Prop: Let K=K. If 6 contins no pseudorefi then the map Drik & Drok (ROR)

dain now that if the p & I in an Bomorphism. To see this, note that The hypothesis means that and V(p) \$ THE FIX(t). As & is an intersection of maximal ideals, 7 moxe R) \ ore Fix(0). That is, there is a max'l ited on with on 2p and Stabler = 2e3, Thus, Bon is an isomorphism, and localizing finder, Bo is an isomorphism as well. To see that B is injective, we have (B) \s Ass (DNK)=(0), but B(0) is injective.
Ass (bor(B)) = p, and B is injective.

depthis (Homza (Projk, R) = I Then if ht(p) \le 1, coperising = 0, and if
A+(p) \gamma 2, depth((Drin)p) = ht(p) \gamma 2, since (Drik) p is free over Rp (which is cohen-Maraulay).

Hws, if coper(B)p # 0, by the behavior of

depth on SES's, we have depth (coper(B)p) = 1 But, if coper(B) \$0, Ige Ass(coper(B)), and depth(coper(B)g) = 0. Thus, coper(B) = 0, 50 an Bomorphism.

7 Prop: Without assuming k=k, if 6 has no pseudoseffections.
Then the restriction map DRIK BDRIK (ROR) is an Bomorphism. Prf: It suffices to show that DRIK OK K BOKK DROKKE, R) OK K By an Bonorphism, since K- R B faithfully flat. that RE = ROKK, poly ving over K.
Then 6 ands on RE by o(rok):= own ox. Observe that the action of and only if the action of 6 on R has none since the trank of 10-01 on 1-forms 3 the same. We will show that BOKK identifies with DREIR DREIR (RE)6, Re, and then we will be done by the previous proposition. go by Flathess we have O -> REORK -> RR Lid-OKH > RF, 50 REORK = (RF) Cononically. Then, if S is any g'k-algebra,

we have PSIKOK F - PSOFIF, and by the behavior

of Hom & Hat base drange, and finite presentation of PSIK,

we obtain DSIKOK & DSOKIK. Applying these two observations, we obtain the desired identification,

Theorem (Kantor): Let 6 be a tinite group acting linearly on a polynomial ring R over a field to with 901 to in to Assume 6 contains no pseudoreflections. Then the restriction hap SEDRIK / S(RO) EROZ - DRYK 3 an Bomaphism. Moreover, we have the equality 3SEDRIK 1S(RG) S RGZ = (DRIK) where 6 ads on Drik via g. 8 = g. 80g2

3

There are maps

Dropk - Dropk (RG, R) ~ Dropk. The second map has inverse - given by restriction. The first is injective, since there is an inverse to S > inges go 8. We see that the composition >> has image equal to the maps sending Ra into R, and any such map goes via to this restriction. For the second claim, if St Drik), and VER, then g(S(r)) = (g.S)(g(r)) = S(r), so S(r) & Ro, Haus (DRIK) & E & SEPRIK (SIRE) & ROZ. For the other antimoral, let SERGIERE, and take get. We need to show that 9.8 - 8 is zero in DRIK. By the BO. above, it suffices to show if is zero on Ro, so let reRo. Thun (g. 8-S(r)= gS(g=2(r))-S(r)=gS(r)-S(r)=0,

Since S(r) & RG.

Example: Let K be an algebraially lead

file Id, For = K[xz,--, xn], nz and

d be an integer flood is invertible in K (not a

multiple of clark, if chark = p >0). For g a quarter of o, and g a primitive of The fixed space of g, or any nonidentity elevent, is just the origin, so the Recording applies. we have  $R^G = R^{(d)} := \bigoplus [R]_{new}$ , the  $J^Q$ vertousse suring, which consists of elements whose homogeneous pieces disease degrees a untiple of J. We compte Des = 3 SEDR / S(RG) = RGZ (restrictions of) swrite any St De as a sum of homogeneous pieces 5= 75; Then S; of Lagree; satisfies S; (RG) ERG (S) 11; we conclude that The = { SEDR | of & hand begree I willight of d?. For example, Dx21 = R<3xi3xi, 3xi3xi, 3xi3xi, 3 if dank=0.

Exercise: Suppose that gt 6 acts on R via  $g\left(\begin{array}{c} x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{array}\right) = A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ Thun the action of q on DR 13 given by (durk=0)

1 (xx)

4 (xin)

A (xin)

AT-1 (25)

Symm