

Earlier: R Noetherian ring
 $R \subseteq S$ alg-fn $\Rightarrow S$ Noetherian

But

R Noetherian
 $R \subseteq S$ Noetherian $\not\Rightarrow R \subseteq S$ alg-fn

R N -graded $\Rightarrow R_+ = \bigoplus_{n>0} R_n$ is an ideal in R

Special case $R_0 = K$ a field

R_+ is the unique homogeneous maximal ideal in R

Prop R N -graded

$f_1, \dots, f_n \in R$ of degree > 0

$(f_1, \dots, f_n) = R_+ = \bigoplus_{n>0} R_n \iff R = R_0[f_1, \dots, f_n]$

therefore,

R Noetherian $\iff R_0 \subseteq R$ alg-fn
 N -graded ring $\iff R_0$ Noetherian

Proof (\Leftarrow) $R = R_0[f_1, \dots, f_n]$

$r \in R_+ \Rightarrow r = \underbrace{P(f_1, \dots, f_n)}_{\in (f_1, \dots, f_n)} \text{ for some } P \in R_0[x_1, \dots, x_n]$
with zero constant term

(each monomial is a multiple of some f_i)

(\Rightarrow) Take $x \in R_d$. Want to show: $x \in R_0[f_1, \dots, f_n]$.

Induction on d : $d=0 \Rightarrow x \in R_0$ ✓

$$d > 0 : \quad x = a_1 f_1 + \dots + a_n f_n \quad a_i \in R$$

a_i, f_i all homogeneous \Rightarrow can choose a_i homogeneous

if $\deg a_i - \deg f_i < \deg x$

\therefore By induction, $a_i \in R_0[f_1, \dots, f_n] \Rightarrow x \in R_0[f_1, \dots, f_n]$.

Therefore: R_0 Noetherian $\xrightarrow[\text{Basis}]{\text{Hilbert}}$ R Noetherian

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} R \text{ Noetherian} \Rightarrow R_0 \cong R/R_+ \text{ Noetherian} \\ R \text{ Noetherian} \Rightarrow R_+ = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} R \text{ Noetherian} \Rightarrow R_0 \cong R/R_+ \text{ Noetherian} \\ R \text{ Noetherian} \Rightarrow R_+ = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \Rightarrow R = R_0[f_1, \dots, f_n] \end{array} \right.$$

Another application to invariant rings

R graded ring

G group acting on R by degree-preserving automorphisms $R \xrightarrow{\varphi} R$

Def $R \xrightarrow{\psi} S$ ring homomorphism (not necessarily graded)

R is a direct summand of S if ψ splits as a map of R -mod:

$$R \xrightarrow[\psi]{\pi} S \quad \pi \psi = \text{id}_R$$

Consequence: φ is injective \rightsquigarrow assume $R \subseteq S$

so π is R -linear : $\pi(rs) = r\pi(s)$

and

$$\pi|_R(r) = r \quad \text{for all } r \in R$$

Note π is a splitting $\Leftrightarrow \pi$ is R -linear and $\pi(1) = 1$

Philosophy R is a direct summand
of a nice ring $\Rightarrow R$ is nice

Lemma I ideal in R
 R direct summand of $S \Rightarrow IS \cap R = R$

Proof let π be a splitting

If $x \in IS \cap R$, so

$$x = s_1 f_1 + \dots + s_n f_n \quad s_i \in S, f_i \in I$$

$$x = \pi(x) = \pi(s_1 f_1 + \dots + s_n f_n) = f_1 \pi(s_1) + \dots + f_n \pi(s_n) \in I$$

Prop R direct summand of S
 S Noetherian $\Rightarrow R$ Noetherian

Proof $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$ chain in R

$\rightsquigarrow I_1 S \subseteq I_2 S \subseteq \dots$ chain in $S \Rightarrow$ stops

$\Rightarrow I_1 = I_1 S \cap R \subseteq I_2 = I_2 S \cap R \subseteq \dots$ stops □

Prop k field

$$R = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$$

G finite group $\subset R$ k -linear
 $\text{char } k \nmid |G|$ (always true in char 0)

then R^G is a direct summand of R

Proof $f: R \rightarrow R^G$

$$x \mapsto \underbrace{\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot x}_{\text{actually in } R^G}$$

Claim f is a splitting of $R^G \subseteq R$.

• R -linear: $x \in R, s \in R^G$

$$\begin{aligned} f(rs) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g g \cdot (rs) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g (g \cdot r)(g \cdot s) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g s(g \cdot r) \\ &= s \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g g \cdot r = s f(r) \end{aligned}$$

$$\cdot f|_{R^G} = \text{id}_R : R^G \rightarrow R^G$$

$$f(s) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g g \cdot s = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g s = s$$

thm (Hilbert) k field

$$R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

G acting k -linearly on R

$R^G \subseteq R$ direct summand

then R^G is algebra-finite over k .

Proof R^G is N -graded with $R_0 = k$

$R^G \subseteq R$ direct summand $\Rightarrow R^G$ Noetherian

Noetherian graded $\Rightarrow R^G$ finitely generated $R_0 = k$ -algebra

Fun Fact this proof applies to many infinite groups
 \rightarrow those that are linearly reductive,

which basically means we can define a map like f

A little bit of geometry

Question To what extent is a system of polynomial equations determined by its solution set?

Baby example: 1 variable

Over \mathbb{R} , $z^2 + 1$ has an empty solution set

over \mathbb{C} or any algebraically closed field,

If a_1, \dots, a_d are the solutions to $f(z) = 0$, then

$$f(z) = (z - a_1)^{n_1} \cdots (z - a_d)^{n_d}$$

$\Rightarrow f$ completely determined up to factors

If we ask that f has no repeated factors $\Rightarrow (f)$ unique.

More generally, given a system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_1 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ f_t = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad f_i \in k[z]$$

$z = a$ is a solution $\Leftrightarrow g(a) = 0 \quad \forall g \in (f_1, \dots, f_t)$

$R = k[z]$ is a PID $\Rightarrow (f_1, \dots, f_t) = (\gcd(f_1, \dots, f_t))$

Def $A_k^d := \{(a_1, \dots, a_d) \mid a_i \in k\}$ affine d -space over k

Def $T \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$

$Z_k(T) = Z(T) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_d) \in A_k^d \mid f(a_1, \dots, a_d) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in T\}$

Subsets of A_k^d of this form are called varieties.

A variety is irreducible if it cannot be written as a union of two proper subvarieties.

Warning For some authors, variety \Rightarrow irreducible.

Ex: see pretty pictures, M2 example

Def $X \subseteq A_k^d$

$I(X) = \{g \in k[x_1, \dots, x_d] \mid g(a_1, \dots, a_d) = 0 \forall a \in X\}$

is an ideal in $k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ (exercise)