

differential powers in mixed characteristic
 joint with Alessandro de Stefani and Jack Jeffries

R Noetherian A -algebra

I ideal in R

A -linear differential operators $\mathcal{D}_{RIA} := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{D}_{RIA}^n \subseteq \text{Hom}_A(R, R)$

$$\cdot \mathcal{D}_{RIA}^0 := \text{Hom}_R(R, R)$$

$$\cdot \partial \in \mathcal{D}_{RIA}^n \text{ if } [\partial, x] \in \mathcal{D}_{RIA}^{n-1} \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{D}_{RIA}^0$$

the n th differential power of I is

$$I^{<n>} = \{ f \in R \mid \partial f \in I \text{ for all } \partial \in \mathcal{D}_{RIA}^n \}$$

Why do we care? Here's one great reason:

Theorem (Zariski - Nagata)

k perfect field

$$R = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$$

$$I = \sqrt{I}$$

$$I^{<n>} = I^{(n)} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1$$

(Here $I^{(n)} = \bigcap_{I \in \text{ASS}(R/I)} (I^n R_I \cap R)$ is the n th symbolic power of I)

Another format:

$$I^{(n)} = I^{<n>} = \bigcap_{\substack{m \supseteq I \\ m \text{ max}}} m^n$$

How about in mixed characteristic?

Ex: $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$

$$\mathfrak{m} = (x, 2)$$

$$\mathfrak{m}^n = \mathfrak{m}^{(n)} \text{ for all } n \geq 1$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(x) &= x \partial(1) \in (x) \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1 \\ \Rightarrow x &\in \mathfrak{m}^{(n)} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

From now on: $A = \mathbb{Z}$ or a DVR with uniformizer $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ prime
 R an A -algebra

Def: (oyal, Buium) A p -derivation on R is a map $\delta: R \rightarrow R$ st:

1) $\delta(1) = 0$

2) $\delta(a+b) = \delta(a) + \delta(b) + \frac{a^p + b^p - (a+b)^p}{p} \quad \text{for all } a, b \in R$

3) $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b^p + a^p \delta(b) + p \delta(a)\delta(b)$

Note $\delta(x) = \frac{\Phi(x) - x^p}{p}$
 is a p -derivation on R

$\Phi(x) = x^p + p\delta(x)$
 is a lift of the Frobenius
 map on R/p

- $R = \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \delta(n) = \frac{n - n^p}{p}$ is the unique p -derivation on R

- If R a complete unramified DVR with perfect residue field, R has a p -derivation

- B has a p -derivation $\Rightarrow B[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ has a p -derivation

Key point : p -derivations decrease p -adic order, in the sense that

$$\delta(p^k v) = p^{k-1} v^p \pmod{p^k} \quad \text{for } v \notin (p)$$

Def (de Stefani - G - Jeffries) Suppose R has a p -derivation δ :

the n th mixed differential power of $I \subseteq R$ is

$$I^{<n>} := \{f \in R \mid \delta^a \circ \partial(f) \in I \text{ for all } a+b < n, \partial \in \mathfrak{D}_{R/A}^b\}$$

Thm (de Stefani - G - Jeffries, 2020)

$A = \mathbb{Z}$ or a DVR with uniformizer $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ prime

R localization of fg smooth A -algebra (with a p -derivation)

Q prime ideal

- If $Q \cap A = 0$, then $Q^{(n)} = Q^{<n>}$ for all $n \geq 1$
- If $Q \ni p$, then $Q^{(n)} = Q^{<n>_{\text{mix}}}$ for all $n \geq 1$ as long as:
 - $R/p \hookrightarrow {}^R Q/QR_Q$ separable (eg A/p perfect)
 - R has a p -derivation (eg $R = A[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, A unramified DVR)

Application:

Theorem (Chevalley's lemma, 1943)

(R, m) complete local ring

$\{I_n\}$ decreasing family of ideals

If $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} I_n = 0$, then $\exists f: N \rightarrow N$ st $I_{f(n)} \subseteq m^n$

(so $\{I_n\}$ induces a finer topology than m^n)

special case: $I_n = I^{(n)}$

Uniform Chordal degeneracy (Huneke - Katz - Validashti, 2017)

(R, m) complete local domain

then there exists a constant C , not depending on I , such that

$$I^{(Cn)} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n \text{ for all } n \geq 1$$

Goal Find explicit bounds on C .

(this will give us uniform bounds on the \mathfrak{m} -adic order of elements in $I^{(n)}$)

Theorem (Zariski - Nagata) When R is regular, $C=1$ works.

Theorem (Duc - De Stefani - G - Huneke - Núñez Betancourt)

k any field

$$R = k[f_1, \dots, f_e] \xrightarrow{\oplus} S = k[x_1, \dots, x_d] \quad \text{graded direct summand}$$

$$\mathfrak{m} = (f_1, \dots, f_e), \quad f_i \text{ homogeneous}$$

$$\mathcal{Q} = \max_i \{ \deg f_i \}$$

then $\mathcal{Q}^{(Dn)} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n$ for all $n \geq 1$ and every homogeneous prime \mathcal{Q}

Ingredients: Use the facts that: $\overset{\curvearrowleft}{\overset{\curvearrowright}{S}} \underset{\curvearrowleft \curvearrowright}{\hookrightarrow} R$

- $\delta \in \mathfrak{D}_{S/k}^n \Rightarrow \delta \circ \delta \in \mathfrak{D}_{R/k}^n$ (Alvarez Montaner - Huneke - Núñez Betancourt)

- $\mathcal{Q}^{(n)} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}^{<n>}$

- $\eta = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ satisfies $\eta^n = \eta^{(n)} = \eta^{<n>}$

Theorem (de Stefani - Grifo - Zeffrus)

A DRR with uniformizer p and A/p perfect

$R = A[f_1, \dots, f_e] \subseteq S = A[\pi_1, \dots, \pi_d]$, f_i homogeneous

$$q = (f_1, \dots, f_e)$$

$$\mathfrak{m} = q + (p)$$

$$\mathfrak{D} = \max \{\deg f_i\}$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Q \subseteq q \text{ prime} \\ R \xrightarrow{+} S \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow Q^{(2n)} \subseteq q^n$$

for all $n \geq 1$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Q \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \text{ prime}, Q \ni p \\ R/p \xrightarrow{+} S/p \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow Q^{(2n)} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n$$

Idea Even if R does not have a \mathfrak{p} -derivation, we can get by just using the \mathfrak{p} -derivation on S , by using

$$Q^{(n)} := \{x \in R \mid \lambda(\overline{s^a \partial(x) s}) \in \overline{Q} \text{ for all } a+b < n, \partial \in \mathcal{D}_{SIA}^b\}$$