Elements of statistical learning: Chapter 3

July 30, 2020

Content

- Linear models
 - ullet Sampling properties of \hat{eta}
 - Gauss-Markov Theorem
- Multiple regression
 - From simple univariate to multiple regressions
 - The Gram-Schmidt algorithm
- Shrinkage methods
 - Ridge regression
 - SVD of ridge regression

LS estimator

Let \pmb{X} be an $N \times (p+1)$ matrix of explanatory variables and \pmb{y} an $N \times 1$ vector of outputs. Then we know the LS estimator $\hat{\beta}$

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y},$$

[see lecture slides "ESL1" for recap and proof].

The "hat" matrix

As such for the fitted linear model

$$\hat{y} = X \hat{\beta} \\
= \underbrace{X(X'X)^{-1}X'}_{H} y \\
= Hy$$

where \boldsymbol{H} is commonly referred to as the hat matrix.



H the projection matrix

Let us denote the column vectors of \mathbf{X} by $\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_p$ with $\mathbf{x}_0 \equiv 1$.

- These vectors span a subspace of \mathbb{R}^N , also referred to as a column vector of X.
- We minimize $RSS(\beta) = ||\mathbf{y} \mathbf{X}\beta||^2$ by choosing $\hat{\beta}$, so that the residual vector $\mathbf{y} \hat{\mathbf{y}}$ is orthogonal to this subspace.
- the hat matrix **H** computes the orthogonal projection, and hence it is also known as the projection matrix.

Variance-covariance matrix

Assumptions

- **①** Observations y_i are uncorrelated have constant variance σ^2
- $2 x_i$ are fixed (i.e. non-stochastic)

$$var(\hat{\beta}) = var \left[(X'X)^{-1}X'y \right]$$

$$= var \left[(X'X)^{-1}X'(X\beta + \epsilon) \right]$$

$$= var \left[(X'X)^{-1}(X'X)\beta + (X'X)^{-1}X'\epsilon \right]$$

$$= var \left[(X'X)^{-1}X'\epsilon \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left\{ (X'X)^{-1}X'\epsilon[(X'X)^{-1}X'\epsilon]' \right\}$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left\{ (X'X)^{-1}X'\epsilon\epsilon'X(X'X)^{-1} \right\}$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left\{ (X'X)^{-1}(X'X)\epsilon\epsilon'(X'X)^{-1} \right\}$$

July 30, 2020 5 / 17

Note that ϵ is the error term and has zero mean and also remember that ${\pmb X}$ is fixed, and thus

$$\mathbb{E}[aZ] = a\mathbb{E}[Z]$$

where Z is a random variable and a is a constant. Therefore,

$$var(\hat{\beta}) = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \epsilon \epsilon' (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \right\}$$
$$= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} E \left\{ \epsilon \epsilon' \right\}$$
$$= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \sigma^{2}$$

where σ^2 can be calculated by

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N - p - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

thus, assuming the errors are further Gaussian

$$\hat{\beta} \sim N(\beta, (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\sigma^2)$$



July 30, 2020 6 / 17

Gauss-Markov Theorem

Least squares estimator of parameter β has the smallest variance among all linear unbiased estimators. Why is the LS estimator unbiased?

Proof.

$$\hat{\beta} = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(X'X)^{-1}X'y]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(X'X)^{-1}X'(X\beta + \epsilon)]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\beta + (X'X)^{-1}X'\epsilon]$$

$$= \beta + (X'X)^{-1}X'\mathbb{E}[\epsilon]$$

$$= \beta$$

From simple univariate to muliple regressions

Suppose first we have a univariate model with no intercept

$$Y_i = X_i \beta + \varepsilon_i$$

The least squares estimates and residuals are

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} x_i y_i}{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2}$$

with residuals

$$r_i = y_i - x_i \hat{\beta}$$

which in vector notation can be expressed as

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i y_i = \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{y}$$

which is the inner product between x and y.



Thus, the OLS estimator $\hat{\beta}$ can be expressed as follows

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\langle x, x \rangle},$$

Suppose now that we have p inputs $\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_p$, which are the columns of the matrix \mathbf{X} and are orthogonal, such that $<\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_k>=0$ for all $i\neq j$. When the inputs are orthogonal, the multiple least squares estimates $\hat{\beta}_j$ are equal tothe univariate estimates - i.e.

$$\hat{\beta}_j = \frac{\langle x_j, y \rangle}{\langle x_i, x_i \rangle}$$

In other words, the inputs are orthogonal and have no impact on each other's parameters estimates in the model.

July 30, 2020 9 / 17

Consider the case of an intercept and a single input x, then the least squares coefficient of x has the form

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\langle x - \bar{x}1, y \rangle}{\langle x - \bar{x}1, x - \bar{x}1 \rangle}$$

The steps of the algorithm can be seen as follows

- Regress x on 1 to obtain $\bar{x}1$
- ② Obtain the residuals $z = x \bar{x}1$
- **3** Regress y on z to obtain the coefficient $\hat{\beta}_1$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\langle z, y \rangle}{\langle z, z \rangle}$$

Step 1 orthogonalizes x with respect to $x_0 = 1$.

The Gram-Schmidt algorithm

The idea is similar in the presence of more predictors. In the case of two predictors and an intercept, say, $x_0 = 1, x_1, x_2$.

- **①** First regress x_1 on $x_0=1$ and obtain the residual vector $z_1=x_1-\bar{x}1$
- ② Then regress \mathbf{x}_2 on $\mathbf{x}_0=1$ and \mathbf{z}_1 to produce the coefficients $\hat{\gamma}_1$ and obtain the residual vector $\mathbf{z}_2=\mathbf{x}_2-\bar{x}1-\hat{\gamma}_1\mathbf{z}_1$
- **3** Regress \mathbf{y} on the residual \mathbf{z}_p to get the estimate $\hat{\beta}_p$.

This algorithm can alternatively be expressed in matrix format. In other words, the second step can be written as follows

$$X = Z\Gamma$$

(**Note:** For a review of QR decomposition and its application to Gram-Schmidt algorithm, click here)



with

$$\mathbf{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & z_{11} & \cdots & z_{1p} \\ 1 & z_{21} & \cdots & z_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & z_{N1} & \cdots & z_{Np} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{\Gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{x} & \bar{x} & \bar{x} & \cdots & \bar{x} \\ & \hat{\gamma}_1 & \hat{\gamma}_1 & \cdots & \hat{\gamma}_1 \\ & & \hat{\gamma}_2 & \cdots & \hat{\gamma}_2 \\ & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & & \hat{\gamma}_p \end{bmatrix}$$

we then introduce a diagonal matrix D with j^{th} diagonal entry $D_{jj} = ||z_j||$, - i.e.

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} ||z_0|| & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & ||z_1|| & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & ||z_p|| \end{bmatrix}$$

and we express the matrix X as follows

$$X = ZD^{-1}D\Gamma$$



July 30, 2020 12 / 17

Noting that $\mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{D}^{-1}$ is $N\times(p+1)$ with orthonormal columns, - i.e. $\mathbf{Q}'\mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{D}\Gamma$ is a $(p+1)\times(p+1)$ upper triangular matrix. Thus, the least squares estimator is given by

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y = [(QR)'(QR)]^{-1}Q'Ry$$

$$= [R'Q'QR]^{-1}Q'Ry$$

$$= [R'IR]^{-1}Q'Ry$$

$$= R^{-1}Q'y$$

similarly,

$$\hat{y} = X\hat{\beta}
= (QR)(R^{-1}Q'y)
= QQ'y$$

Ridge regression

Shrinkage methods

Shrinkage methods shrink the regression coefficients by imposing a penalty on their size. The most notable shrinkage methods are the *Lasso* and *Ridge regressions*.

The ridge coefficients minimize a penalized residual sum of squares:

$$\hat{\beta}_{Ridge} = \arg\min_{\beta} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \beta_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{p} x_{ij} \beta_j)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_j^2) \right\}$$
(1)

Here $\lambda \geq 0$ is a complexity parameter that controls the amount of shrinkage.



For reasons outlined in age 65 of the book, let us centre the inpute x_{ij} by $x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j$ and we estimate β_0 by $\bar{y} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i$. Thus, the remaining coefficients gets estimated by a ridge regression without an intercept, where \boldsymbol{X} has p instead of (p+1) columns. Henceforth, rekationship (1) can instead be expressed in the matrix format as follows

$$RSS(\lambda) = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)'(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta) + \lambda\beta'\beta$$

Thus, the solution to ridge regression can easily be seen

$$\begin{split} \hat{\beta}_{Ridge} &= \arg\min_{\beta} \left\{ (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)'(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta) + \lambda \beta' \beta \right\} \\ &= \arg\min_{\beta} \left\{ (\mathbf{y}' - \beta' \mathbf{X}')(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta) + \lambda \beta' \beta \right\} \\ &= \arg\min_{\beta} \left\{ (\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{X}\beta - \beta' \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} + \beta' \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\beta) + \lambda \beta' \beta \right\} \\ &= -\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} + 2\beta \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} + 2\lambda \beta = 0 \\ &= \beta(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I}) = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} \\ &= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} \end{split}$$

15 / 17

SVD of ridge regression

The SVD of the $N \times p$ matrix X has the form

$$X = U_{N \times p} D_{p \times p} V'_{p \times p}$$

where \boldsymbol{U} and \boldsymbol{V} are orthogonal - i.e.

$$U'U=I, \quad V'V=I$$

(For a quick review of SVD, click here.)

Using the singular value decomposition, we can write the least squares fitted vector as

$$\hat{y} = X \hat{\beta}_{ls} = X(X'X)^{-1}X'y
= UDV[(UDV)'UDV)]^{-1}(UDV)'y
= UDV[V'D'U'UDV]^{-1}V'D'U'y
= UDV[D'D]^{-1}V'D'U'y
= UU'y$$

Now for the ridge regression, we would have

$$\hat{y} = \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}_{ridge} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}
= \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}[(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V})'\mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V} + \lambda \mathbf{I}]^{-1}(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V})'\mathbf{y}
= \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}[\mathbf{V}'\mathbf{D}'\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V} + \lambda \mathbf{I}]^{-1}\mathbf{V}'\mathbf{D}'\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{y}
= \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}[\mathbf{D}'\mathbf{D} + \lambda \mathbf{I}]^{-1}\mathbf{D}'\mathbf{U}\mathbf{y}$$