

Math, Problem Set #2, Inner Product Spaces

Elysa Strunin

July 5, 2017

3.1

i

$$\begin{aligned} & 0.25(\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 - \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2) \\ & = 0.25(\langle \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle) \\ & = 0.25(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + 2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + 2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle) \\ & = 0.25(4\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle) \\ & \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

ii

$$\begin{aligned} & 0.5(\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2) \\ & = 0.5(\langle \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle) \\ & = 0.5(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + 2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle - 2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle) \\ & = 0.5(2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + 2\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle) \\ & = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \\ & = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

3.2

$$\begin{aligned} & = 0.25(\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 - \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 + i\|\mathbf{x} - i\mathbf{y}\|^2 - i\|\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}\|^2) \\ & = 0.25(\langle \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle + i\langle \mathbf{x} - i\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - i\mathbf{y} \rangle - i\langle \mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y} \rangle) \\ & = 0.25(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + 2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + 2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + i(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle - 2\langle \mathbf{x}, i\mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle i\mathbf{y}, i\mathbf{y} \rangle) - i(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + 2\langle \mathbf{x}, i\mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle i\mathbf{y}, i\mathbf{y} \rangle)) \\ & = 0.25(4\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + i(\langle \mathbf{x}, -i\mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle -i\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle) - i(\langle \mathbf{x}, i\mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle i\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle)) \\ & = 0.25(4\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + 0 + 0) \text{ because } \langle -i\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, i\mathbf{y} \rangle \text{ etc} \\ & \Rightarrow \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

3.3

i

$$\begin{aligned} \cos\theta &= \frac{\langle x, x^5 \rangle}{\|x\| \|x^5\|} = \frac{\langle x, x^5 \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle \langle x^5, x^5 \rangle}} = \sqrt{33}/7 \text{ by calculating the specified inner product} \\ \theta &= \arccos \sqrt{33}/7 = 0.608 \end{aligned}$$

ii

$$\cos\theta = \frac{\langle x^2, x^4 \rangle}{\|x^2\| \|x^4\|} = \frac{\langle x^2, x^4 \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle x^2, x^4 \rangle \langle x^2, x^4 \rangle}} = \sqrt{45}/7 \text{ by calculating the specified inner product}$$

$$\theta = \arccos \sqrt{45}/7 = 0.290$$

3.8

i

Inner product of each basis function and itself is 1:

$$\langle cost, cost \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos^2 t dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (1 + \cos 2t) dt = 1 \text{ by double-angle formula}$$

$$\langle sint, sint \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin^2 t dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (1 - \cos 2t) dt = 1$$

$\langle \cos 2t, \cos 2t \rangle = 1$ by double-angle formula and change of variable

Similarly, $\langle \sin 2t, \sin 2t \rangle = 1$ by double-angle formula and change of variable

Inner product of each basis function and every other basis function is 0:

$\langle cost, sint \rangle = 0$ by change of variable

$\langle \cos 2t, \sin 2t \rangle = 0$ by change of variable

$\langle sint, \cos 2t \rangle = 0$ by double-angle formula and change of variable

$\langle cost, \sin 2t \rangle = 0$ by double-angle formula and change of variable $\langle cost, \cos 2t \rangle = 0$ by double-angle formula, change of variable, and periodic nature of $\cos^3 t$ (s.t. area under the curve from $-\pi$ to π is zero)

$\langle sint, \cos 2t \rangle = 0$ by double-angle formula, change of variable, and periodic nature of $\sin^3 t$ (s.t. area under the curve from $-\pi$ to π is zero)

ii

$$\|t\| = \sqrt{\langle t, t \rangle} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} t^2 dt}$$

$$= \sqrt{2\pi^2/3} = \frac{\pi\sqrt{6}}{3}$$

iii

$$proj_X \cos 3t = \sum_{i=1}^4 \langle x_i, \cos 3t \rangle x_i$$

$$= \langle cost, \cos 3t \rangle cost + \langle sint, \cos 3t \rangle sint + \langle \cos 2t, \cos 3t \rangle \cos 2t + \langle \sin 2t, \cos 3t \rangle \sin 2t = 0 \text{ because:}$$

$\langle cost, \cos 3t \rangle cost$

$$= \frac{cost}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} cost \cos 3t dt = \frac{cost}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (4\cos^4 t - 3\cos^2 t) dt \text{ by triple-angle formula}$$

$$= \frac{cost}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos^2 t (4\cos^2 t - 3) dt = \frac{cost}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (0.5\cos 4t + 0.5\cos 2t) dt \text{ by double-angle formula}$$

= 0 by change of variable

$\langle sint, \cos 3t \rangle sint$

$$= \frac{sint}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (4sint \cos^3 t - 3sint cost) dt = 0 \text{ by change of variable}$$

(reduces to area under a single point)

$\langle \cos 2t, \cos 3t \rangle \cos 2t$

$$= \frac{\cos 2t}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (8\cos^5 t - 7\cos^3 t + 3\sin^2 t cost) dt \text{ by double- and triple-angle formulas}$$

$$= \frac{\cos 2t}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (3\sin^2 t cost) dt \text{ periodic nature of } \cos^3 t \text{ and } \cos^5 t$$

= 0 by change of variable (reduces to a single point)

$$\langle \sin 2t, \cos 3t \rangle \sin 2t = \frac{\sin 2t}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (8sint \cos^4 t - 6sint \cos^2 t) dt \text{ by double- and triple-angle formulas}$$

= 0 by change of variable (reduces to a single point)

iv

$$\begin{aligned} \text{proj}_X \text{cost} &= \sum_{i=1}^4 \langle x_i, t \rangle x_i \\ &= \langle \text{cost}, t \rangle \text{cost} + \langle \text{sint}, t \rangle \text{sint} + \langle \cos 2t, t \rangle \cos 2t + \langle \sin 2t, t \rangle \sin 2t = 2\text{sint} + \sin 2t \text{ because:} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \text{cost}, t \rangle \text{cost} \\ &= \frac{\text{cost}}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (t \text{cost}) dt \\ &= \frac{\text{cost}}{\pi} ([t \text{cost}]_{-\pi}^{\pi} - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \text{cost} dt) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \text{sint}, t \rangle \text{sint} \\ &= \frac{\text{sint}}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (t \text{sint}) dt = \frac{\text{sint}}{\pi} ([t \text{cost}]_{-\pi}^{\pi} + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \text{cost} dt) = \frac{2\text{sint}\pi}{\pi} + 0 = 2\text{sint} \\ &\langle \cos 2t, t \rangle \cos 2t \\ &= \frac{\cos 2t}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (t \cos 2t) dt = \frac{\cos 2t}{\pi} ([0.5t \sin 2t]_{-\pi}^{\pi} - 0.5 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin 2t dt) = 0 \\ &\langle \sin 2t, t \rangle \sin 2t \\ &= \frac{\sin 2t}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (t \sin 2t) dt = \frac{\sin 2t}{\pi} ([0.5t \sin 2t]_{-\pi}^{\pi} - 0.5 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos 2t dt) = \frac{\pi \sin 2t}{\pi} = \sin 2t \end{aligned}$$

3.9

Orthonormal transformation if for every $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in R^2$ have $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{R^2} = \langle L(\mathbf{x}), L(\mathbf{y}) \rangle_{R^2}$

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{R^2} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y} = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2$$

Using the standard rotation matrix in R^2 : $L(\mathbf{x}) =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \cos \theta - x_2 \sin \theta \\ x_1 \sin \theta + x_2 \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L(\mathbf{x}), L(\mathbf{y}) \rangle &= L(\mathbf{x})^T L(\mathbf{y}) \\ &= (x_1 \cos \theta - x_2 \sin \theta)(y_1 \cos \theta - y_2 \sin \theta) + (x_1 \sin \theta + x_2 \cos \theta)(y_1 \sin \theta + y_2 \cos \theta) \\ &= x_1 y_1 (\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta) + x_2 y_2 (\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta) \\ &= x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 \end{aligned}$$

3.10

i

$$Q^H Q = Q Q^H = I \Rightarrow Q \text{ is an orthonormal matrix:}$$

Take any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in F^n$:

$$\langle Q\mathbf{x}, Q\mathbf{y} \rangle = (Q\mathbf{x})^H (Q\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x}^H (Q^H Q)\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^H \mathbf{y} = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$$

Q is an orthonormal matrix $\Rightarrow Q^H Q = Q Q^H = I$:

Orthonormal matrix implies that:

$$\langle Q\mathbf{x}, Q\mathbf{y} \rangle = \mathbf{x}^H (Q^H Q)\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^H \mathbf{y} = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$$

Therefore $(Q^H Q) = I$ and $\Rightarrow (Q Q^H)Q = QI = Q \Rightarrow Q Q^H = I$

ii

Q is orthonormal matrix: $\|Q\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{\langle Q\mathbf{x}, Q\mathbf{x} \rangle} = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle}$ because orthonormal $= \|\mathbf{x}\|$

iii

Have $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle Q\mathbf{x}, Q\mathbf{y} \rangle$

$\langle Q^{-1}\mathbf{x}, Q^{-1}\mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle Q^{-1}Q\mathbf{x}, Q^{-1}Q\mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \Rightarrow Q^{-1}$ is orthonormal

iv

From (i), have $Q^H Q = I$

Consider the columns of Q and the rows of Q^H (i.e., the columns of Q again)

Clear from I that the columns of Q are an orthonormal set and fulfill the δ_{ij} definition of orthonormal

v

For orthonormal matrix Q :

$1 = \det(I_n) = \det(Q^H Q) = \det(Q^H)\det(Q) = (\det Q)^2$ since $\det(Q) = \det(Q^H)$

$\Rightarrow |\det(Q)| = 1$

No, the converse is not true. Counterexample: consider the following matrix A , $\det(A) = 1$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Clearly A is not orthonormal.

vi

Have $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle Q_2\mathbf{x}, Q_2\mathbf{y} \rangle$

$\langle Q_1\mathbf{x}, Q_1\mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle Q_1Q_2\mathbf{x}, Q_1Q_2\mathbf{y} \rangle$

$= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ because Q_1 is orthonormal

$\Rightarrow Q_1Q_2$ is orthonormal also

3.11

Take linearly dependent vectors $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2$

Apply Gram-Schmidt:

Normalize $\mathbf{x}_1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{q}_1$

Projecting \mathbf{x}_2 onto \mathbf{q}_1 yields \mathbf{x}_2 because the two vectors are linearly dependent

$\mathbf{q}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_1}{\|\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_1\|} = 0/0$, which is problematic

3.16

i

From the QR decomposition, $A = QR$

Consider $A = (QD)(D^{-1}R) = QR$

Must show (1) and (2):

(1) That QD is orthonormal and distinct from Q :

Clear that for each column \mathbf{q}_n of Q , and each diagonal element d_n of D ,

each column of QD can be written as $d_n \mathbf{q}_n$; i.e., column \mathbf{q}_n scaled by the corresponding diagonal element

Know that $\langle \mathbf{q}_i, \mathbf{q}_j \rangle = 0$ for $i \neq j$; clearly this holds regardless of scalars d_i, d_j

Know that $\langle \mathbf{q}_i, \mathbf{q}_j \rangle = 1$ for $i = j$

Want $\langle d_i \mathbf{q}_i, d_j \mathbf{q}_j \rangle = 1$ for $i = j$

\Rightarrow Can have $|d_i| = 1$

So with the right selection of $d_i = 1, -1$ ($D \neq I$ is required), can construct a QD that is orthonormal and distinct from Q

(2) That $D^{-1}R$ is upper triangular:

Clear that it is upper triangular

ii

With respect to columns \mathbf{q}_n of Q and rows \mathbf{r}_n of R :

Can scale \mathbf{q}_k by α and \mathbf{r}_k by $1/\alpha$ without changing QR .

\Rightarrow Can continue obtaining different QR factorizations by multiplying column k of Q by -1 (since it doesn't change the magnitude of the column vector) and row k of Q by -1 .

But the positive diagonal of R eliminates this opportunity and ensures uniqueness.

A must be invertible because a noninvertible A would imply ≥ 1 zero diagonal element of R , which would be unaffected by the scalar multiplication.

3.17

Solving $\mathbf{x} = (A^H A)^{-1} A^H \mathbf{b}$ is equivalent to solving $(R^H R)\mathbf{x} = (R^H R)(A^H A)^{-1} A^H \mathbf{b}$

Likewise, solving $R\mathbf{x} = Q^H \mathbf{b}$ is equivalent to solving $R^H R\mathbf{x} = R^H Q^H \mathbf{b}$

Show: $(R^H R)(A^H A)^{-1} A^H = R^H Q^H$ by using $A = QR$

$$\begin{aligned} & (R^H R)(A^H A)^{-1} A^H \\ &= (R^H R)(R^H Q^H QR)^{-1} R^H Q^H \\ &= (R^H R)(R^H R)^{-1} R^H Q^H \\ &= R^H Q^H \end{aligned}$$

3.23

For any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V$:

Consider a triangle with the following side lengths: $\|\mathbf{x}\|, \|\mathbf{y}\|, \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$

Apply triangle inequality:

$$\|\mathbf{x}\| \leq \|\mathbf{y}\| + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\mathbf{x}\| - \|\mathbf{y}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$$

Apply triangle inequality:

$$\|\mathbf{y}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\mathbf{y}\| - \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$$

So $\|\mathbf{x}\| - \|\mathbf{y}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V$

3.24

i

Positivity: $\int_a^b |f(t)| dt \geq 0$ because absolute value

Scale Preservation: $\|\alpha f\|_{L^1} = \int_a^b |\alpha f(t)| dt = |\alpha| \int_a^b |f(t)| dt$

Triangle Inequality: $\|f + g\|_{L^1} \leq \|f\|_{L^1} + \|g\|_{L^1}$ because:
 $\int_a^b |f(t) + g(t)| dt \leq \int_a^b |f(t)| dt + \int_a^b |g(t)| dt$ because absolute value

ii

Positivity: $(\int_a^b |f(t)|^2 dt)^{1/2} \geq 0$ because absolute value squared

Scale Preservation: $\|\alpha f\|_{L^2} = (\int_a^b |\alpha f(t)|^2 dt)^{1/2} = (|\alpha| \int_a^b |f(t)|^2 dt)^{1/2}$

Triangle Inequality: $\|f + g\|_{L^2} \leq \|f\|_{L^2} + \|g\|_{L^2}$ because:

$(\int_a^b |f(t) + g(t)|^2 dt)^{1/2} \leq (\int_a^b |f(t)|^2 dt)^{1/2} + (\int_a^b |g(t)|^2 dt)^{1/2}$ Because triangle inequality applies to absolute value, and the other transformations following absolute value are monotonic

iii

Positivity: $\sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)| \geq 0$ because absolute value

Scale Preservation: $\|\alpha f\|_{L^\infty} = \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |\alpha f(x)| = |\alpha| \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)|$ because α is not a function of x

Triangle Inequality: $\sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x) + g(x)| \leq \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)| + \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |g(x)|$ because it is clear that LHS < RHS, unless the same choice of x maximizes both functions, AND both functions are ≥ 0 .

3.26

$\|\cdot\|_a, \|\cdot\|_b$ are T.E. if $\exists 0 < m \leq M$ s.t. $m\|\mathbf{x}\|_a \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_b \leq M\|\mathbf{x}\|_a, \forall \mathbf{x} \in X$

Topological equivalence is an equivalence relation:

Reflexive:

$\|\cdot\|_a, \|\cdot\|_a$ are T.E.:

Clear that $\forall 0 < m \leq 1 \leq M$,

$m\|\mathbf{x}\|_a \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_a \leq M\|\mathbf{x}\|_a, \forall \mathbf{x} \in X$

Symmetric:

$\|\cdot\|_a, \|\cdot\|_b$ are T.E. $\Rightarrow \|\cdot\|_b, \|\cdot\|_a$ are T.E.:

$\|\cdot\|_a, \|\cdot\|_b$ are T.E. $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{M}\|\mathbf{x}\|_b \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_a \leq \frac{1}{m}\|\mathbf{x}\|_b, \forall \mathbf{x} \in X$ Clear that $0 < 1/M \leq 1/m$

Transitive:

$\|\cdot\|_a, \|\cdot\|_b$ are T.E. (with m_1, M_1) and $\|\cdot\|_b, \|\cdot\|_c$ are T.E. (with m_2, M_2) $\Rightarrow \|\cdot\|_a, \|\cdot\|_c$ are T.E.:

Clear that $\frac{1}{M_1 M_2}\|\mathbf{x}\|_c \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_a \leq \frac{1}{m_1 m_2}\|\mathbf{x}\|_c, \forall \mathbf{x} \in X$

$\Rightarrow \|\cdot\|_c, \|\cdot\|_a$ are T.E. $\Rightarrow \|\cdot\|_a, \|\cdot\|_c$ are T.E. by symmetric property

The stated p -norms are T.E. via the following and the transitive property:

i

ii