	This leaves us with the following bins and corresponding frequencies: (2,5]: 4 (7,10]: 4 (13,20]: 4 For binning by smoothing the boundaries, we need to find what interval between our values is common. In this case, the interval of closes appears to fit our values. This leaves us with the following bins and frequencies: (2,7]: 5 (8,13]: 4
	Question 2 Our list of numbers for normalise: $[10,5,25,50,35]$ The formula for min-max normalisation is : (value - min)/(max - min) Applying this to our data results in: $(10-5)/(50-5) = 5/45 = 0.11$ $(5-5)/(50-5) = 0/45 = 0$ $(25-5)/(50-5) = 20/45 = 0.44$ $(50-5)/(50-5) = 30/45 = 0.66$ The formula for Z-score normalisation is (value - mean)/standard deviation The mean of our dataset is $(10+5+25+50+35)/5 = 25$ To calculate the standard deviation we need to calculate the variance, this involves calculating the difference of each point to the mean squaring and summing these differences, then dividing this sum by the number of values in our data.
	Our differences = [-15,-20,0,25,10] Our squared differences = [225,400,0,625,100] This sums to: 1350 For our final variance score, we calculate 1350/5 = 270. To calculate the standard deviation, we find the square root of this 270 = 16.43 Now we can perform Z-score normalisation. (10-25)/16.43 = -0.91 (5-25)/16.43 = -1.21 (25-25)/16.43 = 1.52 (35-25)/16.43 = 0.61
	For the chi-square test, first recreate the table and sum both the rows and columns. Rating/University University A University B Total
	Dissatisfied 37 (38.3) 73 (71.7) 110 Total 108 202 310 Calculating the x^2 statistic uses this formula : $x^2 = \Sigma \frac{(O-E)^2}{E}$ This means finding the difference between the original and expected value, squaring this difference then dividing it by the expected value summing all these resulting values. Here are the calculations: $(71-69.7)^2/69.7 = 1.3^2/69.7 = 1.69/69.7 = 0.024$ $(37-38.3)^2/38.3 = -1.3^2/38.3 = 1.69/38.3 = 0.044$ $(129-130.3)^2/130.3 = -1.3^2/71.7 = 1.69/71.7 = 0.024$ $x^2 = 0.024 + 0.044 + 0.013 + 0.024 = 0.105$ The hypothesis that satisfaction and university are independent with 1 degree of freedom requires a x^2 value of 10.828 or below. With
1]: 3]:	<pre>from matprotlib import pyprot as pit from sklearn.decomposition import PCA import seaborn as sns CI = pd.read_csv('country-income.csv') CI</pre>
4]:	1 Brazil 32.0 57600.0 Yes 2 USA 35.0 64800.0 No 3 Brazil 43.0 73200.0 No 4 USA 45.0 NaN Yes 5 India 40.0 69600.0 Yes 6 Brazil NaN 62400.0 No 7 India 53.0 94800.0 Yes 8 USA 55.0 99600.0 No 9 India 42.0 80400.0 Yes CI2 = CI.copy() CI2 = CI2.fillna(CI2[['Age', 'Income']].mean())
4]: 5]:	0 India 49.00000 86400.00000 No 1 Brazil 32.00000 57600.00000 Yes 2 USA 35.00000 64800.00000 No 3 Brazil 43.00000 73200.00000 No 4 USA 45.00000 76533.333333 Yes 5 India 40.00000 69600.00000 Yes 6 Brazil 43.777778 62400.00000 No 7 India 53.00000 94800.00000 No 9 India 42.00000 80400.00000 Yes
5]:	CI3.Region = CI3.Region.apply(lambda x: region_num[x] if x in region_num.keys() else x) CI3['Online Shopper'] = CI3['Online Shopper'].apply(lambda x: shopper_num[x] if x in shopper_num.keys() CI3
6]:	Index Gender Size Height 1 2 F 6.0 60.0 2 3 F 7.0 60.0 3 4 F 8.0 60.0
7]: 7]:	sizes_f.head()
8]: 8]:	Index Gender Size Height
	ax1.set_xlabel('Size') ax1.set_ylabel('Beight') ax2.set_ylabel('Beight') ax2.set_title('Male sizes ws Heights') ax2.set_xlabel('Size') ax2.set_ylabel('Height') plt.show() Female sizes vs Heights Male sizes vs Heights Male sizes vs Heights 74 77.5 70 77.5 77.0 77.5
3]:	Female correlation between shoe size and height: 0.7078119417143971
6]: 6]:	breasts = pr. read_csv'.rttps://archive.its.udr.edu/mi/machine-learning-databases/breast-cancer-wisconsin breasts.columns = ['Sample code', 'Clump Thickness', 'Uniformity of Cell Size', 'Bare Nuclei', 'Bland Chromatin',
9]:	breasts2 = breasts.filla(preasts[Bare Nuclei'].median()).copy() #print(breasts2.isna().value_counts()) #breasts2.head() breasts2['Bare Nuclei'] = pd.to_numeric(breasts2['Bare Nuclei']) breasts2['Bare Nuclei'] = pd.to_numeric(breasts2['Bare Nuclei']) breasts3 = breasts2.drop(['Class'],axis=1).copy() Z = (breasts3-breasts3.mean())/breasts3.std() Z.head() Clump Uniformity of Uniformity of Cell Marginal Single Epithelial Bare Nuclei Chromatin Nucleol Thickness Cell Size Shape Adhesion Cell Size Nuclei Chromatin Nucleol 0 0.206788 -0.699494 -0.742767 -0.632794 -0.549168 -0.686488 -0.179534 -0.611387 -0.34 1 0.206788 0.283642 0.266684 0.768071 1.708882 1.798376 -0.179534 -0.283909 -0.34 2 -0.503505 -0.699494 -0.742767 -0.632794 -0.549168 -0.410392 -0.179534 -0.611387 -0.34 3 0.561934 1.594490 1.612618 -0.632794 -0.097558 0.141800 -0.179534 -0.611387 -0.34 4 -0.148359 -0.699494 -0.742767 0.067638 -0.549168 -0.686488 -0.179534 -0.611387 -0.34
0]:	<pre>num_components = 2 pca = PCA(n_components=num_components) projected = pca.fit_transform(Z) projected = pd.DataFrame(projected,columns=['pc1','pc2']) projected['Class'] = breasts2.Class projected.head()</pre>
	As we can see on the scatterplot below, there is far greater movement along the x-axis of pc1 compared to the y-axis of pc2 which fits scree plot results that pc1 captures more variation in the data than pc2. We can also see that class 2 appears to be clustered around coordinates [-2,0] whereas class 4 appears to have a greater range and variation of values. Pc1 also appears to capture more of the variation in class 2 than pc2 as class 2 moves along the x-axis more than the y-axis. This is also slightly apparent for class 4 as a lot of to movement is within the x-axis compared to the y-axis. This could be expected though as pc1 captures 60% more of the variation in the data than pc2 so you would expect a greater variation of values. The scree plot bars do not sum to 100%, this is because we have set our number of principal components to only 2 so as to plot best of a 2-D plot. If we were to remove this limit we would end up with 9 principal components as there are 9 variables in our breast cancer dataframe. However, we would likely see a descending trend in variation percentage for these extra 7 principal components whilst using the first 2 captures over approximately 70% of the variation in the data. Within this task, 70% may be acceptable to capture the grouping of data, but in other situations we could set a threshold of 80% of above and therefore require more principal components to reach the figure of variation.
1]:	<pre>fit_test = pca.fit(Z) exp_var = pd.DataFrame(fit_test.explained_variance_ratio_*100) ax = exp_var.plot.bar(legend=False) ax.set_xticklabels(['pc1','pc2'],rotation=0) ax.set_ylabel('Variation (%)') ax.set_title('Scree Plot of pc1 and pc2') plt.show()</pre> Scree Plot of pc1 and pc2
2]:	fig = plt.figure(figsize=(10,8)) sns.scatterplot(data=projected, x='pc1', y='pc2', hue='Class', palette='tab10') plt.title('Scatterplot of pc1 and pc2') plt.show() Scatterplot of pc1 and pc2 5 Class
3]:	<pre>df = pd.fead_csv('graduation_rate.csv') df.head()</pre>
4]:	di.com()
5]:	ACT composite score
	Question 2 There appears to be a clear positive trend as you progress higher through parental level of education, as the education increases so do the parental income's median value from around 50,000 for some high school to 90,000 for master's degree. The ranges also start to decrease from approximately 60,000 for some high school to 40,000 for master's degrees however the interquartile ranges stay approximately the same size. The numbers of outliers seem to be fairly consistent throughout the boxplots, however their values correspond differently between each education level, for instance the lower outlier for the masters degree is close to the median value some high school education.
6]:	ax = sns.boxplot(x='parental level of education', y='parental income', data=df,order=['some high school', 'some college', "as "bachelor's degree" plt.title('Boxplot: parental income, grouped by parental level of education') # Wrap xticks import textwrap ax.set_xticklabels([textwrap.fill(t.get_text(), 10) for t in ax.get_xticklabels()]) plt.show() Boxplot: parental income, grouped by parental level of education 120000 20000 100000 20000 20000 100000 20000
7]:	
9]:	precedence over another. For example, if you were trying to find the distances between individuals based on shoe size and height, bot height and shoe_size use different magnitudes or scales. Shoe size ranges from 5 to 10, so a max distance of 5, whilst heights ranges f 153 to 194 with a max distance of 41. In trying to find the distances between these individuals, heights would be weighted more heavi because there is a greater numerical distance between most of the values. By using scaling, we put all of the variables into a similar rar to negate this effect. In essence, we are converting the values to a common scale without distorting the differences in the range of val Below we have two arrays of heights and shoe size, both on different scales. By normalising the data, we have moved both scales to between approximately -2 to 2. from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler heights = np_array([1,60,180,155,173,153,163,177,175,194,169]) shoe size = np_array([6,70,80,9,10,8,8,6,5]) zipped = np_column_stack((heights, shoe_size)) scaler = StandardScaler() zipped = scaler.fit_transform(zipped) zipped array([[-0.829425 , -1.04764544],
	of the y-axis is master's degree. The x-axis is sorted in ascending order from some high school to master's degree. Therefore, the top I the distance between some high school and some high school which will have the smallest distance and therefore be coloured lightes bottom left will compare distances between master's degree (on y-axis) and some high school (on x-axis), these will be the most differ and will be coloured darkest to signify this. Question 5 What this form of interpolation is doing is assigning a numerical value for every combination of x and y. It is then assigning each of the values a colour which is plotted in this first heatmap. By using interpolation='nearest', every value between the z-values is assigned the colour of the z-value that is closest. For example, the point at x=-0.85 and y=-1 is not formally defined in the dataframe but its distance closest to the z value 1.60. Therefore, it will also take the colour that z=1.60 holds. By using 100 x and y values to create the Z matrix from, you are reducing the space between the Z values and therefore increasing the numbers or shades of colours available. Similar to how video resoluton works, there are now more pixels as with our previous example x=-0.85 and y = -1, the closest z-value would no longer be 1.6 but could be something like 1.84 which would have a more specific rgb value. The resulting heatmap axes are also a map of the Z matrix indices. The first map has red lines showing the colour of Z[0,3] which is a v of 1.11. The second heatmap has the same indice of Z[0,3] but because there are far more z-values it now has a value of 1.88.
0]:	<pre>x_range = np.linspace(-1, 1, 10) y_range = np.linspace(-1, 1, 10) # meshgrid: X[i, j] == x_range[j] and Y[i, j] == y_range[i] X, Y = np.meshgrid(x_range, y_range) # Z[i, j] == f(x_range[j], y_range[i]) Z = X**2 + Y**2 print('Z value = ' + str(Z[0,3])) # Dataset representation df = pd.DataFrame({'x': X.reshape(-1), 'y': Y.reshape(-1), 'z = f(x,y)': Z.reshape(-1)}) #display(df)</pre> Z value = 1.1111111111111111111111111111111111
2]:	<pre>x_range = np.linspace(-1, 1, 100) -125 -100 -0.75 -0.50 -0.25 x_range = np.linspace(-1, 1, 100) y_range = np.linspace(-1, 1, 100) # meshgrid: X[i, j] == x_range[j] and Y[i, j] == y_range[i] X, Y = np.meshgrid(x_range, y_range) # Z[i, j] == f(x_range[j], y_range[i]) Z = X**2 + Y**2 print('Z value = ' + str(Z[0,3])) # Dataset representation df = pd.DataFrame(('x': X.reshape(-1), 'y': Y.reshape(-1), 'z = f(x,y)': Z.reshape(-1)})</pre>
	<pre>df = pd.DataFrame({'x': X.reshape(-1), 'y': Y.reshape(-1), 'z = f(x,y)': Z.reshape(-1)}) #display(df) Z value = 1.8824609733700643</pre>
3]:	2.00 -1.75 -1.50 -1.25 -1.00 -0.75 -0.50 -0.25
<pre>5]:</pre>	Question 6 from sklearn.datasets import load_wine from sklearn.manifold import MDS data = load_wine() df = pd.DataFrame(data.data, columns=data.feature_names) df('target') = pd.Series(data.target) df. head() alcohol malic_acid ash alcalinity.of_ash magnesium total_phenols flavanoids nonflavanoid_phenols proanthocyanins color_inte 1 1320 1.78 2.14 112 100.0 2.65 2.76 0.26 1.28 2 13.16 2.36 2.67 18.6 101.0 2.80 3.24 0.30 2.81 3 14.37 1.95 2.50 16.8 113.0 3.85 3.49 0.24 2.18 4 13.24 2.59 2.87 2.10 118.0 2.80 2.69 0.39 1.82
5]:	### Question 6 from skiearn.datasets import load_wine from skiearn.manifold import MTS data = load_wine() df = pd.UbataFrame(data.data, columns=data.feature_names) df = pd.UbataFrame(data.data, columns=data.feature_names) df target = pd.Beries (data.target) df.head() df = load_wine() df = load_wine() df target = pd.Beries (data.target) df.head() df target df target
5]: 5]:	### Operation 6 #### Comparison of Comparison Comparison Control of Comparison Control of Comparison Control of Control

