

What Works?

- Code style enforcement:
 - This will be done in the editor (most likely VSCode).
 - VSCode has its own way of checking for code styling.
 - Simply using VSCode will be easier for everyone since we won't have to download any additional software.
- Code quality via tool:
 - Codeclimate
 - Does not require a fee.
 - Integrates with Github, where we will be doing most of our work.
- Code quality via human review:
 - Pull requests
 - This works because we will be able to see each others' code and revise as necessary.
 - This will happen via Github, so no extra software will be needed.
- Automated unit testing:
 - <https://medium.com/javascript-scene/why-i-use-tape-instead-of-mocha-so-should-you-6aa105d8eaf4>
 - Tape
 - Not as much configuration as other alternatives, aka Mocha, Ava, Jasmine, etc.
 - Not as many assertion choices
 - Does not share states in between tests.
- Documentation generation:
 - JSDocs
 - Works with JavaScript, the language we are using
 - Can generate an HTML website using source code.
 - Automated
 - Standardized commenting
 - Easy to download package via VSCode

What Doesn't Work?

- Code style enforcement:
 - Any additional software.
 - Downloading any additional software to handle code styling is unnecessary and cumbersome.
- Code quality via tool:
 - Codacy
 - Codacy requires a subscription fee, free trial has fewer features.
 - Cannot be integrated with Github.
- Code quality via human review:
 - Any additional software
 - Downloading any additional software to handle code quality via human review is unnecessary and cumbersome.
- Automated unit testing:
 - Alternatives offer too many assertion choices, leads to “analysis paralysis” and lost productivity.
 - Not concise enough.
 - Too much code, not as readable as Tape.
- Documentation generation:
 - Anything other than JSDocs.
 - We will be writing in JavaScript, so it does not make sense to use another package.