self.__potential_physical = lk self. potential psychic = lp

return "I feel miserable!"

return "Could be worse!"

return "Seems to be okay!"

return "I feel bad!"

return "Great!"

 $self_{x} = x$ x = property(__get_x, __set_x)

```
if __name__ == "__main__":
      x = Robot("Marvin", 1979, 0.2, 0.4)
      y = Robot("Caliban", 1993, -0.4, 0.3)
      print(x.condition)
      print(y.condition)
OUTPUT:
    Seems to be okay!
    I feel bad!
       Live Python training
                          Enjoying this page? We offer live Python training courses
                          covering the content of this site.
                          See: Live Python courses overview
                                          Enrol here
Public instead of Private Attributes
Let's summarize the usage of private and public attributes, getters and setters, and properties:
Let's assume that we are designing a new class and we pondering about an instance or class
attribute "OurAtt", which we need for the design of our class. We have to observe the following
issues:

    Will the value of "OurAtt" be needed by the possible users of our class?

   • If not, we can or should make it a private attribute.
   • If it has to be accessed, we make it accessible as a public attribute
   • We will define it as a private attribute with the corresponding property, if and only if we
     have to do some checks or transformation of the data. (As an example, you can have a
     look again at our class P, where the attribute has to be in the interval between 0 and 1000,
     which is ensured by the property "x")
```

Alternatively, you could use a getter and a setter, but using a property is the Pythonic way

Let's assume we defined "OurAtt" as a public attribute. Our class has been successfully used by

10 Now comes the point which frightens some traditional OOPistas out of their wits: Imagine "OurAtt" has been used as an integer. Now, our class has to ensure that "OurAtt" has to be a value between 0 and 1000? Without property, this is really a horrible scenario! Due to properties it's easy: We create a property version of "OurAtt". class OurClass: def __init__(self, a):

```
def OurAtt(self, val):
           if val < 0:
               self. 0urAtt = 0
           elif val > 1000:
               self.__0urAtt = 1000
          else:
               self.__OurAtt = val
 x = 0urClass(10)
 print(x.OurAtt)
OUTPUT:
    10
This is great, isn't it? You can start with the simplest implementation imaginable, and you are
free to later migrate to a property version without having to change the interface! So properties
are not just a replacement for getters and setters!
Something else you might have already noticed: For the users of a class, properties are
syntactically identical to ordinary attributes.
       Live Python training
```

covering the content of this site.

See: Live Python courses overview

Upcoming online Courses

with Python

Enrol here

Enjoying this page? We offer live Python training courses

Object Oriented Programming

« PREVIOUS: 2. Class vs. Instance Attributes NEXT: 4. Implementing a Custom Property Class » News Sitemap PDF version of this site **Data Protection Declaration**

Home About this site Live courses Contact Donate to python-course.eu