

Appendix to Floor access in Mexico's Cámara de Diputados*

Eric Magar
Instituto Tecnológico Autónomo de México

July 25, 2020

*Eric Magar received financial support from the Asociación Mexicana de Cultura A.C. and CONACYT's Sistema Nacional de Investigadores. For shedding light on major parties' internal rules of debate in the period, I thank Fernando Rodríguez Doval, Lupita Vargas Vargas, and one former lawmaker who wished anonymity. I am grateful to Ana Lucía Enríquez Araiza, Sonia Kuri Kosegarten, Vidal Mendoza Tinoco, and Eugenio Solís Flores, for research assistance. The author is responsible for mistakes and shortcomings in the study. Data and supporting materials necessary to reproduce the quantitative analysis are available at <https://github.com/emagar/legdeb>.

	60th	62nd	64th
	2006-09	2012-15	2018-21
Party	%	%	%
pan	41	23	16
pri	21	43	9
prd	25	20	4
morena			51
opportunistic w/ president		8	14
other opportunistic	13	7	6
Total	% 100	100	100
N	500	500	500
President's party	pan	pri	morena

Table 1: Parties in three Legislatures of the Cámara de Diputados

	% women	of
Members	39	1710^
-60th	28	603
-62nd	41	640
-64th	47	531
Cámara presidents	35	31
Committee chairs	28	174
Party leaders	21	24
- major party	0	12
- opportunistic	42	12
Speechmakers	37	5926
Speeches	41	23637
Words spoken	41	17.5M
^Returning members counted once only.		

Table 2: Women representation and debate

1 Appendix

1.1 Party shares in the Cámara

1.2 Women representation and debate

1.3 Dependent variable summary statistics

Figure 1 describes both flavors of the dependent variables. And Figure 2 portrays member daily aggregates across the periods analyzed. For clarity, this plot includes speakers only (keep in mind that non-speakers are included in the period aggregates analyzed.)




Figure 1: The dependent variable, number of speeches (left) and number of words (right). The column under a star in the right panel is cumulative, reporting 217 member-periods with 8 thousand words or more (2.2 percent of all, the actual distribution spreads these observations, with increasing sparseness, from 8000 to 50291).




Figure 2: Daily speech length by legislative period observed. The plot excludes non-speaking members. Solid points indicate the median speech length in the period. Thick and thin lines connect the 25–75 and 10–90 percentiles, respectively. Hollow points are minima and maxima. Ordinary periods in black, extraordinary periods in gray.

References