Elli Kiiski

2021 Kandinalku - The order of Euler's totient function

1 Introduction

Placeholder for some introductory explaining about the subject of this thesis.

All introduced variables a, b, c, ... are integers, unless stated otherwise. Here the set of natural numbers \mathbb{N} consists of positive integers, meaning $0 \notin \mathbb{N}$.

Notation 1.0.1. Divisibility

Let a and b be such that b is divisible by a. This is denoted by a|b.

Definition 1.0.2. Greatest common divisor

Let $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in \mathbb{N}$. It can be shown that there is a unique $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with following properties:

- 1. d|a and d|b
- 2. if c|a and c|b, then c|d

The number d is called the greatest common divisor of a and b, denoted by gcd(a,b)=d.

However, the existence of the greatest common divisor is non-trivial. Proof can be found in *LeVeque: Fundamentals of Number Theory, chapter 2.1.*

Definition 1.0.3. Prime number

Integer $p \in \mathbb{N}$ is a prime, if $p \geq 2$ and for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ holds that if k|p then $k \in 1, p$. The set of prime numbers is denoted by \mathbb{P} .

In other words, all integers greater than 1, which are only divisible by themself and 1, are primes.

Definition 1.0.4. Co-prime

If gcd(a, b) = 1, a and b are called co-primes or relative primes.

Definition 1.0.5. Multiplicative number theoretic function

Function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called number theoretic function. It is multiplicative if f(ab) = f(a)f(b) when gcd(a,b) = 1.

2 Euler's totient function and its properties

Euler's totient function is a multiplicative number theoretic function...

Definition 2.0.1. Euler's totient function $\phi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$

It is set that $\phi(1)=1$. For all $n\geq 2, \ \phi(n)$ is the number of integers $a\in\{1,2,...,n\},$ for which $\gcd(a,n)=1.$

That is, the value of $\phi(n)$ is the number of co-primes of n up to n.

Tuo sanallinen selitys on yhä hyvin onneton.

Theorem 2.0.2. Euler's totient function is multuplicative.

Proof. Placeholder for proof.

Theorem 2.0.3. Euler's product formula

$$\phi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)$$

where $\prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$ means the product over distinct primes that divide n.

Proof. Assume first that $n=p^k$, where $p\in\mathbb{P}$. Now for every x, for which $gdc(p^k,x)>1$, holds $x=mp^{k-1}$ for some $m\in\{1,2,...,p^{k-1}\}$.

Hence

$$\phi(n) = \phi(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1} = p^k - \frac{p^k}{p} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)p^k = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)n.$$

Then, in the general case, assume $n=p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\cdots p_r^{k_r}=\prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{k_i}$, where $p_1,p_2,...,p_r$ are distinct primes that divide n and $k_1,k_2,...,k_r$ their powers respectively.

Now, since ϕ is a multiplicative function

$$\begin{split} \phi(n) &= \phi(p_1^{k_1} p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_r^{k_r}) \\ &= \phi(p_1^{k_1}) \, \phi(p_2^{k_2}) \cdots \phi(p_r^{k_r}) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) p_1^{k_1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) p_2^{k_2} \cdots \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_r}\right) p_r^{k_r} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) p_i^{k_i} \\ &= n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right). \end{split}$$

Theorem 2.0.4. Totient function and primes

For every
$$p \in \mathbb{P}$$
 holds $\phi(p) = p - 1$.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{P}$. Now the only prime that divides n is n itself. Hence by the Euler's product formula

$$\phi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = n \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) = n - 1.$$

3 Merten's theorem and other lemmas

Building up to the order of the totient function, we must introduce few functions and theorems that are used in the proof of the lower limit.

Theorem 3.0.1. Merten's (third) theorem

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \log n \prod_{p \le n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) = e^{-\gamma}$$

where γ is the Euler's constant.

Proof. Placeholder for a sketch of the proof or maybe even the whole proof.

Definition 3.0.2. The σ -function

$$\sigma(n) = \sum_{d|n} d\,,$$

meaning $\sigma(n)$ is the sum of the divisors of n.

Lemma 3.0.3. Let $n = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_r^{k_r}$ be the prime factorization of n, where $p_1, p_2, ..., p_r$ are distinct primes. Then

$$\sigma(n) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{p_i^{k_i+1} - 1}{p_i - 1}.$$

Proof. Theorem 275 in Hardy & Wright: Introduction to the Theory of Numbers.

Theorem 3.0.4.

$$\frac{\phi(n)\,\sigma(n)}{n^2}<1$$

3

Proof. Theorem 329 in Hardy & Wright: Introduction to the Theory of Numbers. $\hfill\Box$

Definition 3.0.5. Chebyshev function

$$\vartheta(x) = \sum_{p \le x} \log p = \log \prod_{p \le x} p,$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}$.

Lemma 3.0.6. For the function $\vartheta(x)$ holds

$$\vartheta(x) < Ax$$
,

where $x \geq 2 \in \mathbb{R}$, A is a real constant.

 $\textit{Proof.} \ \ \textit{Theorem 414 in } \textit{Hardy \& Wright: Introduction to the Theory of Numbers}.$

Definition 3.0.7. Riemann zeta-function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \,,$$

where $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 3.0.8. For all $s > 1 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s}}.$$

Proof. Theorem 280 in Hardy & Wright: Introduction to the Theory of Numbers.

4 The limits of Euler's totient function

As shown in previous chapter, there is an exact formula for the rather verbally defined totient function $\phi(n)$. Though, using it requires factorization of n, which seems to cause the difficulty to estimate its size as n gets bigger.

For example, let $n=2^p-1\in\mathbb{P}$ be so called Mersenne prime, meaning also $p\in\mathbb{P}$. By theorem 2.0.4 we know $\phi(n)=n-1$. On the other hand, from Euler's product formula follows that $\phi(n+1)=\phi(2^p)=2^p(1-\frac{1}{2})=\frac{2^p}{2}=\frac{n+1}{2}$. Now we see that while n and n+1 differ from each other only insignificantly, $\phi(n+1)$ is half the size of $\phi(n)$.

4.1 Upper limit of Euler's totient function

The maximum value of $\phi(n)$ given n is easy to define by the theorem 2.0.4.

Theorem 4.1.1. Upper limit of the totient function

For every $n \geq 2$ holds $\phi(n) \leq n - 1$ and

$$\limsup \frac{\phi(n)}{n} = 1.$$

Proof. By definition, $\phi(n) \leq n$ because there are n elements in the set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Also, for every $n \geq 2$ holds $gcd(n, n) = n \neq 1$. Thus, $\phi(n) \leq n - 1$.

On the other hand, according to theorem 2.0.4, $\phi(p) = p-1$ for every $p \in \mathbb{P}$. Now, because there are infinitely many primes,

$$\limsup \frac{\phi(n)}{n} = \lim \frac{n-1}{n} = 1.$$

Onkohan yllä oleva ensimmäinen yhtäsuuruusmerkki ihan legit? Myös: pitääkö infinitely many primes perustella?

4.2 Lower limit of Euler's totient function

How small $\phi(n)$ can be as n grows, is much less trivial a question to answer. However, the following lower limit exists.

Theorem 4.2.1. Lower limit of the totient function

$$\liminf \frac{\phi(n)\,\log\log n}{n} = e^{-\gamma}\,,$$

where γ is the Euler's constant.

Pitäisiköhän Eulerin vakiosta puhua jossain kohtaa enemmän? Ainakin voisi pikaisesti kertoa mikä se on, ettei se vaan ilmesty jostain.

Proof. Let's prove the claim by showing $\liminf f(n) = 1$, when

$$f(n) = \frac{\phi(n) e^{\gamma} \log \log n}{n},$$

and γ is the Euler's constant.

The proof is based on finding two functions $F_1(t)$ and $F_2(t)$, the limits of which are both $\lim_{t\to\infty} F_1(t) = 1$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} F_2(t) = 1$. First we show that

$$f(n) \ge F_1(\log n) \text{ for all } n \ge 3$$
 (1)

and in the second part that

$$f(n_j) \le \frac{1}{F_2(j)}$$
 for some infinite increasing sequence n_2, n_3, \dots (2)

Let $p_1, p_2, ..., p_{r-\rho} \leq \log n$ and $p_{r-\rho+1}, ..., p_r > \log n$ be the prime factors of n. In other words, the number n has r prime factors, ρ of which are greater than $\log n$.

Now

$$(\log n)^{\rho} < p_{r-\rho+1} \cdot p_{r-\rho+2} \cdots p_r \le n,$$

which yields

$$\rho < \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} \,.$$

Thus, there are less than $\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}$ prime factors greater than $\log n$.

By the Euler's product formula (theorem 2.0.3)

$$\frac{\phi(n)}{n} = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i} \right)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{r-\rho} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i} \right) \prod_{i=r-\rho+1}^{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i} \right)$$

$$= \prod_{p \le \log n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \prod_{p > \log n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)$$

$$\ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log n} \right)^{\rho} \prod_{\substack{p \le \log n \\ \log \log n}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)$$

$$> \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log n} \right)^{\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}} \prod_{p < \log n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right).$$

Hence, we can define

$$F_1(t) = e^{\gamma} \log t \left(1 - \frac{1}{t} \right)^{\frac{t}{\log t}} \prod_{p \le t} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) ,$$

because by the inequality above

$$F_1(\log n) = e^{\gamma} \log \log n \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log n}\right)^{\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}} \prod_{p \le \log n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$$
$$\le \frac{\phi(n)}{n} e^{\gamma} \log \log n = f(n)$$

and by the Merten's third theorem (theorem 3.0.1)

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} F_1(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} e^{\gamma} \log t \left(1 - \frac{1}{t} \right)^{\frac{t}{\log t}} \prod_{p \le t} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)$$

$$= \lim_{t \to \infty} e^{\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{1}{t} \right)^{\frac{t}{\log t}} \left(\log t \prod_{p \le t} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right)$$

$$= \lim_{t \to \infty} e^{\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{1}{t} \right)^{\frac{t}{\log t}} e^{-\gamma}$$

$$= \lim_{t \to \infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{t} \right)^{\frac{t}{\log t}}$$

Now we have proved the part (1) and showed that $\liminf f(n) \geq 1$.

Next, to prove the part (2), let's define

$$g(n) = \frac{\sigma(n)}{n \, e^{\gamma} \log \log n}$$

and show that $g(n_j) \ge F_2(j)$ for an infinite increasing sequence $n_2, n_3 \dots$ The desired result will follow from theorem 3.0.4.

Let

$$n_j = \prod_{p \le e^j} p^j$$
, where $j \ge 2$.

By the lemma 3.0.6

$$\log n_j = \log \prod_{p < e^j} p^j = j \log \prod_{p < e^j} p = j \vartheta(e^j) \le A j e^j.$$

Hence

$$\log \log n_j = \log A j e^j = \log A + \log j + \log e^j = \log A + \log j + j.$$

Tuon vakion A kanssa pitää viel diilaa jotenkin (ainakin mainita et se on vakio). Ei oo myöskään hyvä et se on kirjaimena A myöhemmin todistuksessa jolloin siitä on hävinnyt log edestä.

Since n_j is the product of all primes smaller than e^j to the power of j, by the lemma 3.0.3 we have

$$\sigma(n_j) = \prod_{p < e^j} \frac{p^{j+1} - 1}{p - 1}$$

and

$$\frac{\sigma(n_j)}{n_j} = \prod_{p \le e^j} \frac{p^{j+1} - 1}{(p-1)p^j} = \prod_{p \le e^j} \frac{p^{j+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}}\right)}{p^{j+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)} = \prod_{p \le e^j} \frac{1 - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}}}{1 - \frac{1}{p}}.$$

Also, by the lemma 3.0.8

$$\prod_{p < e^j} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}}\right) > \prod \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}}\right) = \frac{1}{\zeta(j+1)} \,.$$

Now we can define

$$F_2(t) = \frac{1}{e^{\gamma} \zeta(t+1)(A+t+\log t)} \prod_{p \le e^t} \left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{p}}\right)$$

because by combining the results above

$$F_{2}(j) = \frac{1}{e^{\gamma} \zeta(j+1)(A+j+\log j)} \prod_{p \le e^{j}} \left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{p}}\right)$$

$$\le \frac{1}{e^{\gamma} \log \log n_{j}} \prod_{p \le e^{j}} \frac{1-\frac{1}{p^{j+1}}}{1-\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma(n_{j})}{n_{j} e^{\gamma} \log \log n_{j}} = g(n_{j}).$$

By the Merten's third theorem (theorem 3.0.1)

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} F_2(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{e^{\gamma} \zeta(t+1)(A+t+\log t)} \prod_{p \le e^t} \left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{p}}\right)$$

$$= \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{e^{\gamma} \zeta(t+1)(A+t+\log t)} \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{p \le e^t} \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}$$

$$= \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{e^{\gamma} \log e^t}{e^{\gamma} \zeta(t+1)(A+t+\log t)}$$

$$= \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{t}{\zeta(t+1)(A+t+\log t)}$$

$$= \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{t}{A+t+\log t}$$

Tuo eka välivaihe näyttää kyllä hyvin tyhmältä, mutta en sitten tiedä jos siihen ei laita mitään niin tajuuko siitä mistä nuo seuraavat tulee.

Myös zeta-funktion raja-arvo pitää käsitellä! Ja muista A.

By the theorem 3.0.4

$$f(n) g(n) = \frac{\phi(n) e^{\gamma} \log \log n}{n} \cdot \frac{\sigma(n)}{n e^{\gamma} \log \log n} = \frac{\phi(n) \sigma(n)}{n^2} < 1$$

and since $g(n_j) \ge F_2(j)$

$$f(n_j) \le \frac{1}{F_2(j)} \,.$$

Thus we have proved the part (2) and showed that $\liminf f(n) \leq 1$.

Altogether, from the parts (1) and (2), we get that the limit inferior of f(n) must be

$$\lim \inf \frac{\phi(n) e^{\gamma} \log \log n}{n} = \lim \inf f(n) = 1$$

and equivalently

$$\liminf \frac{\phi(n) \log \log n}{n} = e^{-\gamma}.$$

Pitää kyllä tehdä vielä "inequality check" eli tsekkaa aidot ja epäaidot epäyhtäsuuruudet, ihan vaan mielenrauhan vuoksi.