Math, Problem Set #1, Probability Theory

Elysa Strunin June 28, 2017

1. Chapter 3 Exercises

3.6

```
\forall i \in I, \ P(A \cap B_i) = P(A|B_i)P(B_i) by Bayes P(A) = \sum_{i \in I} P(A|B_i)P(B_i) by nature of partition and law of total probability \forall A \in F, have P(A) = \sum_{i \in I} P(A \cap B_i)
```

3.8

```
P(\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k)^c = (\bigcap_{k=1}^n E_k^c) by deMorgan P(\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k) = 1 - (\bigcap_{k=1}^n E_k^c) by complement = 1 - \prod_{k=1}^n P(E_k^c) by independence = 1 - \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - P(E_k))
```

3.11

```
P(s = \text{crime} \mid s \text{ tested} +) = P(s = \text{crime}, s \text{ tested} +) / P(s \text{ tested} +) \text{ by Bayes} = P(s \text{ tested} + \mid s = \text{crime}) P(s = \text{crime}) / P(s \text{ tested} +) \text{ by Bayes} = (1) (1/250 \text{ million}) / (1/3 \text{ million}) = 0.012
```

3.12

```
P(\text{win} \mid \text{stays}) = 1/3
P(\text{win} \mid \text{changes}) = 1/2
Likewise, \text{ if } 10 \text{ doors and } 8 \text{ opened doors:}
P(\text{win} \mid \text{stays}) = 1/10
P(\text{win} \mid \text{changes}) = 1/2
```

3.16

$$\begin{split} var[X] &= E[(X-\mu)^2] \text{ by definition} \\ &= E[X^2 - 2X\mu + \mu^2] \\ &= E[X^2] - 2E[X\mu] + E[\mu^2] \text{ by linearity of expectation} \\ &= E[X^2] - 2\mu^2 + \mu^2 \text{ by nature of } \mu \\ &= E[X^2] - \mu^2 \end{split}$$

3.33

$$\begin{array}{l} \forall \epsilon > 0, P(|\frac{X_1 + X_2 + \ldots + X_n}{n} - \mu| \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2} \text{ by WLLN} \\ B = Binomial(n, p) \Rightarrow B = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \text{ where } X_i = Bernoulli(p) \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{here } \mu = p \text{ and } \sigma^2 = p(1-p)$$

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, P(|\frac{B}{n} - p| \ge \epsilon) \le \frac{p(1-p)}{n\epsilon^2}$$

3.36

By CLT and Bernoulli distribution properties, $P(\frac{5500-5000}{\sqrt{(0.801)(1-0.801)6242}} \leq y) \longrightarrow \Phi(y)$ So $P(event) = 1 - \Phi(15.85) \approx 0$

$\mathbf{2}$

a

Events A, B, C that maintain pairwise independence but not mutual independence (classic example): Let A and B be two independent coin tosses (1 for heads, 0 for tails) Let C=1 if exactly one of those tosses produced heads, and 0 otherwise P(A=0)=P(B=0)=P(C=0)=P(A=1)=P(B=1)=P(C=1)=0.5 $P(A\cap B)=P(A\cap C)=P(B\cap C)=0.25$ for all intersections \Rightarrow pairwise independence is maintained throughout But $P(A\cap B\cap C)=0.25$ for the observed intersections, which $\neq P(A)P(B)P(C)=0.125$ \Rightarrow the events are not mutually independent (which makes sense because A and B determine C)

b

Let Ω be a set of 8 equally likely points. A,B,C encompass 4 points each $\Rightarrow P(A) = P(B) = P(C) = 0.5$ To communicate the layout, can label the events in a 4x2 grid: $A = (2:3) \times (1:2)$ $B = (3:4) \times (1:2)$ $C = (1:3) \times (2), (1,1)$ $\Rightarrow P(A \cap B \cap C) = \text{one point} = 0.125 = P(A)P(B)P(C)$ And $P(A \cap B) = P(A \cap C) = 0.25$ But $P(B \cap C) = 0.125 \neq P(B)P(C)$

3

Benford's Law is a well-defined discrete probability distribution: $P(d \text{ being first digit }) = P(d) = log_{10}(1 + \frac{1}{d}), \ d \in 1, 2, 3, ..., 9$ Axioms (1) and (3) are fulfilled trivially Axiom (2): $\sum_{d=1}^{9} log_{10}(1 + \frac{1}{d}) = 1$ $\sum_{d=1}^{9} log_{10}(1 + \frac{1}{d}) = log_{10}(\frac{2}{1} * \frac{3}{2} * \frac{4}{3} * ... * \frac{10}{9}) = log_{10}(\frac{10!}{9!}) = log_{10}(10) = 1$ \Rightarrow Axiom 2 is fulfilled

4

 \mathbf{a}

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p(X_i)X_i$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^i}\right)(2^i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 1$$

$$= +\infty$$

b

$$\begin{array}{l} E[lnX] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p(X_i) lnX_i \\ = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{2^i}) ln(2^i) \\ = ln2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i(\frac{1}{2^i}) \end{array}$$
 (Not sure how to calculate this further)

5

Let a + b = 1, where a, b are the fractions of wealth invested in U.S. and Swiss currency, respectively Let X, Y be investment amounts of U.S., Swiss investors, respectively

U.S. investor seeks to maximize E[X]:

$$E[X] = 0.5(Xa + 1.25Xb) + 0.5(Xa + 0.8Xb)$$

Substituting in the constraint, solve for a

$$E[X] = 1.025 - 0.025a$$

Decreasing in a, so U.S. investor should choose a = 0

 \Rightarrow U.S. investor invests only in Swiss francs

The problem is symmetric for the Swiss investor

 \Rightarrow Swiss investor invests only in USD

6

 \mathbf{a}

$$E[X]<\infty,\, E[X^2]=\infty$$
: Consider random variable X on the interval $[1,\infty)$ with pdf $f_x=\frac{2}{x^3}$ Continuous interval, so can map to Ω Integrates to 1 over the interval
$$E[X]=\int_1^\infty x\frac{2}{x^3}dx=2<\infty$$

$$E[X^2]=\int_1^\infty x^2\frac{2}{x^3}dx=2ln(\infty)=\infty$$

7

 \mathbf{a}

Y=X with probability 0.5 Y=-X with probability 0.5 X is symmetric about 0 and, more specifically, $\sim N(0,1)$ $Y\sim N(0,1)$

b

$$\forall X_n, Y_n :$$

 $Y_n = X_n \text{ or } Y_n = -X_n$
 $|Y| = |X|$
 $\Rightarrow P(|X| = |Y|) = 1$

 \mathbf{c}

$$Y=XZ$$
 Y is dependent on X $\Rightarrow X,Y$ are not independent Counterexample for independence: If independent, $P(Y=y)=P(Y=y|X=x)$ Take $P(Y=-1)=0$ because continuous But $P(Y=-1|X=-1)=0.5\Rightarrow X,Y$ are not independent

\mathbf{d}

$$cov(XY) = E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]$$

 $E[X] = E[Y] = 0$ from (a)
 $cov(XY) = E[XY]$
Clear that XY remains symmetric around $0 \Rightarrow E[XY] = 0 \Rightarrow cov(X, Y) = 0$

 \mathbf{e}

False. This exercise provides a counterexample: Normally distributed with zero covariance, but not independent

8

$$m$$
: $P(m=x) = P(\text{ all other } X_i > x) = C(1-x)^{n-1}, \text{ where pdf must integrate to } 1 \Rightarrow C = n \Rightarrow f_m = n(1-x)^{n-1}$ cdf: $P(m \le a) = -\frac{1}{n}(1-a)^n + \frac{1}{n}$ (integrated pdf over the interval) $E[m] = n \int_0^1 x(1-x)^{n-1}$ M : Similarly, $P(M=x) = P(\text{ all other } X_i < x) = C(x)^{n-1}, \text{ where pdf must integrate to } 1 \Rightarrow f_M = n(x)^{n-1}$ cdf: $P(M \le a) = a^n$ (integrated pdf over the interval) $E[M] = n \int_0^1 x^n = \frac{n}{n+1}$

9

Consider "good"=1, bad=0, state ~ Bernoulli(p=0.5) and $\sigma = \sqrt{p(1-p)} = 0.5$

\mathbf{a}

CLT:
$$P(\frac{490-500}{0.5*\sqrt{1000}} \le y) = \Phi(y) = 0.264$$

Since 2-tailed, probability of the specified extremes = $2*0.264 = 0.527$
So desired probability = $1-0.527 = 0.47$

b

Chebyshev and WLLN:
$$P(|\text{ proportion of good states - }\mu|\geq 0.01)\leq \sigma^2/n\epsilon^2=1-0.99=0.01$$
 Solve for $n:0.01=0.25/n(0.01)^2$ $n=250,000$

10

$$\begin{array}{l} E[X]<0\Rightarrow \int xp(x)dx<0 \text{ where } \int p(x)dx=1\\ E[e^{\theta x}]=1\Rightarrow \int e^{\theta x}p(x)dx=1\Rightarrow e^{\theta x}p(x) \text{ is a pdf}\\ \text{Can see that if } p(x)=-\theta, \text{ this is the exponential distribution}\\ \text{For exponential here, } E[X]=-1/\theta\\ E[X]<0\Rightarrow -1/\theta<0\Rightarrow \theta>0 \end{array}$$