BM2_HW8

Yixiao Sun

2024-04-11

```
library(tidyverse)
## -- Attaching core tidyverse packages ----- tidyverse 2.0.0 --
## v dplyr 1.1.4
                       v readr
                                  2.1.5
## v forcats 1.0.0 v stringr 1.5.1
## v ggplot2 3.5.0 v tibble 3.2.1
## v lubridate 1.9.3
                    v tidyr
                                  1.3.1
## v purrr
              1.0.2
## -- Conflicts ------ tidyverse_conflicts() --
## x dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()
## x dplyr::lag()
                   masks stats::lag()
## i Use the conflicted package (<a href="http://conflicted.r-lib.org/">http://conflicted.r-lib.org/</a>) to force all conflicts to become error
library(summarytools)
## Attaching package: 'summarytools'
## The following object is masked from 'package:tibble':
##
##
      view
library(ggplot2)
library(bayesQR)
library(readxl)
library(gee)
health_data <- read_excel("~/Desktop/P8130_Biostatistical Method/BM2_HW8/HW8-HEALTH.xlsx")
View(health_data)
dim(health_data)
## [1] 279
head(health_data)
## # A tibble: 6 x 5
##
       ID TIME TXT
                           HEALTH AGEGROUP
   <dbl> <dbl> <chr>
                           <chr> <chr>
## 1 101 1 Intervention Good 15-24
## 2 101
            2 Intervention Good 15-24
```

```
## 3
      101
              3 Intervention Good
                                     15-24
## 4
      101
              4 Intervention Good
                                     15-24
## 5
      102
              1 Control
                            Poor
                                    15-24
## 6
      102
              2 Control
                              Poor
                                     15-24
health data$HEALTH2<-as.numeric(health data$HEALTH == "Good")
health data$AGEGROUP<-as.factor(health data$AGEGROUP)
health data2 <- subset(health data, health data$TIME == "1")
  a)
logit.fit <- glm(formula = HEALTH2 ~ TXT,family = binomial,data = health_data2)</pre>
summary(logit.fit)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = HEALTH2 ~ TXT, family = binomial, data = health_data2)
## Coefficients:
##
                   Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept)
                  -0.04879
                               0.31244 -0.156
## TXTIntervention -0.31412
                               0.45122 -0.696
                                                  0.486
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
      Null deviance: 110.10 on 79 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 109.62 on 78 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 113.62
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

Based on the results of the logistic model, we conclude that the TXT are not significant for having a p_value of 0.486, which is bigger than alpha 0.05, so we do not reject the null hypothesis. Therefore, there arent significant relationship between the randomization and how the patients self identify their health status.

b)

```
id = ID,
                    family = binomial,
                    corstr = "unstructured",scale.fix = T,scale.value = 1)
## Beginning Cgee S-function, @(#) geeformula.q 4.13 98/01/27
## running glm to get initial regression estimate
                      baselinePoor TXTIntervention
                                                                      AGEGROUP25-34
##
       (Intercept)
                                                               TIME
##
        0.18528086
                       -1.71063852
                                        1.99669985
                                                        0.02536275
                                                                         1.19749448
##
       AGEGROUP35+
##
        1.39742621
summary(gee model)
##
   GEE: GENERALIZED LINEAR MODELS FOR DEPENDENT DATA
##
   gee S-function, version 4.13 modified 98/01/27 (1998)
##
##
## Model:
## Link:
                               Logit
  Variance to Mean Relation: Binomial
  Correlation Structure:
                               Unstructured
##
## Call:
   gee(formula = nstat ~ baseline + TXT + TIME + AGEGROUP, id = ID,
       data = health_data3, family = binomial, corstr = "unstructured",
##
       scale.fix = T, scale.value = 1)
##
##
## Summary of Residuals:
           Min
                        1Q
                                Median
                                                30
                                                           Max
## -0.98144969 -0.18317233 0.08914345 0.17159228 0.83093959
##
##
## Coefficients:
                      Estimate Naive S.E.
                                             Naive z Robust S.E.
                                                                    Robust z
## (Intercept)
                    0.12457924 \ 0.47137316 \ 0.2642901 \ 0.51374172 \ 0.2424939
## baselinePoor
                   -1.81418056 0.48958528 -3.7055456 0.50961334 -3.5599158
## TXTIntervention 2.10225898 0.48779381
                                          4.3097286 0.53777951 3.9091467
                    0.03243343 0.03665686
                                           0.8847848
                                                      0.04755408 0.6820326
## AGEGROUP25-34
                    1.35250468 0.48130172
                                           2.8100973 0.50420159 2.6824681
## AGEGROUP35+
                    1.42052166 0.79781620 1.7805124 0.78372968 1.8125148
## Estimated Scale Parameter: 1
## Number of Iterations: 5
## Working Correlation
##
             [,1]
                       [,2]
                                 [,3]
## [1,] 1.0000000 0.1719328 0.5859907
## [2,] 0.1719328 1.0000000 0.2013998
## [3,] 0.5859907 0.2013998 1.0000000
```

Without randomization, based on the model, the odds ratio of self rating as good and the baseline as good compared with poor baseline is estimated as 1.814. And the odds ratio of having self rating as good for those in the treatment group compared with those in the control group is estimated as 2.10225. The odds ratio of having self rating for every unit increase in after randomization month is 0.03243. And the odds ratio of having self rating as good for those who are in the age group of 25-34 compared with those in the age group of 15-24 is estimated as 1.3525. Finally, the odds ratio of having self rating as good for those who are in the age group of 35 and older compared with those in the age group of 15-24 is estimated as 1.42052.

c)

```
library(lme4)
## Loading required package: Matrix
## Attaching package: 'Matrix'
## The following objects are masked from 'package:tidyr':
##
##
       expand, pack, unpack
library(Matrix)
library(nlme)
##
## Attaching package: 'nlme'
## The following object is masked from 'package:lme4':
##
       lmList
##
## The following object is masked from 'package:dplyr':
##
##
       collapse
GLMEM <- glmer(nstat ~ baseline + TXT + TIME + AGEGROUP + (1|ID),
               data = health_data3,
               family = binomial)
summary(GLMEM)
```

```
## Generalized linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood (Laplace
    Approximation) [glmerMod]
  Family: binomial (logit)
## Formula: nstat ~ baseline + TXT + TIME + AGEGROUP + (1 | ID)
     Data: health data3
##
##
                      logLik deviance df.resid
       AIC
                BIC
     185.0
                       -85.5
##
              208.0
                               171.0
##
## Scaled residuals:
      Min
             10 Median
                                     Max
## -2.6112 -0.2327 0.1402 0.2982 1.8239
## Random effects:
## Groups Name
                      Variance Std.Dev.
          (Intercept) 5.721
                              2.392
## Number of obs: 199, groups: ID, 78
## Fixed effects:
##
                  Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept)
                   0.19521 0.87019
                                      0.224 0.82250
## baselinePoor
                  -2.77610
                             0.98381 -2.822 0.00478 **
## TXTIntervention 3.41325
                                      3.182 0.00146 **
                             1.07268
                   0.03718
                             0.06933
                                      0.536 0.59176
## AGEGROUP25-34
                   2.25651
                             1.00877
                                      2.237 0.02529 *
## AGEGROUP35+
                 1.98229 1.38119 1.435 0.15123
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##
              (Intr) bslnPr TXTInt TIME
                                         AGEGROUP2
## baselinePor -0.374
## TXTIntrvntn -0.256 -0.449
              -0.472 -0.016
                            0.047
## AGEGROUP25- -0.319 -0.379 0.395 0.007
## AGEGROUP35+ -0.195 -0.274 0.206 -0.007 0.390
```

The odds ratio of having self rating for every unit increase in after randomization month is 0.03718 for the same subject. The main difference for the two models we made in (B) and (C) is that for this linear mixed model mainly focus on the individual level, but the GEE model made in question 2 are mainly focus on the population mean.