



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DARMSTADT

Fachbereich Mathematik

Sobolev Spaces

lecture held by PD Dr. Christian Stinner in SS17

L^AT_EXed by Fabian Gabel

Errors can be reported at: gabel@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

Version of May 11, 2017

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 2 |
| 2 | Some facts about Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\Omega)$ | 5 |
| 2.1 | $L^p(\Omega)$: definition and basic properties | 5 |
| 2.2 | Limit theorems and Fubini | 6 |
| 2.3 | Dense subspaces and mollifier | 7 |
| 2.4 | Polar coordinates | 8 |
| 3 | Weak derivatives and definitions of Sobolev spaces | 9 |
| 4 | Approximation by smooth functions | 15 |
| 4.1 | Interior approximation | 15 |
| 4.2 | Approximation by smooth functions | 16 |
| 5 | Extension and traces | 19 |
| 6 | Embeddings and Sobolev inequalities | 20 |
| 7 | Applications to PDEs | 21 |

Chapter 1

Introduction

In order to have classical solutions to partial differential equations (PDEs), it is often necessary that parameter functions in the PDE are regular enough or the domain where the PDE is considered has a regular boundary (e.g. no edge). However, in applications or in nature, these regularity assumptions are often not satisfied. Hence, a fundamental concept in the theory of PDEs is the concept of weak solutions which is also the basis for important numerical methods (e.g. the finite element method). The definition of these weak solutions is based on a concept of generalized derivatives of functions, the so called *weak derivatives*. *Sobolev spaces* are Banach spaces consisting of functions with weak derivatives. Important properties of these spaces will be studied in this lecture and will be a basis to study weak solutions of PDEs afterwards. Let us start by illustrating the idea behind weak solutions with an example.

Example 1.1. Let $\Omega = (0, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ be given. We look for a solution $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ to the Poisson equation in one dimension,

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} -u'' &= f(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x) &= 0, & x \in \{0, 1\} \in \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

u e.g. describes the displacement of a rod which is fixed at $x = 0$ and $x = 1$, where f is a force acting on the string. Of course the force f is not necessarily continuous in $\overline{\Omega}$ and could have jumps.

In order to get a weaker solution concept, let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ (infinitely often differentiable with compact support in Ω). Then, integration by parts shows

$$\int_0^1 -u''(x)\varphi(x) \, dx = -u'(1)\varphi(1) + u'(0)\varphi(0) + \int_0^1 u'(x)\varphi'(x) \, dx.$$

Hence, in view of (1.1)

$$(1.2) \quad \int_\Omega u'(x)\varphi'(x) \, dx = \int_\Omega f(x)\varphi(x) \, dx, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

For (1.2) to be meaningful we do not need a second derivative of u . Moreover, f only has to be integrable instead of continuous. (1.2) will even make sense if u' is only a weak derivative of u as we will see soon. \square

In order to motivate the definition of weak derivatives, we note the following identity.

Lemma 1.2. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and $u \in C^1(\Omega)$. Then, for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \varphi \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \, dx, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

Proof. Exercise. □

(1.3) makes sense even if $u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ (integrable on any bounded set V with $\bar{V} \subset \Omega$). Hence, we define weak derivatives by:

Definition 1.3. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. u has the *weak partial derivative* $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$, if there is $v \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} u(x) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i}(x) \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} v(x) \varphi(x) \, dx, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

Then $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} := v$.

Let us see in an example which functions have weak derivatives and how to calculate them.

Example 1.4. a) If $u \in C^1(\Omega)$, then by Lemma 1.2 (1.4) is satisfied with $v := \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$. Hence, u is weakly differentiable and the weak derivative $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ coincides with the classical derivative.

b) Let $\Omega = (-1, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$, $u(x) := |x|$ for $x \in \Omega$. Then as $u \in C^1(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{0\}) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$, we may use the fundamental theorem of calculus to obtain for $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u(x) \varphi'(x) \, dx &= \int_{-1}^0 -x \varphi'(x) \, dx + \int_0^1 x \varphi'(x) \, dx \\ &= \int_{-1}^0 \varphi(x) \, dx + (-x \varphi(x)) \Big|_{-1}^0 - \int_0^1 \varphi(x) \, dx + (x \varphi(x)) \Big|_0^1 \\ &= - \int_{-1}^0 1 \varphi(x) \, dx - \int_0^1 1 \varphi(x) \, dx = - \int_{-1}^1 v(x) \varphi(x) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{if we define } v(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in (0, 1), \\ -1, & x \in (-1, 0). \end{cases}$$

Then $v \in L^1(\Omega)$ and since $\{0\}$ is a set of measure zero in \mathbb{R} , we could define $v(0)$ arbitrarily. Hence, u is weakly differentiable with derivative $u' = v$. u' coincides with the classical derivative in all $x \in \Omega$ where the latter exists.

c) Defining again $\Omega = (-1, 1)$ and v as in b), we have $v \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ we have

$$\int_{\Omega} v(x) \varphi'(x) \, dx = \int_{-1}^0 -\varphi'(x) \, dx + \int_0^1 \varphi'(x) \, dx = -\varphi(0) + \varphi(-1) + \varphi(1) - \varphi(0) = -2\varphi(0).$$

Now if v would be weakly differentiable, there would be $w \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ with

$$(1.5) \quad -2\varphi(0) = - \int_{\Omega} w(x) \varphi(x) \, dx, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

Fix some $f \in C_0^\infty((-1, 1))$ with $f(0) = 1$ and define $\varphi_n(x) = f(nx)$ for $x \in (-1, 1)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (where $f = 0$ on $\mathbb{R} \setminus (-1, 1)$). Then $\varphi_n \in C_0^\infty((-1, 1))$ with $\varphi_n(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$

with $\varphi_n(0) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}$. As $\|\varphi_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \infty$ we conclude from the dominated convergence theorem that

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(- \int_{\Omega} w(x) \varphi_n(x) \, dx \right) \neq -2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -2\varphi_n(x)$$

which contradicts (1.5). Hence, v is not weakly differentiable in Ω . \square

Hence, there are functions which are not classically differentiable everywhere and have weak derivatives, but there are also functions being not weakly differentiable (although $v \in C^1(\Omega \setminus \{0\})$ in Example 1.4).

If we define the *Sobolev space*

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^p(\Omega) : \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \text{ exists in the weak sense, } \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in L^p(\Omega), \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \right\}$$

for $p \in [1, \infty]$, this is a Banach space which will turn out to be particularly useful in the context of weak solutions of PDEs. So we will study important properties of these spaces (and its generalisations to higher order derivatives) and finally will show how to use them for obtaining weak solutions of PDEs. We shortly illustrate the latter in an example.

Example 1.5. We continue Example 1.1 with $\Omega = (-1, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and assume that $f \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then in view of (1.2) we say that u is a *weak solution* to (1.1) if $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$,

$$\int_{\Omega} u'(x) \varphi'(x) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \varphi(x), \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega),$$

where u' is the weak derivative of u , and if u satisfies $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ in a certain weak sense. The latter will be specified in a detailed way in Chapter 7, as $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is not necessarily continuous. In Chapter 7, we will study the generalisation of (1.1) for $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ being a bounded domain namely the Poisson equation

$$-\Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

Chapter 2

Some facts about Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\Omega)$

Here we recall some facts about Lebesgue spaces which should be known from previous lectures. Throughout this lecture a set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *measurable* if it is measurable w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n . Unless otherwise stated, we always assume in this chapter that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is measurable.

Then $u: \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is measurable *on* Ω if $\{x \in \Omega: u(x) > \alpha\}$ is measurable for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

2.1 $L^p(\Omega)$: definition and basic properties

- i) If $u, v: \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ are measurable on Ω , they are equivalent if $u = v$ a.e. in Ω . $[u]$ is the equivalence class of u . We always identify a function u with its equivalence class.
- ii) For $p \in [1, \infty]$ we define the Lebesgue space

$$L^p(\Omega) := \{u: \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]: u \text{ measurable}, \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ if } p \in [1, \infty),$$
$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \Omega} |u(x)|.$$

With the convention from i), $u = 0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ if $u = 0$ a.e. in Ω . If $[u]$ contains a continuous function, we assume that u is chosen to be continuous.

- iii) $L^p(\Omega)$ is a Banach space for $p \in [1, \infty]$, i.e. a complete and normed vector space.
- iv) L^p convergence and a.e. convergence: Let $p \in [1, \infty]$, $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^p(\Omega)$ and $u \in L^p(\Omega)$, such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^p(\Omega)$, i.e. $\|u_n - u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then there is a subsequence $(u_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a function $h \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that $u_{n_k}(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ a.e. in Ω as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $|u_{n_k}(x)| \leq h(x)$ a.e. in Ω for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- v) Minkowski's inequality: Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $u, v \in L^p(\Omega)$. Then

$$\|u + v\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|v\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

- vi) Hölder's inequality: Let $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $u \in L^p(\Omega), v \in L^q(\Omega)$. Then $uv \in L^1(\Omega)$ and

$$\|uv\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^q(\Omega)}$$

- vii) For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\|x\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ if } p \in [1, \infty),$$

$$\|x\|_\infty = \max_i |x_i|$$

the discrete versions of v), vi) are valid:

$$\|x + y\|_p \leq \|x\|_p + \|y\|_p$$

$$|x \cdot y| \leq \|x\|_p \|y\|_q, \text{ for } p \in [1, \infty], \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

- viii) General Hölder inequality: Let $p_k \in [1, \infty], \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m} = 1, m \geq 3, u_k \in L^{p_k}(\Omega), k = 1, \dots, m$. Then

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_1 \cdots u_m| dx \leq \prod_{k=1}^m \|u_k\|_{L^{p_k}(\Omega)}.$$

2.2 Limit theorems and Fubini

- i) Monotone convergence (Beppo-Levi): Let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be measurable in Ω , non-negative and point-wise non-decreasing. Then

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x) \right) dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n(x) dx.$$

- ii) Fatou's lemma: Let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be measurable in Ω and non-negative. Then

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x) \right) dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n(x) dx.$$

- iii) Dominated convergence (Lebesgue): Let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and u be measurable on Ω such that $u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ a.e. in Ω and $|u_n(x)| \leq u(x)$ a.e. in Ω for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $u \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then $u_n \in L^1(\Omega)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} u(x) dx$.

- iv) Fubini's theorem: Let $u = u(x, y)$ be measurable on \mathbb{R}^{n+m} such that at least one of the following integrals exists and is finite:

$$I_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |u(x, y)| dx dy$$

$$I_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, y)| dx \right) dy$$

$$I_3 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |u(x, y)| dy \right) dx.$$

Then $u(\cdot, y) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for a.e. $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} u(\cdot, y) dy \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $u(x, \cdot) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x, \cdot) dx \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $I_1 = I_2 = I_3$.

2.3 Dense subspaces and mollifier

In this section let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open.

i) $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is dense in $L^p(\Omega)$ for any $p \in [1, \infty)$, i.e. for any $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\|\varphi - u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} < \varepsilon$.

ii) Notation: For $\varepsilon > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$\begin{aligned} B_\varepsilon(x) &:= \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y - x| < \varepsilon\}, \\ \Omega_\varepsilon &:= \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > \varepsilon\} \quad \text{and} \\ L_{\text{loc}}^p(\Omega) &:= \{u : \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty] : L^p(V), \text{ for all } V \Subset \Omega\} \quad \text{for } p \in [1, \infty]. \end{aligned}$$

iii) Standard mollifier: Let

$$\eta(x) := \begin{cases} c \exp\left(-\frac{1}{|x|^2-1}\right), & |x| < 1, \\ 0, & |x| \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $c > 0$ is chosen such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta(x) dx = 1$. Then $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is called *standard mollifier*. For $\varepsilon > 0$ $\eta_\varepsilon(x) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \eta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, satisfies $\eta_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_\varepsilon(x) dx = 1$, and $\text{supp}(\eta_\varepsilon) = \overline{B_\varepsilon(0)}$.

iv) For $u \in L^1(\Omega)$, we extend u by $u(x) := 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ to $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and define its *mollification* $u_\varepsilon := \eta_\varepsilon * u$ for $\varepsilon > 0$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} u_\varepsilon(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_\varepsilon(x-y) u(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_\varepsilon(x-y) u(y) dy \\ &= \int_{B_\varepsilon(x) \cap \Omega} \eta_\varepsilon(x-y) u(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

The mollification has the following properties:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then*

- a) $u_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $u_\varepsilon(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.
- b) If $\text{supp}(u) \Subset \Omega$, then $u_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, for small enough ε .
- c) If $u \in C^0(\Omega)$, $V \Subset \Omega$, then $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u$ uniformly in V as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.
- d) $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ for some $p \in [1, \infty)$, then $u_\varepsilon \in L^p(\Omega)$, $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ and $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Moreover $u_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\Omega)$.
- e) $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$, then $u_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)$.

Proof. TODO

□

2.4 Polar coordinates

Let $f \in C^1(\overline{B_r(x_0)})$ with $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $r > 0$. Then by the transformation rule with $x = x_0 + sz$, $s \in (0, r)$, $z \in \partial B_1(0)$ we have

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} f(x) \, dx = \int_0^r \left(\int_{\partial B_s(x_0)} f \, d\sigma(x) \right) ds = \int_0^r s^{n-1} \int_{\partial B_1(0)} f(x_0 + sz) \, d\sigma(z) \, ds.$$

In particular, if $x_0 = 0$, f is radially symmetric and ω_n is the surface $|\partial B_1(0)|$ of $\partial B_1(0)$, we get

$$\int_{B_r(0)} f(x) \, dx = \omega_n \int_0^r f(s) s^{n-1} \, ds,$$

where $s = |x|$.

Proof. Appendix in [Eva10]. □

Chapter 3

Weak derivatives and definitions of Sobolev spaces

We already saw in the introduction that for $u \in C^1(\Omega)$ with $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} u(x) \varphi_{x_i}(x) dx = - \int_{\Omega} u_{x_i}(x) \varphi(x) dx, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

More generally, for higher order derivatives we have the following result:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, $u \in C^k(\Omega)$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ be a multiindex with $|\alpha| = k$. Then*

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} u(x) D^\alpha \varphi(x) dx = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} D^\alpha u(x) \varphi(x) dx, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

Proof. For $k = 1$, (3.2) is just (3.1) which was verified in the exercise. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ with $|\alpha| = k$ we have

$$D^\alpha \phi(x) = \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1}} (\dots (\frac{\partial^{\alpha_n}}{\partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}) \dots)(x)$$

and (3.2) follows by applying (3.1) k times. □

In order to define the weak derivative $D^\alpha u$, we look for a variant of (3.2) which is satisfied if u has less regularity than being in $C^k(\Omega)$. As the integrals in (3.2) are meaningful if $u, D^\alpha u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$, we define the weak derivative $D^\alpha u$ of u as follows (see introduction for $|\alpha| = 1$).

Definition 3.2. Let Ω be an open set, $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ a multiindex. u has the α th weak partial derivative $D^\alpha u$ if there is $v \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} u(x) D^\alpha \varphi(x) dx = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} v(x) \varphi(x) dx, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

If (3.3) is satisfied, we define $D^\alpha u := v$. In order to show the uniqueness of the weak derivative we need the following fundamental lemma.

Lemma 3.3 (Fundamental lemma of calculus of variations). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$. Then we have the equivalence*

$$\int_{\Omega} u(x) \varphi(x) dx = 0, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) \iff u = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Proof. “ \Leftarrow ” is obvious.

“ \Rightarrow ”: Let $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ with $\int_{\Omega} u \varphi \, dx = 0$, for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. We fix $K \subset \Omega$ compact and define

$$\text{sign}(u(x)) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u(x) > 0, \\ -1, & \text{if } u(x) < 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } u(x) \in \{0, -\infty, +\infty\} \end{cases}$$

and

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} \text{sign}(u(x)), & \text{if } x \in K, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus K. \end{cases}$$

As $|u| < \infty$ a.e. in K with $\text{supp}(f) \subset K \Subset \Omega$, we define $\varphi_n := f \frac{1}{n} = \eta_{\frac{1}{n}} * f$ and deduce from Theorem 2.1 a), b) that $\varphi_n \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\varphi_{n_k}(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ a.e. in Ω as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for some subsequence. As moreover

$$|\varphi_{n_k}(x)| \leq \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} \eta_{\frac{1}{n}}(x-y) |f(y)| \, dy}_{\leq 1} \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} \eta_{\frac{1}{n}}(x-y) \, dy}_{\leq 1} \leq 1, \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega, k \in \mathbb{N},$$

the dominated convergence theorem implies

$$0 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u(x) \varphi_{n_k}(x) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} u(x) f(x) \, dx = \int_K |u(x)| \, dx.$$

Hence, $u = 0$ a.e. in K . As e.g. $\Omega = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} K_n$ with $K_n := \overline{\Omega_{\frac{1}{n}}} \cap \overline{B_n(0)}$ and $u = 0$ a.e. in K_n (as $K_n \subset \Omega$ compact), we have $u = 0$ a.e. in Ω . \square

With this result we show the uniqueness of the weak derivative and its equality with the classical derivative if u is classically differentiable.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, with $|\alpha| = k \in \mathbb{N}$. If the weak derivative $D^\alpha u(\Omega)$ exists it is uniquely defined up to a set of measure zero. If $u \in C^k(\Omega)$, then $D^\alpha u$ exists and is equal to the classical derivative $D^\alpha u$. Hence, we use D^α both for weak and classical partial derivatives.*

Proof. Simple. \square

As the weak derivative is well-defined, we may now define Sobolev spaces consisting of functions having weak derivatives in L^p spaces.

Definition 3.5. a) Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open. We define the Sobolev space

$$W^{k,p}(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^p(\Omega) : \text{weak derivative } D^\alpha u \text{ exists with } D^\alpha u \in L^p(\Omega), \text{ for all } 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)} := \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if } p \in [1, \infty), \\ \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

We further define

$$W_0^{k,p}(\Omega) := \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega)}^{\|\cdot\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)}}$$

to be the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ and

$$W_{\text{loc}}^{k,p}(\Omega) := \bigcap_{V \in \Omega} W^{k,p}(V).$$

For $p = 2$, we define $H^k(\Omega) := W^{k,2}(\Omega)$ and $H_0^k(\Omega) := W_0^{k,2}(\Omega)$.

b) For $(u_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ and $u \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$, we say $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_m - u\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)} = 0.$$

We say $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $W_{\text{loc}}^{k,p}(\Omega)$ if $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $W^{k,p}(V)$ for all $V \Subset \Omega$.

Remark 3.6. a) For $\alpha = (0, \dots, 0)$ we set $D^\alpha u = D^0 u = u$. We further identify functions in $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ which agree a.e. If for $u \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ the equivalence class $[u]$ contains a continuous representative, the latter is chosen for u .

b) $u \in W_0^{k,p}(\Omega)$ if and only if there exists $(u_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$. We interpret $W_0^{k,p}(\Omega)$ as the set of $u \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ such that “ $D^\alpha u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ for any $|\alpha| \leq k - 1$ ”. This interpretation will be made precise in Chapter 5.

c) The letter H in $H^k(\Omega)$ and $H_0^k(\Omega)$ is used as those are Hilbert spaces as we will see soon.

Example 3.7. Let $\Omega = B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $u(x) = |x|^{-a}$ for $x \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}$ with some $a > 0$. Given $p \in [1, \infty)$, for which a do we have $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$?

Since $u \in C^\infty(\Omega \setminus \{0\})$, we have for $x \neq 0$

$$u_{x_i}(x) = -a|x|^{-a-1} \frac{x_i}{|x|} = -\frac{ax_i}{|x|^{a+2}} \quad \text{and} \\ |\nabla u(x)| = \frac{a}{|x|^{a+1}}.$$

For fixed $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, Green's formula (ν is the outward unit normal on $\Omega \setminus \overline{B_\varepsilon(0)}$) implies

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{B_\varepsilon(0)}} u \varphi_{x_i} \, dx = - \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{B_\varepsilon(0)}} u_{x_i} \varphi \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} u \varphi \nu_i \, d\sigma + \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon(0)} u \varphi \nu_i \, d\sigma.$$

We may pass to the limit $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ in the first two integrals if $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $\nabla u \in L^1(\Omega)^n$, i.e. $a < n$ and $a + 1 < n$. As for $a < n - 1$ we further have

$$\left| \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon(0)} u \varphi \nu_i \, d\sigma \right| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon(0)} \varepsilon^{-a} \, d\sigma \leq C \varepsilon^{k-1-a} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0.$$

Hence, for $a < n - 1$ we may pass to the limit $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ in (3.4) and obtain $\int_\Omega u \varphi_{x_i} \, dx = - \int_\Omega u_{x_i} \varphi \, dx$. Hence, the weak derivative u_{x_i} exists for $a < n - 1$. Hence, $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ if $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ and $\nabla u = \frac{-ax}{|x|^{a+2}} \in L^p(\Omega)^n$, i.e. $ap < p$ and $(a + 1)p < n$. We conclude that

$$u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \iff a < \frac{n-p}{p} \text{ (and } p < n).$$

Next, we prove some elementary properties of weak derivatives which are well known in the case of classical derivatives.

Proposition 3.8. Let Ω be open, $k \in \mathbb{N}, p \in [1, \infty]$, $u, v \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq k$.

a) $D^\alpha u \in W^{k-|\alpha|,p}(\Omega)$ (with $W^{0,p}(\Omega) = L^p(\Omega)$) and $D^\beta(D^\alpha(u)) = D^\alpha(D^\beta(u)) = D^{\alpha+\beta}(u)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq k$.

b) For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\lambda u + \mu v \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ and $D^\alpha(\lambda u + \mu v) = \lambda D^\alpha u + \mu D^\alpha v$.

c) If $V \subset \Omega$ is open, the $u \in W^{k,p}(V)$.

d) If $\xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, then $\xi u \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ and Leibniz's formula

$$D^\alpha(\xi u) = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} D^\beta \xi D^{\alpha-\beta} u$$

holds with

$$\binom{\alpha}{\beta} = \frac{\alpha!}{(\alpha - \beta)! \beta!}, \quad \alpha! = \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i!$$

and

$$\beta \leq \alpha \iff \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}: \beta_i \leq \alpha_i.$$

Proof. b) and c) easily follow from Definition 3.2.

a): Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Then $D^\beta \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and (3.3) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} D^\alpha u D^\beta \varphi \, dx &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} u D^{\alpha+\beta} \varphi \, dx \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} (-1)^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \int_{\Omega} D^{\alpha+\beta} u \varphi \, dx \\ &= (-1)^{|\beta|} \int_{\Omega} D^{\alpha+\beta} u \varphi \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

as $|\alpha| + |\beta| = |\alpha + \beta|$. Hence, $D^\beta(D^\alpha u) = D^{\alpha+\beta} u$, for $|\beta| \leq k - |\alpha|$.

d): Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. In case of $|\alpha| = 1$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \xi u D^\alpha \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (u D^\alpha(\xi \varphi) - u(D^\alpha \xi) \varphi) \, dx \stackrel{(3.3)}{=} - \int_{\Omega} (\xi D^\alpha u + u D^\alpha \xi) \varphi \, dx.$$

Hence, $D^\alpha(\xi u) = \xi D^\alpha u + u D^\alpha \xi \in L^p(\Omega)$ and the claim is true for $|\alpha| = 1$.

Assume the claim is true for all $|\alpha| \leq l$ with some $l \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ (IA).

Let α satisfy $|\alpha| = l + 1$. Then $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$ for some $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^k$ with $|\beta| = l$ and $|\gamma| = 1$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \xi u D^{\alpha} \varphi &= \int_{\Omega} \xi u D^{\beta} (D^{\gamma} \varphi) dx \\
&\stackrel{(1A)}{=} (-1)^{|\beta|} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\sigma \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\sigma} D^{\sigma} \xi D^{\beta-\sigma} u D^{\gamma} \varphi dx \\
&\stackrel{(3.3)}{=} (-1)^{|\beta|+|\gamma|} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\sigma \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\sigma} D^{\gamma} (D^{\sigma} \xi D^{\beta-\sigma} u) \varphi dx \\
&\stackrel{(1A)}{=} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\sigma \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\sigma} [D^{\sigma+\gamma} \xi D^{\beta-\sigma} u + D^{\sigma} \xi D^{\alpha-\sigma} u] \varphi dx \\
&= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\sigma \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\sigma} [D^{\sigma+\gamma} \xi D^{\alpha-(\sigma+\gamma)} u + D^{\sigma} \xi D^{\alpha-\sigma} u] \varphi dx \\
&= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{\gamma \leq \rho \leq \alpha} \binom{\beta}{\rho-\gamma} + \sum_{0 \leq \rho \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\rho} \right] D^{\rho} \xi D^{\alpha-\rho} u \varphi dx \\
&= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\rho \leq \alpha} \left[\binom{\beta}{\rho-\gamma} + \binom{\beta}{\rho} \right] D^{\rho} \xi D^{\alpha-\rho} u \varphi dx
\end{aligned}$$

with the convention $\binom{\beta}{\tilde{\beta}} = 0$ if $\beta_i < \tilde{\beta}_i$ or $\tilde{\beta}_i < 0$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. As $\binom{\beta}{\rho-\gamma} + \binom{\beta}{\rho} = \binom{\beta+\gamma}{\rho}$, we deduce that the claim holds by induction. \square

Finally, we show that $W^{k,p}$ is a Banach space.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in [1, \infty]$. Then $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space. Moreover, $H^k(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space with the scalar product*

$$(u, v)_{H^k(\Omega)} := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \int_{\Omega} D^{\alpha} u \overline{D^{\alpha} v} dx$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.8b), $W^{k,p}$ is a vector space. For $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $u, v \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$, Minkowski's inequality (see 2.1) on $L^p(\Omega)$ and for $\|\cdot\|_p$ on \mathbb{R}^m implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u + v\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)} &= \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^{\alpha} u + D^{\alpha} v\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} (\|D^{\alpha} u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|D^{\alpha} v\|_{L^p(\Omega)})^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^{\alpha} u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^{\alpha} v\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|u\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)} + \|v\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)}.
\end{aligned}$$

All other properties of the norm are easily verified for $\|\cdot\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)}$.

Let $(u_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$. Then for all $|\alpha| \leq k$, $(D^{\alpha} u_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^p(\Omega)$ as $\|D^{\alpha} u_m - D^{\alpha} u_l\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \|u_m - u_l\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)}$. Hence, there exists $u_{\alpha} \in L^p(\Omega)$ with

$$(3.5) \quad D^{\alpha} u_m \rightarrow u_{\alpha} \text{ in } L^p(\Omega), |\alpha| \leq k.$$

For $\alpha = (0, \dots, 0)$ we define $u_{(0, \dots, 0)} =: u$ and have

$$(3.6) \quad u_m \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^p(\Omega).$$

To show that $u_\alpha = D^\alpha u$, we fix $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} u D^\alpha \varphi \, dx \stackrel{(3.6)}{=} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_m D^\alpha \varphi \, dx = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} D^\alpha u_m \varphi \, dx \stackrel{(3.5)}{=} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} u_\alpha \varphi \, dx.$$

Since $\varphi, D^\alpha \varphi \in L^q(\Omega)$ for all $|\alpha| \leq k$ and $u \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$. But then (3.5) and (3.6) imply $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$. Hence, $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is complete and a Banach space.

That $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H^k(\Omega)}$ is a scalar product on $H^k(\Omega)$ easily follows from the L^2 -scalar product. Hence, $H^k(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space. \square

In particular, $W_0^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space and a subspace of $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$.

Chapter 4

Approximation by smooth functions

As it is often complicated to use the definition of weak derivatives for proving properties of Sobolev spaces, we aim to approximate functions in Sobolev spaces by smooth functions.

4.1 Interior approximation

We prove that mollification from 2.3 provides approximating functions in $W_{\text{loc}}^{k,p}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in [1, \infty)$, and $u \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$. Then the following statements hold:*

- a) $u_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and $D^\alpha(u_\varepsilon)(x) = (D^\alpha u)_\varepsilon(x)$, for all $x \in \Omega_\varepsilon$ and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq k$.
- b) $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u$ in $W_{\text{loc}}^{k,p}(\Omega)$, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.

Proof. a) By Theorem 2.1 we have $u_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and for $|\alpha| \leq k$

$$D^\alpha u_\varepsilon(x) = \int_{\Omega} D_x^\alpha \eta_\varepsilon(x-y) u(y) \, dy, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

see proof of Theorem 2.1 a), d). For fixed $x \in \Omega_\varepsilon$, $\phi(y) := \eta_\varepsilon(x-y)$ satisfies $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ since $\text{supp } \phi = \overline{B_\varepsilon(x)}$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} D^\alpha(u_\varepsilon)(x) &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} D_y^\alpha(\eta_\varepsilon(x-y)) u(y) \, dy = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} D^\alpha \phi(y) u(y) \, dy \\ &\stackrel{(3.3)}{=} (-1)^{|\alpha|+|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} \phi(y) D^\alpha u(y) \, dy = \int_{\Omega} \eta_\varepsilon(x-y) D^\alpha u(y) \, dy = (D^\alpha u)_\varepsilon(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $x \in \Omega_\varepsilon$ was arbitrary, this proves a).

b) In view of a) and Theorem 2.1 d) for fixed $V \Subset \Omega$ we have $D^\alpha u_\varepsilon = \eta_\varepsilon * D^\alpha u$ in V for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, as $V \subset \Omega_\varepsilon$ for ε small enough so that $D^\alpha u_\varepsilon \rightarrow D^\alpha u$ in $L^p(V)$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, $|\alpha| \leq k$. Then

$$\|u_\varepsilon - u\|_{W^{k,p}(V)}^p = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha u_\varepsilon - D^\alpha u\|_{L^p(V)}^p \rightarrow 0$$

as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. □

4.2 Approximation by smooth functions

In order to show that for any $u \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ there is $(u_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C^\infty(\Omega) \cap W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ such that $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ (and not only in $W_{\text{loc}}^{k,p}(\Omega)$), we need the following lemmas to construct a partition of unity.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and $K \subset \Omega$ compact. If $\text{dist}(K, \partial\Omega) \geq \delta > 0$, then there exists a cutoff-function $\tau \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ w.r.t. K, Ω with $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$, $\tau = 1$ in K and $|\mathcal{D}^\alpha \tau| \leq c\delta^{-k}$ in $\Omega \setminus K$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $|\alpha| = k$, where $c > 0$ depends on k and n and not on Ω or K .*

Proof. We may choose $\delta > 0$ since K is compact. Hence,

$$\tilde{K} := \overline{\bigcup_{x \in K} B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(x)}$$

is compact with $\text{dist}(\partial\tilde{K}, \partial K) = \frac{\delta}{2} \leq \text{dist}(\partial\tilde{K}, \partial\Omega)$.

As $\chi_{\tilde{K}} \in L^1(\Omega)$ with $\text{supp } \chi_{\tilde{K}} = \tilde{K} \Subset \Omega$, we have that $\tau := \eta_{\frac{\delta}{4}} * \chi_{\tilde{K}}$ satisfies $\tau \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$, and $\tau = 1$ in K by Theorem 2.1, as

$$\tau(x) = \int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{4}}(x)} (x-y) \underbrace{\chi_{\tilde{K}}(y)}_{=1} dy = 1, \quad \text{for all } x \in K,$$

since $B_{\frac{\delta}{4}}(x) \subset \tilde{K}$. Moreover, for $|\alpha| = k$

$$\mathcal{D}^\alpha \eta_{\frac{\delta}{4}}(x) = \left(\frac{4}{\delta}\right)^n \mathcal{D}^\alpha \left[\eta\left(\frac{4}{\delta}x\right) \right] = \left(\frac{4}{\delta}\right)^{n+k} (\mathcal{D}^\alpha \eta)\left(\frac{4}{\delta}x\right).$$

Hence, for $x \in \Omega \setminus K$, we have

$$|\mathcal{D}^\alpha \tau(x)| \leq \int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{4}}(x)} \left(\frac{4}{\delta}\right)^{n+k} \|\mathcal{D}^\alpha \eta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \chi_{\tilde{K}}(y) dy \leq \tilde{c}(n, k) \delta^{-n-k} |B_{\frac{\delta}{4}}(x)| \leq c(n, k) \delta^{-n-k}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 4.3 (Partition of unity). *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be compact and $\{\Omega_k\}_{k=1, \dots, N}$ be an open covering of K . Then, there exist $\psi_k, k = 1, \dots, N$, called partition of unity such that $\psi_k \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_k)$, $0 \leq \psi_k \leq 1$ in Ω_k , and $\sum_{k=1}^N \psi_k(x) = 1$, for all $x \in K$.*

Proof. For any $x \in K$ there is $r = r(x) > 0$ and $1 \leq k \leq N$ such that $B_{x,k} := B_r(x) \Subset \Omega_k$. Hence,

$$\{B_{x,k}\}_{\substack{x \in K \cap \Omega_k, \\ k=1, \dots, N}}$$

is an open covering of K and has a finite subset still covering K , called

$$\{B_i^k\}_{\substack{i=1, \dots, N_k, \\ k=1, \dots, N}}.$$

Then,

$$K_k := \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{N_k} B_i^k}$$

satisfies $K_k \Subset \Omega_k$ and $\bigcup_{k=1}^N K_k \supset K$. Let $\tilde{\psi}_k$ denote the cutoff-function w.r.t K_k, Ω_k . Hence, $\tilde{\psi}_k \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_k)$ satisfies $0 \leq \tilde{\psi}_k \leq 1$ and

$$\psi(x) := \sum_{k=1}^N \tilde{\psi}_k(x) \geq 1, \quad \text{for all } x \in K.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$K \Subset \Omega := \bigcup_{k=1}^N \text{supp}(\tilde{\psi}_k)$$

and there is an open set Ω_0 such that $K \subset \Omega_0 \Subset \Omega$. Let τ be a cutoff-function w.r.t K, Ω_0 and

$$\psi_k(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{\tilde{\psi}_k(x)\tau(x)}{\psi(x)}, & x \in \Omega_0, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then ψ_1, \dots, ψ_N have the claimed properties. \square

Now we prove the announced result that $C^\infty(\Omega) \cap W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is dense in $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ without assuming any smoothness of $\partial\Omega$.

Theorem 4.4 (Meyers and Serrin). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $p \in [1, \infty)$. Then, $C^\infty(\Omega) \cap W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is dense in $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$, i.e. for any $u \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ there exists $(u_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C^\infty \cap W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ such that $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. i) With

$$U_i := \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > \frac{1}{i} \text{ and } |x| < i\}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N},$$

we have $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty U_i = \Omega$ and $U_i \subset U_{i+1}$. Moreover,

$$V_i := U_{i+4} \setminus \overline{U_{i+1}}, i \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad V_0 := U_4$$

are all open with $V_i \Subset \Omega$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=0}^\infty V_i$. Defining further

$$W_i := \overline{U_{i+3}} \setminus U_{i+2}, i \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad W_0 := \overline{U_3},$$

all $W_i \subset V_i$ are compact and we have $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=0}^\infty W_i$. Let $\psi_i \in C_0^\infty(V_i)$ denote a cutoff-function w.r.t. W_i, V_i with $0 \leq \psi_i \leq 1$ and $\psi_i = 1$ in W_i for $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Since for all $j \geq i+3$, $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$, for any $x \in \Omega$ we have

$$\sigma(x) := \sum_{i=0}^\infty \psi_i(x) > 0$$

and only finitely many of the $\psi_i(x)$ are non-zero. Hence, $\{\xi_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$, defined by

$$\xi_i(x) := \frac{\psi_i(x)}{\sigma(x)}, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

is a *partition of unity subordinate to $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$* , i.e. $\xi_i \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, $0 \leq \xi_i \leq 1$, and $\sum_{i=0}^\infty \xi_i = 1$ in Ω and for any $K \Subset \Omega$, $\xi_i|_K \not\equiv 0$ only for finitely many i .

- ii) Let $u \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ be arbitrary. Then, by Proposition 3.8d) and i) we have $\xi_i u \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ and $\text{supp}(\xi_i u) \subset V_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We fix $\delta > 0$. Then, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we define

$$Z_i := U_{i+5} \setminus \overline{U_i} \supset V_i, i \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_0 := U_5 \supset V_0.$$

In view of Theorem 4.1, there is $\varepsilon_i > 0$ small enough such that $u_i := \eta_{\varepsilon_i} * (\xi_i u)$ satisfies $u_i \in C_0^\infty(Z_i)$ and

$$(4.1) \quad \|u_i - \xi_i u\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)} = \|u_i - \xi_i u\|_{W^{k,p}(Z_i)} \leq \frac{\delta}{2^{i+1}}$$

for $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, as $u_i - \xi_i u \equiv 0$ in $\Omega \setminus Z_i$. Define

$$v(x) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i(x), x \in \Omega.$$

Then, for any open set $V \Subset \Omega$ only finitely many u_i satisfy $u_i|_V \not\equiv 0$. Since $u = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \xi_i u$, we obtain $v \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and

$$\|v - u\|_{W^{k,p}(V)} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \|u_i - \xi_i u\|_{W^{k,p}(V)} \stackrel{(4.1)}{\leq} \delta \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i+1}} = \delta, \quad \text{for all } V \Subset \Omega.$$

Since $U_i \subset U_{i+1}$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $U_i \Subset \Omega$, and $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i$, we conclude by the monotone convergence theorem

$$\|v - u\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)}^p = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha(v - u)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha(v - u)\|_{L^p(U_i)}^p = \delta^p$$

As $\delta > 0$ was arbitrary, the claim is proved. \square

Remark 4.5. Historically, there were two definitions of Sobolev spaces. $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ was defined as in Definition 3.5 while $H^{k,p}(\Omega)$ was defined as the closure of $C^\infty(\Omega) \cap W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ w.r.t $\|\cdot\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)}$. Obviously $H^{k,p}(\Omega) \subseteq W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ but only after Meyers and Serrin in 1964 [MS64] it was clear that $H^{k,p}(\Omega) \subseteq W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ without assuming any smoothness condition of $\partial\Omega$.

Chapter 5

Extension and traces

Chapter 6

Embeddings and Sobolev inequalities

Chapter 7

Applications to PDEs

Bibliography

- [AF03] Robert A. Adams and John J. F. Fournier. *Sobolev spaces*, volume 140 of *Pure and applied mathematics*. New York, 2. edition, 2003.
- [Alt12] Hans Wilhelm Alt. *Lineare Funktionalanalysis : eine anwendungsorientierte Einführung*. Berlin, 6. edition, 2012.
- [Dob10] Manfred Dobrowolski. *Angewandte Funktionalanalysis : Funktionalanalysis, Sobolev-Räume und elliptische Differentialgleichungen*. Berlin, 2. edition, 2010.
- [Eva10] Lawrence C. Evans. *Partial differential equations*, volume 19 of *Graduate studies in mathematics*. Providence, RI, 2. edition, 2010.
- [MS64] Norman G. Meyers and James Serrin. H = W. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 51(1):1055–1056, 1964.