

A stable version of Harbourne's Conjecture

R regular ring

I radical ideal

$$h = \text{big height of } I = \max \{ \text{ht } P : P \in \text{Min}(I) \}$$

n -th symbolic power of I

$$I^{(n)} = \bigcap_{P \in \text{Min}(I)} (I^n R_P \cap R)$$

Containment Problem When is $I^{(a)} \subseteq I^b$

Theorem (Ein - Lazarsfeld - Smith, 2001, Huneke, 2002, Na - Schwede, 2017)

$$I^{(hn)} \subseteq I^n \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Question (Huneke, 2000) I prime of height 2 in a RLR. Is $I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2$?

Conjecture (Harbourne, 2008?) $I^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq I^n \quad \forall n \geq 1.$

Good reasons to think so: in char $p > 0$,

$$I^{(hq-h+1)} \subseteq I^{[q]} \quad \forall q = p^e$$

But unfortunately, counter examples exist.

Example (Dumnicki, Szemberg, Tocino - Garanśka, 2013)

$$I = (x(y^3 - z^3), y(z^3 - x^3), z(x^3 - y^3)) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[x, y, z]$$

$$I^{(3)} \not\subseteq I^2$$

Harbourne - Szeleniae

So really, $I^{(2n-1)} \subseteq I^n$ fails for $n=2$.

But $I^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq I^n$ for all $n \geq 1$ holds for nice classes of ideals:

- General points in \mathbb{P}^2 (Harbourne-Huneke) and \mathbb{P}^3 (Zimnicki)
- Nice configurations of points (eg, star configurations)
- In char p , if R/I is an F-pure ring (G-Huneke)

This is an easy to check condition:

When $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, $m = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$, I ideal in R .

$$R/I \text{ F-pure} \Leftrightarrow (I : I^{[p]}) \not\subseteq m^{[p]}$$

$$\text{Notation: } I^{[p]} = (f^p : f \in I)$$

Examples :

- I squarefree monomial ideal

also
strongly
F-regular

- R/I Veronese k [all monomials of deg d in r variables]
- $R = k[X]$, $I = I_t(X)$, X $n \times m$ matrix, any t
- $R/I = k$ [all $t \times t$ minors of $n \times n$ matrix]
- R/I normal semigroup ring
- R/I nice ring of invariants

Theorem (G-Huneke) If R/I is strongly F-regular, $I^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)} \subseteq I^n \ \forall h \geq 1$

This is Harbourne's Conjecture if we replace h by $h-1$.

Corollary $h=2 \Rightarrow I^{(n)} = I^n \ \forall n \geq 1$

e.g. $I = \ker(k[a, b, c, d] \rightarrow k[s^3, s^2t, st^2, t^3])$
 3 generated height 2 prime.

On the other hand, there are no counterexamples to the following:

Harbourne's Conjecture (stable version) $I^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq I^n$ for all $n \gg 0$.

Example I = ideal defining the Fermat, Klein or Wiman configurations

$I^{(dn-1)} \subseteq I^n$ for all $n \geq 3$, since $f(I) = \frac{3}{2}$

Fermat Klein, Wiman
(Zimnicki, Harbourne, Seceleanu, Szemberg, Tutaj-Gasińska; Bauer, Di Rocco, Harbourne, Huiszenga, Seceleanu, Szemberg)

Resurgence of I (Bocci-Harbourne) $f(I) = \sup \left\{ \frac{a}{b} : I^{(a)} \not\subseteq I^b \right\}$

If $f(I) < h$, then in $I^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq I^n$ for all

$$\frac{hn-h+1}{n} > f(I) \iff n > \frac{h-1}{h-f(I)}$$

Question Are there ideals I with $f(I) = h$?

Even if such ideals exist, they might still verify $I^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq I^n \forall n \gg 0$

Question If $I^{(hk-h+1)} \subseteq I^k$ for some k , does that imply $I^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq I^n \forall n \gg 0$?

Theorem R regular ring containing a field, I radical of big height h .

If $I^{(hk-a)} \subseteq I^k$ for some k , then $I^{(hn-a)} \subseteq I^n \forall n \gg 0$

key ingredient $I^{(hn+a_1+\dots+a_n)} \subseteq I^{(a_1+1)} \dots I^{(a_n+1)} \quad \forall a_i \geq 0, n \geq 1$

Eg Can apply this theorem if $I^{(4)} \subseteq I^3$.

- there exist primes of height 2 in dim 3 with $I^{(4)} \subseteq I^3$ where symbolic Rees algebra is not even noetherian
- true for $\ker(k[x,y,z] \rightarrow k[t^a, t^b, t])$ for $a=3 \text{ or } 4 < b < c$
- true for many $\ker(k[x,y,z] \rightarrow k[t^a, t^b, t^c])$, but not all (weird problem)

Question Given $c > 0$, is there N such that $I^{(kn-c)} \subseteq I^n \quad \forall n \geq N?$

Question If $I^{(hk-c)} \subseteq I^k$ for some k , does that imply $I^{(hn-c)} \subseteq I^n \quad \forall n \gg 0?$

Partial Answer: Yes if

- $f(I) < h$, or
- $I^{(n+h)} \subseteq I I^{(n)} \quad \forall n \geq 1$, or at least $n \gg 0$.

But:

- this cannot hold for all I , since for $n=1, h=2$ get $I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2$.
- Does not even hold eventually for all I : some Fermat configurations fail this (Seabornu) for n arbitrarily large.

Theorem If R/I is F-pure then $I^{(n+h)} \subseteq I I^{(n)} \quad \forall n \geq 1$.

so if $I^{(hk-c)} \subseteq I^k$ for some k , then $I^{(hn-c)} \subseteq I^n$ for all $n \gg 0$.