2.1. Rubrics. Communicate expectations by setting standards for excellence, not effort.

Criteria: Independent dimensions of judgement.

Levels: A rating scale for each criterion.

Descriptors: Model standards of excellence for each criterion and level.

2.2. **Source code.** Communicates to a compiler, other programmers, and end users.

Syntax: The compiler checks if the language grammar accepts the source code. **Schematics:** Other programmers expect source code to follow conventions.

Semantics: End users care about what the program does and how it works.

2.3. Software tests. Specify behavior and establish confidence in implementation.

Specification: Tests define how a program should (and should not) behave. **Coverage:** Tests exercise program elements, thereby establishing confidence.

2.4. Writing. Convey points, clarify thought, avoiding errors and verbosity.

Point: Writing must convey points with relevant evidence.

Style: Writing must avoid errors, verbosity.

Organization: Sentence, paragraph and section structures must clarify thought.

2.5. **Presentations.** Demonstrate knowledge and engage the audience.

Knowledge: The presenter must convey knowledge so the audience learns.

Technique: The presenter must engage the audience.

- 2.6. Questions. Probe productively.
- 2.7. **Grades.** Assess the quality of work using evidence and criteria.

Fairness: Assessments of quality reflect reality.

Feedback: Assessments provide actionable feedback, without giving away solutions.

Reliability: Independent graders arrive at similar quality assessments.

2.8. Participation. Engage during class meetings, do not merely show up.

Attendance: Show up to class meetings, or justify absence.

Engagement: Engage during class meetings.

2.9. Lessons. Teach with objectives and assessments.

Learning objectives: Made first, manageable, measurable, and important.

Assessments: Rank student progress appropriate to learning objective.

Table 1. Rubric rubric

| Criterion | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Satisfactory | Excellent |
|--------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Criteria | | | | |
| Rubric lists | Irrelevant criteria | Overlapping, partial, | Distinct, complete, rele- | Satisfactory criteria en- |
| | or no criteria | conflicting criteria | vant, consistent criteria | abling rapid grading |
| Levels | | | | |
| Rubric | Omits levels | Defines perfection only | Sorts imperfection and | Sorts with objective |
| | | | perfection into levels | metrics & evidence |
| Descriptors | | | | |
| Rubric | Omits descriptors | Describes criteria and | Describes criteria and | Demonstrates using |
| | | levels with adjectives | levels with sentences | sentences & example(s) |

Table 2. Source code rubric

| Criterion | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Satisfactory | Excellent |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Syntax | | | | |
| Code | Does not compile | Compiles with warnings | Compiles, no warnings | Uses safe constructs |
| Schematics | | | | |
| Code is | An empty shell | Structured poorly | Structured well | Attentive to detail |
| Indentation | | Is sloppy or absent | Highlights structure | Is spaced consistently |
| Cohesion is | | Low | High | High |
| Coupling is | | Tight | Loose | Loose |
| Structured as | | Duplicated or dead code | All code has a purpose | Delegation to libraries |
| Methods are | | Excessively long | Short (fit one screen) | One-liners |
| Identifiers | | Mislead or are useless | Convey meaning | Obey conventions |
| Comments | | Omitted or restate code | Explain why | Input to doc generator |
| Semantics | | | | |
| Specification is | Irrelevant, absent | A problem number | A problem statement | Augmented, exceeded |
| Program runs | Not at all | Partially, incorrectly | To specification | Beyond specifications |
| Missing | All cases | Edge or corner cases | No specified cases | No case whatsoever |
| Input prompts | | Confuse, are ambiguous | Reveal expectations | Prevent errors |
| Performance is | | Slow | Fast | A live interaction |
| Program | | Delegates work to users | Performs tasks for user | Minimizes user work |
| Outputs have | | No labels or structure | Labels and structure | Good labels, structure |

Table 3. Testing rubric

| Criterion | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Satisfactory | Excellent |
|----------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Specification | | | | |
| Tests assert | Nothing | Program behavior | Behavior & exceptions | Behavior, exceptions Nonfunctional qualities |
| Tests are | Non-existent | Positive, specific | Positive, negative & specific | Positive, negative & generic |
| Coverage | | | | |
| Tests cover | Nothing | Methods (units) | Statements | Conditions & decisions |
| Tests exercise | Nothing | $\geq 60\%$ of above | $\geq 80\%$ of above | $\geq 90\%$ of above |

Table 4. Writing rubric

| Criterion | Unsatisfactory | Needs Improvement | Satisfactory | Excellent | |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Point | | | | | |
| Topic sentence | Is irrelevant | Does not give a topic | Summarizes paragraph | Makes a point | |
| Evidence is | Non-existent | Equivocation: very, quite | Assertions of topic | Topical citations, proofs | |
| Style | | | | | |
| Words | Are bogus: | Are misspelled or unfit: | Are spelled correctly & | Replace phrases | |
| | refudiate | then/than, its/it's | proper for context | | |
| Sentences are | Partial & passive | Ill-formed, long, passive | Grammatical, active | Concise in active voice | |
| Tense is | Unfit to context | Mixed inconsistently | Consistent with context | Consistent throughout | |
| Organization | | | | | |
| Sentences have | No form (notes) | Duplicate words | Unique words | Varied structure | |
| Sequenced in | No logical order | Choppy groups | A logical progression | A flowing narrative | |