

## Minimal free resolution

Setup:

$(R, \mathfrak{m})$  Noetherian local ring  
or

$N$ -graded  $k$ -algebra,  $R_0 = k$ ,  $\mathfrak{m} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} R_n$

(so  $R = \frac{k[x_1, \dots, x_d]}{I}$ ,  $I$  homogeneous,  $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ )  
 $M$  fg (graded)  $R$ -module

A minimal free resolution of  $M$  is one where each  $\mathbb{P}_i \cong R^{n_i}$  has  $n_i$  the smallest possible. In the graded case, we also ask for the maps in the resolution to be degree preserving. So  $\mu(\mathbb{P}_0) = \mu(M)$ ,  $\mu(\mathbb{P}_i) = \mu(R_i)$ ,  $\mu(\mathbb{P}_{i+1}) = \mu(R_i)$

Will show: Minimal free resolutions are unique!

Betti numbers  $\beta_i(M) :=$  rank of  $\mathbb{P}_i$  in a minimal free resolution

Graded betti numbers:  $\beta_{i,j}(M) :=$  # copies of  $R(-j)$  in homological degree  $i$

betti table has  $\beta_{i,i+j}(M)$  in position  $(i, j)$

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc} & & j & & \\ & & \hline i & \beta_{i,i+j}(M) & \longrightarrow & (i, j) \end{array}$$

Example  $R = k[x, y, z]$   $M = R/(xy, xz, yz)$

$$0 \rightarrow R^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ -y & y \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}} R^3 \xrightarrow{(xy \ xz \ yz)} R \rightarrow M$$

$$\beta_1(M) = 3 \quad \beta_2(M) = 2 \quad \beta_0(M) = 1$$

Graded resolution:  $0 \rightarrow R(-3)^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ -y & y \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}} R(-2)^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{matrix} (xy \ xz \ yz) \\ \uparrow \text{degree 2} \end{matrix}} R \rightarrow M$

$$\beta(M) = \begin{array}{c|ccc} & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 0 & | & 1 & \\ 1 & | & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & | & & \end{array} \xrightarrow{\beta_{23}} \beta_{12}$$

$$\beta_{12}(M) = 3 \quad \beta_{23}(M) = 2$$

Example  $R = k[x, y]$   $M = R/(x^3, xy, y^3)$

$$0 \rightarrow R(-3) \oplus R(-4) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ -x & y^2 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}} R(-2)^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{matrix} (x^2 \ xy \ y^3) \\ \oplus \\ R(-3) \end{matrix}} R \rightarrow M$$

Note:  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y^2 \\ x \end{pmatrix}$  lands in  $\begin{array}{c} \deg 2 \\ \deg 2 \\ \deg 3 \end{array}$  so  $\begin{array}{c} \deg 2+2=4 \\ \deg 1+3=4 \end{array} \checkmark$

Betti table

$$\beta(M) = \begin{array}{c|ccc} & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 0 & | & 1 & \\ 1 & | & - & 2 1 \\ 2 & | & - & 1 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \beta_{00}(M) = 1 \\ \beta_{12}(M) = 2 \\ \beta_{13}(M) = 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \beta_{23}(M) = 1 \\ \beta_{24}(M) = 1 \end{array}$$

Equivalently,  $F_\bullet$  is a minimal free resolution of  $M$  if it is a minimal complex, meaning

$$\dots \rightarrow F_2 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} F_0 \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{im } \partial_i \subseteq \mathfrak{m} F_{i-1}$$

$\Leftrightarrow$  all the entries in the matrices are in  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

Proof: Suppose  $\text{im } \partial_n \subseteq \mathfrak{m} F_{n-1}$  for all  $n$ , but  $F_n$  is the free module on non-minimal generators  $f_1, \dots, f_s$  generators for  $K_{n-1} := \ker \partial_{n-1}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_n & \longrightarrow & M \\ e_i & \longmapsto & f_i \end{array}$$

$f_1, \dots, f_s$  linearly dependent in  $M/\mathfrak{m}M$

$$\Rightarrow r_1 f_1 + \dots + r_s f_s = 0 \text{ for some } r_i \in R, \text{ not all in } \mathfrak{m}$$

Assume wlog that  $r_s$  is invertible, and multiply by its inverse

$$\Rightarrow e_s - r_1 e_1 - \dots - r_{s-1} e_{s-1} \in \ker \partial_n = \text{im } \partial_{n-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{m} F_n$$

$\Rightarrow e_1, \dots, e_s$  are linearly dependent!  $\therefore$

Suppose  $\mu(F_n) = \mu(K_n)$  for all  $n$ , where  $K_{n-1} = \ker \partial_{n-1}$  but  $\text{im } \partial_{n+1} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m} F_n$  for some  $n$ .

$\tilde{F}_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \mathbb{R} e_i, \{ \partial_n(e_i) \}$  minimal generating set for  $K_{n-1}$

$$\begin{array}{l} \exists r_1 e_1 + \dots + r_s e_s \in \ker \partial_n \\ \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m} F_n, \quad r_i \notin \mathfrak{m} \end{array} \stackrel{\text{wlog}}{\Rightarrow} e_1 - c_2 e_2 - \dots - c_s e_s \in \ker \partial_n$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_n(e_1) = c_2 \partial_n(e_2) + \dots + c_s \partial_n(e_s) \quad \therefore$$

So minimal free resolution = take the minimal number of generators needed at each step

Goal Show that there is a unique minimal free resolution (up to isomorphism)

Direct sum of complexes

$$(F, \partial^F) \oplus (G, \partial^G) = \dots \rightarrow \begin{matrix} F_n \\ \oplus \\ G_n \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\left[ \begin{matrix} \partial^F & 0 \\ 0 & \partial^G \end{matrix} \right]} \begin{matrix} F_{n-1} \\ \oplus \\ G_{n-1} \end{matrix} \rightarrow \dots$$

(this is the coproduct in  $\text{Ch}(R)$ , together with the obvious inclusion maps)

Remark  $H_n(F \oplus G) = H_n(F) \oplus H_n(G)$

Remark to check  $A = B \oplus C$ , need to check:

- $A_n = B_n \oplus C_n$  for all  $n$
- $\partial(B) \subseteq B$ ,  $\partial(C) \subseteq C$

Def A trivial complex is a direct sum of complexes of the form

$$0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{1} R \rightarrow 0$$

Example  $0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} R^2 \xrightarrow{(0 \ 1)} R \rightarrow 0$  is trivial:  
 $\quad \quad \quad \parallel$

$$0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \oplus \end{pmatrix}} R \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{1} R \rightarrow 0$$

Lemma  $\cdots \rightarrow T_2 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} T_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} T_0 \rightarrow 0$  exact everywhere,  $T_i$  (graded) fg free modules  $\Rightarrow$  trivial

Proof

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \partial_1 \rightarrow T_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \underbrace{T_0}_{\text{projective}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{splits}$$

$$\Rightarrow T_1 = T_0 \oplus \ker \partial_1, \quad \partial_1 = T_0 \oplus \ker \partial_1 \xrightarrow{(1,0)} T_0$$

$$T = \begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \rightarrow & T_2 & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} & \ker \partial_1 = \text{im } \partial_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & \oplus & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_0 & \xrightarrow{1} & T_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

still exact!

↑ trivial

Now  $\ker \partial_1$  is a direct summand of  $T_1 \Rightarrow$  free.

( local case: direct summand of free  $\Rightarrow$  projective  $\Rightarrow$  free  
 graded case: direct proof of freeness, see new lemma in notes )

thm  $I_\bullet = \cdots \rightarrow I_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} I_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} M \rightarrow 0$

$$C_\bullet = \cdots \rightarrow C_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} C_0 \xrightarrow{\delta_0} N \rightarrow 0$$

- $I_i$  all projective,  $\partial_0$  surjective  $\Rightarrow f$  lifts to a map of complexes
- $C_\bullet$  exact

Any two lifts are homotopic

Proof

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{projective} & \\
 P_0 & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & M \\
 \varphi_0 \downarrow & \downarrow f & \\
 C_0 & \xrightarrow{\delta_0} & N \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_0 \varphi_0 (\text{im } \partial_1) &\subseteq \delta_0 \varphi_0 (\ker \partial_0) = f \partial_0 (\ker \partial_0) = 0 \\
 \Rightarrow \varphi_0 (\text{im } \partial_1) &\subseteq \ker \delta_0
 \end{aligned}$$

Induction:

assume we have  $P_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n-1}} C_{n-1}$  with  $\varphi_{n-1}(\text{im } (\partial_n)) \subseteq \text{im } \delta_n$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{projective} & \\
 P_n & \xrightarrow{\partial_n} & P_{n-1} \\
 \varphi_n \downarrow & \downarrow \varphi_{n-1} & \\
 C_n & \xrightarrow{\delta_n} & \text{im } \delta_n \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_n \varphi_n (\text{im } \partial_{n+1}) &\subseteq \delta_n \varphi_n (\ker \partial_n) = \varphi_{n-1} \partial_n (\ker \partial_n) = 0 \\
 \Rightarrow \varphi_n (\text{im } \partial_{n+1}) &\subseteq \ker \delta_n = \text{im } \delta_{n+1} \quad \checkmark
 \end{aligned}$$

Given two such lifts  $\varphi, \psi$ , we want to show they are homotopic.  
 Notice that  $\varphi - \psi$  is a lifting of the 0-map, and a homotopy between  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  is the same as a nullhomotopy for  $\varphi - \psi$ .  
 So let  $\varphi$  lift the 0-map, and let's show it's nullhomotopic.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{P}_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & \mathcal{P}_0 & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & M \\ \downarrow \varphi_1 & & \downarrow \varphi_0 & & \downarrow 0 \\ C_1 & \xrightarrow{\delta_1} & C_0 & \xrightarrow{\delta_0} & N \end{array} \rightsquigarrow \delta_0 \varphi_0 = 0 \Rightarrow \text{im } \varphi_0 \subseteq \ker \delta_0 = \text{im } \delta_1$$

$$C_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} C_0 \xrightarrow{\delta_0} N$$

projective

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{I}_0 & \\ h_0 & \downarrow \varphi_0 & \\ \leftarrow & & \\ C_1 & \xrightarrow{\delta_1} & \text{im } \delta_1 \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Set  $h_n = 0$   
for  $n < 0$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_0 = \delta_1 h_0 + \underbrace{h_{-1}}_{=0} \partial_0$$

and

$$\delta_1 (\varphi_1 - h_0 \partial_1) = \varphi_0 \partial_1 - \delta_1 h_0 \partial_1 = \underbrace{(\varphi_0 - \delta_1 h_0)}_{=0} \partial_1 = 0$$

↓  
φ map  
 $\partial$   
complexes

$$\therefore \text{im } (\varphi_1 - h_0 \partial_1) \subseteq \ker \delta_1 = \text{im } \delta_2$$

Induction Assume we constructed  $h_0, \dots, h_n$  with

- $\varphi_n = h_{n-1} \partial_n + \delta_{n+1} h_n$
- $\text{im } (\varphi_{n+1} - h_n \partial_{n+1}) \subseteq \text{im } \delta_{n+2}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{I}_{n+1} & \\ h_{n+1} & \downarrow & \\ \leftarrow & & \\ C_{n+2} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{n+2}} & \text{im } \delta_{n+2} \end{array}$$

projective

$$\delta_{n+2} (\varphi_{n+2} - h_{n+1} \partial_{n+2}) = \varphi_{n+1} \partial_{n+2} - \delta_{n+2} h_{n+1} \partial_{n+2}$$

$\downarrow$   
 $\varphi$  map of  
complexes

$$= (\varphi_{n+1} - \delta_{n+2} h_{n+1}) \partial_{n+2} = \underbrace{h_n \partial_{n+1} \partial_{n+2}}_{=0} = 0$$

$$\therefore \text{im}(\varphi_{n+2} - h_{n+1} \partial_{n+2}) \subseteq \ker \delta_{n+2} = \text{im } \delta_{n+3} \quad \checkmark \quad \square$$

Theorem If  $\bar{F}$  is a minimal free resolution for  $M$ , every free resolution for  $M$  is of the form

$$F \oplus \text{trivial complex}$$

In particular,  $\bar{F}$  is unique up to isomorphism.

Proof  $G$  a free resolution of  $M$   $(F, \partial)$ ,  $m\partial \subseteq M\bar{F}$

there exist complex maps  $\bar{F} \xrightarrow{\varphi} G$ ,  $G \xrightarrow{\chi} F$  lifting  $\begin{matrix} M \\ || \\ M \end{matrix}$   
 $\Rightarrow \chi \varphi \simeq \text{id}_F$  with homotopy  $h$

$$\text{id} - \chi_n \varphi_n = \partial_{n+1} h_n + h_{n-1} \partial_n \Rightarrow \text{im}(\text{id} - \chi_n \varphi_n) \subseteq \text{im } \bar{F}_n$$

Claim  $\chi_n \varphi_n$  is an isomorphism for all  $n$ . for all  $n$ .

Local case A matrix representing  $\chi_n \varphi_n$  in some basis

Id -  $A$  has entries all in  $m$   $\Rightarrow A = \begin{pmatrix} 1-a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1s} \\ a_{21} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & 1-a_{ss} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \det A = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^s a_{ii}$

$\therefore \det A$  invertible  $\Rightarrow A^{-1}$  exists

Graded case  $a \notin m \Rightarrow a$  invertible, only if a homogeneous!  
 so we do a little bit more work (see notes)

$\therefore \varphi$  is an iso of complexes  $\Rightarrow$  inverse  $F \xrightarrow{\sum_n \varphi_n} F$

$$F \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} G \xrightarrow{\chi_n} F \xrightarrow{\sum_n \varphi_n} F = 1_{F_n}$$

$\Rightarrow \sum_n \chi_n$  is a splitting for  $\varphi_n$

$$\therefore G_n = \varphi_n(F_n) \oplus \underbrace{\ker(\sum_n \chi_n)}_{K_n} \quad \text{for all } n$$

Claim  $G = \varphi(F) \oplus k$  as complexes

Need to check:  $\delta(\varphi(F)) \subseteq \varphi(F)$  and  $\delta(k) \subseteq k$ .

- $\varphi$  map of complexes  $\Rightarrow \delta \varphi(F) = \varphi \delta(F) \subseteq \varphi(F) \checkmark$
- For every  $a \in K_{n+1}$ ,  $\delta_{n+1}(a) = \varphi(b) + c$   
 $\qquad\qquad\qquad \in F_n \qquad\qquad\qquad \in K_n$

$$\begin{aligned} b &= \text{id}_{F_n}(b) = \sum_n \varphi_n \varphi_n(b) = \sum_n \varphi_n (\varphi_n(b) + c) \\ &= \sum_n \varphi_n (\delta_{n+1}(a)) = \sum_n \delta_{n+1} \varphi_n(a) = \delta_{n+1} \sum_n \varphi_n(a) \stackrel{\text{ker}(\sum_n \varphi_n)}{=} 0 \end{aligned}$$

map of cs map of cs  $\in K_n$

$$\therefore \delta_{n+1}(a) \in K_n \Rightarrow \delta(k) \subseteq k \quad \therefore G \cong F \oplus k.$$

Claim  $k$  is trivial

- $0 = H_n(G) = H_n(F \oplus k) = \underbrace{H_n(F)}_{=0} \oplus H_n(k) \Rightarrow H_n(k) = 0$   
so  $k$  is exact
- $G_n \cong F_n \oplus k_n \Rightarrow k_n$  free       $\begin{pmatrix} \text{only because we are} \\ \text{in this nice local/graded} \\ \text{setting} \end{pmatrix}$   
free      free

□