

Leveraging national forest inventory data to estimate forest carbon density status and trends for small areas

Elliot S. Shannon^{1,2}, Andrew O. Finley^{1,2}, Paul B. May³, Grant M. Domke⁴, Hans-Erik Andersen⁵, George C. Gaines III⁶, Arne Nothdurft⁷ and Sudipto Banerjee⁸

¹Dept of Forestry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA.

²Dept of Statistics and Probability, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA.

³Dept of Mathematics, South Dakota School of Mines & Technology, Rapid City, SD, USA.

⁴USDA Forest Service, Northern Research Station, St. Paul, MN, USA.

⁵USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Seattle, WA, USA.

⁶USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Missoula, MT, USA.


⁷Dept of Forest and Soil Sciences, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, Austria.

⁸Dept of Biostatistics, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA.

June 17, 2025

National Forest Inventory Data

- National Forest Inventory (NFI) programs provide critical information on forest health, sustainable management, and ecosystem change.
- Users require higher spatial and temporal resolution forest status and change parameter estimates.
- Design-based estimates are limited to large spatial and temporal scales.




Small Area Estimation

- Small area estimation (SAE) methods have gained attention for estimating forest parameters in data-sparse settings.
 - ▶ employ statistical models to relate forest response variables to auxiliary data.
 - ▶ improve accuracy and precision over design-based approaches.



Fay-Herriot Model

- The Fay-Herriot (FH) model is widely used in SAE applications for NFI data.
 - ▶ fit to small area direct estimates.
 - ▶ does not require exact plot locations.
- Direct estimates are often missing when sample sizes are too small or measurements are homogeneous.



Bayesian Spatio-Temporal SAE Model

We propose a Bayesian spatio-temporal SAE model of live forest carbon density (LFCD) that

- directly uses NFI plot-level measurements,
 - incorporates auxiliary covariates,
 - accommodates spatially and temporally varying regression coefficients,
 - appropriately quantifies uncertainty.

Data

- We have 593,368 United States Forest Service Forest Inventory and Analysis (FIA) plot measurements collected across 3,108 counties in the CONUS from 2008 to 2021.
 - ▶ Exact plot locations are unknown, but plot measurements may be assigned to counties.
- We leverage remotely sensed percent tree canopy cover (TCC) as a covariate.
 - ▶ Averaged among all pixels within a given county and year.

Notation

Let

- $j = 1, \dots, J$ index counties,
- $t = 1, \dots, T$ index discrete years,
- $i = 1, \dots, n_{j,t}$ index FIA plots measured in county j in year t ,
 - ▶ Note, we may have $n_{j,t} = 0$ for some j and t .
- $y_{i,j,t}$ be the LFCD (Mg/ha) at FIA plot i in county j in year t ,
- $\mu_{j,t}$ be the latent (unobserved) mean LFCD for county j in year t ,
- $\mathbf{x}_{j,t} = (1, x_{1,j,t}, \dots, x_{P,j,t})^T$ be the length $P + 1$ vector of covariates associated with county j in year t ,
- $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{j,t} = (\tilde{x}_{1,j,t}, \dots, \tilde{x}_{Q,j,t})^T$ be the length $Q \subseteq P$ vector of covariates with space-varying impact on $\mu_{j,t}$.

Model

For county j in year t , the proposed model is then

$$y_{i,j,t} = \underbrace{\mathbf{x}_{j,t}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}_t + \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{j,t}^T \boldsymbol{\eta}_j + u_{j,t}}_{\mu_{j,t}} + \varepsilon_{i,j,t}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n_{j,t}, \quad (1)$$

where

- $\varepsilon_{i,j,t} \stackrel{\text{ind}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_t^2)$,
- $\boldsymbol{\beta}_t$ is a length $P + 1$ vector of temporally-varying regression coefficients,
- $\boldsymbol{\eta}_j$ is a length Q vector of space-varying regression coefficients,
- $u_{j,t}$ is a dynamically evolving spatio-temporal intercept term.

Temporally-varying Regression Coefficients

β_t is modeled dynamically as

$$\beta_t = \beta_{t-1} + \xi_t, \text{ with} \quad (2)$$

$$\xi_t \stackrel{\text{ind}}{\sim} MVN(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma_\xi), \quad t = 1, \dots, T, \quad (3)$$

which allows the effect of covariates in $\mathbf{x}_{j,t}$ to have time-varying impact on the response according to the covariance structure in Σ_ξ .

Space-varying Regression Coefficients

Writing $\boldsymbol{\eta}_j = (\eta_{1,j}, \dots, \eta_{Q,j})^T$ and collecting $\boldsymbol{\eta}_q^* = (\eta_{q,1}, \dots, \eta_{q,J})^T$, we model $\boldsymbol{\eta}_q^*$ as a conditional autoregressive (CAR) random effect,

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}_q^* \sim MVN \left(\mathbf{0}, \tau_{\eta,q}^2 \mathbf{Q}(\rho_{\eta,q}) \right), \quad q = 1, \dots, Q, \quad (4)$$

where

- $\tau_{\eta,q}^2$ is a scalar variance parameter,
- $\rho_{\eta,q}$ is a spatial correlation parameter ($0 < \rho_{\eta,q} < 1$),
- $\mathbf{Q}(\rho_{\eta,q})$ is a $J \times J$ correlation matrix reflecting the county neighborhood structure. (See Banerjee et al. (2004) for details).

Dynamic Spatio-temporal Intercept

$u_{j,t}$ is modeled as a dynamically evolving CAR spatial random effect,

$$u_{j,t} = u_{j,t-1} + \omega_{j,t}, \quad (5)$$

where $u_{j,0} \equiv 0$ for all j .

Then, collecting all $\omega_{j,t}$ for time t as $\boldsymbol{\omega}_t = (\omega_{1,t}, \dots, \omega_{J,t})^T$, we specify a CAR spatial structure for $\boldsymbol{\omega}_t$ as

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_t \sim MVN \left(\mathbf{0}, \tau_{\omega,t}^2 \mathbf{Q}(\rho_\omega) \right). \quad (6)$$

Direct Estimates

- Traditionally, NFI-derived quantities of interest have been estimated using design-based direct estimates.

Specifically, the direct estimate mean for $\mu_{j,t}$ is calculated as

$$\hat{\mu}_{j,t} = \frac{1}{n_{j,t}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{j,t}} y_{i,j,t}, \quad (7)$$

with associated estimate variance

$$\hat{\sigma}_{j,t}^2 = \frac{1}{n_{j,t}(n_{j,t} - 1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{j,t}} (y_{i,j,t} - \hat{\mu}_{j,t})^2. \quad (8)$$




Figure 1: Posterior mean values of live forest carbon density ($\mu_{j,t}$).

Tuolumne, California




Figure 2: Posterior mean and 95% credible intervals of LFCD ($\mu_{j,t}$) for Tuolumne County, California, compared to direct estimate means ($\hat{\mu}_{j,t}$) and 95% confidence intervals over time. Top row displays sample sizes ($n_{j,t}$).




Figure 3: Significant live forest carbon density trends (Mg/ha/year).





Figure 4: Average measures of bias, root mean square error (RMSE), coverage percentage, and coverage interval widths for the model and direct estimator arranged according to sample size $n_{j,t}$.


Each point represents the mean metric value for estimating $\mu_{j,t}$ averaged over $R = 100$ simulated population replicates.




Shannon et al. (2025)

Looking Forward






a.




Carbon (Mg)



b.



Opportunity Cost
(Mg Carbon)



References

- Banerjee, S., Carlin, B., and Gelfand, A. (2004). *Hierarchical Modeling and Analysis of Spatial Data*, volume 101.
- Shannon, E. S., Finley, A. O., May, P. B., Domke, G. M., Andersen, H.-E., III, G. C. G., Nothdurft, A., and Banerjee, S. (2025). Leveraging national forest inventory data to estimate forest carbon density status and trends for small areas.