

Implementation and Performance Analyses of a Highly Efficient Algorithm for Pressure-Velocity Coupling

Implementierung und Untersuchung einer hoch effizienten Methode zur
Druck-Geschwindigkeits-Kopplung
Master-Thesis von Fabian Gabel
Tag der Einreichung:

1. Gutachten: Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Michael Schäfer
2. Gutachten: Dipl.-Ing Ulrich Falk



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DARMSTADT

Studienbereich CE
FNB

Implementation and Performance Analyses of a Highly Efficient Algorithm for Pressure-Velocity Coupling
Implementierung und Untersuchung einer hoch effizienten Methode zur Druck-Geschwindigkeits-Kopplung

Vorgelegte Master-Thesis von Fabian Gabel

1. Gutachten: Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Michael Schäfer
2. Gutachten: Dipl.-Ing Ulrich Falk

Tag der Einreichung:

Erklärung zur Master-Thesis

Hiermit versichere ich, die vorliegende Master-Thesis ohne Hilfe Dritter nur mit den angegebenen Quellen und Hilfsmitteln angefertigt zu haben. Alle Stellen, die aus Quellen entnommen wurden, sind als solche kenntlich gemacht. Diese Arbeit hat in gleicher oder ähnlicher Form noch keiner Prüfungsbehörde vorgelegen.

Darmstadt, den January 9, 2015

(F. Gabel)

Contents

Nomenclature	5
1 Introduction	6
2 Fundamentals of Continuum Physics for Thermo-Hydrodynamical Problems	7
2.1 Conservation of Mass – Continuity Equation	7
2.2 Conservation of Momentum – Cauchy-Equations	7
2.3 Closing the System of Equations – Newtonian Fluids	8
2.4 Conservation Law for Scalar Quantities	8
2.5 Necessary Simplification of Equations	8
2.5.1 Incompressible Flows and Hydrostatic Pressure	9
2.5.2 Variation of Fluid Properties – The Boussinesq Approximation	9
2.6 Final Form of the Set of Equations	10
3 Finite Volume Method for Incompressible Flows – Theoretical Basics	11
3.1 Fundamentals of Discretization	11
3.2 Numerical Grid	11
3.3 Approximation of Integrals	11
3.4 Approximation of Derivatives	11
3.5 Treatment of Non-Orthogonality of Grid Cells – Deferred Correction Approach	11
3.5.1 Minimum Correction Approach	11
3.5.2 Orthogonal Correction Approach	11
3.5.3 Over-Relaxed Approach	12
3.6 Numerical Solution of Linear Systems	12
3.6.1 Stone’s SIP Solver	12
3.6.2 Krylov Subspace Methods	12
4 Finite Volume Method for Incompressible Flows – Segregated Approach	13
4.1 Discretization of the Mass Balance	13
4.2 Discretization of the Momentum Balance	13
4.2.1 Semi Discretized Linearized Form of the Navier-Stokes Equations	13
4.2.2 Calculation of Mass Flux – Rhie-Chow Interpolation	13
4.2.3 Discretization of the Convective Term	13
4.2.4 Discretization of the Diffusive Term	13
4.2.5 Discretization of the Source Term	13
4.2.6 Assembly of Linear Systems – Final Form of Equations	13
4.3 Discretization of the Generic Transport Equation	13
4.4 The SIMPLE-Algorithm	13
4.4.1 Pressure Correction Equation	13
4.4.2 Characteristic Properties of Projection Methods	13
4.4.3 Dependence on Under-Relaxation – The Pressure-Weighted Interpolation Method	13
4.4.4 Coupling of Temperature Equation	13
4.5 Boundary Conditions on Domain and Block Boundaries	13
4.5.1 Dirichlet Boundary Condition	13
4.5.2 Neumann Boundary Condition	13
4.5.3 Symmetry Boundary Condition	13
4.5.4 Wall Boundary Condition	13
4.5.5 Block Boundary Condition	13
5 Finite Volume Method for Incompressible Flows – Coupled Approach	14
5.1 Discretization of the Mass Balance	14
5.2 Discretization of the Momentum Balance	14
5.2.1 Semi Discretized Linearized Form of the Navier-Stokes Equations	14
5.2.2 Calculation of Mass Flux – Rhie-Chow Interpolation	14
5.2.3 Discretization of the Convective Term	14
5.2.4 Discretization of the Diffusive Term	14

5.2.5	Discretization of the Source Term	14
5.3	The Coupled Algorithm	14
5.3.1	Pressure Equation	14
5.3.2	Characteristic Properties of Coupled Solution Methods	14
5.4	Coupling of Temperature Equation	14
5.4.1	Decoupled Approach	14
5.4.2	Velocity-Temperature Coupling	14
5.4.3	Temperature-Velocity/Pressure Coupling – Newton-Raphson Linearization	14
5.5	Boundary Conditions on Domain and Block Boundaries	14
5.5.1	Dirichlet Boundary Condition for Velocity	14
5.5.2	Dirichlet Boundary Condition for Pressure	14
5.5.3	Symmetry Boundary Condition	14
5.5.4	Wall Boundary Condition	14
5.5.5	Block Boundary Condition	14
5.6	Assembly of Linear Systems – Final Form of Equations	14
6	CAFFA Framework	15
6.1	PETSc Framework	15
6.1.1	About PETSc	15
6.1.2	Basic Data Types	15
6.1.3	KSP and PC Objects and Their Usage	15
6.1.4	Profiling	15
6.1.5	Common Errors	15
6.2	Grid Generation and Conversion	15
6.3	Preprocessing	15
6.4	Implementation Details of CAFFA	15
6.4.1	MPI Programming Model	15
6.4.2	Convergence Control	15
6.4.3	Modi of Calculation	16
6.4.4	Indexing of Variables and Treatment of Boundary Values	16
6.4.5	Field Interlacing	16
6.4.6	Domain Decomposition, Exchange of Ghost Values and Parallel Matrix Assembly	16
6.5	Postprocessing	16
7	Verification of CAFFA	16
7.1	Theoretical Discretization Error	16
7.2	Method of Manufactured Solutions	16
7.3	Exact and Manufactured Solutions for the Navier-Stokes Equations and the Energy Equation	16
7.4	Measurement of Error and Calculation of Order	17
7.4.1	Testcase on Single Processor on Orthogonal Locally Refined Grid	17
7.4.2	Testcase on Multiple Processors on Non-Orthogonal Locally Refined Grid	17
8	Comparison of Solver Concepts	17
8.1	Convergence Behaviour on Locally Refined Block Structured Grids with Different Degrees of Coupling	17
8.2	Parallel Performance	17
8.2.1	Employed Hardware and Software – The Lichtenberg-High Performance Computer	17
8.2.2	Measures of Performance	17
8.2.3	Preliminary Upper Bounds on Performance – The STREAM Benchmark	17
8.2.4	Discussion of Results for Parallel Efficiency	17
8.2.5	Speedup Measurement for Analytic Test Cases	17
8.3	Test Cases with Varying Degree of Non-Linearity	17
8.3.1	Transport of a Passive Scalar – Forced Convection	17
8.3.2	Buoyancy Driven Flow – Natural Convection	17
8.3.3	Flow with Temperature Dependent Density – A Highly Non-Linear Test Case	17
8.4	Realistic Testing Scenarios – Benchmarking	18
8.4.1	Flow Around a Cylinder 3D – Stationary	18
8.4.2	Flow Around a Cylinder 3D – Instationary	18
8.4.3	Heat-Driven Cavity Flow	18

8.5 Realistic Testing Scenario – Complex Geometry	18
9 Conclusion and Outlook	18
References	19

Nomenclature

Ma	Mach number
S	Surface
$S_{ij}, i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$	Symmetric part of the transpose of the jacobian of the velocity
T	Temperature
T_0	Reference temperature
V	Volume
β	Coefficient of thermal expansion
δ_{ij}	Kronecker-Delta
\hat{p}	Pressure without hydrostatic pressure part
κ	Thermal conductivity
$(\mathbf{e}_i)_{i=1,\dots,3}$	Cartesian canonical basis
μ	Dynamic viscosity
ρ	Density
ρ_0	Reference density at T_0
$\sigma_{ij}, i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$	Deviatoric stress tensor
$\tau_{ij}, i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$	Coefficient matrix of stress mapping T
\mathbf{T}	Linear stress mapping
\mathbf{g}	Gravitational acceleration vector
\mathbf{k}	Mass specific force vector
\mathbf{n}	Surface normal unit vector
\mathbf{t}	Stress vector
\mathbf{u}	Velocity vector
\mathbf{x}	Coordinate Vector
$g_i, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$	Components of the gravitational acceleration vector
$k_i, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$	Mass specific force vector components
$n_i, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$	Surface normal unit vector components
p	Pressure
q_T	Source or sink of heat
t	Time
$t_i, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$	Stress vector components
$u_i, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$	Cartesian velocity components
$x_i, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$	Cartesian coordinates

1 Introduction

This thesis is about.

2 Fundamentals of Continuum Physics for Thermo-Hydrodynamical Problems

- Cartesian Grid Components 3d
- Final Forms ideally integrals which are the starting point for finite volume methods

This section covers the set of fundamental equations for thermo-hydrodynamical problems which the numerical solution techniques of the following chapters are aiming to solve. Furthermore the notation regarding the physical quantities to be used throughout this thesis is introduced. The following paragraphs are based on (Kundu, Spurk, Ferziger, Anderson). For a thorough derivation of the matter to be presented the reader may consult the mentioned sources. Since the present thesis focusses on the application of finite-volume methods the focus lays on stating the integral forms of the relevant conservation laws. However in the process of deriving the final set of equations the use of differential formulations of the stated laws are required. Einstein's convention for taking sums over repeated indices is used to simplify certain expressions. For the remainder of this thesis non-moving inertial frames in a Cartesian coordinate system with the coordinates x_i are used. This approach is also known as *Eulerian approach*.

2.1 Conservation of Mass – Continuity Equation

The conservation law of mass embraces the physical concept that, neglecting relativistic and nuclear reactions, mass cannot be created or destroyed. Using the notion of a mathematical control volume, which is used to denote a constant domain of integration, one can state the integral mass balance of a control volume V with control surface S with surface normal unit vector $\mathbf{n} = (n_i)_{i=1,\dots,3}$ using Gauss' theorem as

$$\iiint_V \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\rho u_i) dV = \iiint_V \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} dV + \iint_S \rho u_i n_i dS = 0,$$

where ρ denotes the material density, t denotes the independent variable of time and $\mathbf{u} = (u_i)_{i=1,\dots,3}$ is the velocity vector field. Since this equation remains valid for arbitrary control volumes the equality has to hold for the integrands as well. In this sense the differential form of the conservation law of mass can be formulated as

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\rho u_i) = 0. \quad (1)$$

2.2 Conservation of Momentum – Cauchy-Equations

The conservation law of momentum, also known as Newton's Second Law, axiomatically demands the balance of the temporal change of momentum and the sum of all attacking forces on a body. Those forces can be divided into body forces and surface forces. Let $\mathbf{k} = (k_i)_{i=1,\dots,3}$ denote a mass specific force and $\mathbf{t} = (t_i)_{i=1,\dots,3}$ the stress vector. A first form of the integral momentum balance in the direction of x_i can be formulated as

$$\iiint_V \frac{\partial (\rho u_i)}{\partial t} dV + \iint_S \rho u_i (u_j n_j) dS = \iiint_V \rho k_i dV + \iint_S t_i dS.$$

In general the stress vector \mathbf{t} is a function not only of the location $\mathbf{x} = (x_i)_{i=1,\dots,3}$ and of the time t but also of the surface normal unit vector \mathbf{n} . A central simplification can be introduced, namely Cauchy's stress theorem, which states that the stress vector is the image of the normal vector under a linear mapping \mathbf{T} . With respect to the Cartesian canonical basis $(\mathbf{e}_i)_{i=1,\dots,3}$ the mapping \mathbf{T} is represented by the coefficient matrix $(\tau_{ji})_{i,j=1,\dots,3}$ and Cauchy's stress theorem reads

$$\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{n}) = (\tau_{ji} n_j)_{i=1,\dots,3}.$$

Assuming the validity of Cauchy's stress theorem one can derive Cauchy's first law of motion, which in differential form can be formulated as

$$\frac{\partial (\rho u_i)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\rho u_i u_j) = \rho k_i + \frac{\partial \tau_{ji}}{\partial x_j} \quad (2)$$

and represents the starting point for the modelling of fluid mechanical problems. One should note, that Cauchy's first law of motion does not take any assumptions regarding material properties, which is why the set of equations (1,2) is not closed in the sense that there exists a independent equation for each of the dependent variables.

2.3 Closing the System of Equations – Newtonian Fluids

As result of Cauchy's theorem the stress vector \mathbf{t} can be specified once the nine components τ_{ji} of the coefficient matrix are known. As is shown in (Spurk usw.) by formulating the conservation law of angular momentum the coefficient matrix is symmetric,

$$\tau_{ji} = \tau_{ij}, \quad (3)$$

hence the number of unknown coefficients may be reduced to six unknown components. In a first step it is assumed that the coefficient matrix can be decomposed into fluid-static and fluid-dynamic contributions,

$$\tau_{ij} = -p\delta_{ij} + \sigma_{ij},$$

where p is the thermodynamic pressure, δ_{ij} is the *Kronecker-Delta* and σ_{ij} is the so called *deviatoric stress tensor*. For the fluids the studies that the present thesis performs it is sufficient to consider viscous fluids for which there exists a linear relation between the components of the deviatoric stress tensor and the symmetric part of the transpose of the jacobian of the velocity field $(S_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,3}$,

$$S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right).$$

If one now imposes material-isotropy and the mentioned stress-symmetry (3) restriction it can be shown (Aries) that the constitutive equation for the deviatoric stress tensor reads

$$\sigma_{ij} = 2\mu S_{ij} + \lambda S_{mm} \delta_{ij},$$

where λ and μ denominate scalars which depend on the local thermodynamical state. Taking everything into account (2) can be formulated as the differential conservation law of momentum for newtonian fluids, better known as the *Navier-Stokes equations* in differential form:

$$\frac{\partial (\rho u_i)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\rho u_i u_j) = \rho k_i - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\mu \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\lambda \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_m} \right) \quad (4)$$

2.4 Conservation Law for Scalar Quantities

The modelling of the transport of scalar quantities, convection, by a flow field \mathbf{u} is necessary if the fluid mechanical problem to be analyzed includes for example heat transfer. Other scenarios that involve the necessity to model scalar transport surge, when turbulent flows are to be modeled by two-equation models like the k - ε -model (Pope).

Since this thesis focusses on the transport of the scalar temperature T this section introduces the conservation law for energy in differential form,

$$\frac{\partial (\rho T)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\rho u_j - \kappa \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_j} \right) = q_T, \quad (5)$$

where κ denotes the thermal conductivity of the modelled material and q_T is a scalar field representing sources and sinks of heat throughout the domain of the problem.

2.5 Necessary Simplification of Equations

Negligible viscous dissipation and and pressure work source terms in the enery equation (vakilipour)

The purpose of this section is to motivate and introduce further common simplifications of the previously presented set of constitutive equations.

2.5.1 Incompressible Flows and Hydrostatic Pressure

A common simplification when modelling low Mach number flows ($Ma < 0.3$), is the assumption of *incompressibility*, or the assumption of an *isochoric* flow. If one furthermore assumes homogeneous density ρ in space and time, a restrictive assumption that will be partially alleviated in the following section the continuity equation in differential form (1) can be simplified to

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} = 0. \quad (6)$$

In other words: In order for a velocity vector field \mathbf{u} to be valid for an incompressible flow it has to be free of divergence, or *solenoidal* (Aries).

If furthermore, one assumes also constant dynamic viscosity μ , which can be suitable in the case of isothermal flow or if the temperature differences within the flow are small, the Navier-Stokes equations in differential form can be reduced to

$$\frac{\partial (\rho u_i)}{\partial t} + \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (u_i u_j) = \rho k_i - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\mu \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \right) \quad (7)$$

$$= \rho k_i - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \right) \quad (8)$$

by using *Schwartz's lemma* to interchange the order of differentiation. A common simplification to further simplify the set of equations is the assumption of a volume specific force $\rho \mathbf{k}$ that can be modelled by a potential, such that it can be represented as the gradient of a scalar field $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}$ as

$$-\rho k_i = \frac{\partial \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial x_i}.$$

In the case of this thesis this assumption is valid since the mass specific force is the mass specific gravitational force $\mathbf{g} = (g_i)_{i=1,\dots,3}$ and the density is assumed to be constant, so the potential can be modelled as

$$\Phi_g = -\rho g_j x_j.$$

This term can be interpreted as the hydrostatic pressure p_{hyd} and can be added to the thermodynamical pressure p to simplify calculations.

$$\begin{aligned} \rho g_i - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\rho g_j x_j) - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\rho g_j x_j) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\hat{p} + p_{hyd}) \\ &= -\frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial x_i} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Since in incompressible fluids only pressure differences matter, this has no effect on the solution. After finishing the calculations p_{hyd} can be calculated and added to the resulting pressure \hat{p} .

2.5.2 Variation of Fluid Properties – The Boussinesq Approximation

If modelling of an incompressible flow involves heat transfer fluid properties like the density change with varying temperature. If the variation of temperature is small one can still assume a constant density to maintain the structure of the advection and diffusion terms in (4) and only consider the changes of the density in the gravitational term. If linear variation of density with respect to temperature is assumed this approximation is called *Boussinesq*-approximation. In this case the Navier-Stokes equations are formulated using a reference pressure ρ_0 at the reference temperature T_0 and the now temperature dependent density ρ , with

$$\rho(T) = \rho_0 (1 - \beta (T - T_0)). \quad (10)$$

Here β denotes the coefficient of thermal expansion. Under the use of the Boussinesq-approximation the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in differential form can be formulated as

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_0 \frac{\partial (u_i)}{\partial t} + \rho_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (u_i u_j) &= \rho_0 g_i + (\rho - \rho_0) g_i - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\rho_0 g_j x_j) + (\rho - \rho_0) g_i - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \\
&= - \frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial x_i} + (\rho - \rho_0) g_i + \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \\
&= - \frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial x_i} - \rho_0 \beta (T - T_0) + \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

using $\rho \mathbf{g}$ as the mass specific force.

Talk about natural and forced convection. Differences for the solver algorithm. (s.a.) Peric P447 Talk about flows with variation in fluid properties -> mms has to map this behaviour (Buoyancy force driven, i.e. naturally convected fluid), mixed Convection Also talk about non-dimensional values like Prandtl number, Rayleigh and Reynolds

2.6 Final Form of the Set of Equations

In the previous subsections different simplifications have been introduced which will be used throughout the thesis. The final form of the set of equations to be used is thereby presented. As further simplification the modified pressure \hat{p} will be treated as p and since the use of the Boussinesq-approximation substitutes the variable ρ by a linear function of the temperature T the reference pressure ρ_0 for the remainder of this thesis will be referred to as ρ . Note that incompressibility has been taken into account:

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} = 0. \tag{12}$$

$$\rho \frac{\partial (u_i)}{\partial t} + \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (u_i u_j) = - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} - \rho \beta (T - T_0) + \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{\partial (\rho T)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\rho u_j - \kappa \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_j} \right) = q_T. \tag{14}$$

3 Finite Volume Method for Incompressible Flows – Theoretical Basics

This section deals with the fundamentals of the numerical solution via a finite volume method of the formerly presented set of partial differential equations with the focus on the methods applied in the present thesis. This embraces mentioning the different grid types to be used and the discretization techniques to be applied. Since this thesis focusses on the analysis of a fully coupled numerical solution algorithm, special emphasis is put on the comparison between segregated and coupled solution methods for solving the set of partial differential equations. Concretely this is achieved by presenting the discretization technique used for the application of the SIMPLE algorithm and later on modifying those results to be applicable to use in a fully coupled solution algorithm. Since on top of the Navier-Stokes equations a scalar transport equation for the temperature is solved, different methods to realize velocity-temperature-coupling and vice versa are discussed.

One goal of the present thesis is to apply the theoretical results generated in this section and implement a fully coupled solver. For this reason after each subsection a brief summary of the realisation of the previously presented technique in a computer program, namely CAFFA3d is given, this embraces the calculation of coefficients and the assembly of the linear systems to be solved.

The last part of this section presents two different approaches to solve sparse linear systems, *Stone's* SIP-Solver and *Kylov-Subspace* Methods.

- Mention boundary conditions

3.1 Fundamentals of Discretization

In this subsection a brief overview of the general grid structure to be used in the present thesis is given. The main idea behind finite volume methods is to solve partial differential equations by integrating them over the specified continuous problem domain and dividing this domain into a finite number of subdomains, the so called control volumes. The goal of the finite volume method is to provide algebraic equations which can be used to determine an approximate solution of a partial differential equation.

3.2 Numerical Grid

The result of the finite division of a continuous problem domain is the numerical grid. The grid consists of a finite number of grid cells which represent the boundaries of a discrete domain of integration.

Regarding the treatment of domain boundaries, and the ordering cell of the cells within the problem domain different types of numerical grids can be distinguished. The present thesis makes use of so called block structured grids with hexahedron cells. A structured grid is characterized by a constant amount of of grid cells in each coordinate direction. A block structured grid consists of different grid blocks, of which each considered individually is structured but considered globally the grid is unstructured. An example of a block structured grid is given in FIGURE.

Inside a structured grid block, cells with the shape of hexahedrons are used. In addition to the geometric boundaries of each control volume a numerical grid also provides a mapping that assigns to each control volume with index P a set of indexes of neighbouring control volumes $NB(P) := \{W, S, B, T, N, E\}$, which are named after the geographic directions. The faces of each hexahedral control volume represent the mentioned geometric boundaries.

- talk about grid quality
- talk about local refinement

3.3 Approximation of Integrals

3.4 Approximation of Derivatives

3.5 Treatment of Non-Orthogonality of Grid Cells – Deferred Correction Approach

3.5.1 Minimum Correction Approach

3.5.2 Orthogonal Correction Approach

3.5.3 Over-Relaxed Approach

3.6 Numerical Solution of Linear Systems

3.6.1 Stone's SIP Solver

Basic Idea as in Schäfer or Peric

3.6.2 Krylov Subspace Methods

- General concept of cyclic vector spaces of \mathbb{R}^n ,
- talk about bases of krylov subspaces and the arnoldi algorithm, talk about polynomials and linear combinations
- mention the two major branches (minimum residual approach, petrov and ritz-galerkin approach)
- name some representative ksp algorithms, importance of preconditioning, not as detailed as in bachelor thesis
- in cases there is a nonempty Nullspace what happens?

4 Finite Volume Method for Incompressible Flows – Segregated Approach

4.1 Discretization of the Mass Balance

4.2 Discretization of the Momentum Balance

4.2.1 Semi Discretized Linearized Form of the Navier-Stokes Equations

4.2.2 Calculation of Mass Flux – Rhie-Chow Interpolation

4.2.3 Discretization of the Convective Term

4.2.4 Discretization of the Diffusive Term

4.2.5 Discretization of the Source Term

4.2.6 Assembly of Linear Systems – Final Form of Equations

Coefficients of matrices for momentum are identical except in case of different factors for under-relaxation (underrelaxation (Andersson))(when does this happen) for the main diagonal coefficient. Small example in code, then show image of assembled system.

4.3 Discretization of the Generic Transport Equation

4.4 The SIMPLE-Algorithm

4.4.1 Pressure Correction Equation

4.4.2 Characteristic Properties of Projection Methods

4.4.3 Dependence on Under-Relaxation – The Pressure-Weighted Interpolation Method

Under-relaxation, slow convergence, inner iterations outer iterations, relative tolerances, also talk about staggered and collocated variable positioning

4.4.4 Coupling of Temperature Equation

4.5 Boundary Conditions on Domain and Block Boundaries

Introduce chapter by talking about the nature of partial differential equations (Hackbusch). Always start with a simple implementation for the generic transport equation, then specialize to Navier-Stokes equation.

4.5.1 Dirichlet Boundary Condition

Only talk about dirichlet for velocities not for pressure.

4.5.2 Neumann Boundary Condition

Problematics of outlet boundary conditions

4.5.3 Symmetry Boundary Condition

4.5.4 Wall Boundary Condition

4.5.5 Block Boundary Condition

5 Finite Volume Method for Incompressible Flows – Coupled Approach

5.1 Discretization of the Mass Balance

5.2 Discretization of the Momentum Balance

5.2.1 Semi Discretized Linearized Form of the Navier-Stokes Equations

5.2.2 Calculation of Mass Flux – Rhie-Chow Interpolation

5.2.3 Discretization of the Convective Term

5.2.4 Discretization of the Diffusive Term

5.2.5 Discretization of the Source Term

5.3 The Coupled Algorithm

5.3.1 Pressure Equation

5.3.2 Characteristic Properties of Coupled Solution Methods

No Underrelaxation needed, higher memory requirements

Bad condition, singularity, usually faster convergence if efficient linear solver is chosen, coupling in Buoyancy flows (s.a. Peric page 448, Galpin Raithby) Design of algorithm does not need to enforce continuity (is inherently fulfilled because of the coupling of the equations)

Explicitly mention the differences

- Implicit treatment of Pressure Gradient
- Implicit Treatment of Temperature possible
- Boussinesq approximation brings velocity-to-temperature-coupling (vakilpour), Newton-Raphson Linearization
- Temperature dependent densities also possible

5.4 Coupling of Temperature Equation

5.4.1 Decoupled Approach

5.4.2 Velocity-Temperature Coupling

5.4.3 Temperature-Velocity/Pressure Coupling – Newton-Raphson Linearization

5.5 Boundary Conditions on Domain and Block Boundaries

5.5.1 Dirichlet Boundary Condition for Velocity

5.5.2 Dirichlet Boundary Condition for Pressure

5.5.3 Symmetry Boundary Condition

5.5.4 Wall Boundary Condition

5.5.5 Block Boundary Condition

5.6 Assembly of Linear Systems – Final Form of Equations

6 CAFFA Framework

6.1 PETSc Framework

Keep in mind not to copy the manual

6.1.1 About PETSc

Bell Prize, MPI Programming

6.1.2 Basic Data Types

Vec, Mat (Different Matrix Types and Their effect on complex methods)

6.1.3 KSP and PC Objects and Their Usage

Singularities

6.1.4 Profiling

PETSc Log

6.1.5 Common Errors

Optimization, Interfaces, (ROWMAJOR, COLUMNMAJOR), Compiler Errors not helpful, Preallocation vs. Mallocs

6.2 Grid Generation and Conversion

Generation of block structured locally refined grids with non-matching block interfaces, neighbouring relations are represented by a special type of boundary conditions; Random number generator to move grid points within a epsilon neighbourhood while maintaining the grid intact. Show in a graph how preallocation impacts on runtime.

6.3 Preprocessing

Matching algorithm – the idea behind clipper and the used projection technique; alt.: Opencascade. Efficient calculation of values for discretization. Important for dynamic mesh refinement, arbitrary polygon matching, parallelizable due to easier interface

6.4 Implementation Details of CAFFA

6.4.1 MPI Programming Model

Basic idea of distributed memory programming model, emphasize the differences to shared memory model. Have a diagram at hand that shows how CAFFA sequentially works (schedule) and point out the locations where and of which type (global reduce, etc.) communication is, or when synchronization is necessary.

- after each solve
- pressure reference
- error calculation
- gradient calculation

Point out that one should try to minimize the number of this points such that parallel performance stays high. Better to calculate Velocity and Pressure Gradients at once not by separately calling this routine.

6.4.2 Convergence Control

Explain how the criterion for convergence is met

6.4.3 Modi of Calculation

there are different modi of calculation, (NS segregated, then scalar; NS and Scalar Segregated; NS coupled and Scalar segregated; Fully coupled (wath out with fully coupled, this term seems to have already another meaning)). Note that for comparison of solvers it is crucial to develop programs on the same basis. This establishes comparability.

6.4.4 Indexing of Variables and Treatment of Boundary Values

Describe MatZeroValues and how it is used to simplify the code. Also loose a word on PCREDISTRIBUTE its advantages and downsides. Compliance of PETSc zero based indexing and CAFFA indexing which considers boundary values. Problems with boundary entries

6.4.5 Field Interlacing

Realization through special arrangement of variables and the use of index sets (subvector objects) and/or preprocessor directives. Advantages (there was a paper I cited in my thesis). Note that not all variables are interlaced (Velocities are, but their gradients are not). Great impact on Matrix structure.

6.4.6 Domain Decomposition, Exchange of Ghost Values and Parallel Matrix Assembly

- Ghost values are stored in local representations of the global vector (state the mapping for those entries).
- Matrix coefficients are calculated on one processor and sent to the neighbour.
- Preallocation as crucial aspect for program performance. For the coupled system the matrix is assembled in a 2-3 step process to save memory for coefficients.
- Present a simple method for balancing the matrix related load by letting PETSc take care of matrix distribution.
- Use Spy function of Matlab to visualize the sparse matrices. Point out advantages of calculating coefficients for the neighbouring cells locally (no need to update mass fluxes, geometric data doesn't need to be shared, small communication overhead since processors assemble matrix parts that don't belong to them (visualize)).
- Paradigm: Each time new information is available perform global updates. Advantages of using matrices: Show structure of matrix when using arbitrary matching vs. higher memory requirements vs. better convergence

6.5 Postprocessing

Visualization of Results with Paraview and Tecplot Export matrices as binaries and visualize them using matlab scripts.

7 Verification of CAFFA

Different parts, describe incremental approach, only present final results. Refer to next section for Validation of CAFFA

7.1 Theoretical Discretization Error

present the Taylor-Series Expansion

7.2 Method of Manufactured Solutions

basically sum up the important points of salari's technical report, symmetry of solution/domain/grid is bad point out that mms is not able to detect errors in the physical model Also loose a word or two about discontinuous manufactured solutions

7.3 Exact and Manufactured Solutions for the Navier-Stokes Equations and the Energy Equation

Not always there is an exact solution. Divergence free approach. Presentation of the used manufactured solution. What if solution is not divergence free? Derivation of equations and modifications to continuity equation. analyze the problem of too complicated manufactured solutions. also use temperature dependent density function

- <http://scicomp.stackexchange.com/questions/6943/manufactured-solutions-for-incompressible-navier-stokes>
- <http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00948290>
- <http://physics.stackexchange.com/questions/60476/exact-solutions-to-the-navier-stokes-equations>
- <http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/pdf/10.1146/annurev.fl.23.010191.001111>

7.4 Measurement of Error and Calculation of Order

Different error measures (L2-Norm, completeness of function space, consistency etc.)

7.4.1 Testcase on Single Processor on Orthogonal Locally Refined Grid

7.4.2 Testcase on Multiple Processors on Non-Orthogonal Locally Refined Grid

Give a measure of the grid quality.

8 Comparison of Solver Concepts

8.1 Convergence Behaviour on Locally Refined Block Structured Grids with Different Degrees of Coupling

Show how the implicit treatment of block boundaries maintains (high) convergence rates. Plot Residual over number of iterations.

8.2 Parallel Performance

8.2.1 Employed Hardware and Software – The Lichtenberg-High Performance Computer

- Networking
 - Mem Section and processes in between islands (calculating across islands)
 - Versioning information (PETSc, INTEL COMPILERS, CLIPPER, MPI IMPLEMENTATION, BLAS/LAPACK)
 - Software not designed to perform well on desktop PCs.
-

8.2.2 Measures of Performance

- Maße definieren
 - Nochmal Hager, Wellein studieren
 - Guidelines for measuring performance (bias through system processes or user interaction), only measure calculation time do not consider I/O in the beginning and the end
 - Cite Schäfer and Peric with their different indicators for parallel efficiency, load balancing and numerical efficiency
-

8.2.3 Preliminary Upper Bounds on Performance – The STREAM Benchmark

Pinning of processes (picture), preliminary constraints by hardware and operating systems, identification of bottlenecks and explain possible workarounds, history and results of STREAM. Bandwidth as Bottleneck, how to calculate a Speedup estimate based on the measured bandwidth. PETSc Implementation of STREAM

8.2.4 Discussion of Results for Parallel Efficiency

8.2.5 Speedup Measurement for Analytic Test Cases

8.3 Test Cases with Varying Degree of Non-Linearity

As Peric says I want to prove that the higher the non-linearity of NS, the better relative convergence rates can be achieved with a coupled solver. Fi

8.3.1 Transport of a Passive Scalar – Forced Convection

8.3.2 Buoyancy Driven Flow – Natural Convection

8.3.3 Flow with Temperature Dependent Density – A Highly Non-Linear Test Case

Maybe I could consider two test cases, one with oscillating density and one with a quadratic polynomial. Interesting would be also to consider the dependence of convergence on another scalar transport equation

8.4 Realistic Testing Scenarios – Benchmarking

Also consider simple load balancing by distributing matrix rows equally

8.4.1 Flow Around a Cylinder 3D – Stationary

Describe Testing Setup (Boundary conditions and grid). Present results and compare them with literature.

8.4.2 Flow Around a Cylinder 3D – Instationary

- http://www.featflow.de/en/benchmarks/cfdbenchmarking/flow/dfg_flow3d/dfg_flow3d_configuration.html

Describe Testing Setup (Boundary conditions and grid). Present results and compare them with literature.

8.4.3 Heat-Driven Cavity Flow

- http://www.featflow.de/en/benchmarks/cfdbenchmarking/mit_benchmark.html

Describe Testing Setup (Boundary conditions and grid). Present results and compare them with literature.

8.5 Realistic Testing Scenario – Complex Geometry

9 Conclusion and Outlook

Turbulence (turbulent viscosity has to be updated in each iteration), Multiphase (what about discontinuities), GPU-Accelerators, Load-Balancing, dynamic mesh refinement, Counjugate Heat Transfer with other requirements for the numerical grid, grid movement, list some papers here) Identify the optimal regimes / conditions for maximizing performance. Each solver concept has its strengths and weaknesses. Try other variants of Projection Methods like SIMPLEC, SIMPLER, PISO or PIMPLE (OpenFOAM)

References

- [1] BALAY, S., ABHYANKAR, S., ADAMS, M. F., BROWN, J., BRUNE, P., BUSCHELMAN, K., ELJKHOUT, V., GROPP, W. D., KAUSHIK, D., KNEPLEY, M. G., MCINNES, L. C., RUPP, K., SMITH, B. F., AND ZHANG, H. PETSc users manual. Tech. Rep. ANL-95/11 - Revision 3.5, Argonne National Laboratory, 2014.
- [2] BALAY, S., ABHYANKAR, S., ADAMS, M. F., BROWN, J., BRUNE, P., BUSCHELMAN, K., ELJKHOUT, V., GROPP, W. D., KAUSHIK, D., KNEPLEY, M. G., MCINNES, L. C., RUPP, K., SMITH, B. F., AND ZHANG, H. PETSc Web page. <http://www.mcs.anl.gov/petsc>, 2014.
- [3] BALAY, S., GROPP, W. D., MCINNES, L. C., AND SMITH, B. F. Efficient management of parallelism in object oriented numerical software libraries. In *Modern Software Tools in Scientific Computing* (1997), E. Arge, A. M. Bruaset, and H. P. Langtangen, Eds., Birkhäuser Press, pp. 163–202.
- [4] CHEN, Z., AND PRZEKAS, A. A coupled pressure-based computational method for incompressible/compressible flows. *Journal of Computational Physics* 229, 24 (2010), 9150 – 9165.
- [5] CHRISTON, M. A., GRESHO, P. M., AND SUTTON, S. B. Computational predictability of time-dependent natural convection flows in enclosures (including a benchmark solution). *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids* 40, 8 (2002), 953–980.
- [6] DARWISH, M., SRAJ, I., AND MOUKALLED, F. A coupled finite volume solver for the solution of incompressible flows on unstructured grids. *Journal of Computational Physics* 228, 1 (2009), 180 – 201.
- [7] FALK, U., AND SCHÄFER, M. A fully coupled finite volume solver for the solution of incompressible flows on locally refined non-matching block-structured grids. In *Adaptive Modeling and Simulation 2013* (Barcelona, Spain, June 2013), J. P. M. de Almeida, P. Diez, C. Tiago, and N. Perez, Eds., pp. 235–246.
- [8] GALPIN, P. F., AND RAITHBY, G. D. Numerical solution of problems in incompressible fluid flow: Treatment of the temperature-velocity coupling. *Numerical Heat Transfer* 10, 2 (1986), 105–129.
- [9] GALPIN, P. F., AND RAITHBY, G. D. Numerical solution of problems in incompressible fluid flow: Treatment of the temperature-velocity coupling. *Numerical Heat Transfer* 10, 2 (1986), 105–129.
- [10] GRESHO, P. M., AND SANI, R. L. On pressure boundary conditions for the incompressible navier-stokes equations. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids* 7, 10 (1987), 1111–1145.
- [11] HUI, W. Exact solutions of the unsteady two-dimensional navier-stokes equations. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics ZAMP* 38, 5 (1987), 689–702.
- [12] JASAK, H. *Error Analysis and Estimation for the Finite Volume Method with Applications to Fluid Flows*. PhD thesis, Imperial College of Science, Technology and Medicine, Jun 1996.
- [13] KUNDU, P. K., COHEN, I. M., AND DOWNLING, D. R. *Fluid Mechanics*, 5 ed. Elsevier, 2012.
- [14] LI, W., YU, B., WANG, X.-R., AND SUN, S.-Y. Calculation of cell face velocity of non-staggered grid system. *Applied Mathematics and Mechanics* 33, 8 (2012), 991–1000.
- [15] MAJUMDAR, S. Role of underrelaxation in momentum interpolation for calculation of flow with nonstaggered grids. *Numerical Heat Transfer* 13, 1 (1988), 125–132.
- [16] MILLER, T. F., AND SCHMIDT, F. W. Use of a pressure-weighted interpolation method for the solution of the incompressible navier-stokes equations on a nonstaggered grid system. *Numerical Heat Transfer* 14, 2 (1988), 213–233.
- [17] PERIC, M. Analysis of pressure-velocity coupling on nonorthogonal grids. *Numerical Heat Transfer* 17 (Jan. 1990), 63–82.
- [18] RAMAMURTI, R., AND LÖHNER, R. A parallel implicit incompressible flow solver using unstructured meshes. *Computers & Fluids* 25, 2 (1996), 119 – 132.
- [19] RHIE, C. M., AND CHOW, W. L. Numerical study of the turbulent flow past an airfoil with trailing edge separation. *AIAA Journal* 21 (Nov. 1983), 1525–1532.

-
- [20] SALARI, K., AND KNUPP, P. Code verification by the method of manufactured solutions. Tech. Rep. SAND2000-1444, Sandia National Labs., Albuquerque, NM (US); Sandia National Labs., Livermore, CA (US), Jun 2000.
- [21] SCHÄFER, M., AND TUREK, S. Recent benchmark computations of laminar flow around a cylinder, 1996.
- [22] SHEU, T. W. H., AND LIN, R. K. Newton linearization of the incompressible navier–stokes equations. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids* 44, 3 (2004), 297–312.
- [23] VAKILIPOUR, S., AND ORMISTON, S. J. A coupled pressure-based co-located finite-volume solution method for natural-convection flows. *Numerical Heat Transfer, Part B: Fundamentals* 61, 2 (2012), 91–115.
- [24] VAN DOORMAAL, J. P., AND RAITHBY, G. D. Enhancements of the simple method for predicting incompressible fluid flows. *Numerical Heat Transfer* 7, 2 (1984), 147–163.
- [25] ZHANG, S., ZHAO, X., AND BAYYUK, S. Generalized formulations for the rhie–chow interpolation. *Journal of Computational Physics* 258, 0 (2014), 880 – 914.