

## Homework #2 volunteered solutions

**Problem 1.** Compute a  $k$ -basis for  $H_{(x)}^1(k[x, y])$  and show that this module is neither noetherian nor artinian.

*Solution 1* (Eamon Quinlan). We compute  $H_{(x)}^1(k[x, y]) =: H$  via the Čech complex

$$0 \rightarrow k[x, y] \rightarrow k[x, y]_x \rightarrow 0,$$

from which we see that

$$H = \frac{k[x, y]_x}{k[x, y]}.$$

We conclude that a  $k$ -basis is given by the following set of monomials:

$$\{x^i y^j : i < 0, j \geq 0\}.$$

The two following chains of ideals contradict the noetherian and artinian properties respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} (x^{-1}) &\subseteq (x^{-2}) \subseteq (x^{-3}) \subseteq \dots \\ (x^{-1}y) &\supseteq (x^{-1}y^2) \supseteq (x^{-1}y^3) \supseteq \dots \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 2.** Let  $(V, pV, K)$  be a complete DVR with uniformizer  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $R = V[[x_1, \dots, x_t]]$ . Note that  $R$  is local of dimension  $t + 1$  with residue field  $K$ .

(1) Use the Čech complex to show that  $H_{(p, \underline{x})}^{t+1}(R) \cong \bigoplus_{\underline{\alpha} : \alpha_i < 0} \frac{V[1/p]}{V} \cdot \underline{x}^{\underline{\alpha}}$ .

(2) Show that  $\text{Hom}_V^{(\underline{x})\text{-cts}}(R, E_V(K)) \cong \text{Hom}_V^{(\underline{x})\text{-cts}}(R, \frac{V[1/p]}{V})$  is an injective hull for  $K$  as an  $R$ -module. Use this description to show that  $E_R(K) \cong H_{(p, \underline{x})}^{t+1}(R)$ .

*Solution 2* (Eamon Quinlan). **(a)**

The tail of the Čech complex for  $R$  looks like

$$\dots \rightarrow R_{x_1 \dots x_n} \oplus \bigoplus_i R_{px_1 \dots \hat{x}_i \dots x_n} \rightarrow R_{px_1 \dots x_n} \rightarrow 0$$

and thus

$$H_{(p, \underline{x})}^{t+1}(R) \cong \frac{R_{px_1 \dots x_n}}{\text{im } R_{x_1 \dots x_n} \oplus \bigoplus_i R_{px_1 \dots \hat{x}_i \dots x_n}}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{px_1 \dots x_n} &\simeq \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n} V[1/p]x^\alpha, \\ R_{x_1 \dots x_n} &\simeq \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n} Vx^\alpha, \\ R_{px_1 \dots \hat{x}_i \dots x_n} &\simeq \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \alpha_i \geq 0} V[1/p]x^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

from which we conclude

$$H_{(p, \underline{x})}^{t+1}(R) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}^n} \frac{V[1/p]}{V} [x^\alpha]$$

as required. (We write  $[x^\alpha]$  for the class of the monomial  $x^\alpha$  in the quotient).

For part (b) we will also need to observe the  $R$ -module structure on this module. The elements of  $V$  act on the elements of  $V[1/p]/V$  as usual, whereas a monomial  $x^\beta$  acts on (the class of) a monomial  $x^\alpha$  by

$$x^\beta \cdot [x^\alpha] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha_i + \beta_i \geq 0 \text{ for some } i \\ [x^{\beta+\alpha}] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(b)

We claim that  $E_R(K) \cong V[1/p]/V$ . Recall first that  $V$  is a PID and that  $V[1/p]$  is its fraction field. Therefore  $V[1/p]$  is a divisible  $V$ -module and, since divisibility descends to quotients, so is  $V[1/p]/V$  – and thus it is injective. The fact that  $V[1/p]/V$  is an essential extension of  $K$  – where  $K$  embeds as the multiples of  $1/p$  – follows because  $V[1/p]/V$  is  $p$ -torsion with a one-dimensional socle (namely, the multiples of  $1/p$ ) – then use Theorem 2.44.

It follows that  $\text{Hom}_V^{x-cts}(R, E_V(K)) = \text{Hom}_V^{x-cts}(R, V[1/p]/V)$  and, by HW1#7, these are in turn isomorphic to  $E_R(K)$ .

Now observe or recall that  $\text{Hom}_V^{(x)-cts}(R, V[1/p]/V)$  consists of those  $V$ -linear maps from  $R$  to  $E_R(K)$  that are zero on monomials of sufficiently high degree. Therefore one has

$$\text{Hom}_V^{x-cts}(R, E_V(K)) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} \frac{V[1/p]}{V} \delta_\alpha$$

where  $\delta_\alpha$  is the dual element of  $x^\alpha$ .

We note that the  $R$ -module action is given by multiplying the input, and thus a monomial  $x^\beta$  acts on  $\delta_\alpha$  via

$$x^\beta \delta_\alpha = \delta_\alpha(x^\beta \bullet) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \beta_i > \alpha_i \text{ for some } i \\ \delta_{\alpha-\beta} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We conclude that  $H_{(p,x)}^{t+1}(R) \cong E_R(K)$  via the map that exchanges  $\delta_\alpha$  with  $[x^{-\alpha-(1,1,\dots,1)}]$ .

**Problem 3.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a complete local ring.

(1) Show that if  $R \hookrightarrow M$  splits, then  $R \otimes_R N \rightarrow M \otimes_R N$  is injective for all  $R$ -modules  $N$ .

(2) Show that if  $R \otimes_R E_R(k) \rightarrow M \otimes_R E_R(k)$  is injective, then  $R \hookrightarrow M$  splits.

(Moral:  $E_R(k)$  is the “least flat” module.)

*Solution 3* (Takumi Murayama). *Proof of (a).* Since  $f: R \rightarrow M$  splits, we have a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R & \xrightarrow{f} & M & \xrightarrow{g} & R \\ & & \searrow & \nearrow & \\ & & \text{id}_R & & \end{array}$$

of the identity on  $R$ . Applying  $- \otimes_R N$ , we have a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R \otimes_R N & \xrightarrow{f \otimes \text{id}_N} & M \otimes_R N & \xrightarrow{g \otimes \text{id}_N} & R \otimes_R N \\ & & \searrow & \nearrow & \\ & & \text{id}_{R \otimes_R N} & & \end{array}$$

of the identity on  $R \otimes_R N$ . The composition is injective, hence  $f \otimes \text{id}_N$  is injective as well.  $\square$

*Proof of (b).* Denote  $f: R \rightarrow M$ . We claim we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R E_R(k), E_R(k)) & \xrightarrow{(f \otimes \text{id}_{E_R(k)})^*} & \text{Hom}_R(R \otimes_R E_R(k), E_R(k)) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \\ \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_R(E_R(k), E_R(k))) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \text{Hom}_R(R, \text{Hom}_R(E_R(k), E_R(k))) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \uparrow \wr & & \uparrow \wr & & \\ \text{Hom}_R(M, R) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \text{Hom}_R(R, R) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The top row is the Matlis dual of the map  $f \otimes \text{id}_{E_R(k)}: R \otimes_R E_R(K) \rightarrow M \otimes_R E_R(k)$ , and the second row is obtained from the first by Hom-tensor adjunction. The last row is obtained from the isomorphism [?, Thm. 2.40], where we use the fact that  $R$  is complete. Since the last row is surjective, we can choose

$g \in \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$  such that  $f^*(g) = g \circ f = \text{id}_R$ , and so this map  $g: M \rightarrow R$  is exactly a splitting for  $f: R \rightarrow M$ .  $\square$

**Problem 4** (Problem #4 from worksheet #3). Let  $T = \frac{k[x, y, u, v]}{(xu - yv)}$ . Note that  $T$  admits an  $\mathbb{N}^2$ -grading via

$$\deg(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \deg(y) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \deg(u) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \deg(v) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix};$$

since the defining equation is homogeneous with respect to this grading, we get a well-defined grading on  $T$ .

- (a) Show that  $\left(\frac{v}{x}, \frac{u}{y}\right)$  is a cocycle in the Čech complex  $\check{C}^1(x, y; T)$ .
- (b) Show that the class  $\left[\frac{v}{x}, \frac{u}{y}\right]$  of the cocycle in the previous part gives a nonzero class in  $H_{(x, y)}^1(T)$ .
- (c) Let  $\eta_a = \left[\frac{v^{a-1}y^{a-1}}{x^a y^a}\right] \in \check{C}^2(x, y; T)$ . Use the grading defined above to show that  $\eta_a \neq 0$  in  $H_{(x, y)}^2(T)$ .
- (d) Show that each of the elements  $\eta_a$  is killed by the ideal  $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, u, v)$ . Conclude that the socle of this local cohomology module (the submodule annihilated by the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ ) is infinite-dimensional.
- (e) Congratulate yourself; you have disproven a conjecture of Grothendieck!

*Solution 4* (Takumi Murayama). *Proof of (a).* The Čech complex is

$$\check{C}^\bullet(x, y; T) = \{0 \longrightarrow T \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}} T_x \oplus T_y \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}} T_{xy} \longrightarrow 0\}$$

hence  $\left(\frac{v}{x}, \frac{u}{y}\right) \mapsto \frac{v}{x} - \frac{u}{y} \in T_{xy}$ . But  $xu - yv = 0$  in  $T$ , hence  $\frac{v}{x} - \frac{u}{y} = 0 \in T_{xy}$ , and so

$$\left(\frac{v}{x}, \frac{u}{y}\right) \in \check{C}^1(x, y; T). \quad \square$$

*Proof of (b).* We note that both  $\frac{v}{x}$  and  $\frac{u}{y}$  have bidegree  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . On the other hand, the only elements in  $T$  with bidegree  $(0, 0)$  are constants, hence  $\left(\frac{v}{x}, \frac{u}{y}\right)$  cannot be in the image of  $T \rightarrow T_x \oplus T_y$ . Thus,

$$0 \neq \left[\frac{v}{x}, \frac{u}{y}\right] \in H_{(x, y)}^1(T). \quad \square$$

*Proof of (c).* Note that  $\deg \eta_a = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . On the other hand,  $T_x$  only has elements in bidegree  $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$  for  $b \geq 0$ , and similarly,  $T_y$  only has elements in bidegree  $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$  for  $a \geq 0$ . Thus, there is no way for a homogeneous element  $f \in T_x$  and a homogeneous element  $g \in T_y$  to have difference  $f - g$  of bidegree equal to  $\deg \eta_a = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ , hence

$$0 \neq \eta_a = \left[\frac{v^{a-1}y^{a-1}}{x^a y^a}\right] \in H_{(x, y)}^2(T). \quad \square$$

*Proof of (d).* It suffices to show that  $\eta_a$  is annihilated by each of the generators  $x, y, u, v$  for  $\mathfrak{m}$ ; to do so, it suffices to show they are in the image of the map  $T_x \oplus T_y \rightarrow T_{xy}$ . First,  $x \cdot \eta_a$  is the image of  $(0, \frac{v^{a-1}}{y^a})$  since

$$x \cdot \eta_a = x \cdot \frac{v^{a-1}y^{a-1}}{x^a y^a} = \frac{v^{a-1}y^{a-1}}{x^{a-1}y^a} = \frac{x^{a-1}u^{a-1}}{x^{a-1}y^a} = \frac{u^{a-1}}{y^a}$$

using the relation  $xu = yv$  in the third equality. Next,  $y \cdot \eta_a$  is the image of  $(\frac{v^{a-1}}{x^a}, 0)$  since

$$y \cdot \eta_a = y \cdot \frac{v^{a-1}y^{a-1}}{x^a y^a} = \frac{v^{a-1}y^a}{x^a y^a} = \frac{v^{a-1}}{x^a}.$$

Now  $u \cdot \eta_a$  is the image of  $(\frac{v^a}{x^{a+1}}, 0)$  since

$$u \cdot \eta_a = u \cdot \frac{v^{a-1}y^{a-1}}{x^a y^a} = \frac{uv^{a-1}y^{a-1}}{x^a y^a} = \frac{x^{a-1}u^a}{x^a y^a} = \frac{u^a}{xy^a} = \frac{v^a}{x^{a+1}}$$

using the relation  $xu = yv$  in the third equality and the relation  $v/x = u/y$  verified in (a) in the last equality. Finally,  $v \cdot \eta_a$  is the image of  $(0, \frac{u^a}{x^{a+1}})$  since

$$v \cdot \eta_a = v \cdot \frac{v^{a-1}y^{a-1}}{x^a y^a} = \frac{v^a}{x^a y} = \frac{u^a}{x^{a+1}}$$

again using the relation  $v/x = u/y$  verified in (a) in the last equality.  $\square$

**Problem 5.** (1) Give an example of a sequence of elements  $f_1, \dots, f_t$  in a ring  $R$  and an integer  $i$  such that  $H^i(f_1^n, \dots, f_t^n; R) \neq 0$  for all  $n$ , but  $H^i_{(f_1, \dots, f_t)}(R) = 0$ .

(2) Give an example of an ideal  $I$  in a ring  $R$ , and  $R$ -module  $M$ , and an integer  $j$  such that  $\text{Ext}_R^j(R/I^n, M) \neq 0$  for all  $n$ , but  $H_I^j(M) = 0$ .

*Solution 5* (Zhan Jiang). (1) Take  $i = t = 2$ , let  $R = k[x, y]/(xy)$  and  $\underline{f} = x, y$ . Then  $H^2(x^n, y^n; R) = R/(x^n, y^n) = k[x, y]/(x^n, y^n, xy)$ . This is clearly nonzero, i.e. 1 is nonzero in it. On the other hand, the local cohomology  $H^2_{(x, y)}(R) = R_{xy}/(R_x + R_y)$ . But in  $R_{xy}$ , note that  $1 = \frac{xy}{xy} = 0$ . So it is zero.

(2) Again let  $R = k[x, y]/(xy)$ . Let  $M = k = R/(x, y)$  and let  $I = (x)$ . Then

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{x} R \xrightarrow{y} R \xrightarrow{x^n} R \rightarrow R/(x)^n \rightarrow 0$$

If we apply  $\text{Hom}_R(-, k)$ , all differentials go to zero hence  $\text{Ext}_R^j(R/I^n, M) = \text{Hom}_R(R, k) = k \neq 0$ . But  $H_I^j(M) = 0$  for large enough  $j$ 's, i.e.  $j > 1$ .

**Problem 6.**

Show that if  $R$  is a regular ring of dimension  $d$ , the minimal injective resolution of  $R$  is of the form

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow E_R(R) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\text{ht } \mathfrak{p}=1} E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\text{ht } \mathfrak{p}=2} E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\text{ht } \mathfrak{p}=d} E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow 0.$$

*Solution 6* (Zhan Jiang). This is equivalent to asserting that the Bass number is  $\mu_i(P) = \delta_{\text{height}(P), i}$ . Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of height  $h$ . Since  $\mu_i(P) = \dim_{\kappa_P} \text{Ext}_{R_P}^i(\kappa_P, R_P)$  where  $\kappa_P$  is the residue field  $R_P/PR_P$  and  $R_P$  is regular of dimension  $h$ . We have

- $\text{Ext}_{R_P}^i(\kappa_P, R_P) = 0$  for all  $i > h$  since  $\kappa_P$  has a free resolution of length  $h$
- $\text{Ext}_{R_P}^i(\kappa_P, R_P) = 0$  for all  $i < h$  since  $\text{depth}_{R_P}(R_P) = h$  and  $\kappa_P = R_P/PR_P$
- $\text{Ext}_{R_P}^h(\kappa_P, R_P) = \kappa_P$  since  $\text{Ext}_{R_P}^h(\kappa_P, R_P) = H^h(\underline{x}; R_P) = R_P/(\underline{x})R_P = \kappa_P$  where  $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_h$  is a set of generators of  $PR_P$

**Problem 7.**

Use the previous problem to show that if  $R$  is regular and  $\text{ht}(I) = h$ , then

$$\text{Ass}(H_I^h(R)) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(I) \mid \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = h\}.$$

*Solution 7* (Devlin Mallory). Let  $R$  be regular and  $I$  an ideal with  $\text{height } I = h$ . We may calculate  $H_I^h(R)$  by applying  $\Gamma_I(-)$  to the injective resolution described above: as we've seen on previous homework,  $\Gamma_I(E_R(R/Q)) = 0$  if  $I \subset Q$  and is 0 otherwise, and thus the above resolution becomes the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{\text{height } P=h \\ P \supset I}} E_R(R/P) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{\text{height } Q=h+1 \\ Q \supset I}} E_R(R/P) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Of course, any height- $h$  prime  $P$  containing  $I$  is a minimal prime of  $I$ , since  $\text{height } I = h$ . Thus

$$H_I^h(R) = \ker \left( \bigoplus_{\substack{\text{height } P=h \\ P \in \text{Min } I}} E_R(R/P) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{\text{height } Q=h+1 \\ Q \supset I}} E_R(R/P) \right).$$

This then gives a containment

$$\text{Ass}(H_I^h(R)) \subset \text{Ass}\left(\bigoplus_{\substack{\text{height } P=h \\ P \in \text{Min } I}} E_R(R/P)\right).$$

Now, using the fact that  $\text{Ass}(\bigoplus_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}) = \bigcup \text{Ass } M_{\alpha}$  (this is elementary in the case of a finite direct sum, and the general case follows by noting that any map  $R/P \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}$  has image contained in some subproduct over finitely many  $M_{\alpha}$ ) we obtain the containment

$$\text{Ass}(H_I^h(R)) \subset \bigcup_{\substack{\text{height } P=h \\ P \in \text{Min } I}} \text{Ass } E_R(R/P) = \text{Min } I = \bigcup_{\substack{\text{height } P=h \\ P \in \text{Min } I}} \{P\}.$$

Thus, we just need the other inclusion. Note that for any  $R$ -module  $M$  we have

$$\text{Ass}_R M = \bigcup_{P \in \text{Spec } R} \text{Ass}_{R_P} M_P,$$

since  $R$  is noetherian, and thus to obtain the other inclusion we will just need to show that for  $P \in \text{Min } I$  of height  $h$  we have  $PR_P = \text{Ass}_{R_P}(H_{I_P}^h(R_P))$ , since then  $P \in \text{Ass}(H_I^h(R))$ . Thus, we may localize at  $P$ . But in this case, calculating the associated primes of the kernel above is trivial:

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{\text{height } P=h \\ P \in \text{Min } I}} E_R(R/P) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{\text{height } Q=h+1 \\ Q \supset I}} E_R(R/P)$$

localizes to

$$E_R(R/P) \rightarrow 0,$$

since some  $Q \supsetneq P$  and thus some element of  $Q$  becomes a unit in  $R_P$ , which means  $E_R(R/Q)_P = 0$ . Thus  $H_I^h(R)_P = E_R(R/P)$ , so  $\text{Ass } H_I^h(R)_P = \{P\}$  for each height- $h$  prime  $P$  containing  $I$ , and the result follows.