

(https://profile.intra.42.fr)

Remember that the quality of the defenses, hence the quality of the school on the labor market depends on you. The remote defenses during the Covid crisis allows more flexibility so you can progress into your curriculum, but also brings more risks of cheat, injustice, laziness, that will harm everyone's skills development. We do count on your maturity and wisdom during these remote defenses for the benefits of the entire community.

SCALE FOR PROJECT LEM_IN (/PROJECTS/LEM_IN)

You should evaluate 2 students in this team



Git repository

git@vogsphere.msk.21-€ 

Introduction

Please respect the following rules:

- Remain polite, courteous, respectful and constructive throughout the correction process. The well-being of the community depends on it.
- Identify with the person (or the group) graded the eventual dysfunctions of the work. Take the time to discuss and debate the problems you have identified.
- You must consider that there might be some difference in how your peers might have understood the project's instructions and the scope of its functionalities. Always keep an open mind and grade him/her as honestly as possible. The pedagogy is valid only if the peer-evaluation is conducted seriously.

Guidelines

- Only grade the work that is in the student or group's GiT repository.
- Double-check that the GiT repository belongs to the student or the group. Ensure that the work is for the relevant project and also check that "git clone" is used in an empty folder.
- Check carefully that no malicious aliases was used to fool you and make you evaluate something other than the content of the

official repository.


- To avoid any surprises, carefully check that both the correcting and the corrected students have reviewed the possible scripts used to facilitate the grading.

- If the correcting student has not completed that particular project yet, it is mandatory for this student to read the entire subject prior to starting the defence.

- Use the flags available on this scale to signal an empty repository, non-functioning program, a norm error, cheating etc. In these cases, the grading is over and the final grade is 0 (or -42 in case of cheating). However, with the exception of cheating, you are encouraged to continue to discuss your work (even if you have not finished it) in order to identify any issues that may have caused this failure and avoid repeating the same mistake in the future.

Attachments

 generator (/uploads/document/document/499/generator)

 Subject (<https://cdn.intra.42.fr/pdf/pdf/6161/lem-in.en.pdf>)

 Subject (<https://cdn.intra.42.fr/pdf/pdf/6384/fr.subject.pdf>)

 generator (/uploads/document/document/1678/generator)

Mandatory part

Reminder : Remember that for the duration of the defence, no segfault, nor other unexpected, premature, uncontrolled or unexpected termination of the program, else the final grade is 0. Use the appropriate flag. This rule is active throughout the whole defence.

Prerequisites

If something here is missing, the defence is finished and final grade is 0.

- Nothing in the git repository.
- Check that the author file is at the root of the repository and formatted as explained in the subject.
- The norm is not respected, the norminette is proof.
- Cheat (The student can not explain her/his code) => Flag Cheat
- A prohibited function is used.
- The program is called lem-in
- The program must be able to read an ant-farm on the standard output.
(For example: `./lem-in < ant_farm.txt`)

 Yes

 No

Error management

The program must manage errors properly on the standard output. Remain open minded when considering the design implemented for that section, and most importantly with regards to the error messages. Don't be picky just make sure it's consistant. Basically, we mean that, if the project displays either something different than "ERROR" or if the choice was made to display nothing as an answer when the ant-farm has no ants, you can accept it.

Missing information

- Evaluate the implementation of error management when there is no room.
- Evaluate the implementation of error management when there is no ant.
- Evaluate the implementation of error management when there is no ##start / ##end.

☒ Yes

☐ No

Comments and commands

- The program accepts ant-farms with comments.
- The program accepts ant-farms with commands other than ##start ##end.

☒ Yes

☐ No

No possible solution

Evaluate the implementation of error management when there is no possible solution with the given ant-farm.

☒ Yes

☐ No

Output format

The output format must be observed.

Ant-farm composition.

The ant-farm's composition is displayed on the standard output, as well as commands and comments.

☒ Yes

☐ No

Ants movements

The movements are printed with the right format:

- 1 line per turn

- N movements per turn
- A movement is defined as follow "Lx-y" where x is the ant's number and y a room's name

☒ Yes

☐ No

Explanations

Algorithm explanation

Here the student will have to explain her/his approach and justify her/his choices in research and implementation of her/his algorithm.

- Why is it adapted to the problem?
- How does it work?

Has the corrector understood how the algorithm works?

This step is necessary for the validation of the project.

- Take all the time you need to understand.
- Ask your questions that are useful for understanding.

☒ Yes

☐ No

Test the algorithm

Path validity

Throughout this section the program must go off the valid paths.

It is up to you to check the validity of the outputs.

If only one of these outputs is not valid, check No and the defense stops here.

Valid means:

- At each line, only one movement per ants
- That before a move the room targeted by the ants is free (there is no ant inside)
- That ants move in rooms within range (connected by a tube to the current room)
- That at the end of the program all ants are in ##end

If none of your tests obtain an invalid solution, check Yes.

Does the program always provide a right solution?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Flow management

Does the program use several paths when they do not overlay each other and that this is necessary?

You must use the generator given as an example with the --flow-one option, then --flow-ten, then --flow-thousand.

The generator, indicates the number of lines expected, any difference with this expectation shows a poorly optimized algorithm.

These cases are easy to implement and do not require any elaborate algorithms.

Therefore, we will only tolerate a difference of a maximum of 3 lines here.

Otherwise it means that the paths are misused, or that not all of them are found by the algorithm.

The student has then misunderstood the subject, check No and the correction stops here.

☒ Yes

☐ No

Time complexity

Test the program with maps generated by the generator with the --big option, then test it with the --big-superposition option.

Measure the execution time of the program, and note on several tests.

- 5 --> Well done, perfect, the program always runs around 3 seconds or less.
- 4 --> very well, the program runs in 6 seconds maximum.
- 3 --> the program runs in 9 seconds maximum.
- 2 --> lack of optimization, the program runs in 12 seconds maximum.
- 1 --> very slow, however, the program runs in 15 seconds maximum.
- 0 --> ...the program sometimes runs in more than 15 seconds, the subject is not respected, the defense stops here.



Rate it from 0 (failed) through 5 (excellent)

Algorithm's accuracy

Measure the accuracy of the program's results:

The maps generated by the generator with the --big-superposition option are used here to check the proper management of the path overlay problem.

The generator indicates the number of lines expected as comments.

This is a complex and important problem in graph theory, processing it correctly is required.

Attention! The maps generated by the generator are by no means exhaustive for these tests, you are invited to test the algorithm with maps of your creation. Use your imagination to test the consistency and the accuracy of the algorithm.

- 5 --> everything is absolutely perfect, well done, the algorithm always gives a number of lines equal or lower than that of the generator.
- 4 --> optimized algorithm but the result is sometimes one to two lines higher than the generator.
- 2 --> good algorithm but the result is sometimes 3 to 10 lines higher than the generator.
- 2 --> On some maps, the number of difference lines increases dramatically.

- 1 --> the algorithm is designed to manage the superposition of paths but the results are very far from the objectives.
- 0 --> the algorithm does not handle path overlay, the subject is not respected, the defense stops here.



Rate it from 0 (failed) through 5 (excellent)

Bonus

Bonuses

In this section you can evaluate up to 5 operational and well implemented bonuses.

Bonus example:

- Graphic visualizer -> if you find it very well made, you can give more than 1 point for it.
- ...



Rate it from 0 (failed) through 5 (excellent)

Ratings

Don't forget to check the flag corresponding to the defense



Ok



Outstanding project



Empty work



Incomplete work



No author file



Invalid compilation



Norme



Cheat



Crash



Incomplete group



Leaks



Forbidden function

Conclusion

Leave a comment on this evaluation

Finish evaluation

General term of use of the site (<https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/6>)

Privacy policy (<https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/5>)

Legal notices (<https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/3>)

Declaration on the use of cookies (<https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/2>)

Terms of use for video surveillance (<https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/1>)

Rules of procedure (<https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/4>)