

Test modules for the complete intersection property
 (joint with Ben Briggs and Josh Pollitz)

(R, \mathfrak{m}, k) Noetherian local ring

$$Q/I \cong \hat{R} \quad (Q, \mathfrak{m}, R) \quad R \subset L, \quad I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$$

Theorem (Auslander - Buchsbaum, 1957, Serre, 1956) TFAE:

- R is regular
- Every fg R -module has $\text{pd}_{R\text{-}\mathcal{M}}(M) < \infty$
- $\text{pd}_{R\text{-}\mathcal{M}}(k) < \infty$

In the world of complexes:

$\mathcal{D}(R) :=$ Complexes of R -modules up to quasi-isomorphism

$\mathcal{D}^f(R) :=$ complexes with fg homology

(Morally, think of this as a parallel to $\text{Mod}(R) \cong \text{mod}(R)$)

$$M \text{ } R\text{-module} \iff \text{Complex } 0 \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{M} \rightarrow 0$$

2II

$$\text{free resolution of } M \quad \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow 0$$

$\text{pd}_R(M) < \infty \iff M \cong \text{bounded complex of fg projectives}$

$X \in \mathcal{D}(R)$ is small if $X \cong \text{bounded complex of fg projectives}$

Theorem (Auslander - Buchsbaum, 1957, Serre, 1956) TFAE :

- R is regular

- Every fg R -module has $\text{pd}_{R^e}(M) < \infty$
- $\text{pd}_{R^e}(k) < \infty$
- Every $x \in \mathcal{D}^f(R)$ is small

Def (Dwyer - Greenlees - Iyengar, 2005)

$x \in \mathcal{D}^f(R)$ is proxy small if

- We can finitely build a small P from x , by
 - shifting complexes
 - taking direct summands
 - if we can build two of $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$
then we can build the third
- $\text{Supp } P = \text{Supp } x$

Theorem (Pottatz, 2018)

R is a complete intersection \iff Every $x \in \mathcal{D}^f(R)$ is proxy small.

Remark Dwyer - Greenlees - Iyengar showed \Rightarrow

Consequence $R \text{ is } \alpha \Rightarrow$ every fg R -module is proxy small.

Question How about the converse?

Goal If R is not α , construct non-prox small fg R -mod M

Remark k is always prox small

(k builds the kozul complex, which is perfect)

Sidende If we can do this, we will answer a question of
Gheibi - Jorgensen - Takahashi

about whether

R is $\alpha \Leftrightarrow$ all fg R -modules have finite quasiprojective dimension

Theorem (Briggs - G - Politz)

If R is

- equisegmented (every $f \in I \setminus mI$ has the same m -adic order)
- Stanley - Reesner ($\Rightarrow R = k[x_1, \dots, x_d] / \text{squarefree mon ideal}$)

R is $\alpha \Leftrightarrow$ every fg R -module is prox small

\Leftrightarrow every $R \rightarrow$ cotriangular is prox small
($|k| = \infty$)

key technical tool cohomological support

$$\hat{R} \cong Q/I, \mu(I) = n$$

M R -module $\rightsquigarrow V_R(M) \subseteq k^n$

$$V_R := I/mI \cong k^n$$

$$\text{Def: } V_R(M) := \{ [f] \in V_R \mid \text{pd}_{Q/f} \hat{M} = \infty \text{ or } [f] = 0 \}$$

Note this is intrinsic to M , and does not depend on our choices

(Avramov, Avramov-Buchweitz, Bunk-Walker, Jørgensen, Pöhlitz)

Facts (Pöhlitz):

- $V_R(R) = 0 \iff R \text{ ci}$
- M proxy small fg R -mod $\Rightarrow V_R(R) \subseteq V_R(M)$

Goal Construct fg R -mod M with $V_R(M) \not\subseteq V_R(R)$

How? Construct fg R -modules M_1, \dots, M_t st:

① Can compute $V_R(M_1), \dots, V_R(M_t)$

② $V_R(M_1) \cap \dots \cap V_R(M_t) = \emptyset$

Basic idea: take $f \in I \setminus mI$ and construct a ci $\partial \ni I$ with $f \in \partial \setminus m\partial$. Then

$V_R(Q/\partial) \subseteq$ hyperplane determined by f

Need: sufficiently many different directions

But we can only construct these c.s. when f has minimal order

When R is equidimensional, can choose whatever directions we want!

The actual condition in our theorem is:

If $\sigma := \min \{ m\text{-adic order } f \mid f \in I, f \neq 0 \}$

$$\dim_k \left(\frac{m^{\sigma+1} \cap I}{mI} \right) < \dim_k (\text{Span } V_R(R))$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}$ $\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}$

$\# \text{ min gens of order } > \sigma$ $\leq n = \mu(I)$

Example $R = \frac{k[x, y]}{(x^2, xy)}$ $Q = k[x, y]$

$$M = Q / (x^2, y) \quad N = Q / (xy, x+y)$$

One of these is not posy small