

Elli Kiiski

## 2021 Kandinaku - The order of Euler's totient function

### 1 Notation and definitions

En tiedä onko nämä kaikki tarpeellisia, mutta alotin nyt ihan perusasioista.

All introduced variables  $a, b, c, \dots$  are integers, unless stated otherwise. Here the set of natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  consists of positive integers, meaning  $0 \notin \mathbb{N}$ .

**Notation 1.0.1.** *Divisibility*

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be such that  $b$  is divisible by  $a$ . This is denoted by  $a|b$ .

**Definition 1.0.2.** *Greatest common divisor*

Let  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{N}$ . There is a unique  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  with following properties:

1.  $d|a$  and  $d|b$
2. if  $c|a$  and  $c|b$ , then  $c|d$

The number  $d$  is called the greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ , denoted by  $\gcd(a, b) = d$ .

**Definition 1.0.3.** *Prime number*

Integer  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  is a prime, if  $p \geq 2$  and for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  holds that if  $k|p$  then  $k \in \{1, p\}$ . The set of prime numbers is denoted by  $\mathbb{P}$ .

In other words, all integers greater than 1, which are only divisible by themselves and 1, are primes.

**Definition 1.0.4.** *Co-prime*

If  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  are called co-primes or relative primes.

**Definition 1.0.5.** *Multiplicative number theoretic function*

Function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called number theoretic function. It is multiplicative if  $f(ab) = f(a)f(b)$  when  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ .

### 2 Euler's totient function and its properties

Euler's totient function is a multiplicative number theoretic function...

**Definition 2.0.1.** *Euler's totient function  $\phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$*

It is set that  $\phi(1) = 1$ . For all  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\phi(n)$  is the number of integers  $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , for which  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ .

That is, the value of the totient function at  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is the number of co-primes of  $n$  smaller than it.

**Theorem 2.0.2.** *Euler's product formula*

$$\phi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$$

where  $\prod_{p|n} (1 - \frac{1}{p})$  means the product over *distinct* primes that divide  $n$ .

*Proof.* Assume first that  $n = p^k$ , where  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ . Now for every  $x$ , for which  $\gcd(p^k, x) > 1$ , holds  $x = mp^{k-1}$  for some  $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, p^{k-1}\}$ .

Hence

$$\phi(n) = \phi(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1} = p^k - \frac{p^k}{p} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) p^k = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) n.$$

Then, in the general case, assume  $n = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_r^{k_r} = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{k_i}$ , where  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r$  are distinct primes that divide  $n$  and  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r$  their powers respectively.

Now, since  $\phi$  is a multiplicative function

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(n) &= \phi(p_1^{k_1} p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_r^{k_r}) \\ &= \phi(p_1^{k_1}) \phi(p_2^{k_2}) \cdots \phi(p_r^{k_r}) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) p_1^{k_1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) p_2^{k_2} \cdots \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_r}\right) p_r^{k_r} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) p_i^{k_i} \\ &= n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 2.0.3.** *Totient function and primes*

For every  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  holds  $\phi(p) = p - 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{P}$ . Now the only prime that divides  $n$  is  $n$  itself. Hence by the Euler's product formula

$$\phi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = n \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) = n - 1.$$

□

### 3 Merten's theorem and other lemmas

Before starting with the order of the totient function, we must introduce few theorems that are used in the proof of the lower limit.

**Theorem 3.0.1.** *Merten's (third) theorem*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log n \prod_{p \leq n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = e^{-\gamma}$$

where  $\gamma$  is the Euler's constant.

*Proof.* En ole vielä perehtynyt paljoakaan, mutta todistus Hardyn ja Wrightin kirjassa (theorem 429) näyttää varsin pitkältä...

□

**Definition 3.0.2.** *Chebyshev function*

$$\vartheta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p = \log \prod_{p \leq x} p,$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ .

**Lemma 3.0.3.** The function  $\vartheta(x)$  is order of  $x$ , meaning

$$Ax < \vartheta(x) < Bx,$$

where  $x \geq 2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  are real constants.

*Proof.* Theorem 414 in *Hardy & Wright: Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*.

□

**Definition 3.0.4.** *Riemann zeta-function*

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s},$$

where  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Lemma 3.0.5.** For all  $s > 1 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s}}.$$

*Proof.* Theorem 280 in *Hardy & Wright: Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*.

□

## 4 The limits of Euler's totient function

As shown in previous chapter, there is an exact formula for the rather verbally defined totient function  $\phi(n)$ . Though, using it requires factorization of  $n$ , which seems to cause the difficulty to estimate its size as  $n$  gets bigger.

For example, let  $n = 2^p - 1 \in \mathbb{P}$  be so called Mersenne prime, meaning also  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ . By theorem 2.0.3 we know  $\phi(n) = n - 1$ . On the other hand, from Euler's product formula follows that  $\phi(n+1) = \phi(2^p) = 2^p(1 - \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{2^p}{2} = \frac{n+1}{2}$ . Now we see that while  $n$  and  $n+1$  differ from each other only insignificantly,  $\phi(n+1)$  is half the size of  $\phi(n)$ .

### 4.1 Upper limit of Euler's totient function

The maximum value of  $\phi(n)$  given  $n$  is easy to define by the theorem 2.0.3.

**Theorem 4.1.1.** *Upper limit of the totient function*

For every  $n \geq 2$

$$\phi(n) \leq n - 1.$$

*Proof.* By definition  $\phi(n) \leq n$  because there are  $n$  elements in the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Also, for every  $n \geq 2$  holds  $\gcd(n, n) = n \neq 1$ . Thus,  $\phi(n) \leq n - 1$ .

On the other hand, according to theorem 2.0.3,  $\phi(p) = p - 1$  for every  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ . Because there are infinitely many primes, this means that  $n - 1$  is, in fact, the limit superior of Euler's totient function.

□

Pitäisiköhän ylärajan todistus muotoilla mieluummin vähän formaalimmin ja muotoon  $\limsup \phi(n)$ ?

### 4.2 Lower limit of Euler's totient function

How small  $\phi(n)$  can be as  $n$  grows, is much less trivial a question to answer. However, the following lower limit exists.

**Theorem 4.2.1.** *Lower limit of the totient function*

$$\liminf \phi(n) = \frac{n}{e^\gamma \log \log n},$$

where  $\gamma$  is the Euler's constant.

*Proof.* Let's prove the claim by showing  $\liminf f(n) = 1$ , when

$$f(n) = \frac{\phi(n) e^\gamma \log \log n}{n},$$

and  $\gamma$  is the Euler's constant.

The proof is based on finding two functions  $F_1(t)$  and  $F_2(t)$ , the limits of which are both  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F_1(t) = 1$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F_2(t) = 1$ . First we show that

$$f(n) \geq F_1(\log n) \text{ for all } n \geq 3 \quad (1)$$

and in the second part that

$$f(n_j) \leq \frac{1}{F_2(j)} \text{ for some infinite increasing sequence } n_2, n_3, \dots \quad (2)$$

Let  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{r-\rho} \leq \log n$  and  $p_{r-\rho+1}, \dots, p_r > \log n$  be prime factors of  $n$ . In other words, the number  $n$  has  $r$  prime factors,  $\rho$  of which are greater than  $\log n$ .

Now

$$(\log n)^\rho < p_{r-\rho+1} \cdot p_{r-\rho+2} \cdots p_r \leq n,$$

which yields

$$\rho < \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}.$$

Thus, there are less than  $\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}$  prime factors greater than  $\log n$ .

By the Euler's product formula (theorem 2.0.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\phi(n)}{n} &= \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{r-\rho} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) \prod_{i=r-\rho+1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) \\ &\geq \left( \prod_{i=1}^{r-\rho} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log n}\right)^\rho \\ &> \left( \prod_{i=1}^{r-\rho} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log n}\right)^{\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can define

$$F_1(t) = e^\gamma \log t \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right)^{\frac{t}{\log t}} \prod_{p \leq t} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right),$$

because

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1(\log n) &= e^\gamma \log \log n \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log n}\right)^{\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}} \prod_{p \leq \log n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \\
&= e^\gamma \log \log n \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log n}\right)^{\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}} \prod_{i=1}^{r-\rho} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \\
&\leq \frac{\phi(n)}{n} e^\gamma \log \log n = f(n).
\end{aligned}$$

and by the Merten's third theorem (theorem 3.0.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F_1(t) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^\gamma \log t \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right)^{\frac{t}{\log t}} \prod_{p \leq t} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^\gamma \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right)^{\frac{t}{\log t}} \left(\log t \prod_{p \leq t} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right) \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^\gamma \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right)^{\frac{t}{\log t}} e^{-\gamma} \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right)^{\frac{t}{\log t}} \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we have proved the part (1) and showed that the limit inferior of the function  $f(n)$  is greater or equal to 1.

Next, to prove the part (2), let

$$n_j = \prod_{p \leq e^j} p^j, \text{ where } j \geq 2.$$

By the lemma 3.0.3

$$\log n_j = \log \prod_{p \leq e^j} p^j = j \log \prod_{p \leq e^j} p = j \vartheta(e^j) \leq A j e^j.$$

Hence

$$\log \log n_j = \log A j e^j = \log A + \log j + \log e^j = \log A + \log j + j.$$

En nyt olekaan ihan varma, miten tämän osuuden voisi todistaa ilman sigma-funktiota.

□