## Why Do Some Firms Give? Why Do Some Give a Lot?: High-Tech PACs, 1977–1996

David M. Hart Harvard University

This article employs Heckman selection models to explore the determinants of corporate PAC formation and PAC size and how these determinants have changed over time. While the findings suggest that high-tech firms use PACs to seek rents from government, internal organizational politics influence their behavior as well. I also find that the effect of some independent variables, including firm size, susceptibility to regulation, and R&D spending, changed significantly over the two-decade span encompassed in the data, peaking in influence in the mid-1980s. The quantitative analysis is supplemented by interview data that point to the existence of a political "arms control" process among some market competitors.

Political scientists have produced a large body of work in recent years examining the link between campaign contributors and candidates. Our understanding of the goods exchanged in transactions between donors and recipients, and how they are priced, has grown substantially as a result. While fruitful, our focus on these transactions has led us to take the underlying supply function of contributors for granted. In particular, corporations, which sponsor about 40% of political action committees (PACs) and account for about 40% of PAC contributions at the federal level, have been neglected. We still know relatively little about why some businesses choose to give to campaigns and others do not, and, of those that do give, why some give a little and some give a lot. No published papers, for instance, explore these questions using data from the 1990s. Just a handful use any data from after 1982, when the current system was still in its infancy. McKeown (1994) alone considers whether the forces that shape

Research assistance by Henry He, Paul Lekas, Frank Pacheco, and especially Kevin Karty is gratefully acknowledged, as is the support of the Dean's Research Fund at the Kennedy School of Government. Thanks for comments are due John Gerring, Tim McKeown, Neil Mitchell, Anne Piehl, and Davis Taylor.

<sup>1</sup>A recent article in this tradition, which references some of the relevant literature, is Cox and Magar (1999).

THE JOURNAL OF POLITICS, Vol. 63, No. 4, November 2001, Pp. 1230~1249 © 2001 Blackwell Publishers, 350 Main St., Malden, MA 02148, USA, and 108 Cowley Road, Oxford OX4 1JF, UK.

## References

- Alexander, Herbert E. 1995. Financing the 1992 Election: American Political Institutions and Public Policy. Armonk, NY: M. E. Sharpe.
- Alt, James E., Frederik Carlson, Per Heum, and Kare Johansen. 1999. "Asset Specificity and the Political Behavior of Firms: Lobbying for Subsidies in Norway." *International Organization* 53(1): 99-116.
- Andres, Gary J. 1985. "Business Involvement in Campaign Finance: Factors Influencing the Decision to Form a Corporate PAC." PS 18(2): 213-20.
- Boies, John L. 1989. "Money, Business, and the State: Material Interests, Fortune 500 Companies, and the Size of PACs." American Sociological Review 54(5): 821-33.
- Cox, Gary W., and Eric Magar. 1999. "How Much Is Majority Status in the U.S. Congress Worth?" American Political Science Review 93(2): 299-310.
- Elazar, Daniel J. 1994. The American Mosaic: The Impact of Space, Time, and Culture on American Politics. Boulder: Westview Press.
- Esty, Daniel C., and Richard E. Caves. 1983. "Market Structure and Political Influence: New Data on Political Expenditures, Activity, and Success." *Economic Inquiry* 21(1): 24-38. *Fortune*. Various dates. "The Fortune 500."
- Gray, Virginia, and David Lowery. 1997. "Reconceptualizing PAC Formation: It's Not a Collective Action Problem and It May Be an Arms Race." American Politics Quarterly 25(3): 319-46.
- Gray, Virginia, and David Lowery. 1998. "The Density of State Interest-Communities: Do Regional Variables Matter?" Publius: Journal of Federalism 28(2): 61-79.
- Gray, Virginia and David Lowery. 1999. "The Underpopulated Interest Communities of the South: Partially Decomposing a Dummy Variable." Southeastern Political Review 27(3): 747-62.
- Greene, William H. 1993. Econometric Analysis. 2nd ed. New York: Macmillan.
- Grier, Kevin B., Michael C. Munger, and Brian E. Roberts. 1991. "The Industrial Organization of Corporate Political Participation." Southern Economic Journal 57(3): 727-38.
- Grier, Kevin B., Michael C. Munger, and Brian E. Roberts. 1994. "The Determinants of Industrial Political Activity, 1978-1986." American Political Science Review 88(4): 911-26.
- Hart, David M. 2000. "Red and White and Blue All Over: The Political Development of IBM." Harvard University. Typescript.
- Humphries, Craig. 1991. "Corporations, PACs, and the Strategic Link Between Contributions and Lobbying Activities." Western Political Quarterly 44(2): 353-72.
- ICPSR. 1995. "Campaign Expenditures in the United States, 1978-1992: Longitudinal Political Action Committee PAC Data." Computer file, study ×06335. Ann Arbor: Interuniversity Consortium for Political and Social Research.
- Lichtenberg, Frank R. 1989. "Contributions to Federal Elections Campaigns by Government Contractors" Journal of Industrial Economics 38(1): 31-48.
- Magee, Stephen P., William A. Brock, and Leslie Young. 1989. Black Hole Tariffs and Endogenous Policy Theory: Political Economy in General Equilibrium. New York: Cambridge University Press
- Martin, Cathie Jo. 1995. "Nature or Nurture? Sources of Firm Preference for National Health Reform." American Political Science Review 89(4): 898-913.
- Masters, Marick F., and Gerald D. Keim. 1985. "Determinants of PAC Participation among Large Corporations." Journal of Politics 47(4): 1158-73.
- McKeown, Timothy J. 1994. "The Epidemiology of Corporate PAC Formation, 1975–1984." Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization 24(2): 153–68.
- Mitchell, Neil J., Wendy L. Hansen, and Eric M. Jepsen. 1997. "The Determinants of Domestic and Foreign Corporate Political Activity." *Journal of Politics* 59(4): 1096-1113.
- Munger, Michael C. 1988. "On the Political Participation of the Firm in the Electoral Process: An Update." Public Choice 56(3): 295-98.
- Mutch, Robert E. 1994. "AT&T PAC: Pragmatic Giant." In Risky Business: PAC Decisionmaking in Congressional Elections, eds. Robert Biersack, Paul S. Hernson, and Clyde Wilcox. Armonk, NY: M. E. Sharpe.