

variety = a set of points in A^d that contains
 exactly all the solutions to some
 system of equations
 $= Z(\text{some (possibly infinite) set of polynomials})$

(possibly infinite)
 system of equations
 in finitely many (d) variables
 given by polynomials

\xrightarrow{Z} solution set of $\subseteq A^d$
 our system

the system of all the
 polynomials that vanish
 at the given points

\xleftarrow{I} subsets of A^d

$k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ A_k^d
 $T \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_d] \xrightarrow{Z} Z(T) = \{\underline{a} \in A^d : f(a) = 0 \ \forall f \in T\}$

$\{f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_d] : f(x) = 0 \ \forall x \in X\} \xleftarrow{I} X \subseteq A^d$

$T \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_d] \xrightarrow{Z} Z(T) \subseteq A^d$ variety

$I(Z(T))$
 possibly more polynomials

- Properties
- 1) $Z(0) = A_K^d$
 - 2) $Z(1) = \emptyset$
 - 3) $I(\emptyset) = (1) = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$
 - 4) $I \subseteq J \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_d] \Rightarrow Z(I) \supseteq Z(J)$
 - 5) $S \subseteq T \subseteq A_K^d \Rightarrow I(S) \supseteq I(T)$
 - 6) $I = (T) \Rightarrow Z(T) = Z(I)$

Hilbert's Basis theorem \Rightarrow any system of equations in $k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ can be replaced by finitely many equations

Ex: $I(\{a_1, \dots, a_d\}) = (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_d - a_d)$

Ex $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ y_1 & y_2 & y_3 \end{bmatrix}$ generic matrix

$$R = k[x] = k[x_1, x_2, x_3] \quad (\text{k field})$$

$$\Delta_1 = \det \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & x_3 \\ y_2 & y_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \Delta_2 = \det \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_3 \\ y_1 & y_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \Delta_3 = \det \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$I := (\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3) \subseteq (x_1, x_2, x_3) = J$$

$A_K^6 \equiv 2 \times 3$ matrices

$Z(I) = \text{matrices of rank} \leq 1$

$Z(J) = \text{matrices with top row 0}$

$Z(J) \subseteq Z(I) \Rightarrow \text{matrices with 0 row have rank} \leq 1$

Prime ideals P is prime if $fg \in P \Rightarrow f \in P \text{ or } g \in P$

\Updownarrow
 R/P is a domain

Ex: primes in \mathbb{Z} : (p) , p prime
 (0) (\mathbb{Z} is a domain!)

Ex: primes in $k[x] = (f)$ f irreducible
 (0) ($k[x]$ is a domain)

Ex: $P = (x^3 - y^2) \subseteq R = k[x, y]$ is prime, since

$k[x, y] \xrightarrow{f} k[t^2, t^3] \subseteq k[t]$ (domain)

$\ker f = P \Rightarrow k[x, y]/P$ is a domain

will see: prime ideals \Leftrightarrow irreducible varieties
 \times irreducible $\Leftrightarrow I(x)$ prime

Maximal ideal m is maximal if

$m \subseteq I \Rightarrow m = I$ or $I = R$

\Updownarrow

R/m is a field

Residue field of $m := R/m$

Note A ring might have many residue fields.

For example, the residue fields of \mathbb{Z} are \mathbb{F}_p for all p prime

Exercise Maximal \Rightarrow prime

But prime $\not\Rightarrow$ maximal

Example (0) is prime but not maximal in \mathbb{Z}

Theorem Every ideal in R is contained in some maximal ideal

Proof Notes

Back to geometry:

Lemma k field

$$R = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$$

$$\mathbb{A}_k^d \xleftrightarrow{\text{bijection}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{maximal ideals in } R \\ \text{with } R/\mathfrak{m} \cong k \end{array} \right\}$$

$$(a_1, \dots, a_d) \longmapsto (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_d - a_d)$$

Proof Note that for each choice
of $(a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{A}_k^d$

$$\frac{k[x_1, \dots, x_d]}{(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_d - a_d)} \cong k \quad \checkmark$$

Injective: these ideals are all distinct, since $x_i - a_i, x_i - b_i \in \mathfrak{m}$
 $\Rightarrow (x_i - a_i) - (x_i - b_i) = \underbrace{b_i - a_i}_{\in k, \neq 0} \in \mathfrak{m} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{m} = R$

Surjective: $R/m \cong k \Rightarrow$ each class in R/m corresponds to a unique $a \in k$

so for each i , $x_i \equiv a_i \pmod{m}$ for some $a_i \in k$

$$\Rightarrow x_i - a_i \in m \text{ for all } i \Rightarrow \underbrace{(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_d - a_d)}_{\text{maximal}} \subseteq m$$

$$\Rightarrow (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_d - a_d) = m$$

Example / Warning Not all maximal ideals in $k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ are of this form. Eg, when $k = \mathbb{R}$, $d = 1$

In $\mathbb{R}[x]$, $(x^2 + 1)$ is a maximal ideal

$$\mathbb{R}[x]/(x^2 + 1) \cong \mathbb{C} \neq \mathbb{R}$$

But this bad behavior won't happen if $k = \bar{k}$

Zariski's lemma $k \subseteq L$ extension of fields

- If L is a fg k -algebra, then L is a finite dimensional k -vector space. (algebra finite \Rightarrow module finite)
- As a consequence, if $k = \bar{k}$ and $k \subseteq L$ alg-fn, then $L = k$
(why? Alg-fn \Rightarrow mod-fn \Rightarrow integral \Rightarrow algebraic)

so if $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ is a maximal ideal,
 $k \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_d]/\mathfrak{m} \cong \text{field}$ is algebra finite

$$\Rightarrow k[x_1, \dots, x_d]/\mathfrak{m} \cong k$$

From now on: $k = \overline{k}$

Nullestellensatz $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, $k = \overline{k}$

① There is a bijection

$$A_k^d \longleftrightarrow \{\text{maximal ideals of } S\}$$

$$(a_1, \dots, a_d) \longleftrightarrow (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_d - a_d)$$

② If R is a finitely generated S -algebra \Rightarrow

$$R = S/I$$

$$S = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$$

there is an induced bijection

$$Z_k(I) \subseteq A_k^d \longleftrightarrow \{\text{maximal ideals in } R\}$$

Proof ① $\{\text{maximal ideals with } R/\mathfrak{m} \cong k\} = \{\text{maximal ideals}\}$

② $\{\text{max ideals of } R\}$

$$\overset{\uparrow}{\{\text{max ideals of } S, \supseteq I\}} \leftrightarrow \{a \in A^d, a \in Z_k(I)\}$$

$$I \subseteq (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_d - a_d) \longmapsto Z(I) \supseteq \{a\}$$

Thm (weak Nullstellensatz) $k = \bar{k}$

$I \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ proper ideal $\Rightarrow Z(I) \neq \emptyset$

Proof $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ maximal $\Rightarrow \underbrace{Z(m)}_{\text{point!}} \subseteq Z(I)$

I ideal $\xrightarrow{\exists} Z(I)$ variety $\xrightarrow{I} \mathcal{X}(Z(I))$

how does this relate to I ?

Ex: $R = k[x]$, $I_n = (x^n)$ $n \geq 1$

$Z(I_n) = \{0\}$ for all n

What do all these different ideals have in common?

Remark $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, $\underline{a} \in \mathbb{A}^d$

$f(\underline{a}) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow f(\underline{a})$ invertible

$\Leftrightarrow b f(\underline{a}) - 1 = 0$ for some b

$\Leftrightarrow y f(\underline{a}) - 1 = 0$ has a solution

\hookrightarrow :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_1 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ f_m = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} g \neq 0 \\ \vdots \\ g_n \neq 0 \end{array} \right. \quad \text{has a solution}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_1 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ f_m = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} y g_1^{-1} = 0 \\ \vdots \\ y g_n^{-1} = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad \text{has a solution}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_1 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ f_m = 0 \\ yg_1 \cdots g_n - 1 = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad \text{has a solution}$$

thm (Strong Nullstellensatz) $k = \overline{k}$
 $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$

$$f \in I(Z(I)) \Leftrightarrow f^n \in I \text{ for some } n$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} (\Leftarrow) \quad f^n \in I &\Rightarrow f^n(a) = 0 \quad \text{for all } a \in Z(I) \\ &\Downarrow \text{ k field} \\ f(a) &= 0 \quad \text{for all } a \in Z(I) \\ &\Downarrow \\ f &\in I(Z(I)) \end{aligned}$$

$$(\Rightarrow) \quad f \in I(Z(I))$$

$$\text{so} \quad \text{polynomials in } I = 0 \implies f = 0$$

$$\text{thus} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{polynomials in } I = 0 \\ f \neq 0 \end{array} \right. \quad \text{has no solutions}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(I + (yf^{-1})) = \emptyset \quad \text{in } R[y]$$

weak

$$\Rightarrow I + (yf^{-1}) = R[y]$$

Nullstellensatz

$$\Leftrightarrow 1 \in I + (yf^{-1})$$

If $I = (g_1, \dots, g_m)$,

$$1 = x_0 \cdot (1 - yf) + x_1 g_1 + \dots + x_m g_m$$

$$\downarrow y \mapsto \frac{1}{f} \quad \text{in } \text{frac}(R[y])$$

$$1 = r_1(\underline{x}, \frac{1}{f}) \cdot g_1(x) + \dots + r_m(\underline{x}, \frac{1}{f}) g_m(x)$$

take the largest negative power of f appearing \Rightarrow clear denominators

$$f^n = \underbrace{s_1 g_1 + \dots + s_m g_m}_{\substack{\text{only on } \underline{x} \\ \uparrow}} \quad \rightarrow \text{equation in } R$$

$$\Rightarrow f^m \in I$$