

# Advanced Econometrics (2ST123)

Teerth Gupta

February 2026

## 1 Nonlinear Regression Model

### 1.1 Model Transformation and Estimation

Consider the nonlinear regression model

$$y_i = e^{\beta_0} e^{\beta_1 x_i} x_i^{\beta_2} e^{\varepsilon_i}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\varepsilon_i$  denotes an error term. Taking logarithms of both sides yields

$$\log y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 \log x_i + \varepsilon_i, \quad (2)$$

which is linear in the parameters. This transformation allows the use of ordinary least squares (OLS) for estimation under the usual assumptions on the error term.

Let  $y = (\log y_1, \dots, \log y_n)^\top$  and define the design matrix

$$X = (1 \ x_1 \log x_1 \ \dots \ 1 \ x_n \log x_n). \quad (3)$$

The OLS estimator is then given by

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top y. \quad (4)$$

### 1.2 Fitted Values and Analytical Maximization

Since estimation is performed in logarithms, fitted values in the original scale are obtained by

$$\hat{y}_i = e^{\hat{\beta}_0} e^{\hat{\beta}_1 x_i} x_i^{\hat{\beta}_2}. \quad (5)$$

To find the value of  $x$  that maximizes  $\hat{y}(x)$ , consider the logarithm of the fitted function,

$$\log \hat{y}(x) = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x + \hat{\beta}_2 \log x. \quad (6)$$

Differentiating with respect to  $x$  and setting the derivative equal to zero yields

$$\hat{\beta}_1 + \frac{\hat{\beta}_2}{x} = 0, \quad (7)$$

which implies that the maximizer is given by

$$x^* = -\frac{\hat{\beta}_2}{\hat{\beta}_1}. \quad (8)$$

## 2 Monte Carlo Study of Model Misspecification

### 2.1 Data Generating Process

The true data generating process (DGP) is specified as

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \varepsilon, \quad (9)$$

where  $\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)$  and  $\beta = (20, 0.03, 0.7, 1.4)^\top$ . In the Monte Carlo study, the explanatory variables are treated as fixed, and all randomness in  $y$  is induced by the error term  $\varepsilon$ , consistent with the probabilistic framework advocated by Haavelmo.

### 2.2 Omitted Variable Bias

The misspecified model excludes the variable  $x_2$  and is given by

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_3 x_3 + u. \quad (10)$$

Since  $x_2$  affects  $y$  and is generally correlated with the included regressors, the estimator  $\hat{\beta}_1$  is biased. As a consequence, the estimator  $\hat{\beta}_1$  is inconsistent and the bias does not vanish as the sample size increases. The Monte Carlo simulation illustrates this phenomenon by comparing the average simulated estimate of  $\beta_1$  with its true value and by examining whether the confidence interval constructed from the simulated distribution covers the true parameter.

## 3 Nonlinear Least Squares Theory

### 3.1 Orthogonality Condition

Consider the nonlinear regression model

$$y_i = m_i(\beta) + e_i, \quad (11)$$

with  $e_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ . The objective function is

$$E[e^\top e] = E[(y - m(\beta))^\top (y - m(\beta))]. \quad (12)$$

Differentiating with respect to  $\beta$  and setting the gradient equal to zero yields the first-order condition

$$J(\beta)^\top (y - m(\beta)) = 0, \quad (13)$$

where  $J(\beta)$  denotes the Jacobian matrix of partial derivatives of  $m(\beta)$  with respect to the parameter vector  $\beta$ .

### 3.2 OLS as a Special Case of NLS

If the conditional mean function is linear,  $m(\beta) = X\beta$ , then the Jacobian reduces to  $J(\beta) = X$ . In this case, the orthogonality condition becomes

$$X^\top(y - X\beta) = 0, \quad (14)$$

which corresponds to the normal equations for the OLS estimator. Hence, OLS is a special case of nonlinear least squares.

### 3.3 Jacobian and Identifiability

Consider the nonlinear regression model

$$m_i(\beta) = \beta_0 \exp(\beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2}). \quad (15)$$

The elements of the Jacobian matrix are given by  $\partial m_i(\beta) \frac{\partial m_i(\beta)}{\partial \beta_0} = \exp(\beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2})$ ,  $\frac{\partial m_i(\beta)}{\partial \beta_1} = \beta_0 x_{i1} \exp(\beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2})$ ,  $\frac{\partial m_i(\beta)}{\partial \beta_2} = \beta_0 x_{i2} \exp(\beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2})$ .

To assess identifiability, suppose that two parameter vectors  $\beta^{(1)}$  and  $\beta^{(2)}$  produce the same conditional expectation function for all observations. Taking logarithms of the resulting equality yields a linear equation in  $(1, x_{i1}, x_{i2})$ . Since the regressor matrix with rows  $(1, x_{i1}, x_{i2})$  has full column rank by assumption, equality of the conditional expectations implies  $\beta^{(1)} = \beta^{(2)}$ . Hence, the model is identifiable.