

L3 Maths Workshop: Integral Transform

Elsen Tjhung

February 1, 2021



Physics Department, Durham University

This lecture note is part of Level 3 Mathematics Workshop module for physics students. The whole module consists of:

1. Complex Analysis
2. Infinite Dimensional Vector Spaces
3. Integral Transforms (this lecture note only covers this part!!)
4. Calculus of Variations and Infinite Series

This lecture will cover Integral Transforms, *i.e.* Fourier and Laplace transform. There might be some typos or mistakes in this note (please let me know if you spot any typos/mistakes). This lecture note assumes knowledge of Complex Analysis (in particular, contour integral and Jordan's lemma).

References:

1. K. F. Riley, M. P. Hobson, and S. J. Bence, *Mathematical Methods for Physics and Engineering*, Cambridge University Press.
2. H. J. Arfken and G. B. Weber, *Mathematical Methods for Physicists*, Academic Press.
3. M. L. Boas, *Mathematical Methods in the Physical Sciences*, Wiley.

Contents

1	Fourier transform	4
1.1	Review of complex analysis	4
1.2	Fourier transform	6
1.2.1	Fourier transform of a Gaussian function	8
1.3	Dirac delta function	10
1.3.1	Basic properties of delta function	10
1.3.2	Derivatives of delta function	11
1.3.3	Integrals of delta function	12
1.3.4	Delta of some function $\delta(g(x))$	12
1.4	Fourier transform from Fourier series	13
1.5	Properties of Fourier transform	15
1.5.1	Linearity	15
1.5.2	Translation	15
1.5.3	Scaling	15
1.5.4	Fourier transform of a real function	16
1.5.5	Fourier transform of delta function	17
1.5.6	Derivatives	17
1.5.7	Fourier transform of $x^n f(x)$	18
1.5.8	Convolution	18
1.5.9	Parseval's theorem	19
1.6	Applications of Fourier transform	21
1.6.1	Wave equation	21
1.6.2	Differential equation	21
1.6.3	Integral equation	25
1.7	Discrete Fourier transform	25
1.7.1	x is continuous and confined to a finite interval $x \in [0, L]$	25
1.7.2	x (and hence f) is discrete and confined to a finite interval $x \in [0, L]$	26
1.8	Fourier transform in quantum mechanics	28
1.8.1	Hilbert space	28
1.8.2	Wavefunction in position and momentum representation	29

2	Laplace transform	34
2.1	Laplace transform	34
2.2	Properties of Laplace transform	35
2.2.1	Linearity	36
2.2.2	Translation	36
2.2.3	Scaling	36
2.2.4	Laplace transform $t^n f(t)$	37
2.2.5	Derivatives	39
2.2.6	Integrals	39
2.2.7	Laplace transform of a periodic function	39
2.2.8	Convolution	40
2.2.9	Taylor series	42
2.3	Some applications of Laplace transform	42
2.3.1	Differential equations	42
2.3.2	Computing integral	47
2.4	Inverse of Laplace transform	48

Chapter 1

Fourier transform

1.1 Review of complex analysis

Before proceeding, we will review the Jordan's lemma and the residue theorem again (covered in complex analysis).

Theorem (Residue theorem). Consider some complex function $f(z) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that $f(z)$ has a finite number of singularities: z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N inside some closed anti-clockwise contour γ . Then,

$$\oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 2\pi i \sum_{i=1}^N \text{res}_{z=z_i} f(z) \quad (1.1)$$

(see Fig. 1.1).

Theorem (Cauchy's theorem). If there is no singularity inside the closed contour γ , then:

$$\oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Lemma (Jordan's lemma). Consider some complex function $f(z) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which decays to zero as z goes to infinity:

$$f(z) \rightarrow 0 \quad , \text{ as } |z| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.3)$$

Moreover, $f(z)$ is analytic inside the domain \mathbb{C} , except for a finite number of singularities. Now let us define γ_R^+ to be a semi-circular contour in the upper half complex plane and define γ_R^- to be a semi-circular contour in the lower half complex plane (see Fig. 1.2). Then the following integrals vanish in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\int_{\gamma_R^+} f(z) e^{i\lambda z} dz \rightarrow 0 \quad , \text{ if } \lambda > 0 \quad (1.4)$$

$$\int_{\gamma_R^-} f(z) e^{i\lambda z} dz \rightarrow 0 \quad , \text{ if } \lambda < 0. \quad (1.5)$$




Figure 1.1: Residue theorem: the integral $\oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz$ over some closed contour is equal to the sum of all residues of the singularities z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N inside γ .




Figure 1.2: Jordan's lemma: the integral $\int_{\gamma_R^{\pm}} f(z) e^{i\lambda z} dz$ vanishes in the limit of $R \rightarrow \infty$, depending on the sign of λ .

1.2 Fourier transform

Definition (Square integrable). A function $f(x) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{R} is called square-integrable if the following integral is finite:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x)|^2 dx = \text{finite}. \quad (1.6)$$

Definition (Fourier transform). Consider a function $f(x) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{R} , which is square-integrable and:

$$f(x) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } x \rightarrow \pm\infty. \quad (1.7)$$

The Fourier transform of $f(x)$ is another function $\tilde{f}(k) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{R} , which is defined to be:

$$\tilde{f}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) e^{-ikx} dx. \quad (1.8)$$

Conversely, the inverse Fourier transform is defined to be:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{f}(k) e^{ikx} dk. \quad (1.9)$$

Note that $f(x)$ is a function of x and $\tilde{f}(k)$ is a function of k . In physics, the variable x and k have different physical meanings as we will see in the example below.

Example. Wavefunction in QM: $\psi(x) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. ψ is complex and x is real. x indicates coordinate in space and the modulus square of the wavefunction $|\psi(x)|^2$ gives the probability. Consequently, $\psi(x)$ is square-integrable since the integral over the probability distribution should be equal to 1:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\psi(x)|^2 dx = 1. \quad (1.10)$$

The Fourier transform of $\psi(x)$ is $\tilde{\psi}(k)$, where k is the wavevector. We can then write $\psi(x)$ as (using the formula in (1.9)):

$$\psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\psi}(k) e^{ikx} dk. \quad (1.11)$$

In the above e^{ikx} is a plane wave with wavelength $2\pi/k$. So in the equation above, we are basically decomposing the wavefunction $\psi(x)$ into a sum of all plane waves e^{ikx} (with different k 's) and $\tilde{\psi}(k)$ is the amplitude for each plane wave.

Example. The sound wave consists of compression and decompression of air. The sound wave detected on the microphone at time t is given by: $P(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Here P is the pressure, relative to the atmospheric pressure. The Fourier transform of $P(t)$ is $\tilde{P}(\omega)$, where ω is the angular frequency:

$$P(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{P}(\omega) e^{i\omega t} dt. \quad (1.12)$$




Figure 1.3: Sound wave from the middle A from the piano (bottom left). Bottom right panel is the Fourier transform of the sound wave (modulus squared), which gives the frequency spectrum of the sound wave. [Plots adapted from M. R. Petersen, *The Math. Assoc. of America* (1995)]

$P(t)$ is real but $\tilde{P}(\omega)$ is complex in general. Fig. 1.3 bottom left shows the sound wave from the middle A from the piano. Fig. 1.3 bottom right shows its Fourier transform (modulus squared) $|\tilde{P}(\omega)|^2$ as a function of angular frequency ω (in units of Hz). The Fourier transform $|\tilde{P}(\omega)|^2$ tells us the frequency spectrum of this particular piano note. $|\tilde{P}(\omega)|^2$ shows a peak at $\omega \simeq 440$ Hz. This corresponds to the fundamental frequency of the middle A indeed. However, $|\tilde{P}(\omega)|^2$ also shows several other peaks at $\omega \simeq 880$ Hz, 1320 Hz, 1760 Hz and so on. The peak at $\omega \simeq 880$ Hz corresponds to the note of high A. The peak at $\omega \simeq 1320$ Hz corresponds to the note of high E. So the middle A note contains a small percentage of E note. Actually Bach *et al.* realized that when note A and note E are played together, they sound nice. This is called harmony in music theory.

Notation and convention. The Fourier transform can also be denoted as:

$$\mathcal{F}[f](k) = \tilde{f}(k) \quad (1.13)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\tilde{f}](x) = f(x). \quad (1.14)$$

Sometimes, Fourier and inverse Fourier is defined with normalization factor $1/2\pi$ such as:

$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{f}(k) e^{ikx} dk \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f}(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) e^{-ikx} dx. \quad (1.15)$$

Example. Useful formula relating to Gaussian integral:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} dx = \sqrt{2\pi}. \quad (1.16)$$

Proof. We consider the 2-dimensional integral instead (where $\mathbf{r} = (x, y)^T$):

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x^2+y^2)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2r e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2}. \quad (1.17)$$

Next we use polar coordinates (where the area element is $d^2r = r dr d\theta$):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2r e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2} = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^\infty dr r e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2} \quad (1.18)$$

$$= 2\pi \int_0^\infty ds e^{-s} \quad (1.19)$$

$$= 2\pi [-e^{-s}]_0^\infty \quad (1.20)$$

$$= 2\pi. \quad (1.21)$$

Therefore we prove (1.16).

1.2.1 Fourier transform of a Gaussian function

What is the Fourier transform $\tilde{f}(k)$ of the Gaussian function:

$$f(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}. \quad (1.22)$$

Using the formula in (1.8), the Fourier transform is:

$$\tilde{f}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} e^{-ikx} dx. \quad (1.23)$$

First, we need to complete the square of the exponent:

$$\tilde{f}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x^2+2ikx)} dx \quad (1.24)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x+ik)^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}k^2} dx \quad (1.25)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}k^2} \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x+ik)^2} dx}_I. \quad (1.26)$$

So what remains is to compute the integral:

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x+ik)^2} dx. \quad (1.27)$$

We cannot use the formula (1.16) directly since there is a complex number ik involved. Instead we have to promote x (real) into z (complex) and consider the following complex integration instead:

$$I_c = \oint_{\gamma} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z+ik)^2} dz. \quad (1.28)$$

The integration is taken over the closed rectangular contour γ , which can be divided into 4 segments $\gamma = \gamma_u + \gamma_d + \gamma_l + \gamma_r$ (see Fig. 1.4). Later we are going to take the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$ in the contour γ . So the integral I_c can be written as a sum of 4 integrals over these line segments:

$$0 = I_c = \underbrace{\int_{\gamma_u} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z+ik)^2} dz}_{-I} + \int_{\gamma_d} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z+ik)^2} dz + \int_{\gamma_l} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z+ik)^2} dz + \int_{\gamma_r} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z+ik)^2} dz. \quad (1.29)$$

Also note that $I_c = 0$, since the closed contour γ does not contain any singularity. First, the integral over γ_u is just $-I$ (in the limit of $R \rightarrow \infty$), which is what we are looking for. Next the integral over γ_d is (note that $z = x - ik$ along γ_d):

$$\int_{\gamma_d} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z+ik)^2} dz = \int_{-R}^{+R} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-ik+ik)^2} dx \quad (1.30)$$

$$= \sqrt{2\pi}, \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.31)$$

where we have used the formula in (1.16). Then the integral over γ_l is (note that $z = -R + iy$ along γ_l):

$$\int_{\gamma_l} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z+ik)^2} dz = \int_{-k}^0 e^{-\frac{1}{2}(-R+iy+ik)^2} i dy \quad (1.32)$$

$$= \int_{-k}^0 e^{-\frac{1}{2}[R^2 + 2Ri(k+y) - (k+y)^2]} i dy \quad (1.33)$$

$$\rightarrow 0, \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.34)$$

Therefore, (1.29) becomes:

$$0 = -I + \sqrt{2\pi} + 0 + 0, \quad (1.35)$$

so $I = \sqrt{2\pi}$. Substituting this into (1.29), we finally get:


$$\tilde{f}(k) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}k^2}. \quad (1.36)$$

Therefore, the Fourier transform of a Gaussian is also a Gaussian.

Definition (Fourier transform in higher dimension). We can easily extend the definitions in (1.8-1.9) to higher dimension:

$$\tilde{f}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int d^d r f(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \quad (1.37)$$

$$f(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int d^d k \tilde{f}(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}}. \quad (1.38)$$

Figure 1.4: Rectangular contour for the integral $\oint_{\gamma} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z+ik)^2} dz$.

1.3 Dirac delta function

Definition (Dirac delta function). The Dirac delta function $\delta(x)$ is defined such that:

$$\delta(x) = \begin{cases} \infty & , \text{ if } x = 0 \\ 0 & , \text{ if } x \neq 0 \end{cases}. \quad (1.39)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(x) dx = 1 \quad (1.40)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(x-a) f(x) dx = f(a), \quad (1.41)$$

for any function $f(x)$, continuous at $x = a$. The last property of the Delta function above is also called the ‘sieving’ property. In fact, it is sufficient to have:

$$\int_{a-\epsilon}^{a+\epsilon} \delta(x-a) f(x) dx = f(a), \quad (1.42)$$

for any finite $\epsilon > 0$.

The Dirac delta function can also be thought of as the limit of a Gaussian function (normalized to 1) becoming narrower and narrower (see Fig. 1.5):

$$\delta(x) = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}. \quad (1.43)$$

Another popular limiting definition of the delta function is:

$$\delta(x) = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a}{\pi} \frac{1}{1 + a^2 x^2}. \quad (1.44)$$

1.3.1 Basic properties of delta function

Some basic properties of the delta function:

$$\delta(-x) = \delta(x) \quad (1.45)$$

$$\delta(ax) = \frac{1}{|a|} \delta(x). \quad (1.46)$$




Figure 1.5: Dirac delta function as the limit $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$.

To prove (1.45), we can just look at equation (1.43). To prove (1.46), we first consider the case of $a > 0$, and we can write:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(ax) f(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(y) f\left(\frac{y}{a}\right) \frac{dy}{a} \quad (1.47)$$

$$= \frac{1}{a} f(0). \quad (1.48)$$

Next we consider the case of $a < 0$, and then following the same argument as above, we get:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(ax) f(x) dx = \int_{+\infty}^{-\infty} \delta(y) f\left(\frac{y}{a}\right) \frac{dy}{a} \quad (1.49)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(x) f\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) \frac{dx}{-a} \quad (1.50)$$

$$= \frac{1}{-a} f(0). \quad (1.51)$$

Therefore, $\delta(ax) = \delta(x)/|a|$.

1.3.2 Derivatives of delta function

The delta function is differentiable and we call its derivative $\delta'(x)$. Some important property relating to $\delta'(x)$:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta'(x-a) f(x) dx = -f'(a). \quad (1.52)$$

Proof. We use integration by parts:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta'(x-a)f(x) dx = [\delta(x-a)f(x)]_{-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(x-a)f'(x) dx \quad (1.53)$$

$$= -f'(a). \quad (1.54)$$

We can also generalize equation (1.52) to the n^{th} -derivative of the delta function, $\delta^{(n)}(x)$:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta^{(n)}(x-a)f(x) dx = (-1)^n f^{(n)}(a). \quad (1.55)$$

1.3.3 Integrals of delta function

Definition (Heaviside step function). The Heaviside step function is defined to be:

$$\Theta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x \geq 0 \\ 0 & , \text{ if } x < 0 \end{cases}. \quad (1.56)$$

The derivative of the Heaviside function is the delta function:

$$\Theta'(x) = \delta(x). \quad (1.57)$$

Proof. Consider:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Theta'(x-a)f(x) dx = [\Theta(x-a)f(x)]_{-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Theta(x-a)f'(x) dx \quad (1.58)$$

$$= f(+\infty) - \int_a^{+\infty} 1 \times f'(x) dx - \int_{-\infty}^a 0 \times f'(x) dx \quad (1.59)$$

$$= f(+\infty) - [f(x)]_a^{+\infty} \quad (1.60)$$

$$= f(+\infty) - f(+\infty) + f(a) \quad (1.61)$$

$$= f(a). \quad (1.62)$$

Therefore, $\Theta'(x) = \delta(x)$.

1.3.4 Delta of some function $\delta(g(x))$

Let's consider the delta function of some polynomial, for example, $\delta(x^2 - a^2)$. It can be shown that this delta function can be broken up into simpler forms as follows:

$$\delta(x^2 - a^2) = \frac{1}{2|a|} [\delta(x+a) + \delta(x-a)]. \quad (1.63)$$

Proof. First consider the case of $a > 0$. Let's consider $\Theta(x^2 - a^2)$:

$$\Theta(x^2 - a^2) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x > a \\ 0 & , \text{ if } -a < x < a \\ 1 & , \text{ if } x < -a \end{cases}. \quad (1.64)$$

This can also be written as:

$$\Theta(x^2 - a^2) = 1 - \Theta(x + a) + \Theta(x - a). \quad (1.65)$$

Now we differentiate:

$$\delta(x^2 - a^2)2x = -\delta(x + a) + \delta(x - a). \quad (1.66)$$

$$\delta(x^2 - a^2) = -\frac{\delta(x + a)}{2x} + \frac{\delta(x - a)}{2x} \quad (1.67)$$

Now let's consider:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(x^2 - a^2) f(x) dx = -\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(x + a) \frac{f(x)}{2x} dx + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(x - a) \frac{f(x)}{2x} dx \quad (1.68)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2a} [f(-a) + f(a)]. \quad (1.69)$$

Therefore,

$$\delta(x^2 - a^2) = \frac{1}{2a} [\delta(x - a) + \delta(x + a)]. \quad (1.70)$$

Next we need to consider the case for $a < 0$ to finally prove (1.63).

We can also generalize the result in (1.63). Suppose that $g(x)$ is some polynomial with roots x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N . Then,

$$\delta(g(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\delta(x - x_i)}{|g'(x_i)|}. \quad (1.71)$$

Proof. Can you try to prove this? (Challenging.)

1.4 Fourier transform from Fourier series

In this Section, we will derive the Fourier transform from the Fourier series (heurestically). Let us consider some function $f(x)$, where x is real and defined on some domain $x \in [-\frac{L}{2}, \frac{L}{2}]$. Here, f can be real or complex. Then $f(x)$ can be expanded in a complex Fourier series as:

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_n e^{i \frac{2\pi n}{L} x}, \quad (1.72)$$

where:

$$c_n = \frac{1}{L} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} f(x) e^{-i \frac{2\pi n}{L} x} dx. \quad (1.73)$$

Note that if we expand $e^{i\frac{2\pi n}{L}x} = \cos\left(\frac{2\pi n}{L}x\right) + i\sin\left(\frac{2\pi n}{L}x\right)$ in (1.72), and assuming f to be real, we get the usual Fourier series:

$$f(x) = a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[a_n \cos\left(\frac{2\pi n}{L}x\right) + b_n \sin\left(\frac{2\pi n}{L}x\right) \right]. \quad (1.74)$$

The Fourier transform can be thought as the limit of $L \rightarrow \infty$ in equation (1.72). Defining $k = \frac{2\pi n}{L}$, equation (1.72) can be written as:

$$f(x) = \sum_n \Delta n c_n e^{ikx}. \quad (1.75)$$

Note that $\Delta n = 1$. Now from $k = \frac{2\pi n}{L}$, we have $\Delta k = \frac{2\pi}{L} \Delta n$. Substituting this to the above equation, we get:

$$f(x) = \frac{L}{2\pi} \sum_k \Delta k c_n e^{ikx}. \quad (1.76)$$

Now we substitute (1.73) into the above equation to get:

$$f(x) = \frac{L}{2\pi} \sum_k \Delta k \left(\frac{1}{L} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} f(x') e^{-ikx'} dx' \right) e^{ikx} \quad (1.77)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_k \Delta k \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} f(x') e^{-ikx'} dx' \right) e^{ikx}. \quad (1.78)$$

Finally, taking the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$, the summation over k becomes a Riemann integral so we get:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x') e^{-ikx'} dx' \right)}_{\tilde{f}(k)} e^{ikx}, \quad (1.79)$$

where we have identified the term inside the bracket to be the Fourier transform $\tilde{f}(k)$. Now let us rearrange equation (1.79) slightly to get:

$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx' \left[f(x') \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk e^{ik(x-x')} \right)}_{\delta(x-x')} \right]. \quad (1.80)$$

Now the right hand side of the above equation has to be equal to $f(x)$ and therefore the term inside the parentheses (...) must be a delta function! So we derive the following important formula:

Orthogonality and completeness relation.

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk e^{ik(x-x')} = \delta(x-x') \quad (1.81)$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx e^{ix(k-k')} = \delta(k-k'). \quad (1.82)$$

1.5 Properties of Fourier transform

Now we will go through some basic properties of Fourier transform.

1.5.1 Linearity

For any functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ and numbers a and b , we have:

$$\mathcal{F}[af(x) + bg(x)] = a\mathcal{F}[f(x)] + b\mathcal{F}[g(x)] \quad (1.83)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\mathcal{F}[f(x)]] = \mathcal{F}[\mathcal{F}^{-1}[f(x)]] = f(x). \quad (1.84)$$

1.5.2 Translation

Suppose we shift $f(x)$ by x_0 . The Fourier transform of $f(x - x_0)$ is:

$$\mathcal{F}[f(x - x_0)] = e^{-ikx_0} \tilde{f}(k). \quad (1.85)$$

Proof. Using definition of Fourier transform:

$$\mathcal{F}[f(x - x_0)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x - x_0) e^{-ikx} dx \quad (1.86)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(y) e^{-ik(y+x_0)} dy \quad (1.87)$$

$$= e^{-ikx_0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(y) e^{-iky} dy \quad (1.88)$$

$$= e^{-ikx_0} \tilde{f}(k). \quad (1.89)$$

Similarly,

$$\mathcal{F}[e^{ik'x} f(x)](k) = \mathcal{F}[f(x)](k - k'). \quad (1.90)$$

Proof. Exercise for the readers.

1.5.3 Scaling

Let's say we scale x by λ . The Fourier transform of $f(\lambda x)$ is:

$$\mathcal{F}[f(\lambda x)](k) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{f}\left(\frac{k}{\lambda}\right). \quad (1.91)$$

Proof. Using definition of Fourier transform:

$$\mathcal{F}[f(\lambda x)](k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\lambda x) e^{-ikx} dx \quad (1.92)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(y) e^{-i\frac{k}{\lambda}y} \frac{dy}{\lambda} \quad (1.93)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(y) e^{-i\frac{k}{\lambda}y} dy \quad (1.94)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{f}\left(\frac{k}{\lambda}\right). \quad (1.95)$$

Example. Find the Fourier transform of $e^{-(x-x_0)^2}$. We know that:

$$\mathcal{F}[e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}] = e^{-\frac{1}{2}k^2}. \quad (1.96)$$

Now,

$$\mathcal{F}[e^{-x^2}] = \mathcal{F}[e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{2}x)^2}] \quad (1.97)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2} \quad (1.98)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{4}k^2}, \quad (1.99)$$

where we have used the scaling property with $\lambda = \sqrt{2}$. Finally,

$$\mathcal{F}[e^{-(x-x_0)^2}] = e^{-ikx_0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{4}k^2} \quad (1.100)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{4}k^2 - ikx_0}. \quad (1.101)$$

1.5.4 Fourier transform of a real function

Suppose that $f(x)$ is real. The Fourier transform of $f(x)$ is:

$$\tilde{f}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) e^{-ikx} dx \quad (1.102)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \cos(kx) dx - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \sin(kx) dx \quad (1.103)$$

Here $\tilde{f}(k)$ is not necessarily real. Consider however the complex conjugate of $\tilde{f}(k)$:

$$\tilde{f}(k)^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \cos(kx) dx + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \sin(kx) dx \quad (1.104)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \cos(-kx) dx - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \sin(-kx) dx \quad (1.105)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) e^{-i(-k)x} dx. \quad (1.106)$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{f}(k)^* = \tilde{f}(-k). \quad (1.107)$$

Note that $\cos(x)$ is even and $\sin(x)$ is odd function.

Suppose that $f(x)$ is real and symmetric, then its Fourier transform is real:

$$\tilde{f}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \cos(kx) dx. \quad (1.108)$$

Conversely, if $f(x)$ is real and antisymmetric, then its Fourier transform is imaginary:

$$\tilde{f}(k) = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \sin(kx) dx. \quad (1.109)$$

1.5.5 Fourier transform of delta function

The Fourier transform of delta function is a constant:

$$\mathcal{F}[\delta(x)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(x) e^{-ikx} dx \quad (1.110)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \quad (1.111)$$

Alternatively, from the orthogonal relation (1.81), we have (putting $x' = 0$):

$$\delta(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ik(x-0)} dk \quad (1.112)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}}_{\tilde{\delta}(k)} e^{ikx} dk. \quad (1.113)$$

1.5.6 Derivatives

The Fourier transform of the derivative $\frac{df}{dx}$ is:

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{df}{dx}\right] = ik\tilde{f}(k). \quad (1.114)$$

Proof. Using the definition of Fourier transform (1.8):

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{df}{dx}\right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{df}{dx} e^{-ikx} dx \quad (1.115)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[f(x)e^{-ikx} \right]_{-\infty}^{+\infty} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \frac{d}{dx} [e^{-ikx}] dx \quad (1.116)$$

$$= \frac{ik}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) e^{ikx} dx \quad (1.117)$$

$$= ik\mathcal{F}[f]. \quad (1.118)$$

Note that $f(\pm\infty) = 0$. Similarly, we can generalize the above to n^{th} derivative:

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{d^n f}{dx^n}\right] = (ik)^n \tilde{f}(k). \quad (1.119)$$

1.5.7 Fourier transform of $x^n f(x)$

Let's consider the Fourier transform of $xf(x)$:

$$\mathcal{F}[xf(x)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) x e^{-ikx} dx \quad (1.120)$$

$$= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \frac{d}{dk} [e^{-ikx}] dx \quad (1.121)$$

$$= i \frac{d}{dk} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) e^{-ikx} dx \quad (1.122)$$

$$= i \frac{d\tilde{f}}{dk}. \quad (1.123)$$

More generally,

$$\mathcal{F}[x^n f(x)] = (i)^n \frac{d^n \tilde{f}}{dk^n}. \quad (1.124)$$

1.5.8 Convolution

Definition (Convolution). The convolution between two functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ is defined to be:

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy g(x-y) f(y). \quad (1.125)$$

You can show that for any function $f(x)$, $g(x)$, and $h(x)$ and any scalars a and b :

$$f * g = g * f \quad (1.126)$$

$$f * (ag + bh) = a(f * g) + b(f * h). \quad (1.127)$$

Now let's insert the definition of inverse Fourier transforms:

$$g(x - y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \tilde{g}(k) e^{ik(x-y)} \quad (1.128)$$

into equation (1.125) to get:

$$(f * g)(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk f(y) \tilde{g}(k) e^{ik(x-y)} \quad (1.129)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy f(y) e^{-iky} \right)}_{\tilde{f}(k)} \tilde{g}(k) e^{ikx} \quad (1.130)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \underbrace{\sqrt{2\pi} \tilde{f}(k) \tilde{g}(k)}_{\widetilde{(f * g)}(k)} e^{ikx}. \quad (1.131)$$

Therefore,

$$\widetilde{(f * g)}(k) = \sqrt{2\pi} \tilde{f}(k) \tilde{g}(k). \quad (1.132)$$

1.5.9 Parseval's theorem

Theorem (Parseval's theorem). For any function $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, we have:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x)^* g(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{f}(k)^* \tilde{g}(k) dk. \quad (1.133)$$

Proof. We substitute the inverse Fourier transform for $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ into the left hand side of the above equation to get:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx f(x)^* g(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \tilde{f}(k)^* e^{-ikx} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk' \tilde{g}(k') e^{ik'x} \right) \quad (1.134)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx}_{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk' \tilde{f}(k)^* \tilde{g}(k') \underbrace{e^{-i(k-k')x}}_{2\pi \delta(k-k')}. \quad (1.135)$$

Next we perform integral over x . The exponential becomes a delta function (using orthogonality relation (1.82)). Therefore,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx f(x)^* g(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk' \tilde{f}(k)^* \tilde{g}(k') \delta(k - k') \quad (1.136)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \tilde{f}(k)^* \tilde{g}(k). \quad (1.137)$$

Example. The Lorentzian function is defined to be:

$$f(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-|x|}. \quad (1.138)$$

The Fourier transform of Lorentzian function is:

$$\tilde{f}(k) = \frac{1}{k^2 + 1}. \quad (1.139)$$

Proof. Using the definition of Fourier transform,

$$\tilde{f}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-|x|} e^{-ikx} dx. \quad (1.140)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-x} e^{-ikx} dx + \int_{-\infty}^0 e^x e^{-ikx} dx \right) \quad (1.141)$$

$$\vdots \quad (1.142)$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + k^2}. \quad (1.143)$$

Example. Calculate the integral:

$$I = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dk}{(a^2 + k^2)^2}. \quad (1.144)$$

Rearranging, we get:

$$I = \frac{1}{a^4} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dk}{\left(1 + \frac{k^2}{a^2}\right)^2}. \quad (1.145)$$

Now let's define: $k' = k/a$ to get:

$$I = \frac{1}{a^3} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dk'}{(1 + k'^2)^2} \quad (1.146)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2a^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \underbrace{\frac{1}{(1 + k^2)}}_{\tilde{f}(k)^*} \underbrace{\frac{1}{(1 + k^2)}}_{\tilde{f}(k)} \quad (1.147)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2a^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx f(x)^* f(x), \quad (1.148)$$

using Parseval's theorem. From previous Section, we know that if $\tilde{f}(k) = \frac{1}{1+k^2}$, then $f(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-|x|}$. Therefore,

$$I = \frac{\pi}{4a^3} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx e^{-2|x|} \quad (1.149)$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{2a^3} \int_0^{\infty} dx e^{-2x} \quad (1.150)$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{4a^3}. \quad (1.151)$$

1.6 Applications of Fourier transform

1.6.1 Wave equation

Consider an infinite one-dimensional string along x -axis. Let's denote the transverse displacement to be $y(x, t)$. $y(x, t)$ satisfies the wave equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial t^2} = v^2 \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2}, \quad (1.152)$$

where $v > 0$ is the speed of wave. We will try to solve this partial differential equation using Fourier transform (for the x -variable):

$$y(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \tilde{y}(k, t) e^{ikx} \quad (1.153)$$

$$\tilde{y}(k, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx y(x, t) e^{-ikx}. \quad (1.154)$$

Substituting (1.153) into (1.152), we get:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \tilde{y}(k, t) e^{ikx} = v^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \tilde{y}(k, t) e^{ikx} \quad (1.155)$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{y}}{\partial t^2} e^{ikx} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk [-k^2 v^2 \tilde{y}(k, t)] e^{ikx} \quad (1.156)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{y}}{\partial t^2} = -v^2 k^2 \tilde{y}(k, t). \quad (1.157)$$

We can solve this to get:

$$\tilde{y}(k, t) = A(k) e^{ikvt} + B(k) e^{-ikvt}, \quad (1.158)$$

for some complex numbers $A(k)$'s and $B(k)$'s which are determined from the initial conditions. Now if we plug (1.158) back to (1.153), we get:

$$y(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \left[A(k) e^{ik(x-vt)} + B(k) e^{ik(x+vt)} \right]. \quad (1.159)$$

Notice that $e^{ik(x-vt)}$ is a plane wave moving to the right and $e^{ik(x+vt)}$ is a plane wave moving to the left.

1.6.2 Differential equation

Example. Let's consider:

$$\frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} - f = \delta(x). \quad (1.160)$$

The solution to this differential equation can be written as:

$$f(x) = f_h(x) + f_p(x), \quad (1.161)$$

where f_h is the homogenous solution and f_p is the particular solution.

The homogenous solution f_h satisfies:

$$\frac{d^2 f_h}{dx^2} - f_h = 0. \quad (1.162)$$

Solving this, we get:

$$f_h(x) = Ae^x + Be^{-x}, \quad (1.163)$$

where A and B are constants of integration (fixed by the boundary conditions).

Now the particular solution f_p satisfies:

$$\frac{d^2 f_p}{dx^2} - f_p = \delta(x). \quad (1.164)$$

First notice that the Fourier transform of $\delta(x)$ is $1/\sqrt{2\pi}$ (see Sec 1.5.5). Therefore we can write the above equation as:

$$\frac{d^2 f_p}{dx^2} - f_p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikx} dk$$

Now we write f_p in terms of its Fourier transform:

$$f_p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{f}_p(k) e^{ikx} dk. \quad (1.165)$$

Substituting (1.165) into (1.160), we get:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{f}_p(k) (-k^2 - 1) e^{ikx} dk = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikx} dk \quad (1.166)$$

$$\tilde{f}_p(k) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{k^2 + 1}. \quad (1.167)$$

Therefore,

$$f_p(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{ikx}}{k^2 + 1} dk}_I. \quad (1.168)$$

What remains is to calculate the integral:

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{ikx}}{k^2 + 1} dk. \quad (1.169)$$

(Actually we know that the answer is $\sim e^{-|x|}$ from the previous Section.) However for this exercise, let us compute the above integral using complex analysis. We go to the complex plane and consider:

$$I_c = \oint_{\gamma} \frac{e^{izx}}{z^2 + 1} dz. \quad (1.170)$$

Let's first consider the case of $x > 0$. Then we consider a semi-circular closed contour on the upper half of the complex plane as shown in Fig. 1.6 left. The integral can be written as:

$$\oint_{\gamma} \frac{e^{izz}}{z^2 + 1} dz = \int_{\gamma_R^+} \frac{e^{izz}}{z^2 + 1} dz + \int_{\gamma_0} \frac{e^{izz}}{z^2 + 1} dz. \quad (1.171)$$

The first term on the right hand side is zero by Jordan's lemma and the second term on the right hand side is I (in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$).

Now we will evaluate the left hand side in equation (1.171). The function:

$$\frac{e^{izz}}{z^2 + 1} = \frac{e^{izz}}{(z - i)(z + i)}$$

has singularity at $z = i$ and $z = -i$. Both singularities are of order 1. The contour γ encloses the singularity at $z = i$. The residue at $z = i$ is:

$$\text{res}_{z=i} \frac{e^{izz}}{(z - i)(z + i)} = \lim_{z \rightarrow i} \frac{e^{izz}}{(z - i)(z + i)} \times (z - i) \quad (1.172)$$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow i} \frac{e^{izz}}{(z + i)} \quad (1.173)$$

$$= \frac{e^{-x}}{2i}. \quad (1.174)$$

Therefore, the left hand side in equation (1.171) is:

$$\oint_{\gamma} \frac{e^{izz}}{z^2 + 1} dz = 2\pi i \text{res}_{z=i} \frac{e^{izz}}{z^2 + 1} \quad (1.175)$$

$$= \pi e^{-x}. \quad (1.176)$$

Therefore, (1.171) becomes:

$$I = \pi e^{-x}. \quad (1.177)$$

Now we consider the case of $x < 0$. In this case, we have to consider the semi-circular closed contour in the lower half of the complex plane (see Fig. 1.6 right). Finally, the result is:


$$I = \pi e^{-|x|}. \quad (1.178)$$

Therefore, the particular solution to the differential equation (1.160) is given by:

$$f_p(x) = -\frac{1}{2} e^{-|x|}. \quad (1.179)$$

Finally the full solution to the differential equation is:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f_h(x) + f_p(x) \\ &= Ae^x + Be^{-x} - \frac{1}{2} e^{-|x|} \end{aligned}$$

Figure 1.6: The contour considered to calculate $\oint_{\gamma} \frac{e^{izx}}{z^2+1} dz$.

Example. Consider the following differential equation:

$$\frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} - f = e^{-x^2}. \quad (1.180)$$

The solution can be written as a sum of the homogenous and particular solution: $f(x) = f_h(x) + f_p(x)$. To find the particular solution, we write $f_p(x)$ and e^{-x} in terms of its Fourier decomposition:

$$f_p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \tilde{f}_p(k) e^{ikx} \quad (1.181)$$

$$e^{-x^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{4}k^2} e^{ikx}. \quad (1.182)$$

Substituting (1.181-1.182) into (1.181), we get:

$$\tilde{f}_p(k) = \sqrt{2\pi} \underbrace{\frac{-1}{k^2+1}}_{\tilde{f}_1(k)} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{4}k^2}}_{\tilde{f}_2(k)}. \quad (1.183)$$

Using convolution theorem, we can see that $f_p(x)$ is the convolution of $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ where $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ are the inverse Fourier transform of $\tilde{f}_1(k)$ and $\tilde{f}_2(k)$. Thus:

$$f_p(x) = (f_1 * f_2)(x) \quad (1.184)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy f_2(x-y) f_1(y) \quad (1.185)$$

$$= - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x-y)^2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-|y|} \quad (1.186)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy e^{-(x-y)^2} e^{-|y|}. \quad (1.187)$$

So the full solution is:

$$f(x) = Ae^x + Be^{-x} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy e^{-(x-y)^2} e^{-|y|}. \quad (1.188)$$

1.6.3 Integral equation

Fourier transform can also be used to solve integral equation, which involves convolution.

Example. Find $h(x)$, which satisfies the following integral equation:

$$h(x) = e^{i3x} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(x-y)e^{-|y|} dy. \quad (1.189)$$

First, we notice that the second term on the left hand side is just a convolution:

$$h(x) = e^{i3x} + (h(x) * e^{-|x|})(x). \quad (1.190)$$

Taking Fourier transform, we get:

$$\tilde{h}(k) = \mathcal{F}[e^{i3x}](k) + \sqrt{2\pi}\tilde{h}(k)\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\frac{1}{1+k^2} \quad (1.191)$$

$$= \mathcal{F}[e^{i3x}](k) + \frac{2}{1+k^2}\tilde{h}(k) \quad (1.192)$$

Now we need to find the Fourier transform of e^{i3x} :

$$\mathcal{F}[e^{i3x}](k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk e^{i3x} e^{-ikx} \quad (1.193)$$

$$= \sqrt{2\pi}\delta(k-3). \quad (1.194)$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{h}(k) = \sqrt{2\pi}\frac{k^2+1}{k^2-1}\delta(k-3). \quad (1.195)$$

Now we invert this to find $h(x)$:

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \sqrt{2\pi}\frac{k^2+1}{k^2-1}\delta(k-3)e^{ikx} \quad (1.196)$$

$$= \frac{3^2+1}{3^2-1}e^{i3x} \quad (1.197)$$

$$= \frac{5}{4}e^{i3x}. \quad (1.198)$$

1.7 Discrete Fourier transform

We consider two cases:

1.7.1 x is continuous and confined to a finite interval $x \in [0, L]$

Consider some function $f(x)$, where x is defined on the interval $x \in [0, L]$ (this includes periodic functions with period L). Then $f(x)$ can be expanded in terms

of Fourier series as follow:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_k \tilde{f}(k) e^{ikx} \quad (1.199)$$

$$\tilde{f}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \int_0^L dx f(x) e^{-ikx}. \quad (1.200)$$

Compared to the full Fourier transform above, here the wavector k is discretized into:

$$k = \tilde{n} \frac{2\pi}{L}, \text{ where} \quad (1.201)$$

$$\tilde{n} = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \quad (1.202)$$

1.7.2 x (and hence f) is discrete and confined to a finite interval $x \in [0, L]$

Now let's suppose that the variable x is discretized into:

$$x = n\Delta x, \text{ where} \quad (1.203)$$

$$n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1, \quad (1.204)$$

where $N = \frac{L}{\Delta x}$. Consequently, $f(x)$ is also discretized into f_n (see Fig. 1.7). Now the discrete Fourier transform of f_n is:

$$f_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N\Delta x}} \sum_{\tilde{n}=0}^{N-1} \tilde{f}_{\tilde{n}} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N}\tilde{n}n} \quad (1.205)$$

$$\tilde{f}_{\tilde{n}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N\Delta x}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f_n e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{N}\tilde{n}n}. \quad (1.206)$$

We can write the discrete Fourier transform above in the matrix form as follow:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{f}_0 \\ \tilde{f}_1 \\ \tilde{f}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{f}_{N-1} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N\Delta x}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{N}} & e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{N}2} & & \\ 1 & e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{N}2} & e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{N}4} & & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ 1 & & & & e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{N}(N-1)^2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_{N-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.207)$$

Example. Consider some signal $h(x) = \cos(x)$ for $x \in [0, 2\pi]$. Suppose that we only know the measurements of $h(x)$ at 4 different intervals:

$$\begin{array}{lll} x_0 = 0 & \leftrightarrow & h_0 = 1 \\ x_1 = \frac{\pi}{2} & \leftrightarrow & h_1 = 0 \\ x_2 = \pi & \leftrightarrow & h_2 = -1 \\ x_3 = \frac{3\pi}{2} & \leftrightarrow & h_3 = 0 \end{array} \quad (1.208)$$




Figure 1.7: Some function $f(x)$, where x is confined to a finite interval $x \in [0, L]$. Furthermore, x (and hence f) is also discretized into $0, \Delta x, 2\Delta x, \dots, (N-1)\Delta x$.

Now the Fourier transform of h_n is (note that $N = 4$ and $\Delta x = \frac{\pi}{2}$):

$$\tilde{h}_{\tilde{n}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=0}^3 f_n e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\tilde{n}n}. \quad (1.209)$$

In matrix form, this is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{h}_0 \\ \tilde{h}_1 \\ \tilde{h}_2 \\ \tilde{h}_3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}} & e^{-i\pi} & e^{-i\frac{3\pi}{2}} \\ 1 & e^{-i\pi} & e^{-i2\pi} & e^{-i3\pi} \\ 1 & e^{-i\frac{3\pi}{2}} & e^{-i3\pi} & e^{-i\frac{9\pi}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.210)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -i & -1 & i \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & i & -1 & -i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.211)$$

Note that the computation of $\{\tilde{h}_{\tilde{n}}\}$ above scales with the matrix size $\sim N^2$. However, notice that there is also a lot of symmetries inside the matrix. In fact, there exists a fast algorithm called Fast Fourier Transform to compute this matrix multiplication, which scales as $\sim N \log N$ (see Wikipedia).

1.8 Fourier transform in quantum mechanics

1.8.1 Hilbert space

Definition (Hilbert space). The Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a space of all functions $f(x) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, such that:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x)|^2 dx < \infty \quad (\text{square-integrable}).$$

We also define an inner product between two functions $f(x) \in \mathcal{H}$ and $g(x) \in \mathcal{H}$ to be:

$$\langle f|g \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x)^* g(x) dx. \quad (1.212)$$

The norm of some function $f(x) \in \mathcal{H}$ is defined to be:

$$\|f(x)\| = \sqrt{\langle f|f \rangle} \geq 0. \quad (1.213)$$

Two functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are said to be orthogonal to each other if:

$$\langle f|g \rangle = 0. \quad (1.214)$$

Definition (Linear operator). A linear operator \hat{A} operates on some function $f(x) \in \mathcal{H}$ to give another function, which also belongs to the Hilbert space:

$$\hat{A}f(x) \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (1.215)$$

Definition (Eigenvalue and eigenfunction). Let \hat{A} be a linear operator. $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ is called the eigenvalue and $\phi_n(x) \in \mathcal{H}$ is called the eigenfunction if they both satisfy:

$$\hat{A}\phi_n(x) = \lambda_n\phi_n(x). \quad (1.216)$$

Here $n = 1, 2, \dots$ is just a label to distinguish the different eigenvalues.

Definition (Orthonormal basis). We say that a set of eigenfunctions $\{\phi_1(x), \phi_2(x), \phi_3(x), \dots\}$ forms an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} if for any function $f(x) \in \mathcal{H}$, $f(x)$ can be written as a linear combination of $\{\phi_1(x), \phi_2(x), \phi_3(x), \dots\}$:

$$f(x) = \sum_n c_n \phi_n(x), \quad (1.217)$$

where $c_n \in \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore the eigenfunctions are orthogonal to each other and have unit norm, *i.e.*

$$\langle \phi_m(x) | \phi_n(x) \rangle = \delta_{mn}. \quad (1.218)$$

Note that if n is continuous instead of discrete (*e.g.* $n \in \mathbb{R}$), the sum inside (1.217) becomes an integral:

$$f(x) = \int dn c(n) \phi(n, x), \quad (1.219)$$

and the orthonormal relation (1.218) becomes a delta function:

$$\langle \phi(m, x) | \phi(n, x) \rangle = \delta(m - n). \quad (1.220)$$

Example. The set $\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikx} | k \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ forms an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} . Therefore, any function $f(x) \in \mathcal{H}$ can be written as a ‘sum’ of $\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikx} | k \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$:

$$f(x) = \int dk \tilde{f}(k) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikx}. \quad (1.221)$$

The coefficient $\tilde{f}(k)$ is the Fourier transform of $f(x)$.

1.8.2 Wavefunction in position and momentum representation

The wavefunction $\psi(x)$ belongs to the Hilbert space: $\psi(x) \in \mathcal{H}$. Usually, the wavefunction is normalized to 1:

$$\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1. \quad (1.222)$$

In quantum mechanics, physical observables A ’s are represented as linear operators \hat{A} ’s with eigenvalues and eigenfunctions:

$$\hat{A}\phi_n(x) = a_n\phi_n(x). \quad (1.223)$$

The eigenvalues $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ give all the possible values of measurement of A . The probability of measuring a_n is given by the inner product squared:

$$\text{Prob}(A = a_n) = |\langle \phi_n | \psi \rangle|^2. \quad (1.224)$$

After measurement of A , say we get $A = a_m$, the wavefunction collapses into the eigenfunction $\phi_m(x)$:

$$\psi(x) \xrightarrow{\text{after measuring } a_m} \phi_m(x)$$

For example, the position and momentum operators are:

$$\hat{x} = x \quad (1.225)$$

$$\hat{p} = -i\hbar \frac{d}{dx}. \quad (1.226)$$

Now the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the position operator is:

$$\hat{x} \underbrace{\delta(x - x')}_{\text{eigenfunction}} = \underbrace{x'}_{\text{eigenvalue}} \underbrace{\delta(x - x')}_{\text{eigenfunction}}. \quad (1.227)$$

The eigenvalues of \hat{x} is simply the set of real numbers $\{x'\} = \mathbb{R}$. The eigenfunctions are orthogonal to each other. For example, consider:

$$\langle \delta(x - x') | \delta(x - x'') \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(x - x') \delta(x - x'') dx \quad (1.228)$$

$$= \delta(x' - x'') \quad (1.229)$$

Now what is the probability of measuring the particle at location x' ?

$$\text{Prob}(x = x') = | \langle \delta(x - x') | \psi \rangle |^2 \quad (1.230)$$

$$= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(x - x') \psi(x) dx \right|^2 \quad (1.231)$$

$$= |\psi(x')|^2, \quad (1.232)$$

which is unsurprising. If $\psi(x) = \delta(x - x')$, then the probability of finding the particle at $x = x'$ is definitely 1.

Now the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the momentum operator is:

$$\underbrace{\hat{p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} e^{i\frac{p}{\hbar}x}}_{\text{eigenfunction}} = \underbrace{p}_{\text{eigenvalue}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} e^{i\frac{p}{\hbar}x}}_{\text{eigenfunction}}. \quad (1.233)$$

We can easily check by substituting $\hat{p} = -i\hbar \frac{d}{dx}$ to the left hand side in the above equation. Furthermore, the eigenfunctions are also orthogonal to each other since:

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} e^{i\frac{p}{\hbar}x} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} e^{i\frac{p'}{\hbar}x} \right. \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\left(\frac{p}{\hbar} - \frac{p'}{\hbar}\right)x} dx \quad (1.234)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\hbar} \delta\left(\frac{p - p'}{\hbar}\right) \quad (1.235)$$

$$= \delta(p - p'). \quad (1.236)$$

Furthermore, the set of all eigenfunctions $\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} e^{i\frac{p}{\hbar}x} \right\}$ spans the whole Hilbert space. Therefore, for any wavefunction $\psi(x) \in \mathcal{H}$, $\psi(x)$ can be written as a linear combination of $\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} e^{i\frac{p}{\hbar}x} \right\}$:

$$\psi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp \tilde{\psi}(p) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} e^{i\frac{p}{\hbar}x}. \quad (1.237)$$

Very often we use the units where $\hbar = 1$ so the above equation becomes:

$$\psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp \tilde{\psi}(p) e^{ipx}, \quad (1.238)$$

	Position representation	Momentum representation
Wavefunction	$\psi(x) \in \mathcal{H}$	$\tilde{\psi}(p) \in \mathcal{H}^*$
Position operator \hat{x}	x	$i \frac{d}{dp}$
Eigenfunctions of \hat{x}	$\{\delta(x - x_n)\}$	$\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-ipx} \right\}$
Momentum operator \hat{p}	$-i \frac{d}{dx}$	p
Eigenfunctions of \hat{p}	$\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ipx} \right\}$	$\{\delta(p - p_n)\}$

Table 1.1: Summary of wavefunctions and operators in position and momentum representation. (Note that we are using units where $\hbar = 1$.)

which is just the definition of Fourier transform. Now let's calculate the probability of measuring the momentum of the particle = p (in the units where $\hbar = 1$):

$$\text{Prob}(p) = \left| \left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ipx} \left| \psi(x) \right\rangle \right|^2 \quad (1.239)$$

$$= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ipx} \psi(x) \right|^2 \quad (1.240)$$

Substituting (1.238) into the above equation, we get:

$$\text{Prob}(p) = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp' e^{-ipx} \tilde{\psi}(p') e^{ip'x} \right|^2 \quad (1.241)$$

$$= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp' \tilde{\psi}(p') \delta(p - p') \right|^2 \quad (1.242)$$

$$= |\tilde{\psi}(p)|^2. \quad (1.243)$$

Now we can define the dual Hilbert space \mathcal{H}^* , which consists of all functions $\tilde{\psi}(p)$, where $\tilde{\psi}(p)$ is the Fourier transform of $\psi(x)$. We call $\psi(x) \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\tilde{\psi}(p) \in \mathcal{H}^*$ the wavefunction in the position and momentum representation respectively, which are related by Fourier Transform:

$$\psi(x) \xleftrightarrow[\text{transform}]{\text{Fourier}} \tilde{\psi}(p). \quad (1.244)$$

Table. 1.1 gives the summary of these two representations.

Example. Consider a particle inside a 1-dimensional box of size L . The potential is:

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{ if } 0 \leq x \leq L \\ \infty & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}. \quad (1.245)$$

The wavefunction must then satisfies the property:

$$\psi(x) \begin{cases} \neq 0 & , \text{ if } 0 \leq x \leq L \\ = 0 & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases},$$

since the probability of finding the particle outside the box is zero. In the position representation, the momentum and the Hamiltonian operator are given by ($m = \hbar = 1$):

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{p}^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2}. \quad (1.246)$$

Now, we can expand $\psi(x)$ in terms of sines (since $\psi(0) = \psi(L) = 0$):

$$\psi(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right), \quad (1.247)$$

where

$$c_n = \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \int_0^L \psi(x) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right) dx, \quad (1.248)$$

and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. We can check this by substituting (1.247) into (1.248) to get:

$$c_n = \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \int_0^L \left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m \sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{L}x\right) \right] \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right) dx \quad (1.249)$$

$$= \frac{2}{L} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m \underbrace{\int_0^L \sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{L}x\right) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right) dx}_{\frac{L}{2} \delta_{mn}} \quad (1.250)$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m \delta_{mn}. \quad (1.251)$$

so we get LHS = RHS. From the equation above, we recognize:

$$\phi_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right), \quad (1.252)$$

is also the eigenfunction of \hat{H} since:

$$\hat{H}\phi_n(x) = \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{2L^2} \phi_n(x), \quad (1.253)$$

with energy eigenvalues:

$$E_n = \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{2L^2}. \quad (1.254)$$

$\{\phi_n(x)\}$ forms an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} .

Example. Simple harmonic oscillator. Let's work in units of $\hbar = m = \omega = 1$. The Hamiltonian can be written as:

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{p}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\hat{x}^2. \quad (1.255)$$

The eigenvalues and eigenfunctions satisfy (in position representation):

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2}x^2\right)\phi_n(x) = E_n\phi_n(x). \quad (1.256)$$

The solutions to this differential equation are known from quantum mechanics textbook:

$$E_n = n + \frac{1}{2} \quad (1.257)$$

$$\phi_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}}{\pi^{1/4}} H_n(x), \quad (1.258)$$

where $H_n(x)$ is the Hermite polynomial, defined to be:

$$H_n(x) = (-1)^n e^{x^2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{-x^2}. \quad (1.259)$$

The first few Hermite polynomials are:

$$H_0(x) = 1 \quad (1.260)$$

$$H_1(x) = 2x \quad (1.261)$$

$$H_2(x) = 4x^2 - 2 \quad (1.262)$$

⋮

It can also be shown that the eigenfunctions are orthonormal to each other, *i.e.* $\langle\phi_m|\phi_n\rangle = \delta_{mn}$. Now let's find out the eigenfunctions $\{\phi_n(x)\}$ in the momentum representation: $\{\tilde{\phi}_n(p)\}$. $\{\tilde{\phi}_n(p)\}$ are related to $\{\phi_n(x)\}$ *via* Fourier transform:

$$\phi_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{\phi}_n(p) e^{ipx} dp. \quad (1.263)$$

Substituting (1.263) into (1.256), we get:

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2}x^2\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{\phi}_n(p) e^{ipx} dp = E_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{\phi}_n(p) e^{ipx} dp \quad (1.264)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{\phi}_n(p) \left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2}x^2\right) e^{ipx} dp = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} E_n \tilde{\phi}_n(p) e^{ipx} dp \quad (1.265)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{\phi}_n(p) \left(\frac{1}{2}p^2 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dp^2}\right) e^{ipx} dp = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} E_n \tilde{\phi}_n(p) e^{ipx} dp \quad (1.266)$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}p^2 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dp^2}\right) \tilde{\phi}_n(p) = E_n \tilde{\phi}_n(p), \quad (1.267)$$

which is exactly the same differential equation as (1.256). So the eigenfunctions $\{\tilde{\phi}_n(p)\}$ in the momentum representation have the same functional form as in (1.258).

Chapter 2

Laplace transform

2.1 Laplace transform

Fourier transform is very useful to solve many differential equations, however, it has one main restriction: it cannot solve function which does not decay to zero (*e.g.* e^x). In this case, Laplace transform comes to the rescue. However there is also restriction to Laplace transform, we only limit ourselves to functions $f(t)$'s, which are defined on the positive real domain: $t \geq 0$.

Definition (Laplace transform). Consider a function $f(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{R} such that:

$$f(t) \begin{cases} \neq 0 & , \text{ if } t \geq 0 \\ = 0 & , \text{ if } t < 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

(*e.g.* $f(t) = \cos(t)\Theta(t)$). The Laplace transform of $f(t)$ is defined to be:

$$\hat{f}(s) = \int_0^{\infty} f(t)e^{-st} dt, \quad (2.2)$$

where s is real. Laplace transform of $f(t)$ exists if $f(t)$ does not grow faster than exponential.

Notation. Sometimes we also write:

$$\hat{f}(s) = \mathcal{L}[f(t)](s). \quad (2.3)$$

We also have an inverse Laplace transform to get back $f(t)$:

$$f(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}[\hat{f}(s)](t). \quad (2.4)$$

The formula for inverse Laplace transform will be given in later section. From now on, when we write $f(t) = t$ or $g(t) = e^t$, it is understood to be $t\Theta(t)$ and $e^t\Theta(t)$ respectively.

Example. Many functions such as t and e^t (where $t \geq 0$) do not have Fourier transform, however, they have Laplace transform.

Example. The Laplace transform of $f(t) = e^{\lambda t}$ is:

$$\hat{f}(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{(\lambda-s)t} dt \quad (2.5)$$

$$= \frac{1}{s - \lambda}. \quad (2.6)$$

Note in that the integral in (2.5) only converges if $s > \text{Re}(\lambda)$.

Example. The Laplace transform of $f(t) = \sin t$ is:

$$\hat{f}(s) = \int_0^{\infty} \sin(t) e^{-st} dt \quad (2.7)$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{it} - e^{-it}}{2i} e^{-st} dt \quad (2.8)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2i} \int_0^{\infty} [e^{(i-s)t} - e^{(-i-s)t}] dt \quad (2.9)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2i} \left\{ \left[\frac{e^{(i-s)t}}{i-s} \right]_0^{\infty} + \left[\frac{e^{-(i+s)t}}{i+s} \right]_0^{\infty} \right\} \quad (2.10)$$

$$= \frac{1}{s^2 + 1}. \quad (2.11)$$

The integral above is valid if $s > 0$.

Example. Some common Laplace transforms:

$$\mathcal{L}[1] = \frac{1}{s} \quad (2.12)$$

$$\mathcal{L}[t^n] = \frac{n!}{s^{n+1}} \quad (2.13)$$

$$\mathcal{L}[e^{\lambda t}] = \frac{1}{s - \lambda}, \text{ for } \text{Re}(s) > \text{Re}(\lambda) \quad (2.14)$$

$$\mathcal{L}[\sin(\omega t)] = \frac{\omega}{s^2 + \omega^2} \quad (2.15)$$

$$\mathcal{L}[\cos(\omega t)] = \frac{s}{s^2 + \omega^2} \quad (2.16)$$

$$\mathcal{L}[\sinh(\omega t)] = \frac{\omega}{s^2 - \omega^2}, \text{ for } \text{Re}(s) > |\omega| \quad (2.17)$$

$$\mathcal{L}[\cosh(\omega t)] = \frac{s}{s^2 - \omega^2}, \text{ for } \text{Re}(s) > |\omega| \quad (2.18)$$

$$\mathcal{L}[\delta(t - t')] = e^{-st'}, \quad (2.19)$$

where the range of validity of s is $s > 0$, unless stated otherwise. When finding the inverse Laplace transform of something, we can often look at the table above together with the translation and scaling rules below.

2.2 Properties of Laplace transform

These are some properties of Laplace transform:

2.2.1 Linearity

For any complex numbers a and b , and any functions $f(t) : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $g(t) : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we have:

$$\mathcal{L}[af + bg] = a\mathcal{L}[f] + b\mathcal{L}[g] \quad (2.20)$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}[\mathcal{L}[f]] = \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{L}^{-1}[f]] = f(t). \quad (2.21)$$

Example. Find the inverse Laplace transform of the following:

$$\hat{f}(s) = \frac{4}{s^2 + 2s}. \quad (2.22)$$

First we use partial fraction:

$$\hat{f}(s) = \frac{4}{s(s+2)} \quad (2.23)$$

$$= \frac{2}{s} - \frac{2}{s+2}. \quad (2.24)$$

Next we inverse Laplace transform:

$$f(t) = 2\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{s}\right] - 2\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{s+2}\right]. \quad (2.25)$$

Now we can look from table (2.12-2.19) to get:

$$f(t) = 2 - 2e^{-2t}. \quad (2.26)$$

2.2.2 Translation

Consider some function $f(t)\Theta(t)$, where $\Theta(t)$ is the Heaviside step function. Obviously this function is non-zero if $t \geq 0$,

$$f(t)\Theta(t) = \begin{cases} f(t) & , \text{ if } t \geq 0 \\ 0 & , \text{ if } t < 0 \end{cases}. \quad (2.27)$$

Suppose we shift $f(t)\Theta(t)$ by t' . Its Laplace transform is then:

$$\mathcal{L}[f(t-t')\Theta(t-t')](s) = e^{-st'}\hat{f}(s). \quad (2.28)$$

Similarly,

$$\mathcal{L}[e^{s't}f(t)](s) = \hat{f}(s-s'). \quad (2.29)$$

2.2.3 Scaling

Suppose we scale $f(t) : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by λ . Its Laplace transform is:

$$\mathcal{L}[f(\lambda t)](s) = \frac{1}{\lambda}\hat{f}\left(\frac{s}{\lambda}\right). \quad (2.30)$$

Note that the translation and scaling rules are the similar to ones in Fourier transform.

Example. What is the Laplace transform of $\sin(\omega t)$. We know that from (2.15),

$$\mathcal{L}[\sin(t)] = \frac{1}{s^2 + 1}. \quad (2.31)$$

Next, we use the scaling formula:

$$\mathcal{L}[f(\lambda t)] = \frac{1}{\lambda} \hat{f}\left(\frac{t}{\lambda}\right) \quad (2.32)$$

to get:

$$\mathcal{L}[\sin(\omega t)] = \frac{1}{\omega} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{s}{\omega}\right)^2 + 1} \quad (2.33)$$

$$= \frac{\omega}{s^2 + \omega^2}. \quad (2.34)$$

Example. Consider the following function:

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ 2 - t & , \text{ if } 1 \leq t \leq 2 \\ 0 & , \text{ if } t \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (2.35)$$

We can write this function as:

$$f(t) = \Theta(t) + (1 - t)\Theta(t - 1) - (2 - t)\Theta(t - 2). \quad (2.36)$$

Now, the Laplace transform is:

$$\hat{f}(s) = \mathcal{L}[\underbrace{\Theta(t)}_1] + \mathcal{L}[\Theta(t - 1)] - \mathcal{L}[t\Theta(t - 1)] - 2\mathcal{L}[\Theta(t - 2)] + \mathcal{L}[t\Theta(t - 2)]. \quad (2.37)$$

$$= \frac{1}{s} + e^{-s}\mathcal{L}[\Theta(t)] - e^{-s}\mathcal{L}[(t + 1)\Theta(t)] - 2e^{-2s}\mathcal{L}[\Theta(t)] + e^{-2s}\mathcal{L}[(t + 2)\Theta(t)] \quad (2.38)$$

$$= \frac{1}{s} + e^{-s}\mathcal{L}[1] - e^{-s}\mathcal{L}[t + 1] - 2e^{-2s}\mathcal{L}[1] + e^{-2s}\mathcal{L}[t + 2] \quad (2.39)$$

$$= \frac{1}{s} - e^{-s}\mathcal{L}[t] + e^{-2s}\mathcal{L}[t] \quad (2.40)$$

$$= \frac{1}{s} - \frac{e^{-s}}{s^2} + \frac{e^{-2s}}{s^2} \quad (2.41)$$

2.2.4 Laplace transform $t^n f(t)$

The Laplace transform of $t^n f(t)$ is:

$$\mathcal{L}[t^n f(t)] = (-1)^n \frac{d^n \hat{f}}{ds^n}. \quad (2.42)$$

Proof. We prove this by induction. First we prove for $n = 1$:

$$\mathcal{L}[tf(t)] = \int_0^\infty tf(t)e^{-st} dt \quad (2.43)$$

$$= - \int_0^\infty f(t) \frac{d}{ds} (e^{-st}) dt \quad (2.44)$$

$$= - \frac{d}{ds} \int_0^\infty f(t)e^{-st} dt \quad (2.45)$$

$$= -1 \frac{d\hat{f}}{ds}. \quad (2.46)$$

Next assuming, that (2.42) is valid for n , we check for $n + 1$:

$$\mathcal{L}[t^{n+1}f(t)] = \int_0^\infty t^{n+1}f(t)e^{-st} dt \quad (2.47)$$

$$= - \int_0^\infty t^n f(t) \frac{d}{ds} (e^{-st}) dt \quad (2.48)$$

$$= - \frac{d}{ds} \int_0^\infty t^n f(t)e^{-st} dt \quad (2.49)$$

$$= - \frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{L}[t^n f(t)] \quad (2.50)$$

$$= - \frac{d}{ds} (-1)^n \frac{d^n \hat{f}}{ds^n} \quad (2.51)$$

$$= (-1)^{n+1} \frac{d^{n+1} \hat{f}}{ds^{n+1}}. \quad (2.52)$$

Example. Since we know that,

$$\mathcal{L}[t] = \frac{1}{s^2}, \quad (2.53)$$

we can use it to find:

$$\mathcal{L}[t^2] = \mathcal{L}[tt] \quad (2.54)$$

$$= - \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \right) \quad (2.55)$$

$$= \frac{2}{s^3}. \quad (2.56)$$

Example. Find the Laplace transform of $t \sin(t)$ using:

$$\mathcal{L}[\sin(t)] = \frac{1}{s^2 + 1}. \quad (2.57)$$

Now,

$$\mathcal{L}[t \sin(t)] = - \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{1}{s^2 + 1} \right) \quad (2.58)$$

$$= \frac{2s}{(s^2 + 1)^2}. \quad (2.59)$$

2.2.5 Derivatives

The Laplace transform of $f'(t)$ is:

$$\mathcal{L}[f'(t)](s) = s\hat{f}(s) - f(0) \quad (2.60)$$

$$= s\mathcal{L}[f(t)](s) - f(0) \quad (2.61)$$

Proof. Using definition of Laplace transform:

$$\mathcal{L}[f'(t)](s) = \int_0^\infty f'(t)e^{-st} dt \quad (2.62)$$

$$= [f(t)e^{-st}]_0^\infty + s \int_0^\infty f(t)e^{-st} dt \quad (2.63)$$

$$= -f(0) + s\hat{f}(s). \quad (2.64)$$

The Laplace transform of $f''(t)$ is:

$$\mathcal{L}[f''(t)](s) = s\mathcal{L}[f'(t)](s) - f'(0) \quad (2.65)$$

$$= s[s\hat{f}(s) - f(0)] - f'(0) \quad (2.66)$$

$$= s^2\hat{f}(s) - sf(0) - f'(0). \quad (2.67)$$

2.2.6 Integrals

The Laplace transform of an integral is (where $0 < a < t$):

$$\mathcal{L}\left[\int_a^t f(t') dt'\right](s) = \frac{1}{s}\hat{f}(s) - \frac{1}{s} \int_0^a f(t') dt'. \quad (2.68)$$

Proof. Using the definition of of Laplace transform:

$$\mathcal{L}\left[\int_a^t f(t') dt'\right](s) = \int_0^\infty dt \left(\int_a^t dt' f(t')\right) e^{-st} \quad (2.69)$$

$$= \left[\left(\int_a^t dt' f(t')\right) \frac{e^{-st}}{-s}\right]_0^\infty - \int_0^\infty f(t) \frac{e^{-st}}{-s} dt \quad (2.70)$$

$$= \frac{1}{s} \int_a^0 dt' f(t') + s\hat{f}(s) \quad (2.71)$$

$$= s\hat{f}(s) - \frac{1}{s} \int_0^a dt' f(t'). \quad (2.72)$$

2.2.7 Laplace transform of a periodic function

Suppose that the function $f(t)$ is periodic with period T . Then its Laplace transform satisfies the following property:

$$\hat{f}(s) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-sT}} \int_0^T dt f(t)e^{-st}. \quad (2.73)$$

Proof. Starting from the definition of Laplace transform,

$$\hat{f}(s) = \int_0^{\infty} f(t)e^{-st} dt \quad (2.74)$$

$$= \int_0^T f(t)e^{-st} dt + \int_T^{2T} f(t)e^{-st} dt + \int_{2T}^{3T} f(t)e^{-st} dt + \dots \quad (2.75)$$

Next we change variable by defining $t' = t - T$ for the second term, $t' = t - 2T$ for the third term above and so on:

$$\hat{f}(s) = \int_0^T f(t)e^{-st} dt + \int_0^T f(t'+T)e^{-st'}e^{-sT} dt' + \int_0^T f(t'+2T)e^{-st'}e^{-2sT} dt' + \dots \quad (2.76)$$

Since the function is periodic, we have $f(t) = f(t+T) = f(t+2T) = \dots$ so we get:

$$\hat{f}(s) = (1 + e^{-sT} + e^{-2sT} + \dots) \int_0^T f(t)e^{-st} dt \quad (2.77)$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - e^{-sT}} \int_0^T f(t)e^{-st} dt. \quad (2.78)$$

Note that the terms inside the brackets above is just a geometric series.

2.2.8 Convolution

Let us define a new type of convolution between two functions $f(t)$ and $g(t)$:

$$(f \times g)(t) = \int_0^{\infty} g(t-t')f(t') dt' \quad (2.79)$$

$$= \int_0^t g(t-t')f(t') dt' \quad (2.80)$$

One can check that (for any functions $f(t)$, $g(t)$, and $h(t)$ and scalars a and b):

$$f \times (ag + bh) = a(f \times g) + b(f \times h) \quad (2.81)$$

$$f \times g = g \times h. \quad (2.82)$$

Theorem (Convolution theorem). The Laplace transform of a convolution between $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ is given by:

$$\mathcal{L}[f \times g](s) = \hat{f}(s)\hat{g}(s). \quad (2.83)$$

Proof. Consider:

$$\hat{f}(s)\hat{g}(s) = \int_0^{\infty} f(t')e^{-st'} dt' \int_0^{\infty} g(t'')e^{-st''} dt'' \quad (2.84)$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} dt' \int_0^{\infty} dt'' f(t')g(t'')e^{-s(t'+t'')}. \quad (2.85)$$

Now change of variable $t = t' + t''$ to get:

$$\hat{f}(s)\hat{g}(s) = \int_0^\infty dt' \int_0^\infty dt f(t')g(t-t')e^{-st} \quad (2.86)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty dt e^{-st} \underbrace{\left[\int_0^\infty dt' f(t')g(t-t') \right]}_{(f \times g)(t)} \quad (2.87)$$

$$= \widehat{(f \times g)}(s). \quad (2.88)$$

Example. Find the inverse Laplace transform of:

$$\hat{f}(s) = \frac{4}{s^2(s+2)^2}. \quad (2.89)$$

We can write this as:

$$\hat{f}(s) = \underbrace{\frac{4}{s^2}}_{\hat{g}(s)} \underbrace{\frac{1}{(s+2)^2}}_{\hat{h}(s)}. \quad (2.90)$$

First, we know that the inverse Laplace transform of $\hat{g}(s)$ is:

$$g(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{4}{s^2} \right] \quad (2.91)$$

$$= 4t. \quad (2.92)$$

Next we need to find the inverse Laplace transform of $\hat{h}(s)$:

$$h(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{(s+2)^2} \right]. \quad (2.93)$$

To do this, we use the following property:

$$\mathcal{L}[t^n y(t)] = (-1)^n \frac{d^n \hat{y}}{ds^n}. \quad (2.94)$$

Now we recognize that:

$$\frac{1}{(s+2)^2} = -\frac{d}{ds} \frac{1}{(s+2)} \quad (2.95)$$

$$\mathcal{L}[h(t)] = \mathcal{L}[te^{-2t}] \quad (2.96)$$

$$h(t) = te^{-2t}. \quad (2.97)$$

Therefore, by convolution theorem,

$$f(t) = \int_0^t dt' g(t-t')h(t') \quad (2.98)$$

$$= 4 \int_0^t dt' (t-t')t'e^{-2t'} \quad (2.99)$$

$$= t - 1 + e^{-2t}(1+t). \quad (2.100)$$

2.2.9 Taylor series

Suppose that $f(t)$ can be written in a power series as follow:

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n!} t^n. \quad (2.101)$$

The Laplace transform of $f(t)$ is:

$$\hat{f}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{s^{n+1}} \quad (2.102)$$

2.3 Some applications of Laplace transform

2.3.1 Differential equations

The Laplace transform is particularly useful to solve differential equations, where the initial conditions at $t = 0$ are known. We make use of the following properties of derivatives when solving differential equations:

$$\mathcal{L}[f'(t)] = s\hat{f}(s) - f(0) \quad (2.103)$$

$$\mathcal{L}[f''(t)] = s^2\hat{f}(s) - sf(0) - f'(0), \quad (2.104)$$

etc.

Example. Consider a point particle with position $x(t)$. Suppose that initially at time $t = 0$, the particle is at origin and at rest, *i.e.* $x(0) = \dot{x}(0) = 0$. Now we give an impulse P to the particle at time t . The trajectory of the particle will follow Newton's law:

$$m\ddot{x} = P\delta(t). \quad (2.105)$$

To solve $x(t)$, we Laplace transform the above equation:

$$m[s^2\hat{x}(s) - sx(0) - \dot{x}(0)] = P\mathcal{L}[\delta(t)] \quad (2.106)$$

$$ms^2\hat{x}(s) = P \quad (2.107)$$

$$\hat{x}(s) = \frac{P}{m} \frac{1}{s^2}. \quad (2.108)$$

Therefore,

$$x(t) = \frac{P}{m} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^2} \right] \quad (2.109)$$

but we know that the inverse Laplace transform of $1/s^2$ is t from (2.13), so we get:

$$x(t) = \frac{P}{m} t. \quad (2.110)$$

Example. Consider the following differential equation:

$$y'' + 3y' + 2y = 2 - 2\Theta(t - 1), \quad (2.111)$$

with initial conditions:

$$y(0) = 0 \quad (2.112)$$

$$y'(0) = 2. \quad (2.113)$$

First we can Laplace transform (2.111) to get:

$$s^2 \hat{y}(s) - sy(0) - y'(0) + 3[s\hat{y}(s) - y(0)] + 2\hat{y}(s) = \frac{2}{s} - 2\mathcal{L}[\Theta(t-1)] \quad (2.114)$$

$$(s^2 + 3s + 2)\hat{y}(s) - 2 = \frac{2}{s} - 2\mathcal{L}[\Theta(t-1)]. \quad (2.115)$$

Next we need to find the Laplace transform of the Heaviside step function:

$$\mathcal{L}[\Theta(t-1)] = \int_0^\infty \Theta(t-1)e^{-st} dt \quad (2.116)$$

$$= \int_0^1 \Theta(t-1)e^{-st} dt + \int_1^\infty \Theta(t-1)e^{-st} dt \quad (2.117)$$

$$= \frac{e^{-s}}{s}. \quad (2.118)$$

Therefore,

$$\hat{y}(s) = \frac{2}{s^2 + 3s + 2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{s} - \frac{e^{-s}}{s} \right) \quad (2.119)$$

$$= \frac{2}{(s+1)(s+2)} \left(\frac{s+1}{s} - \frac{e^{-s}}{s} \right) \quad (2.120)$$

$$= \frac{2}{s(s+2)} - \frac{2e^{-s}}{s(s+1)(s+2)}. \quad (2.121)$$

Next we use partial fraction:

$$\hat{y}(s) = \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s+2} - \frac{1}{s}e^{-s,1} + \frac{2}{s+1}e^{-s,1} - \frac{1}{s+2}e^{-s,1}. \quad (2.122)$$

Now we use the translation rule:

$$\mathcal{L}[f(t-t')\Theta(t-t')] = e^{-st'}\hat{f}(s) \quad (2.123)$$

and the result from (2.14):

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{s-\lambda}\right] = e^{\lambda t}, \quad (2.124)$$

so that the inverse Laplace transform of (2.122) is:

$$y(t) = 1 - e^{-2t} - \Theta(t-1) + 2e^{-(t-1)}\Theta(t-1) - e^{-2(t-1)}\Theta(t-1). \quad (2.125)$$

Example. Consider the following set of coupled ODEs, describing the charges in an electrical circuit:

$$L\ddot{q}_1 + M\ddot{q}_2 + \frac{1}{C}q_1 = 0 \quad (2.126)$$

$$M\ddot{q}_1 + L\ddot{q}_2 + \frac{1}{C}q_2 = 0, \quad (2.127)$$

with initial conditions:

$$q_1(0) = 0 \quad (2.128)$$

$$\dot{q}_1(0) = 0 \quad (2.129)$$

$$q_2(0) = V_0 C \quad (2.130)$$

$$\dot{q}_2(0) = 0. \quad (2.131)$$

We need to solve for $q_1(t)$ and $q_2(t)$. First we Laplace transform (2.126-2.127) to get:

$$L[s^2\hat{q}_1(s) - s\cancel{q_1(0)} - \cancel{\dot{q}_1(0)}] + M[s^2\hat{q}_2(s) - s\cancel{q_2(0)} - \cancel{\dot{q}_2(0)}] + \frac{1}{C}\hat{q}_1(s) = 0 \quad (2.132)$$

$$M[s^2\hat{q}_1(s) - s\cancel{q_1(0)} - \cancel{\dot{q}_1(0)}] + L[s^2\hat{q}_2(s) - s\cancel{q_2(0)} - \cancel{\dot{q}_2(0)}] + \frac{1}{C}\hat{q}_2(s) = 0. \quad (2.133)$$

Simplifying,

$$\left(Ls^2 + \frac{1}{C}\right)\hat{q}_1(s) + Ms^2\hat{q}_2(s) - sV_0MC = 0 \quad (2.134)$$

$$Ms^2\hat{q}_1(s) + \left(Ls^2 + \frac{1}{C}\right)\hat{q}_2(s) - sV_0LC = 0. \quad (2.135)$$

We can write this in matrix form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} Ls^2 + \frac{1}{C} & Ms^2 \\ Ms^2 & Ls^2 + \frac{1}{C} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{q}_1(s) \\ \hat{q}_2(s) \end{pmatrix} = sV_0C \begin{pmatrix} M \\ L \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.136)$$

We can then invert the matrix to get $\hat{q}_1(s)$ and $\hat{q}_2(s)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{q}_1(s) \\ \hat{q}_2(s) \end{pmatrix} = sV_0C \begin{pmatrix} Ls^2 + \frac{1}{C} & Ms^2 \\ Ms^2 & Ls^2 + \frac{1}{C} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} M \\ L \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.137)$$

$$= \frac{sV_0C}{(Ls^2 + \frac{1}{C})^2 - M^2s^4} \begin{pmatrix} Ls^2 + \frac{1}{C} & -Ms^2 \\ -Ms^2 & Ls^2 + \frac{1}{C} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M \\ L \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.138)$$

In particular, let's solve for $\hat{q}_1(s)$ first:

$$\hat{q}_1(s) = \frac{sV_0M}{(L^2 - M^2)s^4 + 2\frac{Ls^2}{C} + \frac{1}{C^2}} \quad (2.139)$$

$$= \frac{sV_0M}{[(L+M)s^2 + \frac{1}{C}][L-M)s^2 + \frac{1}{C}]}. \quad (2.140)$$

Next we use partial fraction:

$$\hat{q}_1(s) = \frac{V_0 C}{2} \left[\frac{(L+M)s}{(L+M)s^2 + \frac{1}{C}} - \frac{(L-M)s}{(L-M)s^2 + \frac{1}{C}} \right] \quad (2.141)$$

$$= \frac{V_0 C}{2} \left[\frac{s}{s^2 + \frac{1}{C(L+M)}} - \frac{s}{s^2 + \frac{1}{C(L-M)}} \right]. \quad (2.142)$$

From table (2.16), we know that the Laplace transform of $\cos(\omega t)$ is:

$$\mathcal{L}[\cos(\omega t)] = \frac{s}{s^2 + \omega^2}. \quad (2.143)$$

Therefore,

$$q_1(t) = \frac{V_0 C}{2} \left[\cos\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{C(L+M)}}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{C(L-M)}}\right) \right] \quad (2.144)$$

(similar result form $q_2(t)$).

Example. Consider Bessel's equation of order 0

$$t\ddot{y}(t) + \dot{y}(t) + ty(t) = 0 \quad (2.145)$$

with initial condition:

$$y(0) = 1 \quad (2.146)$$

$$\dot{y}(0) = 0. \quad (2.147)$$

Using the properties of derivative, the Laplace transform of $\ddot{y}(t)$ and $\dot{y}(t)$ are:

$$\mathcal{L}[\ddot{y}(t)] = s^2 \hat{y}(s) - sy(0) - \dot{y}(0) = s^2 \hat{y}(s) - s \quad (2.148)$$

$$\mathcal{L}[\dot{y}(t)] = s\hat{y}(s) - y(0) = s\hat{y}(s) - 1. \quad (2.149)$$

Now we use the following property of Laplace transform:

$$\mathcal{L}[tf(t)] = -\frac{d}{ds}\mathcal{L}[f(t)], \quad (2.150)$$

to find:

$$\mathcal{L}[t\ddot{y}(t)] = -\frac{d}{ds}\mathcal{L}[\ddot{y}(t)] \quad (2.151)$$

$$= -\frac{d}{ds} [s^2 \hat{y}(s) - s] \quad (2.152)$$

$$= -s^2 \frac{d\hat{y}}{ds} - 2s\hat{y} + 1. \quad (2.153)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}[ty(t)] = -\frac{d}{ds}\mathcal{L}[y(t)] \quad (2.154)$$

$$= -\frac{d\hat{y}}{ds} \quad (2.155)$$

Therefore, the Laplace transform of (2.145) is:

$$-s^2 \frac{d\hat{y}}{ds} - 2s\hat{y} + 1 + s\hat{y}(s) - 1 - \frac{d\hat{y}}{ds} = 0 \quad (2.156)$$

$$(s^2 + 1) \frac{d\hat{y}}{ds} + s\hat{y} = 0 \quad (2.157)$$

$$\frac{d\hat{y}}{\hat{y}} = -\frac{s}{s^2 + 1} ds \quad (2.158)$$

$$\ln[\hat{y}(s)] = C - \int \frac{s}{s^2 + 1} ds \quad (2.159)$$

$$= C - \frac{1}{2} \ln(s^2 + 1) \quad (2.160)$$

Therefore,

$$\hat{y}(s) = \frac{C}{\sqrt{s^2 + 1}}. \quad (2.161)$$

Now we need to invert $\hat{y}(s)$ to find $y(t)$. There is no obvious formula relating to $1/\sqrt{s^2 + 1}$ in the table of common Laplace transform (2.12-2.19) so we are going to use the following property of Taylor expansion.

$$\mathcal{L} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n!} t^n \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{s^{n+1}}. \quad (2.162)$$

Now we Taylor expand (2.161) around $s = \infty$ to get:

$$\hat{y}(s) = \frac{C}{s} \left(1 + \frac{1}{s^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2.163)$$

$$= \frac{C}{s} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2s^2} + \frac{3}{8s^4} + \dots \right) \quad (2.164)$$

$$= C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (2n)!}{(n!)^2 2^{2n}} \frac{1}{s^{2n+1}}. \quad (2.165)$$

Now, let's rewrite (2.162) in this form:

$$\mathcal{L} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{2n}}{(2n)!} t^{2n} \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{2n}}{s^{2n+1}}. \quad (2.166)$$

Comparing this to (2.165), we identify:

$$a_{2n} = C \frac{(-1)^n (2n)!}{(n!)^2 2^{2n}}. \quad (2.167)$$

Therefore, the inverse Laplace transform of $\hat{y}(s)$ is:

$$y(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{2n}}{(2n)!} t^{2n} \quad (2.168)$$

$$= C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)!} \frac{(-1)^n (2n)!}{(n!)^2 2^{2n}} t^{2n} \quad (2.169)$$

$$= C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n!)^2} \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{2n} = C J_0(t), \quad (2.170)$$

where $J_0(t)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind. From the initial condition $y(0) = 1$, we must have $C = 1$.

2.3.2 Computing integral

We want to solve the following integral:

$$f(t) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin(tx)}{x} dx. \quad (2.171)$$

First let us assume $t \geq 0$. Let us try Laplace transform:

$$\mathcal{L}[f(t)](s) = \mathcal{L}\left[\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin(tx)}{x} dx\right](s) \quad (2.172)$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} dt \int_0^{\infty} dx \frac{\sin(tx)}{x} e^{-st} \quad (2.173)$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} dx \frac{1}{x} \underbrace{\left\{ \int_0^{\infty} dt \sin(tx) e^{-st} \right\}}_{\mathcal{L}[\sin(xt)]} \quad (2.174)$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} dx \frac{1}{x} \left\{ \frac{x}{s^2 + x^2} \right\} \quad (2.175)$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} dx \frac{1}{x^2 + s^2} = I \quad (2.176)$$

where we have used the result (2.15). Now to find the integral I , we consider the complex integration:

$$\oint_{\gamma} dz \frac{1}{z^2 + s^2} = \oint_{\gamma} dz \frac{1}{(z + is)(z - is)}, \quad (2.177)$$

over a semi-circular contour γ (see Fig. 2.1). The integrand has simple poles at $z = \pm is$. This contour can be split into γ_0 and γ_R^+ :

$$\oint_{\gamma} dz \frac{1}{z^2 + s^2} = \int_{\gamma_0} dz \frac{1}{z^2 + s^2} + \int_{\gamma_R^+} dz \frac{1}{z^2 + s^2} \quad (2.178)$$

$$2\pi i \operatorname{res}_{z=is} = 2I + \int_0^{\pi} d\theta \frac{Rie^{i\theta}}{R^2 e^{i2\theta} + s^2}. \quad (2.179)$$

The first term on the RHS is just $2I$ in the limit of $R \rightarrow \infty$. The second term scales as $1/R$, which goes to zero as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$$2I = 2\pi i \operatorname{res}_{z=is} \quad (2.180)$$

$$= 2\pi i \lim_{z \rightarrow is} \frac{1}{(z + is)(z - is)} \times (z - is) \quad (2.181)$$

$$= 2\pi i \frac{1}{2is} \quad (2.182)$$

$$I = \frac{\pi}{2s}. \quad (2.183)$$

Now we have:

$$\mathcal{L}[f(t)] = \frac{\pi}{2s} \quad (2.184)$$

$$f(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{\pi}{2s} \right] \quad (2.185)$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{2} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s} \right] \quad (2.186)$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad (2.187)$$

from (2.12). For $t < 0$, consider instead $t' = -t > 0$:

$$f(t) = \underbrace{\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(-t'x)}{x} dx}_{g(t')}. \quad (2.188)$$

Now the Laplace transform of $g(t')$ exists:

$$\mathcal{L}[g(t')] = - \int_0^\infty dx \frac{1}{x^2 + s^2}. \quad (2.189)$$

Then we transform it back to get:

$$g(t') = -\frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (2.190)$$

Therefore,

$$f(t) = \frac{\pi}{2} \operatorname{sign}(t). \quad (2.191)$$

2.4 Inverse of Laplace transform

In some cases, the inverse Laplace transform cannot be guessed directly from the table in (2.12-2.19). In this situation, we need to use the inverse formula.

Proposition (Bromwich inversion formula). The inverse Laplace transform is given by:

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \hat{f}(s) e^{st} ds, \quad (2.192)$$




Figure 2.1: The contour considered in $\oint_{\gamma} \frac{1}{z^2 + s^2} dz$.




Figure 2.2: The contour in the inverse Laplace transform should lie to the right of all the singularities s_1, s_2, \dots .

where c is a real constant such that the Bromwich inversion contour $\{c - i\infty \rightarrow c + i\infty\}$ lies to the right of all singularities of $\hat{f}(s)$ (see Fig. 2.2).

Proof. Since $f(t)$ grows no faster than exponential, we can find $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the function:

$$g(t) = f(t)e^{-ct}, \quad (2.193)$$

decays to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, the Fourier transform of $g(t)$ exists, which we will call $\tilde{g}(\omega)$. Now using the definition of Fourier transform,

$$\tilde{g}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t)e^{-ct}e^{-i\omega t} dt \quad (2.194)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{+\infty} f(t)e^{-(c+i\omega)t} dt \quad (2.195)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \hat{f}(c + i\omega). \quad (2.196)$$

Now the inverse Fourier transform is:

$$g(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{g}(\omega)e^{i\omega t} d\omega \quad (2.197)$$

$$f(t)e^{-ct} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{f}(c + i\omega)e^{i\omega t} d\omega. \quad (2.198)$$

Now we change variable by defining $s = c + i\omega$ to get:

$$f(t)e^{-ct} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \hat{f}(s)e^{(s-c)t} ds, \quad (2.199)$$

and we get (2.192). The requirement that the integration contour γ lies to the right of all singularities of $\hat{f}(s)$ is to ensure that $f(t) = 0$ for $t < 0$. This will be clearer below.

Proposition. Suppose that $\hat{f}(s)$ has a finite number of isolated singularities: s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N . Furthermore $\hat{f}(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $|s| \rightarrow \infty$. Then the inverse Laplace transform of $\hat{f}(s)$ is given by this formula:

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=1}^N \operatorname{res}_{s=s_n} [\hat{f}(s)e^{st}] & , \text{ if } t \geq 0 \\ 0 & , \text{ if } t < 0 \end{cases}. \quad (2.200)$$

Proof. Let us consider the case of $t < 0$ first. The inverse Laplace transform is:

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \underbrace{\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \hat{f}(s)e^{st} ds}_I, \quad (2.201)$$

where the line $\{c - i\infty \rightarrow c + i\infty\}$ lies to the right of all singularities s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N . To compute this, consider the following contour integration over a semi-circular

closed contour, which lie to the right of all singularities $\{c - iR \rightarrow c + iR\} + \gamma_R^{\text{right}}$ (see Fig. 2.2(a)):

$$\oint \hat{f}(s)e^{st} ds = \underbrace{\int_{c-iR}^{c+iR} \hat{f}(s)e^{st} ds}_I + \int_{\gamma_R^{\text{right}}} \hat{f}(s)e^{st} ds. \quad (2.202)$$

The LHS is zero since the the contour does not enclose any singularity. The first term on the RHS is I (in the limit of $R \rightarrow \infty$). For the second term on the RHS, we can write $s = Re^{i\theta}$ to get:

$$\int_{\gamma_R^{\text{right}}} \hat{f}(s)e^{st} ds = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\theta iRe^{i\theta} \hat{f}(Re^{i\theta}) e^{tR \cos \theta + tRi \sin \theta} \quad (2.203)$$

Then we can let $\phi = \theta - \frac{\pi}{2}$ to get:

$$\int_{\gamma_R^{\text{right}}} \hat{f}(s)e^{st} ds = \int_{-\pi}^0 d\phi iRe^{i\phi} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} \hat{f}(Re^{i\phi} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}}) e^{-tR \sin \phi + tRi \cos \phi} \quad (2.204)$$

$$= \int_{-\pi}^0 d\phi iRe^{i\phi} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} \hat{f}(Re^{i\phi} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}}) e^{itRe^{i\phi}}. \quad (2.205)$$

Now we let $z = Re^{i\phi}$ to get:

$$\int_{\gamma_R^{\text{right}}} \hat{f}(s)e^{st} ds = \int_{\gamma_R^-} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} \hat{f}(ze^{i\frac{\pi}{2}}) e^{itz} dz = 0, \quad (2.206)$$

where γ_R^- is a semi-circular contour on the lower half complex plane. Thus the integral is zero by Jordan's Lemma. Therefore,

$$f(t) = 0, \quad (2.207)$$

if $t < 0$. This also explain why the contour $\{c + iy | y \in (-\infty, \infty)\}$ in Formula (2.192) should lie to the right of all singularities of $\hat{f}(s)$.

Now let's consider the case of $t > 0$. To compute I in (2.201), we consider complex integration over a semi-circular contour which lie to the left of $\{c - iR \rightarrow c + iR\}$ (see Fig. 2.2(b)):

$$\oint \hat{f}(s)e^{st} ds = \underbrace{\int_{c-iR}^{c+iR} \hat{f}(s)e^{st} ds}_I + \int_{\gamma_R^{\text{left}}} \hat{f}(s)e^{st} ds. \quad (2.208)$$

We can show the second term on the RHS is zero using the similar argument as above. Now the LHS is just the sum of all the residues:

$$2\pi i \sum_{n=1}^N \text{res}_{s=s_n} \hat{f}(s)e^{st} = I. \quad (2.209)$$




Figure 2.3: The contours considered in equation (2.201), depending on the sign of t .

Therefore equation (2.201) becomes:

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=1}^N \operatorname{res}_{s=s_n} [\hat{f}(s)e^{st}]. \quad (2.210)$$

Example. Find the inverse Laplace transform of:

$$\hat{f}(s) = \frac{1}{1+s^2}. \quad (2.211)$$

We consider:

$$\hat{f}(s)e^{st} = \frac{e^{st}}{(s+i)(s-i)}. \quad (2.212)$$

This function has simple poles at $s = \pm i$. Now we find the residue at $s = -i$ and $s = +i$:

$$\operatorname{res}(-i) = \lim_{s \rightarrow -i} \frac{e^{st}}{(s+i)(s-i)} (s+i) \quad (2.213)$$

$$= \frac{e^{-it}}{-2i} \quad (2.214)$$

$$\operatorname{res}(i) = \lim_{s \rightarrow i} \frac{e^{st}}{(s+i)(s-i)} (s-i) \quad (2.215)$$

$$= \frac{e^{it}}{2i}. \quad (2.216)$$

Therefore,

$$f(t) = \sum \operatorname{res} \quad (2.217)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2i} (e^{it} - e^{-it}) \quad (2.218)$$

$$= \sin(t). \quad (2.219)$$