## Ethiopian Multiplication

Elmar L. (elmar@aims.ac.za)

## Main Text

Let n and m be two given integers. We want to compute  $n \times m$  using the Ethiopian merchants method.

In a youtube channel<sup>1</sup>, it is shown that to multiply n by m, one proceeds as follows.

where  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n_{\ell} = \left\lfloor \frac{n_{\ell-1}}{2} \right\rfloor$ ,  $m_{\ell} = 2m_{\ell-1}$ . We stop the algorithm whenever we reach  $n_{\ell} = 1$  for some  $\ell$ . The following example illustrates the process. Take n = 72 and m = 14.

Looking at the first row, eliminate any column which has an even entry on this first row. Thus, we eliminate the first, the second, the fourth and the fifth columns. Now add the numbers on the second row which correspond to the remaining columns, namely 112 + 896 = 1008. If instead we multiply 14 by 72, we will get the following.

This time, no column is to be eliminated. Thus,  $14 \times 72 = 144 + 288 + 576 = 1008$  which is exactly what we've got earlier.

We know give the formal proof which justifies this algorithm. Let  $r_{\ell} = n_{\ell-1} - 2n_{\ell}$  for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  with the convention that  $n_0 = n$ . Then  $r_{\ell} \in \{0, 1\}$ . Moreover we have that  $n = 2n_1 + r_1 = 4n_2 + 2r_2 + r_1$ . By induction, we get

$$n = 2^{\ell} n_{\ell} + \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} 2^k r_{k+1}. \tag{*}$$

When for some  $\ell = j \in \mathbb{N}$  we has  $n_j = 1$ , the expression (\*) becomes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>@AllThisMath

$$n = 2^j + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} 2^k r_{k+1} \tag{**}$$

Using (\*\*), we have

$$n \times m = \left(2^{j} + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} 2^{k} r_{k+1}\right) \times m = 2^{j} m + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} 2^{k} r_{k+1} m$$

But we know that  $2^{\ell}m = m_{\ell}$  for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular, for each of  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, j-1\}$  we have  $2^k m = m_k$  with the convention that  $m_0 = m$ . Then

$$n \times m = m_j + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} r_{k+1} m_k.$$

This shows that whenever the coefficient before  $m_k$ ,  $0 \le k \le j-1$  is zero, the corresponding term contributes nothing to the multiplication, thus confirming the deeds of the Ethiopian merchants. If for example  $r_1 = \cdots = r_j = 0$ , the product is simply  $m_j$ . This is the case when  $n = 2^j$ . Finally, we get similar result when we interchange n and m which must provide the same product since multiplication is commutative.