



- a React Router
- b Custom hooks
- c More about styles
- d Webpack
  - -Bundling
  - -Configuration file
  - -Bundling React
  - -Loaders
  - -Transpilers
  - -CSS
  - -Webpack-dev-server
  - -Source maps
  - -Minifying the code
  - -Development and production configuration
  - -Polyfill
- e Class components,

In the early days, React was somewhat famous for being very difficult to configure the tools required for application development. To make the situation easier, Create React App was developed, which eliminated configuration-related problems. Vite, which is also used in the course, has recently replaced Create React App in new applications.

Both Vite and Create React App use *bundlers* to do the actual work. We will now familiarize ourselves with the bundler called Webpack used by Create React App. Webpack was by far the most popular bundler for years. Recently, however, there have been several new generation bundlers such as esbuild used by Vite, which are significantly faster and easier to use than Webpack. However, e.g. esbuild still lacks some useful features (such as hot reload of the code in the browser), so next we will get to know the old ruler of bundlers, Webpack.

# Bundling

We have implemented our applications by dividing our code into separate modules that have been *imported* to places that require them. Even though ES6 modules are defined in the ECMAScript standard, the older browsers do not know how to handle code that

Miscellaneous f Exercises: extending the bloglist is divided into modules.

For this reason, code that is divided into modules must be *bundled* for browsers, meaning that all of the source code files are transformed into a single file that contains all of the application code. When we deployed our React frontend to production in <u>part 3</u>, we performed the bundling of our application with the <u>npm run build</u> command. Under the hood, the npm script bundles the source, and this produces the following collection of files in the *dist* directory:

```
├── assets copy

├── index-d526a0c5.css

├── index-e92ae01e.js

├── react-35ef61ed.svg

├── index.html

├── vite.svg
```

The *index.html* file located at the root of the *dist* directory is the "main file" of the application which loads the bundled JavaScript file with a *script* tag:

```
<html lang="en">
  <head>
    <meta charset="UTF-8" />
    <link rel="icon" type="image/svg+xml"</pre>
href="/vite.svg" />
    <meta name="viewport" content="width=device-width,</pre>
initial-scale=1.0" />
    <title>Vite + React</title>
    <script type="module" crossorigin src="/assets/index-</pre>
e92ae01e.js"></script>
    <link rel="stylesheet" href="/assets/index-</pre>
d526a0c5.css">
  </head>
  <body>
    <div id="root"></div>
  </body>
</html>
```

As we can see from the example application that was created with Vite, the build script also bundles the application's CSS files into a single /assets/index-d526a0c5.css file.

In practice, bundling is done so that we define an entry point for the application, which typically is the *index.js* file. When webpack bundles the code, it includes not only the code from the entry point but also the code that is imported by the entry point, as well as the code imported by its import statements, and so on.

Since part of the imported files are packages like React, Redux, and Axios, the bundled JavaScript file will also contain the contents of each of these libraries.

The old way of dividing the application's code into multiple files was based on the fact that the *index.html* file loaded all of the separate JavaScript files of the application with the help of script tags. This resulted in decreased performance, since the loading of each separate file results in some overhead. For this reason, these days the preferred method is to bundle the code into a single file.

Next, we will create a webpack configuration by hand, suitable for a new React application.

Let's create a new directory for the project with the following subdirectories (*build* and *src*) and files:

The contents of the package.json file can e.g. be the following:

```
{
    "name": "webpack-part7",
    "version": "0.0.1",
    "description": "practising webpack",
    "scripts": {},
    "license": "MIT"
}
```

Let's install webpack with the command:

```
npm install --save-dev webpack webpack-cli copy
```

We define the functionality of webpack in the *webpack.config.js* file, which we initialize with the following content:

```
const path = require('path')

const config = () => {
  return {
    entry: './src/index.js',
    output: {
```

```
path: path.resolve(__dirname, 'build'),
    filename : 'main.js'
}
}
module.exports = config
```

**Note:** it would be possible to make the definition directly as an object instead of a function:

```
const path = require('path')

const config = {
  entry: './src/index.js',
  output: {
    path: path.resolve(__dirname, 'build'),
    filename : 'main.js'
  }
}

module.exports = config
```

An object will suffice in many situations, but we will later need certain features that require the definition to be done as a function.

We will then define a new npm script called *build* that will execute the bundling with webpack:

```
// ...
"scripts": {
   "build": "webpack --mode=development"
},
// ...
```

Let's add some more code to the *src/index.js* file:

```
const hello = name => {
  console.log(`hello ${name}`)
}
```

When we execute the npm run build command, our application code will be bundled by webpack. The operation will produce a new *main.js* file that is added under the *build* directory:

```
webpack npm run build
 webpack-osa7@0.0.1 build
webpack --mode=development
asset main.js 1.24 KiB [compared for emit] (name: main)
./src/index.js 56 bytes [built] [code generated]
webpack 5.68.0 compiled successfully in 110 ms
webpack cat build/main.js
* ATTENTION: The "eval" devtool has been used (maybe by default in mode: "devel
* This devtool is neither made for production nor for readable output files.
* It uses "eval()" calls to create a separate source file in the browser devtoo
* If you are trying to read the output file, select a different devtool (https:
//webpack.js.org/configuration/devtool/)
* or disable the default devtool with "devtool: false".
* If you are looking for production-ready output files, see mode: "production"
(https://webpack.js.org/configuration/mode/).
/*****/ (() => { // webpackBootstrap
```

The file contains a lot of stuff that looks quite interesting. We can also see the code we wrote earlier at the end of the file:

```
eval("const hello = name => {\n console.log(`hello copy
${name}`)\n}\n\n/# sourceURL=webpack://webpack-
osa7/./src/index.js?");
```

Let's add an *App.js* file under the *src* directory with the following content:

```
const App = () => {
  return null
}
export default App
```

Let's import and use the *App* module in the *index.js* file:

```
import App from './App';

const hello = name => {
  console.log(`hello ${name}`)
}
App()
```

When we bundle the application again with the npm run build command, we notice that webpack has acknowledged both files:

```
→ webpack npm run build
> webpack-osa7@0.0.1 build
> webpack --mode=development

asset main.js 4.21 KiB [emitted] (name: main)
runtime modules 670 bytes 3 modules
cacheable modules 144 bytes
   ./src/index.js 89 bytes [built] [code generated]
   ./src/App.js 55 bytes [built] [code generated]
webpack 5.68.0 compiled successfully in 114 ms
```

Our application code can be found at the end of the bundle file in a rather obscure format:

```
eval("__webpack_require__.r(__webpack_exports__);\n/* harmony import */ var _App
__WEBPACK_IMPORTED_MODULE_0_ = __webpack_require__(/*! ./App */ \"./src/App.js\
");\n\n\nconst hello = name => {\n console.log(`hello ${name}`)\n}\n\n;(0,_App_
_WEBPACK_IMPORTED_MODULE_0_[\"default\"])()\n\n//# sourceURL=webpack://webpack-osa7/./src/index.js?");
```

### Configuration file

Let's take a closer look at the contents of our current webpack.config.js file:

```
const path = require('path')

const config = () => {
  return {
    entry: './src/index.js',
    output: {
      path: path.resolve(__dirname, 'build'),
      filename : 'main.js'
      }
  }
}

module.exports = config
```

The configuration file has been written in JavaScript and the function returning the configuration object is exported using Node's module syntax.

Our minimal configuration definition almost explains itself. The <a href="https://en.line.com/en.line.

The output property defines the location where the bundled code will be stored. The target directory must be defined as an *absolute path*, which is easy to create with the path.resolve method. We also use \_\_dirname which is a variable in Node that stores the path to the current directory.

## **Bundling React**

Next, let's transform our application into a minimal React application. Let's install the required libraries:

```
npm install react react-dom copy
```

And let's turn our application into a React application by adding the familiar definitions in the *index.js* file:

```
import React from 'react'
import ReactDOM from 'react-dom/client'
import App from './App'

ReactDOM.createRoot(document.getElementById('root')).render(
/>)
```

We will also make the following changes to the *App.js* file:

We still need the *build/index.html* file that will serve as the "main page" of our application, which will load our bundled JavaScript code with a *script* tag:

When we bundle our application, we run into the following problem:

#### Loaders

The error message from webpack states that we may need an appropriate *loader* to bundle the *App.js* file correctly. By default, webpack only knows how to deal with plain JavaScript. Although we may have become unaware of it, we are using JSX for rendering our views in React. To illustrate this, the following code is not regular JavaScript:

The syntax used above comes from JSX and it provides us with an alternative way of defining a React element for an HTML *div* tag.

We can use <u>loaders</u> to inform webpack of the files that need to be processed before they are bundled.

Let's configure a loader to our application that transforms the JSX code into regular JavaScript:

```
const path = require('path')

const config = () => {
  return {
    entry: './src/index.js',
    output: {
      path: path.resolve(__dirname, 'build'),
      filename : 'main.js'
    },
  module: {
```

Loaders are defined under the *module* property in the *rules* array.

The definition of a single loader consists of three parts:

```
{
    test: /\.js$/,
    loader: 'babel-loader',
    options: {
       presets: ['@babel/preset-react']
    }
}
```

The *test* property specifies that the loader is for files that have names ending with *.js*. The *loader* property specifies that the processing for those files will be done with <u>babel-loader</u>. The *options* property is used for specifying parameters for the loader, which configure its functionality.

Let's install the loader and its required packages as a *development dependency*:

```
npm install @babel/core babel-loader @babel/preset-reactpy
--save-dev
```

Bundling the application will now succeed.

If we make some changes to the *App* component and take a look at the bundled code, we notice that the bundled version of the component looks like this:


```
const App = () => copy

react__WEBPACK_IMPORTED_MODULE_0___default.a.createElement(
   'div',
   null,
```

```
'hello webpack'
)
```

As we can see from the example above, the React elements that were written in JSX are now created with regular JavaScript by using React's createElement function.

You can test the bundled application by opening the build/index.html file with the open file functionality of your browser:



It's worth noting that if the bundled application's source code uses async/await, the browser will not render anything on some browsers. Googling the error message in the console will shed some light on the issue. With the previous solution being deprecated we now have to install two more missing dependencies, that is core-js and regenerator-runtime:

```
npm install core-js regenerator-runtime copy
```

You need to import these dependencies at the top of the *index.js* file:

```
import 'core-js/stable/index.js' copy
import 'regenerator-runtime/runtime.js'
```

Our configuration contains nearly everything that we need for React development.

## Transpilers

The process of transforming code from one form of JavaScript to another is called <u>transpiling</u>. The general definition of the term is to compile source code by transforming it from one language to another.

By using the configuration from the previous section, we are *transpiling* the code containing JSX into regular JavaScript with the help of babel, which is currently the most popular tool for the job.

As mentioned in part 1, most browsers do not support the latest features that were introduced in ES6 and ES7, and for this reason, the code is usually transpiled to a version of JavaScript that

implements the ES5 standard.

The transpilation process that is executed by Babel is defined with plugins. In practice, most developers use ready-made presets that are groups of pre-configured plugins.

Currently, we are using the <u>@babel/preset-react</u> preset for transpiling the source code of our application:

```
{
    test: /\.js$/,
    loader: 'babel-loader',
    options: {
        presets: ['@babel/preset-react']
    }
}
```

Let's add the <u>@babel/preset-env</u> plugin that contains everything needed to take code using all of the latest features and to transpile it to code that is compatible with the ES5 standard:

```
{
    test: /\.js$/,
    loader: 'babel-loader',
    options: {
        presets: ['@babel/preset-env', '@babel/preset-react']
    }
}
```

Let's install the preset with the command:

```
npm install @babel/preset-env --save-dev copy
```

When we transpile the code, it gets transformed into old-school JavaScript. The definition of the transformed *App* component looks like this:

```
var App = function App() {
   return _react2.default.createElement('div', null,
   'hello webpack')
};
```

As we can see, variables are declared with the var keyword, as ES5 JavaScript does not understand the const keyword. Arrow functions are also not used, which is why the function definition used the function keyword.

#### **CSS**

Let's add some CSS to our application. Let's create a new *src/index.css* file:

```
.container {
   margin: 10px;
   background-color: #dee8e4;
}
```

Then let's use the style in the App component:

```
const App = () => {
  return (
      <div className="container">
      hello webpack
      </div>
  )
}
```

And we import the style in the *index.js* file:

```
import './index.css' copy
```

This will cause the transpilation process to break:

```
ERROR in ./src/index.css 1:0

Module parse failed: Unexpected token (1:0)

You may need an appropriate loader to handle this file type, currently no loader s are configured to process this file. See https://webpack.js.org/concepts#loade rs

> .container {
| margin: 10;
| background-color: #dee8e4;
| e ./src/index.js 4:0-21
```

When using CSS, we have to use css and style loaders:

```
test: //.css$/,
use: ['style-loader', 'css-loader'],
},
],
}
```

The job of the <u>css loader</u> is to load the *CSS* files and the job of the <u>style loader</u> is to generate and inject a *style* element that contains all of the styles of the application.

With this configuration, the CSS definitions are included in the *main.js* file of the application. For this reason, there is no need to separately import the *CSS* styles in the main *index.html* file.

If needed, the application's CSS can also be generated into its own separate file, by using the mini-css-extract-plugin.

When we install the loaders:

```
npm install style-loader css-loader --save-dev copy
```

The bundling will succeed once again and the application gets new styles.

#### Webpack-dev-server

The current configuration makes it possible to develop our application but the workflow is awful (to the point where it resembles the development workflow with Java). Every time we make a change to the code, we have to bundle it and refresh the browser to test it.

The webpack-dev-server offers a solution to our problems. Let's install it with the command:

```
npm install --save-dev webpack-dev-server copy
```

Let's define an npm script for starting the dev server:

```
{
    // ...
    "scripts": {
        "build": "webpack --mode=development",
        "start": "webpack serve --mode=development"
    },
    // ...
}
```

Let's also add a new *devServer* property to the configuration object in the *webpack.config.js* file:

```
const config = {
  entry: './src/index.js',
  output: {
    path: path.resolve(__dirname, 'build'),
    filename : 'main.js',
  },
  devServer: {
    static: path.resolve(__dirname, 'build'),
    compress: true,
    port: 3000,
    },
  // ...
};
```

The npm start command will now start the dev-server at port 3000, meaning that our application will be available by visiting <a href="http://localhost:3000">http://localhost:3000</a> in the browser. When we make changes to the code, the browser will automatically refresh the page.

The process for updating the code is fast. When we use the devserver, the code is not bundled the usual way into the *main.js* file. The result of the bundling exists only in memory.


Let's extend the code by changing the definition of the *App* component as shown below:

The application works nicely and the development workflow is quite smooth.

### Source maps

Let's extract the click handler into its own function and store the previous value of the counter in its own *values* state:

The application no longer works and the console will display the following error:



We know that the error is in the onClick method, but if the application was any larger the error message would be quite difficult to track down:

```
App.js:27 Uncaught TypeError: Cannot read property 'concat' at handleClick (App.js:27)
```

The location of the error indicated in the message does not match the actual location of the error in our source code. If we click the error message, we notice that the displayed source code does not resemble our application code:

```
| Elements | Network | Console | Performance | Sources | Application | Memory | Security | Audits | Work | Sources | Application | Memory | Security | Audits | Work | Sources | Application | Applica
```

Of course, we want to see our actual source code in the error message.

Luckily, fixing this error message is quite easy. We will ask webpack to generate a so-called <u>source map</u> for the bundle, which makes it possible to *map errors* that occur during the execution of the bundle to the corresponding part in the original source code.

The source map can be generated by adding a new *devtool* property to the configuration object with the value 'source-map':


```
const config = {
    entry: './src/index.js',
    output: {
        // ...
    },
    devServer: {
        // ...
    },
    devtool: 'source-map',
    // ...
};
```

Webpack has to be restarted when we make changes to its configuration. It is also possible to make webpack watch for changes made to itself, but we will not do that this time.

The error message is now a lot better

since it refers to the code we wrote:

Generating the source map also makes it possible to use the Chrome debugger:



Let's fix the bug by initializing the state of *values* as an empty array:

```
const App = () => {
  const [counter, setCounter] = useState(0)
  const [values, setValues] = useState([])
  // ...
}
```

## Minifying the code

When we deploy the application to production, we are using the *main.js* code bundle that is generated by webpack. The size of the *main.js* file is 1009487 bytes even though our application only contains a few lines of our code. The large file size is because the bundle also contains the source code for the entire React library. The size of the bundled code matters since the browser has to load the code when the application is first used. With high-speed internet connections, 1009487 bytes is not an issue, but if we were to keep adding more external dependencies, loading speeds could become an issue, particularly for mobile users.

If we inspect the contents of the bundle file, we notice that it could be greatly optimized in terms of file size by removing all of the comments. There's no point in manually optimizing these files, as there are many existing tools for the job. The optimization process for JavaScript files is called *minification*. One of the leading tools intended for this purpose is UglifyJS.

Starting from version 4 of webpack, the minification plugin does not require additional configuration to be used. It is enough to modify the npm script in the *package.json* file to specify that webpack will execute the bundling of the code in *production* mode:

```
"name": "webpack-part7",
  "version": "0.0.1",
  "description": "practising webpack",
  "scripts": {
    "build": "webpack --mode=production",
    "start": "webpack serve --mode=development"
},
  "license": "MIT",
  "dependencies": {
    // ...
},
  "devDependencies": {
    // ...
},
```

When we bundle the application again, the size of the resulting *main.js* decreases substantially:

```
$ ls -l build/main.js copy
-rw-r--r- 1 mluukkai ATKK\hyad-all 227651 Feb 7
15:58 build/main.js
```

The output of the minification process resembles old-school C code; all of the comments and even unnecessary whitespace and newline characters have been removed, variable names have been replaced with a single character.

```
function h(){if(!d){var e=u(p);d=!0;for(var
    t=c.length;t;){for(s=c,c=
[];++f<t;)s&&s[f].run();f=-1,t=c.length}s=null,d=!1,function
{if(o===clearTimeout)return
    clearTimeout(e);if((o===ll!!o)&&clearTimeout)return
    o=clearTimeout,clearTimeout(e);try{o(e)}catch(t)
    {try{return o.call(null,e)}catch(t){return
    o.call(this,e)}}{(e)}}a.nextTick=function(e){var t=new
    Array(arguments.length-1);if(arguments.length>1)
```

# Development and production configuration

Next, let's add a backend to our application by repurposing the now-familiar note application backend.

Let's store the following content in the *db.json* file:

```
{
    "notes": [
        {
             "important": true,
            "content": "HTML is easy",
            "id": "5a3b8481bb01f9cb00ccb4a9"
        },
        {
             "important": false,
            "content": "Mongo can save js objects",
            "id": "5a3b920a61e8c8d3f484bdd0"
        }
    ]
}
```

Our goal is to configure the application with webpack in such a way that, when used locally, the application uses the json-server available in port 3001 as its backend.

The bundled file will then be configured to use the backend available at the https://notes2023.fly.dev/api/notes URL.

We will install *axios*, start the json-server, and then make the necessary changes to the application. For the sake of changing things up, we will fetch the notes from the backend with our <u>custom</u> hook called <u>useNotes</u>:

```
import React, { useState, useEffect } from 'react'
import axios from 'axios'
const useNotes = (url) => {
  const [notes, setNotes] = useState([])
 useEffect(() => {
    axios.get(url).then(response => {
      setNotes(response.data)
    })
  }, [url])
  return notes
const App = () \Rightarrow \{
  const [counter, setCounter] = useState(0)
  const [values, setValues] = useState([])
  const url = 'https://notes2023.fly.dev/api/notes'
  const notes = useNotes(url)
  const handleClick = () => {
    setCounter(counter + 1)
    setValues(values.concat(counter))
```

The address of the backend server is currently hardcoded in the application code. How can we change the address in a controlled fashion to point to the production backend server when the code is bundled for production?

Webpack's configuration function has two parameters, *env* and *argv*. We can use the latter to find out the *mode* defined in the npm script:

```
const path = require('path')

const config = (env, argv) => {
  console.log('argv.mode:', argv.mode)
  return {
    // ...
  }
}

module.exports = config
```

Now, if we want, we can set Webpack to work differently depending on whether the application's operating environment, or *mode*, is set to production or development.

We can also use webpack's <u>DefinePlugin</u> for defining *global default constants* that can be used in the bundled code. Let's define a new global constant *BACKEND\_URL* that gets a different value depending on the environment that the code is being bundled for:

```
const path = require('path')
const webpack = require('webpack')

const config = (env, argv) => {
  console.log('argv', argv.mode)

const backend_url = argv.mode === 'production'
  ? 'https://notes2023.fly.dev/api/notes'
  : 'http://localhost:3001/notes'

return {
```

```
entry: './src/index.js',
output: {
    path: path.resolve(__dirname, 'build'),
    filename : 'main.js'
},
devServer: {
    static: path.resolve(__dirname, 'build'),
    compress: true,
    port: 3000,
},
devtool: 'source-map',
module: {
    // ...
},

plugins: [
    new webpack.DefinePlugin ({
        BACKEND_URL: JSON.stringify(backend_url)
    })
]

module.exports = config
```

The global constant is used in the following way in the code:

If the configuration for development and production differs a lot, it may be a good idea to separate the configuration of the two into their own files.


Now, if the application is started with the command <code>npm start</code> in development mode, it fetches the notes from the address <a href="http://localhost:3001/notes">http://localhost:3001/notes</a>. The version bundled with the command <code>npm run build</code> uses the address <a href="https://notes2023.fly.dev/api/notes">https://notes2023.fly.dev/api/notes</a> to get the list of notes.

We can inspect the bundled production version of the application locally by executing the following command in the *build* directory:

By default, the bundled application will be available at http://localhost:9080.

#### **Polyfill**

Our application is finished and works with all relatively recent versions of modern browsers, except for Internet Explorer. The reason for this is that, because of <code>axios</code>, our code uses Promises, and no existing version of IE supports them:



There are many other things in the standard that IE does not support. Something as harmless as the <u>find</u> method of JavaScript arrays exceeds the capabilities of IE:



In these situations, it is not enough to transpile the code, as

transpilation simply transforms the code from a newer version of JavaScript to an older one with wider browser support. IE understands Promises syntactically but it simply has not implemented their functionality. The find property of arrays in IE is simply *undefined*.

If we want the application to be IE-compatible, we need to add a polyfill, which is code that adds the missing functionality to older browsers.

Polyfills can be added with the help of webpack and Babel or by installing one of many existing polyfill libraries.

The polyfill provided by the <u>promise-polyfill</u> library is easy to use. We simply have to add the following to our existing application code:

```
import PromisePolyfill from 'promise-polyfill'

if (!window.Promise) {
  window.Promise = PromisePolyfill
}
```

If the global Promise object does not exist, meaning that the browser does not support Promises, the polyfilled Promise is stored in the global variable. If the polyfilled Promise is implemented well enough, the rest of the code should work without issues.

One exhaustive list of existing polyfills can be found here.

The browser compatibility of different APIs can be checked by visiting https://caniuse.com or Mozilla's website.

Propose changes to material

Part 7c
Previous part

Part 7e
Next part



HOUSTON

