

Symbolic Powers vs Homological Algebra. (KumuruJR 2018, on 29th April, 2018)

R regular ring I radical ideal P prime ideal
 $h = \text{big height of } I = \max \{ \text{ht } Q : Q \in \text{Ass}(I) \}$

The n -th symbolic power of the prime P is

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(n)} &= P^n R_P \cap R \\ &= \{ f \in R : g f \in P^n \text{ for some } g \notin P \} \\ &= \{ f \in R : \frac{f}{g} \in P^n R_P \text{ for some } g \notin P \} \\ &= P\text{-primary component in an irredundant primary decomposition of } P^n \\ &= \text{functions that vanish up to order } n \text{ in the variety defined by } P. \end{aligned}$$

$$I^{(n)} = \bigcap_{Q \in \text{Min}(I)} (I^n R_Q \cap R)$$

Properties

- $I^n \subseteq I^{(n)}$
- $I^{(nm)} \subseteq I^{(n)}$
- $I^n \neq I^{(n)}$ in general

symbolic powers are hard to study.
 Even determining a minimal set of generators for $I^{(n)}$ or what degrees they live in, can be very hard.

Example $I = \text{ker}(k[x,y,z] \rightarrow k[t^3, t^4, t^5])$

$$= (\underbrace{x^3 - yz}_{\deg 9}, \underbrace{y^2 - xz}_{\deg 8}, \underbrace{z^2 - x^2y}_{\deg 10})$$

$\deg x = 3$
 $\deg y = 4$
 $\deg z = 5$

$$P^2 \ni \underbrace{f^2 - gh}_{\deg 18} = qxz, \quad x \notin P \Rightarrow q \in P^{(2)} \Rightarrow \deg q = 15$$

But all elements in P^2 have degree $\geq 16 \Rightarrow q \notin P^{(2)}$

$$\therefore P^2 \subsetneq P^{(2)}$$

Fun facts:

- $I^n \neq I^{(n)}$ for all n
- $P^{(3)} \subseteq P^2$

Containment Problem (Schenzel, 1980s) When is $I^{(a)} \subseteq I^b$?

Theorem (Ein-Wazir-Smeth, 2001, Hochster-Huneke, 2002, Gao-Schwede, 2017)
Let I be a radical ideal of big height h in a regular local ring R . Then

$$I^{(hn)} \subseteq I^n \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

Question (Huneke, 2000) I prime of height 2 in a RLR.
Does that imply $I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2$?

Conjecture (Harbourne, ≤ 2008) I radical ideal of big height h in a regular ring

$$I^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq I^n.$$

Example (Dumnicki, Szemberg, Tutaj-Gasinska, 2013) $\operatorname{char} k \neq 2, 3$

$$\begin{aligned} I &= (x(y^a - z^a), y(z^a - x^a), z(x^a - y^a)) \subseteq k[x, y, z] \\ &= I_2 \left(\begin{matrix} x^{a-1} & y^{a-1} & z^{a-1} \\ yz & zx & xy \end{matrix} \right) \quad I^{(3)} \not\subseteq I^2 \end{aligned}$$

But! this example is not prime. Huneke's question remains open.

In fact, Harbourne's Conjecture holds for:

- points in general position in \mathbb{P}^2 (Harbourne-Huneke) and \mathbb{P}^3 (Dumnicki)
- monomial ideals
- ideals defining \mathbb{F} -pure rings (G -Huneke) meaning, R/I \mathbb{F} -pure.

From now on: $R = k[x, y, z]$, k a field.

open Question (Huneke, 2000) \mathcal{P} prime of height 2. Is $\mathcal{P}^{(3)} \subseteq \mathcal{P}^2$?

Theorem (-) $\text{char } k \neq 3$, $\mathcal{P} = \ker(k[x, y, z] \rightarrow k[t^a, t^b, t^c])$.

Fact (Horrocks) $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{I}_2 \left(\begin{matrix} x^{\alpha_3} & y^{\beta_1} & z^{\alpha_2} \\ z^{\alpha_1} & x^{\alpha_2} & y^{\beta_3} \end{matrix} \right)$

So really we want to study ideals of the form $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_2 \left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{bmatrix} \right)$ $a_i, b_i \in R$.

We will follow Alexandra Seceleanu's work — she found conditions implying $\mathcal{I}^{(3)} \not\subseteq \mathcal{I}^2$.
But we will use the same ingredients to obtain $\mathcal{I}^{(a)} \subseteq \mathcal{I}^b$.

key ingredients $\mathcal{I}^{(n)} = \mathcal{I}^n : m^\infty$ for all $n \geq 1$

Consequence:

$$\mathcal{I}^{(a)} \subseteq \mathcal{I}^b$$

$$\Leftrightarrow H_m^0(R/\mathcal{I}^a) \xrightarrow{o} H_m^0(R/\mathcal{I}^b)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{Ext}^3(R/\mathcal{I}^b, R) \xrightarrow{o} \text{Ext}^3(R/\mathcal{I}^a, R) \quad (\text{local duality})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{Ext}^2(\mathcal{I}^b, R) \xrightarrow{o} \text{Ext}^2(\mathcal{I}^a, R) \quad (\text{Ext shifting})$$

map induced by $\mathcal{I}^a \subseteq \mathcal{I}^b$

One option: Find resolutions to all \mathcal{I}^n , then determine lifts for $\mathcal{I}^{n+1} \subseteq \mathcal{I}^n$:

$$0 \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^n \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{n+1} \rightarrow 0$$

green arrows indicate maps from F_i to G_{i-1}

Apply $\text{Hom}_R(-, R)$
Compute homology.

How do we do this? We use **Rees Algebras**!

The Rees Algebra of I is the graded algebra $\oplus I^n t^n \subseteq R[t]$

there is a graded map $R[T_1, T_2, T_3] \rightarrow R(I)$

In general, determining the kernel of this map is hard but our setting is nice:

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{I}) \cong R[T_1, T_2, T_3] / (F, G)$$

Since $R(I)$ is a complete intersection, the Koszul complex gives a free resolution for $R(I)$ over $S = R[T_1, T_2, T_3]$:

$$0 \longrightarrow S(-2) \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} F \\ G \end{bmatrix}} S(-1) \oplus S(-1) \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} G & -F \end{bmatrix}} S \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(I) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$S_n = \{ \text{monomials in } T_1, T_2, T_3 \text{ of degree } n \} \cong \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n+2}{2}}$$

$$0 \rightarrow R^{\binom{n}{2}} \rightarrow R^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \oplus R^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \rightarrow R^{\binom{n+2}{2}} \rightarrow I^n \rightarrow 0$$

\uparrow
 $R^{\binom{n+3}{2}}$
 \downarrow
 I^{n+1}

Now how do we lift $\mathcal{I}^{n+1} \subseteq \mathcal{I}^n$?

$$\mathcal{D} = f_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial T_1} + f_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial T_2} + f_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial T_3} \quad \text{Euler operator}$$

Induces a map on $\mathcal{R}(I)$ of degree -1 corresponding to
 $I^n \subseteq I^{n-1}$ in degree n : $I^n t^n \xrightarrow{} I^{n-1} t^{n-1}$
 $gt^n \mapsto (n-1)gt^{n-1}$

Example: $I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2$, char $k \neq 3$

$$0 \leftarrow R \leftarrow R^3 \oplus R^3 \leftarrow R^6 \leftarrow I^2 \leftarrow 0$$

$\downarrow C$ \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow

$$0 \leftarrow R^3 \leftarrow R^6 \oplus R^6 \leftarrow R^{10} \leftarrow I^3 \leftarrow 0$$

$\downarrow E$

$$I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2 \Leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{im} \left(\begin{array}{ccccccc|cc} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 & 0 & a_2 & a_3 & 0 & 0 & b_1 & 0 & b_2 & b_3 \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 & 0 & a_2 & a_3 & 0 & 0 & b_1 & 0 & b_2 \\ \hline C & & & & & & E & & & & \end{array} \right)$$

Theorem (G-Huneke-Ruckelund) If $\mu(a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3) \leq 5$,
then $I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2$.

Example $I = I_2^2 \left(\begin{bmatrix} x^{a-1} & y^{a-1} & z^{a-1} \\ yz & xz & xy \end{bmatrix} \right)$ has $I^{(3)} \not\subseteq I^2$, but $\mu(xy, xz, yz, x^{a-1}, y^{a-1}, z^{a-1}) = 6$.

Theorem (-) If $P = \ker(k[x, y, z] \rightarrow k[t^a, t^b, t^c])$, $P^{(5)} \subseteq P^3$.
as long as $\text{char } k \neq 5, 2$.

Theorem (-) If $P = \ker(k[x, y, z] \rightarrow k[t^a, t^b, t^c])$
for $a=3 \text{ or } 4 \leq b \leq c$, then $P^{(4)} \subseteq P^3$ for $\text{char } k \neq 2$.

Example: When $P = \ker(k[x, y, z] \rightarrow k[t^9, t^{11}, t^{14}])$,
we have $P^{(4)} \not\subseteq P^3$. However, $P^{(2n-1)} \subseteq P^n \forall n \geq 2$.
(In fact, for $n \geq 8$, $P^{(2n-2)} \subseteq P^n$)