NLP 1

Emmanuel Adebayo

January 2024

1 Problem 1

1. (a)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 7 & 7 \\ 4 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (2*0) + (7*1) + (7*1) & (2*2) + (7*0) + (7*1) \\ (4*0) + (3*1) + (1*1) & (4*2) + (3*) + (1*1) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 14 & 11 \\ 4 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 6 \\ 9 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 7 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (0*1) + (6*7) & (0*2) +) + (6*3) & (0*3) + (6*1) \\ (9*1) + (2*7) & (9*2) + (2*2) & (9*3) + (2*1) \\ (2*1) + (1*7) & (2*2) + (1*3) & (2*3) + (1*1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 42 & 18 & 6 \\ 23 & 24 & 29 \\ 9 & 7 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

(c)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\0\\2 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} 4&1&4\\4&4&0\\1&0&3 \end{bmatrix} = invalid$$

(d)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3*1 & 3*4 & 3*5 \\ 1*1 & 1*4 & 1*5 \\ 0*0 & 0*4 & 0*5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 12 & 15 \\ 1 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(e)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 7 \\ 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 8 \end{bmatrix} = invalid$$

2 Problem 2

- 1. (a) ^(?:[a-z]+)(?: [a-z]+)*\$
 - (b) ^(?:[A-Z][a-zA-Z0-9]*[.,;:'"()?!]*(?:\s|\$))+
 - (c) (ice)(?!-|[A-Za-z])
 - (d) ^[a-z]*b\$
 - (e) $[0-9]+\s*[A-Za-z]+$

3 Problem 3

- (a) i. I picked Yoruba
 - ii. The morphological complexity in Yoruba can make tokenization and part-of-speech tagging more challenging compared to languages with simpler structures. Ambiguities in word boundaries and morphological variations pose obstacles for accurate analysis and interpretation by NLP algorithms.

Different tones can change the meaning of a word, and accurately capturing these variations in speech requires sophisticated models that can distinguish tonal nuances. Without proper handling of tonal information, ASR systems may misinterpret words, leading to errors in transcriptions.

(b) I chose the The AG's news topic classification dataset is constructed by choosing 4 largest classes from the original corpus.

https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/amananandrai/ag-news-classification-dataset?resource=download

Motivation: The dataset is provided by the academic comunity for research purposes in data mining (clustering, classification, etc), information retrieval (ranking, search, etc), xml, data compression, data streaming, and any other non-commercial activity. It was provided by ComeToMyHead, which is an academic news search engine which has been running since July, 2004

Situation: The AG's news topic classification dataset is constructed by choosing 4 largest classes from the original corpus

Collection process: The AG's news topic classification dataset is constructed by choosing 4 largest classes from the original corpus. The AG's news topic classification dataset is constructed by Xiang Zhang (xiang.zhang@nyu.edu). They used collection of more than 1 million news articles

Annotation Process: It contains the following features – title, description, and class index (label). It was annotated by Xiang Zhang (xiang.zhang@nyu.edu). Each class contains 30,000 training samples and 1,900 testing samples. The total number of training samples is 120,000 and testing 7,600. The files train.csv and test.csv contain all the training samples as comma-sparated values. There are 3 columns in them, corresponding to class index (1 to 4), title and description. The title and description are escaped using double quotes ("), and any internal double quote is escaped by 2 double quotes (""). New lines are escaped by a backslash followed with an "n" character.

4 problem 4

1. (a) The total number of sentences are: 24580

The total number of sentences are: 24580

```
def split_using_sent_tokenize_then_use_python_split_to_split_the_sentences_and_nltk_tokeni
    self.num_of_sentences = 0
    #holds the total number of token using python split
    self.num_of_words_with_python_split = 0
    self.num_of_words_with_nltk_tokenize = 0
    #loop through the data.txt file
    with open(self.output_path, 'r') as output_file:
     for line in output_file:
       sentences = sent_tokenize(line)
       if len(sentences) ==0:
       if '' in sentences:
       if "" in sentences:
       if sentences:
         self.num_of_sentences += len(sentences)
         #split the sentences using python split to count
         self.num_of_words_with_python_split += self.split_method_to_count(sentences)
         self.num_of_words_with_nltk_tokenize += self.tokenize_nltk_to_count(sentences)
   print("The total number of sentences are: ", self.num_of_sentences)
   print("The total number of tokens using python split: ", self.num_of_words_with_python
    print("The total number of tokens using nltk tokenize: ", self.num_of_words_with_nltk_
```

(b) The total number of tokens using python split: 273355

The total number of tokens using python split: 273355

```
#an helper method to split
#the line into sentences

def split_method_to_count(self, sentence):
    num_of_words = 0
    #loop through sentence and use python split to turn into array
    #get the length of the array. which is the number
    #of the words
    for sent in sentence:
        words_split = sent.split(' ')
        num_of_words += len(words_split)

#return the total number of tokens
    return num_of_words
```

(c) The total number of tokens using nltk tokenize: 321232

the total number of tokens in lowercased words using nltk package 321151

```
#an help method to split
#uses nltk word tokenize
def tokenize_nltk_to_count(self, sentence):
    num_of_words = 0
    #loop through the sentence
    #for each sentence
    #tokenize and get the length
    for sent in sentence:
        sent_tokenized = word_tokenize(sent)
        num_of_words += len(sent_tokenized)

#return the total number of tokens
    return num_of_words
```

(d) the total number of tokens in lowercased words using nltk package is 321151

the total number of types in lowercased words nltk package is 8786

the total number of tokens in lowercased words using nltk package 321151 the total number of types in lowercased words nltk package 8786

```
def count_tokens_and_types_after_lowercasing(self):
  #set to count token
  #it avoids duplicates
  types = set()
  #holds total token
  total_token = 0
  duplicates = 0
  lower_dict = {}
  with open(self.lowercased_file_path_of_data_txt, 'r') as low_path_file:
    for line in low_path_file:
      line_sent_tokenize = sent_tokenize(line)
      # print(line_sent_tokenize, len(line_sent_tokenize))
      if len(line_sent_tokenize) == 0:
      for sents in line_sent_tokenize:
        sents_to_word_tokenize = word_tokenize(sents)
        for tk in sents_to_word_tokenize:
          types.add(tk)
          #count duplicates
          if tk in types:
            duplicates += 1
          if tk in lower dict:
            lower_dict[tk] += 1
```

the total number of types in lower cased words 8786 the total number of types in lower cased words using dictionary 8786 found this much duplicates 321151

(e) I suspect the answers are different because different tokenization methods such as python's split and ntlk word tokenize use different mechanism in tokenization.

Also, after lowercasing all the word, uppercase words and lowercase words become one, hereby shortening the amount of unique types

(f) the most frequent word type in lower cased version is: i the most frequent word in data.txt (pre lower casing) using nltk package is: .

```
the most freqent word type in lowercased version is: i
the fifth most freqent word lowercased version is: you
the most freqent word in data.txt (pre lowercasing) using nltk package is: .
the fifth most freqent word in data.txt (pre lowercasing) using nltk package is: you
```

```
def get_words_types_and_frequency(self):
 #make a dictionary
 word_dict = {}
 with open(self.output_path, 'r') as output_file:
   for line in output_file:
     tokenized_word = word_tokenize(line)
     for word in tokenized_word:
       if word in word_dict:
         word_dict[word] += 1
         word_dict[word] = 1
 sorted_dict = dict(sorted(word_dict.items(), key=lambda item: item[1], reverse =True))
 word_type = next(iter(sorted_dict))
 print("the most freqent word in data.txt (pre lowercasing) using nltk package is: ",
       word_type)
 counter = 0
  for key, value in sorted_dict.items():
   counter += 1
   if counter > 4:
     print("the fifth most freqent word in data.txt (pre lowercasing) using nltk package
           key)
  ranked_words = list(word_dict.keys())
  frequencies = list(word_dict.values())
 plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
```

(g) the fifth most frequent word lowercased version is: you the fifth most frequent word in data.txt (pre lowercasing) using nltk package is: you

```
the most freqent word type in lowercased version is: i
the fifth most freqent word lowercased version is: you
the most freqent word in data.txt (pre lowercasing) using nltk package is: .
the fifth most freqent word in data.txt (pre lowercasing) using nltk package is: you
```

```
def get_words_types_and_frequency(self):
 #make a dictionary
 word_dict = {}
 with open(self.output_path, 'r') as output_file:
   for line in output_file:
     tokenized_word = word_tokenize(line)
     for word in tokenized_word:
       if word in word_dict:
         word_dict[word] += 1
         word_dict[word] = 1
 sorted_dict = dict(sorted(word_dict.items(), key=lambda item: item[1], reverse =True))
 word_type = next(iter(sorted_dict))
 print("the most freqent word in data.txt (pre lowercasing) using nltk package is: ",
       word_type)
 counter = 0
  for key, value in sorted_dict.items():
   counter += 1
   if counter > 4:
     print("the fifth most freqent word in data.txt (pre lowercasing) using nltk package
           key)
  ranked_words = list(word_dict.keys())
  frequencies = list(word_dict.values())
 plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
```

(h) The graph below evidently follows zipf's law. common words occur frequently while uncommon words have less frequency

