Table 14.2 Varimax orthogonal rotated factor matrix of the ten variables distinguishing majoritarian from consensus democracy in thirty-six democracies, 1945–96

Variable	Factor I	Factor II
Effective number of parliamentary parties	-0.90	0.02
Minimal winning one-party cabinets	0.93	-0.07
Executive dominance	0.74	-0.10
Electoral disproportionality	0.72	0.09
Interest group pluralism	0.78	-0.01
Federalism-decentralization	-0.28	0.86
Bicameralism	0.06	0.74
Constitutional rigidity	-0.05	0.71
Judicial review	0.20	0.73
Central bank independence	-0.07	0.71

Note: The factor analysis is a principal components analysis with eigenvalues over 1.0 extracted

the factor analysis. The same two clusters emerge prominently from this analysis; they are also clearly separate clusters, because the factor analysis used an orthogonal rotation, which guarantees that the two factors are completely uncorrelated.

The factor loadings are very high within each of the two clusters and much lower—in fact, close to zero in most cases—outside of the clusters. The percentage of minimal winning one-party cabinets again turns out to be the strongest variable in the first dimension: its factor loading of 0.93 means that it almost coincides with the factor. The effective number of parties is an almost equally strong element with a factor loading of -0.90. And the federalism variable emerges once more as the strongest element in the second dimension with a factor loading of 0.86. The remaining factor loadings within the two clusters are lower but still impressively strong: all between 0.70 and 0.80.

The Conceptual Map of Democracy

The two-dimensional pattern formed by the ten basic variables allows us to summarize where the thirty-six individual

countries are situated between majoritari mocracy. Their characteristics on each o variables can be averaged so as to form just teristics, and these can be used to place ea on the two-dimensional conceptual map in Figure 14.1.¹ The horizontal axis repreparties and the vertical axis the federal-un unit on these axes represents one standar ues indicate majoritarianism and low valuexecutives-parties dimension, all countried dard deviations from the middle; on the f sion, two countries—Germany and the Ur greater distance of almost two and a half s low the middle. The exact scores of each tries on the two dimensions can be found.

Most of the prototypical cases of major democracy discussed in Chapters 2 and positions on the map. The United Kingd are in the top right corner. The United Kir majoritarian on the executives-parties dir land is a great deal more so on the fede and its overall position is therefore more the proposition that, until 1996, New Z

- 1. In order for the five variables in each of the they first had to be standardized (so as to have a deviation of 1), because they were originally measu. Moreover, their signs had to be adjusted so that h represented either majoritarianism or consensus a characteristic; for the purpose of constructing the c gave the high values to majoritarianism (which en the effective number of parties and of all five vari dimension). After averaging these standardized variandardize the averages so that each unit on the tri dard deviation.
- 2. Note, however, that in Appendix A all value expressed in terms of degrees of consensus democrasily into degrees of majoritarian democracy by re-