OBJECTIVE 3

Table (number). Summary of Respondents’ Mean Scores in Estratehiya

| Variable | n | Mean | Std. Deviation | Minimum | Maximum | Qualitative Description |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Respondents’ Mean Scores in Estratehiya | 347 | 3.96 | 0.52 | 2.47 | 5 | Sumasang-ayon |

The summary of the respondents’ mean scores in estratehiya is shown in the table above. The overall mean is 3.96 which has a qualitative description of sumasang-ayon. The minimum mean score is 2.47 and the maximum is 5.

OBJECTIVE 4

Table (number). Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

| Variables | Sum of Squares | df | F-Statistic | p-value |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Kolehiyo | 3.17 | 7 | 1.75 | 0.10 |
| Kasarian | 1.20 | 1 | 4.62 | 0.03 |
| Edad | 0.72 | 2 | 1.39 | 0.25 |
| Type | 0.01 | 1 | 0.03 | 0.86 |
| BKP | 0.96 | 3 | 1.23 | 0.30 |
| Platform | 0.88 | 4 | 0.85 | 0.49 |
| Residuals | 84.80 | 328 | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |

The ANOVA table above shows that among all the variables, Kasarian is the only significant source of variation in the respondents’ mean scores in estratehiya. This is indicated by the F-Statistics of 4.62 with a p-value of .03. Hence, mean scores in estratehiya is different from female mean scores in estratehiya.

It is shown in the table below that female respondents have higher mean scores in estratehiya than male respondents. Therefore, female mean

Table (bilang). Summary of Respondents’ Mean Scores in Estratehiya according to Kasarian

| Kasarian | count | mean | sd |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| F | 151 | 4.019048 | 0.5192319 |
| M | 196 | 3.906803 | 0.5092189 |

OBJECTIVE 2

| Platform | nt | percent |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Google Classroom | 307 | 88.47 |
| Zoom | 95 | 27.38 |
| Edmodo | 45 | 12.97 |
| Google Meet | 210 | 60.52 |
| Ms. Teams | 248 | 71.47 |
| Facebook | 202 | 58.21 |
| Messenger | 239 | 68.88 |

Same as how you described the tables in objective 1 and 2.