### **Information Retrieval**

Retrieval Models III: Pagerank, other models (cont.)

Qianni Zhang

### Retrieval Models III: Pagerank, others (cont.)

#### Roadmap of the next two lectures:

- Pagerank
- Set based model
- Fuzzy set model
- Extended boolean model
- Generalised vector space

#### **Premises**

- Documents and queries are represented through sets of keywords, therefore the matching between them is vague
  - Keywords cannot completely describe the user's information need and the doc's main theme
- For each query term (keyword)
  - Define a fuzzy set and that each doc has a degree of membership (0~1) in the set

### Theory

- Framework for representing classes (sets) whose boundaries are not well defined
  - Key idea is to introduce the notion of a degree of membership associated with the elements of a set
  - This degree of membership varies from 0 to 1 and allows modelling the notion of marginal membership
    - 0 →no membership
    - 1 →full membership
  - Thus, membership is now a gradual instead of abrupt
    - Not as conventional Boolean logic

Here we will define a fuzzy set for each query (or index) term, thus each doc has a degree of membership in this set.

#### **Definition**

- A fuzzy subset A (in universe U) whose boundaries are not well defined (e.g., tall, nice, relevant)
  - Is characterized by a membership function  $\mu_A: U \mapsto [0, 1]$
  - Which associates with each element u of U number  $\mu_A(u)$  in the interval [0, 1]
- Query term = fuzzy set
- Document = has a membership (between 0 and 1) to that set
- Let A and B be two fuzzy subsets of U. Also, let A be the complement of A. Then,
  - Complement  $\mu_{\overline{A}}(u) = 1 \mu_{A}(u)$
  - Union  $\mu_{A \cup B}(u) = \max(\mu_A(u), \mu_B(u))$
  - Intersection  $\mu_{A \cap B}(u) = \min(\mu_A(u), \mu_B(u))$

### Fuzzy set model

- Set-membership function:  $\mu_A: U \rightarrow [0; 1]$  where A is a term and U is a set of documents (thesaurus).
  - sailing = { (0.9, d1), (0.8, d2) }
  - boats = { (0.5, d1), (0.8, d2) }
- Membership based on tf, idf, or correlation matrix C:
  - $C_{i,j} := \frac{n_{i,j}}{n_i + n_j n_{i,j}}$
  - $n_{i,j}$ : Number of documents in which  $t_i$  and  $t_j$  occur
  - $n_i$ : Number of documents in which  $t_i$  occurs
  - $\mu_i(d) := 1 \prod_{t_j \in d} (1 C_{i,j})$
- The weight of a document in the set of term  $t_i$  is computed as the disjunction of all document terms related to term  $t_i$ .

### Fuzzy set model

$$\mu_i(d) := 1 - \prod_{t_j \in d} (1 - C_{i,j})$$

- Document d belongs to fuzzy set (term)  $t_i$  if its own terms (the  $t_i$ s) are related to  $t_i$ :
  - one term  $t_j$  in document d very related to  $t_j$  ( $C_{ij} \sim 1$ ):
    - membership of d to fuzzy set  $t_i$  close to 1  $(\mu_i(d) \sim 1)$
  - no term  $t_j$  in document d related to  $t_j$  (all  $C_{ij} \sim 0$ ):
    - membership of d to fuzzy set  $t_i$  close to 0 ( $\mu_i(d) \sim 0$ )

### Summary

- Advantages
  - The correlations among index terms are considered
  - Degree of relevance between queries and docs can be achieved
- Disadvantages
  - Fuzzy IR models have been discussed mainly in the literature associated with fuzzy theory
  - Do not consider the frequency (or counts) of a term in a document or a query

#### **Motivation**

- Extend the Boolean model with the functionality of partial matching and term weighting
  - E.g.: in Boolean model, for the query  $q = t_x \wedge t_y$ , a doc contains either  $t_x$  or  $t_y$  is as irrelevant as another doc which contains neither of them
  - How about the disjunctive query  $q = t_x \vee t_y$
- Combine Boolean query formulations with characteristics of the vector model
  - Term weighting
  - Algebraic distances for similarity measures \_

A ranking can be obtained

#### Introduction

- Deal with problems:
  - t<sub>1</sub> AND t<sub>2</sub> (too few documents retrieved) -> affect recall
  - t<sub>1</sub> ORt<sub>2</sub> (too many documents retrieved) -> affect precision
- Use weights  $d = (w_1, w_2)$  for terms  $t_1$  AND  $t_2$

$$R(d,q) = \sqrt{\frac{w_1^2 + w_2^2}{2}}$$

AND-queries:

ries:  

$$R(d \mid q) = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{(1 - w_1)^2 + (1 - w_2)^2}{2}}$$

 $\in$  [0, 1]

### Extend the idea to *m* terms, *p* norm

• OR-queries :  $q_{or} = t_1 \lor^{\rho} t_2 \lor^{\rho} ... \lor^{\rho} t_m$ 

$$R(d,q) = \left(\frac{w_1^p + w_2^p + \dots + w_m^p}{m}\right)^{1/p}$$

• AND-queries:  $q_{and} = t_1 \wedge^p t_2 \wedge^p ... \wedge^p t_m$ 

$$R(d \mid q) = 1 - \left(\frac{(1 - w_1)^p + (1 - w_2)^p + \dots + (1 - w_m)^p}{m}\right)^{1/p}$$

### Example 1, p = 2

- $t_1$  and  $t_2$  in document ( $w_1 = 1$  and  $w_2 = 1$ ):
  - OR-query:
  - AND-query:
- $t_1$  and  $t_2$  not in document ( $w_1 = 0$  and  $w_2 = 0$ ):
  - OR-query:
  - AND-query:
- $t_1$  in document and  $t_2$  not in document ( $w_1 = 1$  and  $w_2 = 0$ ):
  - OR-query:
  - AND-query:

### Example 2

- Consider the query  $q=(t_1 \wedge t_2) \vee t_3$ .
- The similarity R(d,q) between a document d and this query is then computed as

$$R(d,q) = \left(\frac{\left(1 - \left(\frac{(1 - w_1)^p + (1 - w_2)^p}{2}\right)^{1/p}\right)^p + w_3^p}{2}\right)^{1/p}$$

### Advantages

- A hybrid model including properties of both the set theoretic models and the algebraic models
- That is, relax the Boolean algebra by interpreting Boolean operations in terms of algebraic distances
  - By varying the parameter p between 1 and infinity, we can vary the p-norm ranking behaviour from that of a vector-like ranking to that of a fuzzy logic-like ranking
  - Have the possibility of using combinations of different values of the parameter p in the same query request

### Disadvantages

Assumes mutual independence of index terms

#### **Premise**

- Classic models enforce independence of index terms
- For the vector space model (VSM)
  - Set of term vectors  $\{\vec{t}_1,\vec{t}_2,....,\vec{t}_N\}$  are linearly independent and form a basis for the subspace of interest
  - Frequently, it means pair-wise orthogonality

$$\forall_{i,j} \Longrightarrow \vec{t}_i \cdot \vec{t}_j = 0$$

- Wong et al. proposed an alternative interpretation
  - The index terms are linearly independent, but not pair-wise orthogonal
  - Generalized Vector Space Model (GVSM)

### Key idea

- Index term vectors form the basis of the space are not orthogonal and are represented in terms of smaller components (minterms)
- Term to term correlations considered, which deprecate the pairwise orthogonality assumption.

#### **Notations**

- $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N\}$  : the set of all terms
- $w_{i,k}$ : the weight associated with  $[t_i,d_k]$  ( $d_k$  is the  $k_{th}$  doc.)
- Minterms: binary indicators (0 or 1) of all patterns of occurrence of terms within documents
  - Each represents one kind of co-occurrence of index terms in a specific document

 For a document d<sub>k</sub> and a query q the similarity function now becomes:

$$sim(d_k, q) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i,k} * w_{j,q} * t_i \cdot t_j}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i,k}^2} * \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i,q}^2}}$$

- where  $t_i$  and  $t_j$  are now vectors of a  $2^N$  dimensional space.
- Term correlation  $t_i \cdot t_j$  can be implemented in several ways.
- As an example Wong et al. use as input to their algorithm the term occurrence frequency matrix obtained from automatic indexing and the output is term correlation between any pair of index terms.

### Representation of minterms

A new space, where each term vector  $t_i$   $i=\{1,2,...,N\}$ is expressed as a linear combination of  $2^N$  vectors  $m_r$  where  $r=1...2^N$ 

Points to the docs where only index terms  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  cooccur and the other index terms disappear

$$m_1 = (0,0,...,0)$$
  
 $m_2 = (1,0,...,0)$   
 $m_3 = (0,1,...,0)$   
 $m_4 = (1,1,...,0)$   
 $m_5 = (0,0,1,..,0)$ 

Point to the docs containing all the index terms

$$m_{2^N} = (1,1,...,1)$$

2<sup>N</sup> minterms

Pairwise orthogonal vectors  $\overline{m}_i$  associated with minterms  $m_i$  as the basis for the generalized vector space

$$\vec{m}_1 = (1,0,0,0,0,....,0)$$
 $\vec{m}_2 = (0,1,0,0,0,....,0)$ 
 $\vec{m}_3 = (0,0,1,0,0,....,0)$ 
 $\vec{m}_4 = (0,0,0,1,0,....,0)$ 
 $\vec{m}_5 = (0,0,0,0,1,....,0)$ 
....
 $\vec{m}_{2^N} = (0,0,0,0,0,....,1)$ 

2<sup>N</sup> minterm vectors

- Minterm vectors are pairwise orthogonal.
- But, this does not mean that the index terms are independent
  - Each minterm specifies a kind of dependence among index terms
  - That is, the co-occurrence of index terms inside docs in the collection induces dependencies among these index terms

The vector associated with the term  $t_i$  is represented by summing up all minterms containing it and normalizing

$$\vec{t}_{i} = \frac{\sum_{\forall r, g_{i}(m_{r})=1} c_{i,r} \vec{m}_{r}}{\sqrt{\sum_{\forall r, g_{i}(m_{r})=1} c_{i,r}^{2}}} = \sum_{\forall r, g_{i}(m_{r})=1} \hat{c}_{i,r} \vec{m}_{r}$$

where 
$$\hat{c}_{i,r} = \frac{c_{i,r}}{\sqrt{\sum_{\forall r,g_i(m_r)=1} c_{i,r}^2}}$$

$$C_{i,r} = \sum_{\substack{d_j | g_l(\vec{d}_j) = g_l(m_r), \text{ for all } l}} W_{i,j}$$

All the docs whose term co-occurrence relation (pattern) can be represented as (exactly coincide with that of) minterm  $m_r$ 

 $C_{i,r} = \sum_{\substack{d_j \mid g_l(\vec{d}_j) = g_l(m_r), \text{for all } l}} \text{The weight associated with the pair } [t_i, m_i] \\ \text{Summing up the weights of the term } t_i \text{ in all the docs which have a term occurrence}$ pattern given by  $m_r$ 

> $g_i(m_r)$  indicates the index term  $t_i$  is in the minterm  $m_r$

Based on the above, we have:

$$\vec{t}_{i} = \sum_{\forall r, g_{i}(m_{r})=1} \hat{c}_{i,r} \vec{m}_{r}$$

$$\vec{t}_{i} \cdot \vec{t}_{j} = \sum_{\forall r \mid g_{i}(m_{r})=1 \land g_{j}(m_{r})=1} \hat{c}_{i,r} \times \hat{c}_{j,r}$$

$$\forall r \mid g_{i}(m_{r})=1 \land g_{j}(m_{r})=1$$

$$d_{k} = \sum_{\forall i} w_{i,k} \vec{t}_{i}$$

$$q = \sum_{\forall j} w_{j,q} \vec{t}_{j}$$

### Example

• Suppose that the system has 12 documents  $(d_1-d_{12})$  and 4 terms  $(t_1-t_4)$ 

$$d_1 = (2, 1, 0, 0),$$
  $d_2 = (5, 1, 0, 0),$   $d_3 = (1, 1, 1, 1),$   $d_4 = (0, 0, 2, 2),$   $d_5 = (0, 1, 1, 2),$   $d_6 = (0, 0, 1, 1),$   $d_7 = (0, 0, 1, 0),$   $d_8 = (1, 1, 0, 0),$   $d_9 = (2, 1, 1, 1),$   $d_{10} = (0, 2, 2, 2).$   $d_{11} = (1, 0, 2, 0),$   $d_{12} = (0, 0, 2, 1).$ 

6 minterms are used as independent vectors to form a base

$$m_1$$
=(1, 1, 0, 0),  $m_2$ =(1, 1, 1, 1),  $m_3$ =(0, 0, 1, 1),  $m_4$ =(0, 1, 1, 1),  $m_5$ =(0, 0, 1, 0),  $m_6$ =(1, 0, 1, 0).

### Example

Independent vectors:

$$\vec{m}_1 = (1,0,0,0,0,0)$$
  $\vec{m}_2 = (0,1,0,0,0,0)$   
 $\vec{m}_3 = (0,0,1,0,0,0)$   $\vec{m}_4 = (0,0,0,1,0,0)$   
 $\vec{m}_5 = (0,0,0,0,1,0)$   $\vec{m}_6 = (0,0,0,0,0,1)$ 

- $\vec{m}_i$  represents minterm  $m_i$
- Each pair of  $\vec{m}_i$  and  $\vec{m}_j$  is orthogonal. (dot product=0)

### Example

$$\vec{t}_1 = (c_{1,1}\vec{m}_1 + c_{1,2}\vec{m}_2 + c_{1,3}\vec{m}_3 + c_{1,4}\vec{m}_4 + c_{1,5}\vec{m}_5 + c_{1,6}\vec{m}_6)/C$$
where
$$c_{1,1} = w_{1,1} + w_{1,2} + w_{1,8} = 2 + 5 + 1 = 8$$

$$c_{1,2} = w_{1,3} + w_{1,9} = 1 + 2 = 3$$

$$c_{1,3} = w_{1,4} + w_{1,6} + w_{1,12} = 0 + 0 + 0 = 0$$

$$c_{1,4} = w_{1,5} + w_{1,10} = 0 + 0 = 0$$

$$c_{1,5} = w_{1,7} = 0, \qquad c_{1,6} = w_{1,11} = 1$$

$$C = \sqrt{(c_{1,1}^2 + c_{1,2}^2 + c_{1,3}^2 + c_{1,4}^2 + c_{1,5}^2 + c_{1,6}^2)}$$

### Example

$$\vec{t}_2 = (c_{2,1}\vec{m}_1 + c_{2,2}\vec{m}_2 + c_{2,3}\vec{m}_3 + c_{2,4}\vec{m}_4 + c_{2,5}\vec{m}_5 + c_{2,6}\vec{m}_6)/C$$
 where 
$$c_{2,1} = w_{2,1} + w_{2,2} + w_{2,8} = 1 + 1 + 1 = 3$$
 
$$c_{2,2} = w_{2,3} + w_{2,9} = 1 + 1 = 2$$
 
$$c_{2,3} = w_{2,4} + w_{2,6} + w_{2,12} = 0 + 0 + 0 = 0$$
 
$$c_{2,4} = w_{2,5} + w_{2,10} = 1 + 2 = 3$$

$$c_{2,5} = w_{2,7} = 0,$$
  $c_{2,6} = w_{2,11} = 0$ 

$$C = \sqrt{(c_{2,1}^2 + c_{2,2}^2 + c_{2,3}^2 + c_{2,4}^2 + c_{2,5}^2 + c_{2,6}^2)}$$

### Example

$$\vec{t}_{3} = (c_{3,1}\vec{m}_{1} + c_{3,2}\vec{m}_{2} + c_{3,3}\vec{m}_{3} + c_{3,4}\vec{m}_{4} + c_{3,5}\vec{m}_{5} + c_{3,6}\vec{m}_{6})/C$$
where
$$c_{3,1} = w_{3,1} + w_{3,2} + w_{3,8} = 0$$

$$c_{3,2} = w_{3,3} + w_{3,9} = 1 + 1 = 2$$

$$c_{3,3} = w_{3,4} + w_{3,6} + w_{3,12} = 2 + 1 + 2 = 5$$

$$c_{3,4} = w_{3,5} + w_{3,10} = 1 + 2 = 3$$

$$c_{3,5} = w_{3,7} = 1, \qquad c_{3,6} = w_{3,11} = 2$$

$$C = \sqrt{(c_{3,1}^{2} + c_{3,2}^{2} + c_{3,3}^{2} + c_{3,4}^{2} + c_{3,5}^{2} + c_{3,6}^{2})}$$

### Example

$$\vec{t}_4 = (c_{4,1}\vec{m}_1 + c_{4,2}\vec{m}_2 + c_{4,3}\vec{m}_3 + c_{4,4}\vec{m}_4 + c_{4,5}\vec{m}_5 + c_{4,6}\vec{m}_6)/C$$
 where 
$$c_{4,1} = w_{4,1} + w_{4,2} + w_{4,8} = 0$$
 
$$c_{4,2} = w_{4,3} + w_{4,9} = 1 + 1 = 2$$
 
$$c_{4,3} = w_{4,4} + w_{4,6} + w_{4,12} = 2 + 1 + 1 = 4$$
 
$$c_{4,4} = w_{4,5} + w_{4,10} = 2 + 2 = 4$$
 
$$c_{4,5} = w_{4,7} = 0, \qquad c_{4,6} = w_{4,11} = 0$$
 
$$C = \sqrt{(c_{4,1}^2 + c_{4,2}^2 + c_{4,3}^2 + c_{4,4}^2 + c_{4,5}^2 + c_{4,6}^2)}$$

### Example

d<sub>k</sub> the documents are converted from a vector of length 4 into a vector of length 6.

$$d_k = \sum_{\forall i} w_{i,k} \vec{t}_i$$

• Provide the new vectors representing  $d_1$ - $d_{12}$ 

### Retrieval Models III

### Summary

- Other models
  - Page rank model
  - Termsets
  - Fuzzy set model
  - Extended Boolean model
  - Generalised vector space