

Symbolic Powers and the Containment Problem
 KU Algebra Seminar (November 30, 2017)

R regular ring

I radical ideal

$$I^{(n)} = \bigcap_{Q \in \text{Ass}(I)} (I^n R_Q \cap R)$$

$$h = \text{height of } I = \max \{ h + Q : Q \in \text{Ass}(I) \}$$

Q prime ideal

the n -th symbolic power of Q is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{(n)} &= Q^n R_Q \cap R \\ &= \{ r \in R : s r \in Q^n, \text{ for some } s \notin Q \} \\ &= \text{smallest } Q\text{-primary ideal containing } Q^n \end{aligned}$$

I radical ideal

the n -th symbolic power of I is given by

$$I^{(n)} = \bigcap_{Q \in \text{Ass}(I)} (I^n R_Q \cap R)$$

Properties:

$$1) I^n \subseteq I^{(n)}$$

$$2) I^{(n+1)} \subseteq I^{(n)}$$

$$3) \text{ If } I \text{ is generated by a regular sequence, then } I^{(n)} = I^n.$$

The converse is false.

The n -th symbolic power of I is the set of functions that vanish up to order n along the corresponding variety.

Example $I = (x,y) \cap (x,z) \cap (y,z) = (xy, xz, yz)$

$$I^{(2)} = (x,y)^2 \cap (y,z)^2 \cap (x,z)^2 \ni xyz$$

elements in I^2 have degree ≥ 4 , but $\deg(xyz) = 3 \rightarrow xyz \notin I^2$

$$I^2 \subsetneq I^{(2)} \quad \text{but} \quad I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2$$

Containment Problem when is $I^{(a)} \subseteq I^b$?

Theorem (Ein -obersfeld-smith, 2001, Huneke - Huneke, 2002, Ma - Schwede, 2017)

$$I^{(hn)} \subseteq I^n \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

Example $I = (x,y) \cap (y,z) \cap (x,z) \quad h=2$

Theorem says $I^{(2n)} \subseteq I^n$ for all n , so $I^{(4)} \subseteq I^2$.
But actually we can do better: $I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2$.

Question (Huneke, 2000) If Q is a prime of height 2, does $Q^{(3)} \subseteq Q^2$?

Conjecture (Harbourne, ≤ 2006) $I^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq I^n \quad \forall n \geq 2$.

Example (Dumnicki, Szemberg, Tutaj-Gasinska, 2013)

$$I = (x(y^3-z^3), y(z^3-x^3), z(x^3-y^3)) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2[x,y,z]$$

$h=2$, but $I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2$.
this corresponds to some special configuration of 12 points in \mathbb{P}^2 .

Harbourne's Conjecture does hold for:

- General sets of points in \mathbb{P}^2 (Harbourne-Huneke)
- Squarefree monomial ideals.

Hochster and Huneke's proof of $I^{(hn)} \subseteq I^n$ uses basic characteristic p techniques (and reduction to characteristic $p > 0$). So maybe we could study this question in characteristic $p > 0$.

$$F: \text{Frobenius map } F(x) = x^p \quad I^{[q]} = (f^q : f \in I) \quad q = p^e$$

We will ask that R/I be F -pure.

Fedder's Criterion (1983) (R, m) regular local ring of char $p > 0$, I radical ideal.

$$R/I \text{ is } F\text{-pure} \iff (I^{[q]} : I) \not\subseteq m^{[q]} \text{ for all } q = p^e \gg 0$$

Theorem (-, Huneke) If R/I is F -pure, then $I^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq I^n \quad \forall n \geq 1$.

Sketch of proof: Step 1: Reduce to the local case.

$$\underline{\text{Step 2}} \quad I \subseteq J \iff (J : I) = R \iff (J : I) \not\subseteq m$$

$$\underline{\text{Step 3}} \quad (I^{[q]} : I) \subseteq (I^n : I^{(hn-h+1)})^{[q]} \quad \text{for all } q = p^e \gg 0$$

If $I^{(hn-h+1)} \not\subseteq I^n$, then $(I^{[q]} : I) \subseteq m^{[q]} \Rightarrow R/I \text{ not } F\text{-pure}$

Is this best possible for the class of F -pure rings? Yes - even for monomial ideals.

Example $I = \bigcap_{i \neq j} (x_i, x_j) = (x_1 \dots \hat{x}_i \dots x_v : 1 \leq i \leq v) \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_v]$

R/I \mp -pure, $h=2 \Rightarrow I^{(2n-1)} \subseteq I^n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Can we get $I^{(2n-2)} \subseteq I^n$?

Note: Squarefree monomial ideals always define \mp -pure rings.

Take $n < v$.

$$I^{(2n-2)} = \bigcap_{i \neq j} (x_i, x_j)^{2n-2} \ni (x_1 \dots x_v)^{n-1}$$

$(x_1 \dots x_v)^{n-1}$ has degree $(n-1)v = nv - v < nv - n = n(v-1)$ \leq elements in I^n have degree

$$(x_1 \dots x_v)^{n-1} \in I^{(2n-2)} \not\subseteq I^n \text{ for } n < v$$

Note: But for $n \geq v$, the containment holds.

Can we obtain tighter containments if we ask for more than \mp -pure?

Glassbrenner's Criterion (1996) (R, m) \mp -finite RLR, $I \subseteq R$ radical ideal
let $c \neq 0$ be an element not in any minimal prime of I .

$$R/I \text{ strongly } \mp\text{-regular} \Leftrightarrow c(I^{[q]} : I) \subseteq m^{[q]}.$$

Theorem (-, Huneke) R/I strongly \mp -regular $\Rightarrow I^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)} \subseteq I^n$ $\forall h \geq 1$

Sketch After reducing to the local case, the key lemma is the following:

$$\underbrace{(I^d : I^{(d)})}_{\text{always contains}} (I^{[q]} : I) \subseteq (I I^{(d-h+1)} : I^{(d)})^{[q]} \quad \forall d \geq h-1, q=p^e$$

$c \notin$ any minimal prime of I

Corollary (-, Huneke) R/I strongly \mathbb{F} -regular and $h=2 \Rightarrow I^{(n)} = I^n \quad \forall n \geq 1$

Note These conditions do not imply I is generated by a regular sequence.

Moral Nice conditions on I imply Harbourne's Conjecture.

But how bad are the counterexamples, really?

Example (Fermat configurations) $I = (x(y^e - z^e), y(z^e - x^e), z(x^e - y^e))$

Harbourne's Conjecture would say $I^{(2n-1)} \subseteq I^n$ for all $n \geq 1$.

This fails for $n=2$.

But holds for $n \geq 3$.

(Dumnicki, Harbourne, Nagel, Seceleanu, Szemberg, Tutaj-Gasińska)

Conjecture $I^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq I^n$ for all $n \gg 0$.

How would we prove that? Maybe the following holds:

Question If $I^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq I^n$ holds for some value of $n=k$, does that imply $I^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq I^n$ holds for all $n \gg 0$?

Theorem (-) If $I^{(hn-h)} \subseteq I^n$ for some value of $n=k$, then $I^{(hn-h)} \subseteq I^n$ holds for all $n \geq k$.

Question Must $I^{(hn-h)} \subseteq I^n$ always hold for some value of n ?
(maybe depending on I)

This holds for the known counterexamples of Harbourne's Conjecture!

Rosengren (Bocci-Harbourne, 2011) $\rho(I) = \sup \left\{ \frac{a}{b} : I^{(a)} \not\subseteq I^b \right\}$

$$\frac{1}{I} \leq \rho(I) \leq h$$

$$I^{(a)} \subseteq I^b \Rightarrow a \geq b \quad \text{ELS-HH-MS}$$

Observation If $\rho(I) < h$, then for any $c > 0$

$$\frac{hn - c}{n} > \rho(I) \Leftrightarrow n > \frac{c}{h - \rho(I)} \quad \text{implies } I^{(hn - c)} \subseteq I^n$$

Open Question Is there an ideal I with $\rho(I) = h$?

What else can we say about Harbourne's Conjecture?

It is still open for prime ideals!

In fact, whether or not $\underline{P}^{(3)} \subseteq \underline{P}^2$ holds for all primes of height 2 is an open question, even in dimension 3.

Space Monomial Curves $\underline{P} = \underline{P}(a, b, c) = \ker(k[x, y, z] \rightarrow [t^a, t^b, t^c])$, $a \leq b \leq c$

Theorem (-) If $\text{char } k \neq 3$, then $\underline{P}^{(3)} \subseteq \underline{P}^2$.
 If $\text{char } k \neq 2, 5$, then $\underline{P}^{(5)} \subseteq \underline{P}^2$.

Does $\underline{P}^{(2n-1)} \subseteq \underline{P}^n$ for all $n \geq 1$? True for some classes, open in general

Theorem (-) If $\text{char } k \neq 2$ and $a = 3 \alpha 4$, then $\underline{P}^{(4)} \subseteq \underline{P}^3$
 $\Rightarrow \underline{P}^{(6n-2)} \subseteq \underline{I}^n$ for all $n \geq 6$.

However, $\underline{P}^{(4)} \not\subseteq \underline{P}^3$ for $a=9, b=11, c=14$